

Dalton Danz

From: Brenda Marts [REDACTED]
Sent: Saturday, April 18, 2026 7:28 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Agenda item 29

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear County Board,

I'm writing in reference to Agenda Item #29. Expenditure Limit Adjustment.

This is a big change and unusual. After reading the available information I have even more questions. It seems that these limits were put into place to keep counties solvent, and it also seems to have been working for the past 45 years. It is a state requirement and I believe it was enacted to keep the public protected. Because we had the same administrator for so many years it is possible that there are some budget issues that have been overlooked and this may be 1 of them.

So why the big push for a change now? Or should I say "A quiet agenda item slipped into the agenda hoping no one would notice?" Did the county get a big windfall of funds that it can't spend, or has there been some funny math going on for years that has finally caught up? Now, it is a rushed, urgent issue that you are going to push on us citizens before we have time to get all the answers. It has not been a big deal until now, so why not wait until the 2028 election to get this approval? We all need time to see what the math looks like. To ask for almost double the limit is suspicious. Having all the budget info for past years it seems like you are hiding things.



APR 20 26 AM 08:59 PC CLK OF BD

Dalton Danz

From: Helen Jentoft [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 1:14 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Expenditure Limit Adjustment.

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear County Board,

I'm writing in reference to Agenda Item #29. Expenditure Limit Adjustment.

This is a big change and unusual. After reading the available information I have even more questions.

It seems that these limits were put into place to keep counties solvent, and it also seems to have been working for the past 45 years. It is a state requirement and I believe it was enacted to keep the public protected. Because we had the same administrator for so many years it is possible that there are some budget issues that have been overlooked and this may be 1 of them.

So why the big push for a change now? Or should I say "A quiet agenda item slipped into the agenda hoping no one would notice?" Did the county get a big windfall of funds that it can't spend, or has there been some funny math going on for years that has finally caught up? Now, it is a rushed, urgent issue that you are going to push on us citizens before we have time to get all the answers. It has not been a big deal until now, so why not wait until the 2028 election to get this approval? We all need time to see what the math looks like. To ask for almost double the limit is suspicious. Having all the budget info for past years it seems like you are hiding things."

Respectfully,

Helen Jentoft



APR 20 26 AM 09:17 PC CLK OF BD

Dalton Danz

From: Tom Jentoft [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, April 19, 2026 1:22 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Expenditure Limit Adjustment

[You don't often get email from [REDACTED] Learn why this is important at <https://aka.ms/LearnAboutSenderIdentification>]

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear County Board,
I'm writing in reference to Agenda Item #29. Expenditure Limit Adjustment.
This is a big change and unusual. After reading the available information I have even more questions.
It seems that these limits were put into place to keep counties solvent, and it also seems to have been working for the past 45 years. It is a state requirement and I believe it was enacted to keep the public protected. Because we had the same administrator for so many years it is possible that there are some budget issues that have been overlooked and this may be 1 of them.
So why the big push for a change now? Or should I say "A quiet agenda item slipped into the agenda hoping no one would notice?" Did the county get a big windfall of funds that it can't spend, or has there been some funny math going on for years that has finally caught up? Now, it is a rushed, urgent issue that you are going to push on us citizens before we have time to get all the answers. It has not been a big deal until now, so why not wait until the 2028 election to get this approval? We all need time to see what the math looks like. To ask for almost double the limit is suspicious. Having all the budget info for past years it seems like you are hiding things."

Respectfully,

Thomas W Jentoft



APR 20 26 AM 09:57 PC CLK OF BD