

Aliza Barraza

From: garyjayolson [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, April 6, 2026 2:31 PM
To: District1; District3; District4; District5; District2; COB_mail
Subject: Vote no on item 23

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Supervisors;

After reading ORDINANCE NO. 2026 - 4, of the Board of Supervisors, amending Pima County Ordinance No. 2003-10, we find this is unacceptable.

A small increase may be OK but to go from \$40.00 to \$100.00 for each of these court required services is unacceptable. You want to more than double the fees?

AI is suppose to help contain costs and this fee is called Automation and Technology. The county has spent tons of money on technology to save money, so start sharing and showing the savings!

If technology is not saving us money someone should answer for that. More than doubling the fee for things like: name changes, garnishments, civil traffic, divorce, domestic violence, guardianship, seem very punitive. We both urge you to vote NO on item 23.

Sincerely,
Nancy Harris and Gary Olson
5701 E Glenn St #89
Tucson 85712

Sent from Proton Mail for iOS.

APR 06 26 PM 02:41 PC CLK OF BD

