

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 24, 2026. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Jennifer Allen, Chair
Dr. Matt Heinz, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
Steve Christy, Member
Andrés Cano, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
John Stuckey, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting at 9:14 a.m.

**Supervisor Christy joined the meeting at 9:26 a.m. He participated remotely.

1. CONTRACT

Tucson Electric Power, to provide a Right of Way Easement across Parcel Nos. 101-07-109B and 101-07-132K totaling 3,736.5 square feet for the construction and maintenance of electric lines and facilities that will distribute electricity to power traffic signals for the I-10 to River Road Project, contract amount \$2,100.00 revenue (CT2600000017)

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 5:27 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

STADIUM DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Stadium District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 24, 2026. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Jennifer Allen, Chair
*Dr. Matt Heinz Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
**Steve Christy, Member
Andrés Cano, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshner, County Administrator
Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
John Stuckey, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting at 9:14 a.m.

**Supervisor Christy joined the meeting at 9:26 a.m. He participated remotely.

1. AWARD

Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2600000026, B&H General Services, Inc., d.b.a. Jani-Works Cleaning & Disinfecting, to provide janitorial services for Kino Sports Complex. This supplier contract is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of \$340,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: 20240FD Fund. Administering Department: Stadium District - Kino Sports Complex.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 5:27 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, March 24, 2026. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Jennifer Allen, Chair
*Dr. Matt Heinz Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
**Steve Christy, Member
Andrés Cano, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
John Stuckey, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting at 9:14 a.m.

**Supervisor Christy joined the meeting at 9:26 a.m. He participated remotely.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

The Land Acknowledgment Statement was delivered by Kim Fitch, Chair, Pima County/Tucson Women's Commission.

3. CURRENT EVENTS/PUBLIC ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supervisor Cano paid tribute to the late Supervisor Elias, who passed away on March 28, 2020. He stated that he frequently thought of his dear friend who was a devoted public servant. He stated that his love for the residents of District 5 and the entire County defined his life and public service. He stated that his presence could be felt in the hearing room and that he thought of him when he was sitting in his seat and asked that they honor his memory with a moment of silence. A moment of silence was observed in his memory.

Supervisor Allen highlighted her upcoming office hours in Three Points on April 1, 2026, from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m., at the Hub, and in Arivaca on April 4, 2026, from 9:00 a.m. to 12:00 p.m., at Marian's Farmers Market. She stated that the Southern Arizona Chamber of Commerce's first Palo Verde Bloom Festival would be celebrated on Saturday, March 28, 2026, from 10:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., at the Kino Sports Complex, for the Inaugural Bloom Fest and that a No Kings Day march would also be held on March 28, 2026.

PRESENTATION

4. Recognition

Recognition of the retirement of Donna Spicola, Planner II, Pima County Development Services Department, for 31 years of service.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, stated that Ms. Spicola was a passionate and dedicated professional throughout her career with Pima County. He expressed appreciation for her years of service and congratulated her on her retirement.

5. Recognition

Recognition of the retirement of Terri Tillman, Planner III, Pima County Development Services Department, for 25 years of service.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Cano and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., acknowledged Ms. Tillman for her dedicated service to Pima County, and that she was a committed hardworking employee who consistently approached challenges with determination. He congratulated her on this milestone and wished her well in retirement.

PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION

6. Presentation of a proclamation to Dustin Williams, Pima County Superintendent of Schools; Jesse Stanley, Byrd Baylor's grandson and professional musician and music teacher; Kris Gade, Director, Pima County Conservation Lands and Resources; Natalie Shepp, Environmental Quality Manager, and Skye Siegel, Community Engagement Coordinator, Pima County Department of Environmental Quality, proclaiming March 28th of every year in Pima County, to be: "EVERYBODY NEEDS A ROCK DAY"

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve the item. Chair Allen made the presentation.

7. Presentation of a proclamation to DeeDee Koenen, Shannon Riggs, and Jennifer Radler, Co-Founders, Pop Cycle, proclaiming the month of March, 2026 to be: "POP CYCLE APPRECIATION MONTH"

It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Cano made the presentation.

8. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Trista Di Genova addressed the Board and requested their assistance with the return of her service dog and other animals that were removed from her in 2023. She accused local law enforcement of misconduct, called for the Sheriff's removal, and that she planned to file a lawsuit.

Brit Elm spoke about unsafe electrical code violations at a mobile home park that led to her evacuation and stated that county agencies had not taken enforcement action. She asked the Board to clarify enforcement authority in those situations.

Kathleen Dubbs urged continued City-County cooperation to support a firearms transfer program that ensured domestic abusers surrendered their guns. She stated that the program would improve safety at a low cost.

Patricia Maisch spoke on victims of domestic violence. She noted the high economic cost of domestic violence in Pima County and urged action to support prevention efforts, emphasizing both fiscal and moral responsibility.

Chris Conniff expressed his opinion regarding Sheriff Nanos' leadership, noted staffing losses and public safety concerns. He urged the Board to take action and also opposed rising property taxes.

Laurie Moore spoke about her assistance with collecting signatures to recall Sheriff Nanos and claimed many residents supported his removal due to negative publicity and past misconduct. She noted his history of disciplinary issues, poor leadership, and unethical actions, and stated that he should not remain in office.

Aaron Cross, President, Pima County Deputies Organization (PCDO), addressed the Board regarding Sheriff Nanos' misconduct, stated that PCDO had unanimously passed a "no confidence" in Sheriff Nanos and called for his resignation due to alleged past misconduct. He urged the Board to take action and support accountability.

Cory Stephens spoke about investigating Sheriff Nanos for perjury and misconduct, that he misrepresented his background and was unfit for office. She stated that the sheriff's leadership had damaged the department, caused staffing losses, and required accountability action.

Robert Reus stated that he endorsed Mr. Butierrez's recall petition for Sheriff Nanos and compared past sheriffs, praised Sheriff Napier's demeanor and criticized Sheriff Nanos as disrespectful and unstable. He also spoke about his focus on organizing a political effort for the Jeffersonian Party.

Mary Elizabeth Pollard, Member of the League of Women Voters of Greater Tucson, spoke in support of expanding the Domestic Violence Firearms Transfer Program and urged continued funding across Pima County courts to better protect survivors.

Reyes Suarez spoke about the risks of AI-driven military and surveillance technology, noting government and tech company cooperation in mass surveillance and lack of transparency. He questioned the security of local surveillance systems and urged concern about data privacy and federal influence over jurisdictions.

Jamal Givens thanked the Board for supporting opioid settlement funding and described his organization's youth prevention work that focused on substance misuse and mental health. He urged continued investment in prevention alongside treatment and recovery to better support young people in the community.

Chris Ribeau expressed his opposition to the Solana Guest Ranch, highlighted concerns about noise, water usage, and environmental impacts affecting his nearby home. He stated that the property was overusing groundwater and disrupting neighbors, and urged action against its operations.

Rye Whalen opposed the development of a data center when there was a lack of local infrastructure and amenities, including parks, transit, and road maintenance. He urged Pima County and the Southeast Area Council to invest in improvements and better use infrastructure funds.

Bill Anastopoulos and Steve Stratgouleas addressed the Board regarding St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church and requested property tax relief for tax years 2023 and 2024, due to a missed filing for exemption on the church-owned parcel in Pima County. They stated the paperwork had since been submitted and asked the Board to grant the exemption retroactively.

David Smith urged the Board to act as accountable public servants and criticized how historical figures were portrayed. He stated that the Sheriff had mismanaged his duties and harmed the community, and called for accountability.

Betsy Smith spoke on the misconduct of Sheriff Nanos, including lying under oath and abusing employees, and that his leadership harmed both staff and the community. She urged the Board to support an investigation and his removal from office in the interest of public safety.

Reed Spurling thanked the Board for evening meetings and stated that it would improve public access for working residents. He raised his concerns about the Project Blue land sale, noting a lack of transparency, potential conflicts of interest, and connections to broader tech and surveillance-related contracts.

John Anderson spoke in opposition to a liquor license for Solana Properties, stated that the guest ranch was operating unlawfully and violating multiple County codes.

He emphasized the noise, water, and light pollution concerns and that they would provide further details later.

John Brakey, Executive Director, Audit USA, addressed the Board regarding election transparency. He urged the Board not to certify the election results and that they first verify the data using the existing records produced by their system and perform a precinct-level audit.

Robert Burr expressed his opposition to the liquor license for Solana Guest Ranch, highlighted safety and traffic concerns due to its location on a narrow residential dead-end road and raised concerns about water shortages affecting his household. He urged the Board to reject or delay the application.

Molly McKasson spoke in support of efforts to prevent gun violence, and protecting victims of domestic violence.

Kevin E. Kubitskey addressed the Board regarding leadership failure in the Pima County Sheriff's Department, the delayed responses to his public records requests and politically motivated internal investigations. He called for an independent investigation, federal review, and enforcement of public records laws.

* * *

Chair Allen closed Call to the Public.

Supervisor Scott stated that he was aware of Judge Million's proposal but was unsure if it had been submitted to the City of Tucson (COT) Council and the Pima County Board of Supervisors for consideration, he requested that the County Administrator follow up with the COT Manager's Office to see if Judge Million had communicated with their office regarding this proposal. He indicated that this was the third meeting in a row where there had been items regarding petitions for relief of taxes and he had requested a report from the office that was most appropriate for following up with the Board to find out how the County was communicating to eligible organizations that they can petition for relief of taxes. He asked the County Administrator to follow up with the department that could provide that information to the Board. He stated that the item regarding Solana Guest Ranch Villas involved a liquor license, noted concerns about the property's operations, and asked staff to follow up through Development Services or the Department of Environmental Quality. He stated that Mr. Kubitskey raised concerns about internal affairs operations within the Sheriff's Department and asked staff to follow up to determine whether the County's Human Resources Department had any role in addressing those concerns.

Supervisor Christy requested that staff follow up with the speaker from Corona de Tucson regarding his concerns with the road conditions, and to work toward addressing those issues.

Supervisor Heinz requested that Consent Calendar Item No. 27, to be pulled for separate discussion and action.

Chair Allen echoed Supervisor Scott's request for the County Administrator to look into the Domestic Violence Firearms Transfer Program, emphasizing its importance. She stated that it was imperative for the County, COT, and potentially other municipalities, and courts to work together to sustain the program.

* * *

9. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Supervisor Heinz, seconded by Chair Allen and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Cano was not present for the vote, to convene to Executive Session at 11:45 a.m.

10. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 3:25 p.m. Supervisor Christy was not present due to technical issues. He rejoined the meeting at 3:41 p.m. All other members were present.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

11. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding the regulation of unidentified masked groups causing intimidation.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

12. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a proposed settlement in the Delgado Claim.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

13. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a settlement recommendation in Marshall Foundation v. Pima County (TX2022-000024; TX2022-000356; TX2023-000169; TX2024-000393; and TX2025-000410).

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

14. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction related to the County Administrator Hiring Process. (District 3)

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

15. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Womble Bond Dickinson (US), L.L.P.'s request for a conflict of interest waiver.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

16. **Executive Session**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3), for legal advice and discussion regarding recently reported issues concerning Sheriff Nanos' work history and apparent related false statements, and related personnel, management, internal affairs investigative, or other issues in the department. (District 2)

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

17. The Board of Supervisors on March 3, 2026, continued the following:

Hearing - Code Text Amendment

ORDINANCE NO. 2026 - 2, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to public peace and welfare; amending the Pima County Code, Title 9, by adding Chapter 9.25, Regulation of Unidentified Masked Groups, Exempting Identified Law Enforcement Officers.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to continue the item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of April 7, 2026.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

18. **Hearing - Fireworks Permit**

Erin Kallish, Caterpillar, Inc., 5000 W. Caterpillar Trail, Green Valley, April 9, 2026 from 8:00 p.m. - 8:30 p.m.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Heinz voted "Nay," to close the public hearing and approve the permit.

19. **Hearing - Liquor License**

Job No. 380359, Kevin Arnold Kramber, Solana Guest Ranch & Spanish Villas, 2200 N. Kimberlee Road, Tucson, Series 11, Hotel/Motel, New License.

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

Chair Allen closed the public hearing.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Supervisor Heinz for discussions purposes. No vote was taken at this time.

It was then moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to continue the item until all of the issues and concerns by the adjacent property owners had been addressed and that the item be brought back to the Board within three months. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

20. Hearing – Rezoning

P25RZ00002, WILMOT 8890, L.L.C. - S. WILMOT ROAD REZONING

Wilmot 8890, L.L.C., represented by Solace Energy Center, L.L.C., request a rezoning of approximately 18.02 acres (Parcel Code 140-45-0340) from the SH (TDR-RA) (Suburban Homestead-Transfer of Development Rights - Urban Receiving Area) zone to the CI-2 (TDR-RA) (General Industrial - Transfer of Development Rights - Urban Receiving Area) zone on property located on the west side of S. Wilmot Road, approximately one mile south of the intersection of S. Wilmot Road and E. Hermans Road. The proposed rezoning conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan which designates the property for Industrial (I). On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioners Lane and Truitt were absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 2)

Completion of the following requirements within five years from the date the rezoning request is approved by the Board of Supervisors:

1. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
2. Transportation conditions:
 - A. The developer shall provide proof of approval from the City of Tucson Department of Transportation and Mobility (COT DTM) for the proposed access point to S. Wilmot Road at the time of development plan review.
 - B. The property owner(s) shall accept full responsibility for the maintenance, control, safety, and liability of all privately owned roads, drives, physical barriers, drainageways, and drainage easements.
3. Regional Flood Control District conditions:
 - A. The Regulated Riparian Habitat located within the Flood Control Resource Area shall be protected during construction and will remain undisturbed in perpetuity.
 - B. Encroachment into mapped Regulated Riparian Habitat not shown on the approved PDP is prohibited.
4. Environmental Planning conditions: Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also

- transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site; and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner.
5. Cultural Resources condition: Prior to ground modifying activities, an on-the-ground archaeological and historic sites survey shall be conducted on the subject property. A cultural resources mitigation plan for any identified archaeological and historic sites on the subject property shall be submitted at the time of, or prior to, the submittal of any tentative plan or development plan. All work shall be conducted by an archaeologist permitted by the Arizona State Museum, or a registered architect, as appropriate. Following rezoning approval, any subsequent development requiring a grading permit will be reviewed for compliance with Pima County's cultural resources requirements under Chapter 18.81 of the Pima County Zoning Code.
 6. Adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing.
 7. Once the lease agreement or functionality of the property is void, all improvements on the property shall be removed including the battery storage, substation and maintenance buildings.
 8. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
 9. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding the Private Property Rights Protection Act: "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(l)."

Emma Riley, Development Manager, Solace Energy Center, L.L.C., addressed the Board and provided an overview of the project. She stated that it was a standalone battery energy storage system that was not power generation resources, but was more of an energy reservoir, which had the ability to store excess energy and then was able to dispatch that same energy back into the grid when there was peak demand. She stated that this lowered costs for ratepayers and extended the life of renewables on the grid. She indicated that there were 50 similar projects in operation throughout the state and they sought approval of the rezoning from the Board. She highlighted the direct benefit for tax revenue to the County which was expected to provide up to \$4 million over the lifetime of the project and over half of that revenue would go directly to the Sunnyside School District. She stated that it also offered immense benefit in the form of energy and increasing renewable energy to the grid. She stated that the project posed minimal burden to the community, was located over 2,000 feet away from nearby residences, there was no added traffic during operations, and required no water. She stated that the project was located outside the wash area, with appropriate setbacks from the riparian regulated area and that most infrastructure was taking up half of the 18-acre parcel and had site access off of Wilmot Road. She stated that their nearest neighbor was the Federal Corrections Institute across the street, they could not see that building and their project would be shorter with a maximum height of 15 feet. She stated that due diligence to date included consultation with the nearest neighbor and meeting with the fire department. She noted that there were studies, including some that were outlined in the conditions for approval that they still planned to complete prior to construction, which was not set until quarter two of 2029.

It was moved by Supervisor Heinz, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P25RZ00002, subject to standard and special conditions.

21. **Hearing - Modification (Substantial Change) of Rezoning Conditions**

Co9-00-63, LA CHOLLA INVESTMENTS, L.L.C. - LA CHOLLA BOULEVARD NO. 2 REZONING

Houston Street Properties, L.L.C., et al., represented by Lazarus and Silvyn, for a modification (substantial change) of Rezoning Condition No. 5 which requires adherence to the revised preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing. The applicant requests to amend the preliminary development plan and a change of use from commercial/office building to a carwash. Automotive related uses, except for automotive fueling bays in association with a convenience store, and drive-through restaurants are prohibited. The subject property is 3.86 acres zoned CB-1 and is located at the southwest corner of W. Magee Road and N. La Cholla Boulevard. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioners Truitt and Lane were absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 1)

1. Transportation conditions:

- A. An updated traffic impact analysis (TIA) shall be completed and submitted with the development plan for the rezoning site. The TIA shall include assessment of the signalization at the La Cholla Boulevard and Magee Road intersection. The analysis shall also account for the recently approved convenience store and gas station, as well as the future use of the third parcel, including signalization of the right turning movement from eastbound Magee Road to southbound La Cholla Boulevard.
- ~~B. The updated TIA shall include an analysis of the right turn lane on Magee Road to southbound La Cholla Boulevard. A reduction in the turn radius may be necessary to improve intersection safety.~~
- ~~C. The right turn lane on Magee Road into the rezoning site shall be extended to the maximum feasible length to create additional storage.~~
- ~~DB.~~ A cross-access easement shall be granted between the rezoning site and the commercial development to the south.
- ~~EC.~~ Only one point of access will be allowed onto La Cholla Boulevard and one point of access onto Magee Road at the existing locations shown on the revised preliminary development plan.
- ~~D.~~ A second access point to La Cholla Boulevard is not permitted.
- ~~E.~~ A shared access easement providing legal access between the subject property and adjacent properties shall be recorded prior to issuance of any building permit.
- ~~F.~~ The property owner(s) shall accept responsibility for the maintenance, control, safety, and liability of all privately owned roads, driveways, physical barriers, drainageways, and drainage easements within or serving the property.

2. Flood Control conditions:

- ~~A. Drainage will not be altered, disturbed or obstructed without the written approval of the Flood Control District.~~
- ~~BA.~~ All internal drainage improvements and any external drainage improvements required to mitigate drainage impacts caused by the proposed development shall be constructed at no cost to the Flood Control District.

- ~~C. The property owner(s) shall dedicate right of way or easements for drainage purposes to Pima County Flood Control District, as determined necessary during the development plan review. Any such easements mandated by this rezoning condition shall only be those needed to address the drainage conditions at the time of development plan review. Any required drainage easements on the subject property attendant to the proposed culvert improvements associated with the La Cholla Boulevard/Magee Road improvement project shall be subject to separate negotiations and public acquisitions at the time that Pima County's proposed roadway construction plans have been completed.~~
- B. To provide for public maintenance access within the 115-foot drainage easement, 22 feet shall be provided for vehicular clearance from the top of bank of the Carmark Wash to the car wash facility structure.
3. Wastewater Management conditions:
- A. The owner(s) shall not construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner(s) to that effect.
- B. The owner(s) shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department (PCRWRD) that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and / or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner(s) shall enter into a written agreement addressing the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the PCRWRD.
- C. The owner(s) shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
- D. The owner(s) shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the PCRWRD in its capacity response letter and as specified by PCRWRD at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
- E. The owner(s) shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
- F. The owner(s) shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
4. ~~Prior to ground modifications activities, an on-the-ground archaeological survey and appropriate mitigation measures shall be conducted on the subject property. A cultural resources mitigation plan for any identified archaeological sites on the subject property shall be submitted at the time of, or prior to the submittal of any tentative plat or development plan. The mitigation plan shall be prepared and reviewed as described in the Pima County Site Analysis Requirements.~~
- In the event that ancestral remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are encountered during construction, all ground disturbing activities must cease within 50 ft of the discovery. State Laws ARS 41-865 and/or ARS 41-844 require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the encounter or disturbance of the remains at (520) 626-0320 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The ancestral remains will be removed from the site by a professional

archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.

5. Adherence to the revised preliminary development plan (Exhibit B) as approved at public hearing. ~~Automotive-related uses, except for automotive fueling bays in association with a convenience store, and drive-through restaurants are prohibited.~~
6. Depressed diamond shaped planters or raised landscape planters shall be placed every three parking stalls and shall contain one canopy tree. Raised planters shall be used to define a hierarchy of circulation and be placed at the ends of parking area access lanes.
7. Upon the effective date of the Resolution, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site; and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner.
8. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding ~~Proposition 207 rights~~ the Private Property Rights Protection Act: "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134.
9. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Chair Allen to close the public hearing and approve Co9-00-63, subject to original and modified standard and special conditions. No vote was taken at the time.

Chair Allen asked about water recycling and what systems were currently in place or could be in place to ensure that car washes, whether it was this one or future ones, that required the use of recycled water or capturing water for recycled purposes.

Thomas Drzazgowski, Deputy Director, Development Services Department (DSD), stated that the applicant could speak to the specific water harvesting and recycling features at this specific car wash. He stated that this topic was brought up at the Planning and Zoning Commission regarding water recycling, including how it was done, where they reused it, where they did not, how water was treated, etcetera. He stated that with concerns from the Board regarding water recycling, DSD could address it and be transparent. He stated that they would work with applicants in the future upfront to ensure they provided clear documentation about what they would do, what percentage, how much of the water was recyclable, how it was cleaned, and so forth.

Rory Juneman, Applicant Representative, Lazarus & Silvyn, P.C., explained that new modern car washes were already implementing water saving techniques just because it was a cost to them and they wanted to be as efficient as possible. He stated that one important thing was all the water in any of the newer car washes

was recycled back to the sewer, not wasted, and that it went back into the sewer system where it was treated and recycled in the reclaimed system or went back to the aquifers through that process. He stated that even within their own container system of the car wash, they recycled a good portion of that water. He explained that when a car drove in, they initially got a rinse of clean water, but that water funneled down into a tank where the solids and the water were separated, and then that water was inserted into the system to wash under the car in that second stage. He stated that it was reclaimed water from the system itself, not from the community reclaimed system, but from the actual tank in the car wash unit. He stated there was already a significant amount of water being recycled within that system but at the end, everything went back into the sewer system where it was recycled in the overall water system.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

22. Hearing - Plat Note Modification

Co12-93-11, SABINO ESTATES AT SABINO SPRINGS (LOT 24)

Carlos Rodriguez, represented by Terramar Development, request a plat note modification of restrictive administrative control note No. 20(B) to increase the area of the development envelope from 9,200 square feet to 11,000 square feet on Lot 24 (1.2 acres) of the Sabino Estates at Sabino Springs Subdivision (Bk. 45, Pg. 07), a portion of the Sabino Springs Specific Plan. The subject property is zoned SP (BZ) (Specific Plan - Buffer Overlay Zone) and is located approximately 100 feet south of E. Sabino Estates Drive, approximately two-thirds of a mile east of the intersection of N. Bowes Road and E. Sabino Estates Drive, addressed as 9920 E. Sabino Estates Drive. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 1)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, and approve Co12-93-11.

23. Hearing - Rezoning Resolution

Resolution No. 2026 - 13, Co9-76-46, Gieske - Limberlost Road Rezoning. Owner: George & Kristine Valdez Revocable Trust. (District 4)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, and adopt the Resolution.

24. Hearing - Comprehensive Plan Update Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2026 - 14, P23CA00001, Pima Prospers 2025 - Pima County Comprehensive Plan Update. Owner: N/A. (All Districts)

Supervisor Christy asked if the item was for approval of state law compliance and not an approval of the actual content.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, stated that the Resolution affirmed the action already taken to approve the Pima County Comprehensive Plan update and that it was a ministerial action based on prior approval issued by the Board.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Resolution.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

25. Hearing - Adjusting Pima County's 1979-80 Base Expenditure Limit

Pursuant to A.R.S. §41-563.01, discussion and public comment on adjusting Pima County's 1979-80 Base Expenditure Limit.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, stated that Director Cuaron would provide a brief presentation about the first step, public comment and discussion as they moved this toward the ballot for an amendment to the County's expenditure limit.

Art Cuaron, Director, Finance and Risk Management, provided a slide show presentation and explained that this was the first step of a two-step process which would hopefully culminate in the Board calling for an election during their April 21st meeting. He stated that the presentation was similar to the one provided to the Board at their February 9th retreat and was a high-level overview of what the expenditure limit was and was not, and how Pima County had utilized the expenditure limit dating back to the beginning of 1979-80. He stated that the expenditure limit, at a high level, was a constitutional amendment that was passed by the voters in 1980, to limit the growth of local government, which impacted all counties, community colleges, district cities and towns across Arizona. He reiterated that it limited the growth of expenditures over time. He stated that what it did not do was set or raise property taxes or sales tax. He stated that it did not increase any existing tax, did not authorize the levy of any new tax, or did not eliminate any current tax if voters decided to decline approval of the adjustment. He referred to the slide and pointed out the Fiscal Year (FY) 2026 calculation, which was the 1979-80 base limit expenditures from Pima County, adjusted for population growth and inflation. He stated that FY26 number was \$762 million, the number they were required to remain under. He went over how Pima County utilized this over the most recent 10, 12, 13-year period dating back to 2013. He explained that the black bar on the slide represented the expenditure limit that Pima County must stay within the fiscal year. He stated that the blue bars represented the amount of County expenditures subject to the limitation, and the yellow/gold bars at the top represented the carryover amounts that the County had utilized in prior years to

remain within the limit. He went over the exclusions to the limit, including debt service payments, flood and library district expenditures, expenditures related to all techs and access, and federal and state grant revenue and Intergovernmental revenue were also excluded from the expenditure limit. He stated that in 2024, the County used \$86 million in carryforward funds to remain under the limit and every year it was used they got closer to the actual limit. He went over comparisons to other counties throughout Arizona. He stated that there were a total of 7 or 8 counties that had gone through this process that Pima County would endeavor to go through to permanently raise its base adjustment. He stated that the most recent was Coconino County, which adjusted their base limit in 2024 by about 75% over their base '79-80 limit. He stated that Yavapai and Maricopa adjusted in '24 and '22 and was unsure if Yuma County was also planning to go through the process this fall. He explained what Pima County's future would look like and stated that the black bar on the slide represented the current expenditure limit projection, the dotted line was the projection, the solid line was what it had actually been and the green line represented projected revenues that were expected to come in for Pima County and those given years. He stated that it showed that revenues were outpacing the expenditure limit, which meant the County's ability to spend those revenues would be highly constrained if the voters did not approve the expenditure limit in November. He referred to the slide and stated that the purple dotted bar at the top was a proposed expenditure limit with a base limit adjustment. He stated that this particular scenario was a \$70 million base limit adjustment, but this did not have to be the case. He stated that this was just an illustration for purposes of the presentation, at a 75% limit, the base limit adjustment over base in 1979-80. He stated that this would give the County flexibility moving out to 2045 if they were to proceed and successfully pass this at the November election. He explained that the implications of exceeding the expenditure limit would be constrained to living within the limit. He referred to the slide and stated that for illustration purposes, the County's FY23 expenditure limit was \$654 million and subject expenditures were \$741 million. He stated that in order to get below the expenditure limit, they needed to use that \$87 million carryforward to meet it, otherwise the County would have had to subtract that amount. He stated that if they went over from the following year's levy limit, in FY25, that difference of \$87 million would have had to have been reduced to \$444 million from the \$531 million that was set by the Economic Estimates Commission. He stated that the actual levy limit for '24 was \$461 million comparatively to the \$444 million, which meant they would have had a \$17 million shortfall and had to have reduced expenditures by that amount. He went over the possible options to address this. He stated they could go with a single year override, but it must be for a specified amount and purpose and only effective for a specified fiscal year, which did not help the long-term problem. He indicated that where the County was in the current process was not an option for this year because the window had passed. He stated that the other option was for the current discussion which was the permanent base limit adjustment that permanently raised that expenditure limit over and above the 1979-80 limit that was set years ago. He explained there was no statutory limit on the adjustment, so the Board was free to set that new limit wherever deemed appropriate. He reiterated that it did not raise taxes or provide additional authority to levy taxes and it showed that other counties

had been successful in the past in approving a permanent base limit adjustment for their respective County. He noted that either option required voter approval which would be put to the voters at the November 3rd General Election. He referred to the following slide and explained that the black line and green lines were the same from the prior chart, black was the expenditure limit, green was revenue and the three different colored blue lines. He stated that the baby blue line was a 25% increase, which equated to \$23 million, the darker blue line in the middle was a 50% increase, a \$47 million increase over where they were today. He stated that the top line at the bottom was 75% with the \$70 million and called the Board's attention to where the points intersected. He stated that the baby blue line with 25% meant they would be good until about 2031, 2032, the blue line in the middle meant they would be good until about 2040 and the line at the top did not intersect with the revenue bar, which meant they would be good until 2045. He reiterated that this was something the Board could set and there would be greater dialogue as they moved forward. He went over the timeline, with the first star showing today's public hearing, the 21st, which would be the second public hearing, at which point the Board would need to vote to send this to the General Election at a special meeting and there was an agenda item later in this meeting to discuss that. He stated that Election Day was November 3rd, which would be the key date for voters to vote on this particular item with some dates in between for his team and the Election staff to come together and get the required documentation over to the Auditor General's Office and ready for the voter publicity pamphlet. He stated this was a working timeline for his team, Elections and the Recorder's Office.

Supervisor Cano stated that this proposal was long overdue and it had been 45 years since Pima County had asked the taxpayers to adjust the expenditure limit. He provided an example for the public and stated that if someone opened a bank account in 1980, with only one bank available and they made \$40,000.00 that year and there was a cap deposit of \$100,000.00 over their lifetime and could not go over that annually. He stated that they reached a point, 45 years later, where they made more money, had a family and inherited some money. He stated that the yellow in the graph shown was what they could sometimes have in the bank account above that \$100,000.00 but they kept reaching this constitutionally mandated threshold that did not allow them to get to that amount even though it had been 45 years. He stated that this was the predicament that not only Pima County was in, but community colleges, school districts and other counties. He stated that the proposal before them was a matter of whether or not Pima County had been living within its means or not, and reminded the Board and the public that this Board had not increased the primary property tax for the last two years, and had lived within its means, and what this would do was good fiscal management to be able to ensure that out of all of those 45 years, with population growth that had happened over that time frame, and for them to have still been able to provide the core services, from Transportation, to Wastewater, to Animal Care, Libraries, that cap, whether it was thought of as the \$1.7 billion cap, or the \$100,000.00 example, was a long overdue proposal.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to close the public hearing and approve the item.

* * *

Chair Allen requested a reconsideration of this item and clarified that this was only a hearing, the first of two hearings, and the motion for approval was unnecessary.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to reconsider the item.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was then moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to close the public hearing.

* * *

PROCUREMENT

26. Hearing - Solicitation No. IFB2500027838, Portable Restroom, Rentals and Services

Appeal of Procurement Director's Decision

Pursuant to Pima County Code 11.20.010(H), Elite Waste, d.b.a. Dumpster Guys, appeals the decision by the Procurement Director regarding Solicitation No. IFB2500027838, Portable Restroom, Rentals and Services.

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

Chair Allen closed the public hearing.

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Cano to grant the appeal from the applicant. Upon the vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Christy and Heinz voted, "Nay."

REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION

27. Hearing - Non-Code Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2026 - 3, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to sanitary sewer construction, connections and fees for permits, inspections, and related services provided by the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department under Chapter 13.20 of the Pima County Code.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

28. Board of Supervisors Representative Updates on Boards, Committees and Commissions and Any Other Municipalities

Supervisor Heinz stated that an RTA Board meeting would be held on Thursday, March March 26, 2026.

Chair Allen stated that neither of the two commissions she was on had met since the last meeting.

Supervisor Scott stated that there was a meeting of the Executive Committee of the Chamber of Southern Arizona scheduled for Monday, March 30, 2026. He stated that he was the Board's representative to the Legislative Policy Committee for the County Supervisors Association and they met every Friday to discuss the progress for legislation affecting counting in the House and Senate. He stated that there had not been a lot of discussion regarding the budget, but there had been a lot of back and forth between the governor and legislative leadership on that subject. He stated that the Committee mostly discussed the progress of CSA priorities as they moved through legislature. He stated that House Bill 2429 and Senate Bill 1479 were moving along positively. He expressed gratitude to Supervisor Cano who joined Mr. Rossi last week for a number of meetings with the governor's staff and Southern Arizona delegation members. He stated that there was a possibility of additional funding for summer youth employment and that an impact they would have was due to state cost shift due to there not being any significant progress on the budget. He stated that as April 15th drew closer, which was the state income tax deadline, it became increasingly likely that the state would have to fully conform with the Big Beautiful Bill. He stated that it would have an approximate \$500 million impact on the state budget, leaving no carry forward for the new budget. He stated that in Senate appropriations last week, Majority Leader Kavanagh stated that there would be no new spending this year only cuts. He stated that there would be no tax increases as proposed in the governor's budget, no \$700 million from the federal government and the state was facing multiple other obligations from federal law, lawsuits on education, corrections and health care. He stated that there was material in terms of the formula used to calculate their share for long term care that would be in their favor as they got closer to the resolution of the budget but he did not anticipate any good news other than that small bit of good news regarding the County's share of long term care funding, but he felt they would continue to see an increase in cost shifts that would also affect the other 14 counties.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

29. **Bridge Funding for St. Luke's Home**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding bridge funding for St. Luke's Home. The request is for Pima County to partner with Catholic Community Services to provide bridge funding for the first year of transition in the amount of \$100,000.00 from General Fund Contingency, to ensure that the 53 current residents of St. Luke's Home do not lose housing. (District 1)

Supervisor Scott stated that the facility was not in District 1 but he became aware of it near the end of last year, toured the facility and talked with leadership. He stated that the leadership of St. Luke's Home had a follow up with Catholic Community Services (CCS) and the County Administrator and he expressed his gratitude to Ms. Leshner and her team for their assistance in putting together the memorandum attached to the item. He stated that it was consistent with where they were moving with their overall plan for affordable housing, especially regarding serving vulnerable seniors in the community and that there were 53 residents at the facility that would miss out on their housing if they did not take this step. He stated that there was a plan with CCS who was working to acquire the facility and that CCS had shown an interest in partnering with the County and other jurisdictions in the region to explore the possibility of allocating a defined number of their beds to serve unhoused seniors in need of assisted living or direct care services.

It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to approve the item.

30. **Amended Board of Supervisors Meeting Schedule for April 2026**

Approval of the Board of Supervisors' Amended Meeting Schedule for the month of April 2026, to add a Special Meeting on Tuesday, April 21, 2026, for consideration to refer an adjustment of Pima County's 1979-80 Base Expenditure Limit measure to the ballot at the November 3, 2026, General Election, pursuant to A.R.S. §41-563.01.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

31. **County Administrator Hiring Process**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the County Administrator Hiring Process. (District 3)

Chair Allen stated that the job announcement and the process would be rolled out soon with a public facing web page for the hiring of the County Administrator. She stated that on April 15th, they would have a robust, national process that involved a hiring committee comprised of community leaders, there would be input from employees, and the final decision would be made by the Board of Supervisors. She asked if her colleagues had any comments.

Supervisor Christy stated that he was unsure if his colleagues could hear him, but he could not see or hear anyone in the meeting room.

Supervisor Cano stated that ITD was working on resolving Supervisor Christy's technical issues, and he thanked Chair Allen for outlining a process that the Board could come to consensus on. He stated that while they were unable to convince the County Administrator to renew her contract, he looked forward to beginning a conversation with the community about ways in which they would hire a County Administrator with the public in mind, with core objectives for the new role and in putting forth names from District 5 that would participate on the search committee which would bring them candidate names for the next County Administrator. He looked forward to engaging the public in the process that would help them get to a new chapter in Pima County.

Chair Allen stated that there was more to come on the process.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

32. The Board of Supervisors on March 3, 2026, continued the following:

Recommended Use of Project Blue Land Sale Proceeds

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the recommended use of Project Blue land sale proceeds.

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy that all the proceeds from the Project Blue land sale be allocated to the Pima County Department of Transportation for road repair in unincorporated Pima County dedicated to begin with roads that were rated as very poor or 24 or under, using their own rating system. The motion died for lack of a second.

It was moved by Supervisor Cano and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the County Administrator's recommendations outlined in the March 18, 2026, memorandum. No vote was taken at this time.

It was moved by Chair Allen, to amend the \$275,000.00 allocation within Economic Development from foreign direct investment and shift it into small business retention and expansion. Chair Allen withdrew her motion.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted, "Nay."

33. **Update on County Initiatives to Address Homelessness and Public Safety**

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

34. **Approval of Additional Judges for Superior Court**

RESOLUTION NO. 2026 - 15, of the Board of Supervisors, for a petition to the Governor of the State of Arizona for approval of additional judges for six divisions of the Arizona Superior Court in and for Pima County.

It was moved by Supervisor Cano and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Ron Overholt, Superior Court Administrator, explained that there was a state statute that provided for every 30,000 residents of a County, that County was eligible for a Superior Court Judgeship. He stated they currently had 30 Superior Court Judgeships, and based on Pima County's census population, they would be eligible for six additional Superior Court Judgeships. He explained that the process was that if the Board of Supervisors should decide, they would petition the Governor to create those additional positions, and then the Governor would make those appointments to the Superior Court. He stated they had judicial officer positions called Commissioners that would allow converting them and eliminate those positions through attrition. He stated that if it was determined based on the caseload, that they had too many judges, it would be a problem never before seen, but it could happen, they could downsize on the Commissioner side and upside on the Superior Court Judge side. He stated that the advantage of having Superior Court Judges was they could hear any type of matter, regardless of jurisdiction within the Superior Court. He stated that family, juvenile, criminal, civil, and probate Commissioners were limited to family, juvenile court and probate. He stated they would have more flexibility with Superior Court Judges and the additional advantage to the County was that the state paid for half of a Superior Court Judge position, and the County paid 100% of the Commissioner position, which would actually reduce the cost for judicial positions in the County and that Superior Court supported this effort.

Supervisor Scott stated that the Board received a memorandum from the County Administrator dated March 16, 2026, attached to this item. He read aloud from the memo, *"There are currently 18 Court Commissioners who manage specific caseloads to reduce the workload of judges. Preliminary discussions with the Superior Court Administrator suggest that the number of Commissioners could be reduced through attrition if new judgeships were created and judges appointed."* He stated that supported the item but requested a plan from Mr. Overholt and County Administration as to how they could get that possible reduction in commissioners, because to him, that was one of the most attractive components of this proposal.

Supervisor Cano thanked the County Administrator for working with Superior Court leadership to bring this recommendation to the Board. He stated that for comparison, Maricopa County had 98 Superior Court justices, the largest County and the second largest, Pima County, had 30, and that other counties throughout Arizona over the last several years, had used a similar petition to the Governor to request additional Judgeships, like Yavapai and Mojave. He stated that he firmly believed that this action would help save taxpayer resources and, most importantly, ensured they were able to process cases in a timely manner and that the Superior Court had the resources needed to ensure justice throughout the community. He stated that any person that came to the Board of Supervisors meetings on a Tuesday would see the line outside Superior Court which showed there were a lot of people going into that hearing room, and more Judges would be a good thing so they could ensure justice throughout Pima County.

Supervisor Heinz thanked Supervisor Cano for bringing this item forward, it was a great idea, and he wished he would have thought of it. He stated that it would be good for a variety of reasons, and he had the privilege of speaking with a couple of different Judges in the Superior Court, and they were also very enthusiastic and supportive of this. He stated that his understanding was that most of the Commissioners would be eligible to apply to become a Superior Court Judge, so it might not necessarily be pushing people out the door. He stated that many of them would be eligible and possibly interested in applying for these six spots if the Governor agreed.

Mr. Overholt responded that was correct and whenever there was an opening on the Superior Court for Judgeship, they generally saw some of their Commissioners or Judge Pro Tempores apply for them, and they had been appointed in the past. He stated that could be part of the plan, in terms of how they did it, by matching up. He stated they got Judgeships, but they converted Commissioner positions through attrition and the timing of that and when the Governor appointed the Judgeships and when Commissioners were ready to exit. He stated they would need to work closely with the County Administrator on a plan.

Chair Allen stated that there was a reason for the adage that, justice delayed is justice denied, so as much as they could minimize the delays, that they could extend and protect people's access to justice, the better. She asked whether the resolution needed to be amended to reflect Supervisor Scott's request or if the resolution still stood on its own as presented.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, stated that she believed the resolution stood as presented because it was the petition to the court, to the Governor to create the positions, and they could work on the ministerial components separately.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

CLERK OF THE BOARD

35. Petition for Relief of Taxes

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-11109(E), Husainiya Center of Tucson has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for relief of taxes and associated interest/penalty for tax years 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023 and 2024, for Tax Parcel No. 126-03-1710.

It was moved by Supervisor Cano and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Allen requested that Supervisor Cano clarify his motion since the Assessor's recommendation was to deny the petition for tax year 2018 and to approve tax years 2019 through 2024.

Supervisor Cano withdrew his motion.

It was then moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Assessor's recommendation to deny the petition for tax year 2018 and approve the petition for tax years 2019 through 2024.

36. Petition for Relief of Taxes

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-11109(E), St. Demetrios Greek Orthodox Church has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for relief of taxes and associated interest/penalty for tax years 2023 and 2024, for Tax Parcel No. 111-01-0020.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

37. Proposed Settlement in Delgado Claim

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a proposed settlement in the Delgado Claim.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Cano and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve as discussed in Executive Session.

38. Settlement Recommendation in Marshall Foundation v. Pima County

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a settlement recommendation in Marshall Foundation v. Pima County (TX2022-000024; TX2022-000356; TX2023-000169; TX2024-000393; and TX2025-000410).

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve the settlement as recommended.

39. **Participation in Opioid Distributor/Dispenser Remnant Defendants Settlement Agreement**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the County's participation in the six Opioid Distributor/Dispenser Remnant Defendants Settlement Agreement.

It was moved by Chair Allen and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Allen asked if this was a one-time distribution.

Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

ELECTIONS

40. **Canvass**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-642(A), canvass of the election results for the March 10, 2026 Special Election.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to canvass the election.

Supervisor Cano thanked the Elections and Records team for their diligent work and the many hours they put into a successful election. He also expressed his appreciation to the voters who participated in the election.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

41. **Fiscal Year (FY) 2026/27 Capital Improvement Program Budget Development Overview**

Discussion/Direction/Action: Review of the Capital Improvement Program requests and overall budget development for the FY2026/27 Budget.

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

42. **Quarterly Report on Collections**

Staff recommends acceptance of the Quarterly Report on Collections for the period ending December 31, 2025.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Cano and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

43. **Use of General Fund Reserve**

Staff recommends authorization of \$874,974.34 from the General Fund Reserve budget authority and cash be allocated to United Way Worldwide, to issue payment of obligation for reimbursement of EFSP-HR22 grant funds and for the Finance and Risk Management Department to complete any associated accounting entries, including operating transfers, to close out the activity on the grant.

It was moved by Chair Allen and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott noted that in the Agenda Item Report the second bullet stated that \$328,774.12 was deemed unallowable due to the subrecipient, Catholic Community Services (CCS), not adhering to required procurement methods under the award. He questioned if the County had the ability and intent to seek any kind of compensation from CCS.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that at this point, they did not. She stated that this item was regarding the procurement of laundry services and it had previously been discussed with the Board. She stated that the County had not paid CCS for this piece and asked for confirmation from the Finance Director.

Art Cuaron, Director, Finance and Risk Management, clarified that the \$328,000.00 was for VetSec. He stated that the Amado laundry was under a different grant, however he noted that Ms. Leshner's comments still rang true because at this point, based on conversations he had, there did not appear to be an appetite to go back to CCS and request those dollars.

Ms. Leshner stated that the security services that were provided were necessary and appropriate. She stated that they had not been allowable but during that time it was critical to have security services made available on Drexel.

Chair Allen asked if the County had exhausted any options for remediating what was found to be an error or unallowable and if there was any more that could be done.

Mr. Cuaron stated that there were numerous instances during approximately 18 months between his team and United Way Worldwide that included multiple iterations of submitting receipts and documentation to try and prove that these

expenses were allowable, and that this was the finality. He stated that he did not believe there was any more that Pima County could do in terms of seeking remediation from the funder.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

FLEET SERVICES

44. Annual Vehicle Exemptions

In accordance with A.R.S. §38-538.03, staff requests the Board of Supervisors authorize the annual vehicle exemptions for 2026.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

GRANTS MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION

45. Gila River Indian Community State-Shared Revenue Program Funds

RESOLUTION NO. 2026 - 16, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve acceptance of Gila River Indian Community State-Shared Revenue Program funds and pass-through to the entity in Pima County selected by the Gila River Indian Community.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

46. Tohono O’odham Nation State-Shared Revenue Program Funds

RESOLUTION NO. 2026 - 17, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve acceptance of Tohono O’odham Nation State-Shared Revenue Program funds and pass-through to the entities in Pima County selected by the Tohono O’odham Nation.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Resolution.

HUMAN RESOURCES

47. The Board of Supervisors on February 17, 2026, continued the following:

Classification/Compensation

The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department from within its current budget:

Class Code/ Class Title/ Pay Grade (Salary Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

6115/ Division Director, Justice Court/ 18 (\$93,392.00-\$140,067.20)/ 1/ E**

**E = Exempt (not paid overtime)

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

48. Classification/Compensation

The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classifications, associated costs will be borne by the user department (Clerk of the Board's Office) from within its current budget:

Class Code/ Class Title/ Pay Grade (Salary Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

2119/ Clerk of the Board Administrative Services Manager/ 14 (\$63,544.00-\$88,961.60)/ 2/ E**

2120/ Public Records Manager/ 14 (\$63,544.00-\$88,961.60)/ 2/ E**

6121/ Clerk of the Board Coordinator/ 11 (\$54,891.20-\$76,856.00)/ 2/ E**

6122/ Clerk of the Board Specialist/ 7 (\$46,134.40-\$62,233.60)/ 6/ NE*

**E = Exempt (not paid overtime)

*NE = Non-Exempt (paid overtime)

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Cano stated that these were much needed investments in the Clerk's Office.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

49. Classification/Compensation

The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department (Attractions and Tourism) from within its current budget:

Class Code/ Class Title/ Pay Grade (Salary Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

6117/ Attractions and Tourism Specialist/ 8 (\$48,422.40-\$65,395.20)/ 6/ NE*

*NE = Non Exempt (paid overtime)

It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

50. **Classification/Compensation**

The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department from within its current budget:

Class Code/ Class Title/ Pay Grade (Salary Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

2126/ Intergovernmental Affairs Manager/ 18 (\$93,392.00-\$140,067.20)/ 1/E**

**E = Exempt (not paid overtime)

It was moved by Chair Allen and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy stated that during his 10 years on this Board their legislative lobbyist had never had any problems dealing with issues that were in his contract and he did not see the need for a new position to be established at that salary when they would act as an unneeded go between Mr. Rossi and this Board. He stated that he would be voting against this item.

Supervisor Scott requested confirmation from the County Administrator that Mr. Rossi was one of the strongest advocates for this position.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, stated that Mr. Rossi was in favor of the item and he had input in writing the job description. She stated that they had been discussing how they would all be working together if the position was created.

Supervisor Cano stated that he predated Supervisor Christy's hire date and that when he worked for Supervisor Elias, they had a position in the County Administrator's Office that had overseen intergovernmental affairs but had lost the person to a horrible injury. He stated that this would bring back the roles and duties to a position and title that every jurisdiction in the State of Arizona had. He stated that when the County was able to have more people power to be their voice in DC and at the State Capitol, they were able to bring more resources back. He stated that they would be able to work hand in hand with their legislative partners and with the executive at the state level, and that he saw this as an opportunity for the County to have a greater ability to advocate for Pima County taxpayers and residents.

Supervisor Heinz echoed Supervisor Cano's comments. He stated that he met four Intergovernmental Affairs people from Maricopa County when he attended the CSA meeting in Yuma, and he believed Pima County needed at least one. He expressed his support for the item.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

51. Renaming César Chávez Holiday and Potential Removal of Name/Image on County Assets

Discussion/Direction/Action: Directing the County Administrator to identify all Administrative Procedures, Personnel and/or Board Policies to rename the County's floating César Chávez Holiday. Additionally, the County Administrator shall develop a plan with County Departments to identify all County assets (signage, public art, etc.) that bear Mr. Chávez's name or image for removal. (District 5)

Supervisor Cano stated that with the previous week's news brought forth by The New York Times, he knew that the community was devastated and hearts were broken, and that today they lifted up the names of Dolores Huerta, Ana Munguia and Debra Rojas and said their names because too many survivors of sexual abuse had been expected to carry pain and silence. He stated that silence could not be the answer and that was why Pima County must speak out. He stated that the pain Mr. Chávez caused these three women was disgraceful and inexcusable, and the community was grieving. He stated that the fight for farm workers' dignity and social justice was rooted in the southwest, and Arizona was part of that struggle. He stated that agriculture remained an important part of the state's economy, and Arizona farm workers, many of them immigrants, continued the hard work of feeding families and sustaining communities across the country. He stated that they could be proud of the farm worker movement and continue that fight for justice, and they could honor that movement while also telling the truth. He stated that the reality was that Pima County should not continue honoring Mr. Chávez when so much harm had been brought to light. He stated that with approval of this item, the Board would send a message to move forward with the next steps to rename the floating César Chávez County holiday, begin the process of identifying and removing County assets that bore Mr. Chávez's name or image, and return to this Board with recommendations as needed. He stated that this was a time for healing, and it was especially a time for men to come out as allies in this fight against silence, and against this perpetuation of saying nothing in too many communities when sexual abuse happens and so, with deep regret, his 5 office brought this item forward, and he asked for the Board's consideration of approval of the item.

It was moved by Supervisor Cano and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Allen thanked Supervisor Cano for bringing this item forward and stated that it was a difficult time, was disturbing, unsettling, and that the ground rug had been pulled out underneath them throughout the community. She stated that sexual abuse and sexual harassment should not be tolerated, whether it was from the White House, to Wall Street, to social movements. She stated that this was a powerful reminder that movements, in fact, the gains of the farm workers were not done through one person, they always involved more than one person. She stated that the strength and the resilience of women that continued to lead and have led

that movement, spoke in attest to the strength that was there of survivors of sexual harassment and sexual assault. She expressed her support for the item.

Supervisor Scott also thanked his colleague from District 5 for bringing this item up, because he knew that it had to have been painful for him to do so, but the Board owed it to the victims in this situation, one of whom felt that she had to be silent for 60 years. He stated that they owed it to them to honor, not only their pain and their victimhood, but also to send the right message to future generations that Pima County was not going to stand by and tolerate this kind of behavior, no matter how long it took for it to come to light, and he proudly support the item.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

52. Issues in the Pima County Sheriff's Department

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding recently reported issues concerning Sheriff Nanos' work history and apparent related false statements, and related personnel, management, internal affairs investigative, or other issues in the department. (District 2)

Supervisor Heinz stated that apparently when the Sheriff moved from El Paso, Texas to Pima County, he was not completely straightforward with the process and thanks to the Arizona Republic, they had seen a considerable amount of material, a particularly concerning work history was omitted. He stated that someone could not lie on job applications just like someone could not lie under oath as a physician, nurses, all the folks that he worked with and could not make up credentials. He stated that what really affected him most about this was that the Sheriff's history in Pima County for 42 years seemed to be based on fraud. He stated that he felt very strongly about this at a time when trust in government entities, officials in particular, was at an all-time low. He stated that having this kind of information come out about the highest-ranking law enforcement official for the largest law enforcement agency in southern Arizona was incredibly troubling and very serious. He stated that he was not entirely clear on how that happened but from what he could tell from the two applications he had received from his public records request, information with regard to the adverse history was omitted by the Sheriff at the time that he applied for a position 42 years ago. He stated that the history in question included in the course of five years, eight suspensions, one for 15 days for excessive use of force that landed somebody in the intensive care unit that was beaten by the Sheriff while handcuffed, with his flashlight, and also got the El Paso Police Department sued. He stated that he brought this up because all of the County's peace officers had to be post certified, except for elected Sheriffs and Constables. He stated that this meant, for whatever reason, the application process happened many years ago, he got hired and somehow received his Arizona post certification. He stated that he did not know how that could have possibly happened if everyone was being truthful, so there was this very disturbing arc. He stated that there was evidence of falsification or lies of omission, however it happened 40 plus years ago, and then a multi-decade long career in Pima County. He stated that last December, the Sheriff, while

in a sworn deposition, also appeared to have testified falsely regarding his work history and it was something that law enforcement could not do. He stated that someone could lie on a job application and commit fraud, there would be consequences for that. He added that it was especially important to hold elected officials, and especially law enforcement, to the highest of standards. He stated that he was concerned about how they could continue in his role leading 1,500 hardworking men and women of the Pima County Sheriff's Department that were post certified and did not lie on a job application. He stated that looking at the history, he was not all that surprised when he found out because of his own witnessed experience working with the Sheriff and his management of the department. He provided an example, such as the Racketeer Influenced and Corrupt Organizations Investigation that did not result in any charges being filed, but almost did in 2015, 2016. He stated that right after taking office some of the per capita, inexplicably high jail death rates for three of those first years. He stated that every year had a budget overrun, although perhaps not for this year. He stated that this Board had referred the Sheriff for investigation to the Attorney General for rape investigation concerns and a possible election interference in '24. He stated that he had attached material to the item to make it really clear the things that happened and those things had consequences and at least three civil lawsuits as a result of those actions. He stated that this was about accountability and preventing further erosion of public trust in the County government and in County law enforcement. He stated that it was not fair that bad decisions by one individual should reflect poorly on the department, in the government and at Pima County. He stated that this was the reason he brought this forward for discussion and was happy to hear input from his colleagues.

It was moved by Chair Allen and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to proceed as discussed in Executive Session, and direct Outside Counsel to draft language, to request reports from the Sheriff aligned with A.R.S. §11-253(A), and for Outside Counsel to return to the Board with that at the April 7, 2026, Board of Supervisors' Meeting. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Allen read what the statute meant for clarification, "A.R.S. §11-253(A), *Reports and bonds of county officers, Section A, the Board may require any County officer to make reports under oath on any matter connected with the duties of his office, and may require the officer to give such bonds or further bonds, as may be necessary for the faithful performance of his respective duties. Any officer who neglects or refuses to make the report, or to give the bond within ten days after being so required, may be removed from the office by the board and the office declared vacant. The Board may then fill the vacancy.*"

Chair Allen asked if Supervisor Christy was connected.

Supervisor Christy stated that his video was not working, but his audio was connected and he could hear his colleagues. He requested that the motion be repeated.

Chair Allen repeated the motion, to proceed as discussed in Executive Session, and direct Outside Counsel to draft language, to request reports from the Sheriff aligned with A.R.S. §11-253(A), and for Outside Counsel to return to the Board with that at the April 7, 2026, Board of Supervisors' Meeting. She clarified that Outside Counsel would return to the Board on April 7, 2026, and that there would be an Executive Session item that corresponded with this motion.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

53. 2026 Regional Transportation Authority Special Election

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding Pima County's first all-mail, countywide election with Pima County's Recorder and Elections Director, and/or designees, to include, but not limited to, the compilation of After-Action Reports by both offices for the 2026 RTA Special Election addressing the new voting system implemented, as well as the deployment of the "Votemobile" during the special election period. (District 4)

Supervisor Christy thanked both the Recorder and the Elections Director for being present and he was sure that after the election and all that it entailed, they were probably breathing deeper and the Election's Director was sleeping easier. He thanked Director Hargrove for reaching out to his office at the beginning of the month and was very proactive when at the last meeting he had requested that both the Recorder and the Elections Director generate an after-action report on the special election, and had asked him what type of information he was interested in, whether it statistically related to ballot processing or was more of an overall explanation of events with ballot information. He stated that she let him know she could include the Board of Supervisors approval to call the election, the intergovernmental agreement and statutory requirements, the cost of the election and authorized fees, and a few years of ballot processing comparisons. He appreciated all of that information and that it would be a very comprehensive after-action report and there was a memorandum provided regarding ballot proofing and call center functioning. He asked if it could include an analysis of those items as well. He stated that in relation, to the Recorder, as they looked at the canvass and tallying things up, there were some areas that he was interested in for their after-action report to include and address. He stated that they could start with a breakdown of the number of ballots received by mail, the number of ballots received at each early voting site, the number of ballots received by the votemobile, a trend analysis of total amount of ballots returned "unvoted," and the number of days the observers were allowed, and at what locations. He stated those breakdowns would be much appreciated and very insightful. He also requested whether the Recorder could include information regarding the votemobile and her thoughts, or the thoughts of staff, of votemobile opportunities as they were assessed for improvement and an in-depth report of its intended use in the future including its functionality, equipment, and staffing, and further utilization. He asked both the Recorder's Office and the Elections Director if they could determine how soon these after-action reports could be compiled.

Constance Hargrove, Director, Elections Department, stated that a month was sufficient.

Marion Chubon, Chief Deputy Recorder, stated that the information could be provided within a month.

Supervisor Heinz acknowledged Mayor Winfield, the immediate past Chair of the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) Board, who was present, as well as Michael Ortega. He stated that under their leadership they got the RTA to the ballot and that it was successfully approved by the voters. He also thanked County Administrator Leshner, the entire Technical Management Committee, Kathryn Skinner, and many others.

Chair Allen echoed the comments made by Supervisor Heinz, thanked those for being present, and the election's leadership and their teams, and more importantly, for their work in running effective elections.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

54. Conflict of Interest Waiver

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding Womble Bond Dickinson (US) L.L.P.'s request for a conflict of interest waiver.

It was moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Christy was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

55. Proposed Host Approval Pursuant to §147(f) of the IRS Code in Connection with the Senior Dreams Project

RESOLUTION NO. 2026 - 18, of the Board of Supervisors, approving the issuance of Revenue Bonds (Senior Dreams Project) in one or more tax-exempt and/or taxable series or subseries in an aggregate principal amount not in excess of \$275,000,000.00.

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked if this was going to be an additional Loop amenity that the Board had been advised about some years ago. He indicated that the property was in Pima County, yet it was City of Tucson bonds and questioned how that worked.

Supervisor Scott stated that there would be an amenity that would serve beverages and refreshments on the Loop right around River and Craycroft, south of the project that was being financed through those bonds. He stated that although action was being taken by both City of Tucson IDA and Pima County IDA, the Board's action was primarily ministerial.

Supervisor Christy asked if the project would be considered affordable housing or subsidized housing.

Supervisor Scott responded no, it was a senior living project but was not considered an affordable housing project. He stated that it was the Endeavor Project that the Board had approved in 2021, that was located south of the intersection of River and Craycroft, adjacent to some County land.

Supervisor Christy asked if it was market rate driven.

Supervisor Scott responded in the affirmative.

Luis Ochoa, Bond Counsel for the Borrower, stated that the City of Tucson IDA had already approved the bond package and it had also been approved by the City of Tucson. He stated that the County's role was to be a host approval because the property was located outside of the City of Tucson and in the County. He stated that it was a legal requirement under the federal tax code that the host County had to approve the bonds as well.

Supervisor Scott asked if it was appropriate to characterize the Board's action as primarily ministerial.

Mr. Ochoa responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-0, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote.

CONSENT CALENDAR

56. Approval of the Consent Calendar

Supervisor Heinz rescinded his request to pull Consent Calendar Item No. 27.

At the request of Supervisor Christy to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 2, 14, 16 and 18 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Chair Allen, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

2. Compass Affordable Housing, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Pima County Countywide Rapid Rehousing Program and amend contractual language, ADOH - State Housing Trust Fund Program, contract amount \$225,000.00 (PO2400015971)

It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 3-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," and Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

Real Property

14. Spire Development, Inc., to provide a Ground Lease Option Agreement and Affordable Housing Restrictive Covenant for approximately 2.08 acres of County-owned land located at the southeast corner of East Drexel Road and South Bonney Avenue for the development of a multi-family affordable housing project known as Drexel Commons, term date 3/24/2026 to 2/28/2103, contract amount \$975.00 revenue (CT2600000018)

It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 3-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," and Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

16. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
City of Tucson, to provide for the Tucson House - Supportive Services - Workforce Development, \$600,000.00 (G-CWD-90405)

It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 3-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," and Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

18. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Department of Economic Security, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Community Action Services Program, for the following:

Project/Grant Amount/Grant No.

LIHEAP/\$411,051.14 /GA-CWD-82532

STCS/TANF/ - /GA-CWD-82533

CSBG/\$699,093.00/GA-CWD-82534

NHN/ - /GA-CWD-93453

Eviction Prevention Program/ - /GA-CWD-103987

It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 3-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," and Supervisor Scott was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

1. Department of Veteran's Affairs, Amendment No. 4, to provide a Memorandum of Understanding for co-location of services at Kino Veterans' Workforce Center, extend contract term to 3/31/27, amend contractual language and scope of services, no cost (SC2500000020)
2. Compass Affordable Housing, Inc., Amendment No. 2. (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Elections

3. Town of Marana, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for election services, term date 3/24/26 to 12/31/29, contract amount \$580,000.00 revenue (CT2600000015)
4. Town of Sahuarita, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for election services, term date 3/24/26 to 12/31/29, contract amount \$335,000.00 revenue (CT2600000016)

Health

5. LexisNexis VitalChek Network, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for ordering of vital records, extend contract term to 1/31/27 and amend contractual language, contract amount \$1,600,000.00 revenue (CTN-HD-23-110)
6. Arizona Superior Court in Pima County, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Supportive Treatment and Engagement Programs Peer Support Specialist, extend contract term to 12/19/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (SC2400000671)

Pima County Wireless Integrated Network

7. The State of Arizona, Department of Public Safety (AZDPS), to provide an intergovernmental agreement and four supplemental site specific agreements (Keystone, Oatman, Tucson (2)) for the use of AZDPS radio

tower sites for the PCWIN public safety radio communications system, 20260FD-11062CC Fund, contract amount \$90,000.00 (PO2600004523)

Procurement

8. Award

Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2400001200, Amendment No. 5, Tessco Incorporated, to provide for land mobile radio system management supplies and equipment. This amendment extends the contract commencing on 4/1/26 and terminating on 9/30/26. No additional funds are required at this time. The bids submitted for the replacement solicitation IFB-250026149 were deemed nonresponsive. This extension will maintain coverage while a new solicitation is conducted. Administering Department: Pima County Wireless Integrated Network.

9. Award

Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2400002231, Amendment No. 5, Intermountain Lock and Security Supply, to provide for locksmith supplies. This amendment increases the annual award amount by \$200,000.00 from \$250,000.00 to \$450,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$654,000.00. This increase is necessary to restore funding to an appropriate level to ensure the continued provision of locksmith supplies for the Pima County Adult Detention Center and the Juvenile Detention Center. The funding shortfall resulted from the replacement of locks and keys across all PR properties to address security risks associated with an outdated system. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

10. Award

Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2600000033, Spaans Babcock, Inc., to provide for Spaans Babcock screw pumps, parts and service. This supplier contract is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of \$500,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: Regional Wastewater Reclamation Fund. Administering Department: Regional Wastewater Reclamation.

11. Award

Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2400001885, Amendment No. 4, Refrigeration Supplies Distributor, Group 1 - HVAC Parts and Supplies, Group 2 - Refrigerants, to provide for HVAC supplies and refrigerants. This amendment increases the annual award amount by \$100,000.00 from \$250,000.00 to \$350,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$600,000.00. This increase is driven by higher-than-average HVAC demands and critical repairs. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

12. Technical Building Services, Inc., to provide for Pima County Juvenile Courts Complex - chiller replacement, FM Capital Projects Fund, contract amount \$844,616.00 (PO2600006313) Administering Department: Facilities Management
13. Bank of America N.A., Amendment No. 4, to provide for banking services, extend contract term to 6/30/27 and amend contractual language, no cost (SC2400001048) Administering Department: Treasurer's Office

Real Property

14. Spire Development, Inc. (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Transportation

15. San Xavier District of Tohono O'odham Nation, Amendment No. 2, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for roadway maintenance and amend contractual language, no cost (SC2500000626)

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

16. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
City of Tucson. (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
17. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Department of Housing (ADOH), to provide for the Weatherization Assistance Program (WAP), for the following:

Project/Grant Amount/Grant No.
ADOH WAP/\$148,726.00/G-CWD-82524
LIHEAP/\$191,819.00 /G-CWD-82514
18. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Department of Economic Security, Amendment No. 2. (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
19. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
United States Department of Agriculture (USDA) Rural Development, to provide for USDA, Rural Development, Rural Housing Service, Section 533 Housing Preservation Grant FY2025, \$75,727.00 (G-CWD-94833)
20. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Community Action Association, d.b.a. Wildfire, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the 2025-26 Utility Assistance Programs, \$30,000.00 (GA-CWD-82495)

21. **Acceptance - Constables**
Arizona Constable Ethics Standards and Training Board (CESTB), to provide for CESTB Equipment Grant Program - Firearms Ammunition, term date 3/24/26 to 6/30/26, \$11,379.55 (G-CO-103548)
22. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Nurse Family Partnership and amend grant language, \$198,852.00 (GA-HD-72085)

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

23. **Corrections Officer Retirement Board**
- Reelection of Sergeant Raymond Duarte. Term expiration: 12/31/29. (Board recommendation)
 - Election of Sergeant Jeffrey Lopez, to replace Tony Kelly. Term expiration: 12/31/29. (Board recommendation)
24. **Tucson-Pima County Bicycle Advisory Committee**
Appointment of Summer Dawn Cope, to fill a vacancy created by Douglas Horn. Term expiration: 3/23/28. (Committee recommendation)
25. **Board of Adjustment, District 5**
Appointment of Serena Huaraque, to fill a vacancy created by Juan Villegas. Term expiration: 8/31/30.
26. **Community Action Agency Board**
Correction to term expiration: John Vasquez Bedoy, term expiration: ~~12/31/28~~ **12/31/26**.

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

27. **Special Event**
- John Walter Kenning, Santa Catalina Catholic Church, Santa Catalina Catholic Church Hall, 14380 N. Oracle Road, Tucson, March 14, 2026.
 - Alejandro Torres, Corpus Christi Catholic Church, 300 N. Tanque Verde Loop Road, Tucson, March 14 and 27, 2026.
 - Julie Wolfe Beadle, Literacy Connects, The Westin La Paloma, 3800 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, April 17, 2026.
 - Marcy Simpson Euler, Pima Community College Foundation, Solana Guest Ranch, 2200 N. Kimberlee Road, Tucson, April 18, 2026.
28. **Temporary Extension**
012100012055, Kevin Arnold Kramber, Barnfire Mesquite Grill, 8310 N. Thornydale Road, No. 180, Tucson, May 2, 2026.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

29. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

Aftermath Services, L.L.C. \$3,720.60; Julian A. Lacarra Chavez \$4,000.00; Ingram Library Services, L.L.C. \$4,749.32; Ingram Library Services, L.L.C. \$3,939.34; Xiaoxiao Liu \$3,985.24; PPG Architectural Finishes \$6,090.66; EAN Services, L.L.C. \$295.69; Waste Management of Arizona, Inc., as Payment Agent \$2,812.10; Sellers & Sons, Inc. \$46,491.00; Batteries Plus \$93.40; McKesson Medical Surgical Government \$30,137.54; Lowe's Home Centers, L.L.C. \$140.40; Lowe's Home Centers, L.L.C. \$662.09; Off Duty Management, Inc. \$1,873.20; Mary Scanlan \$300.00; Portable Planetarium Presentation \$290.00; Valencia Garden Apartments \$1,740.00; USDA Rural Development \$1,478.76; Joseph Doranski \$150.00; Eric Nixon \$215.00; DMT Clear Gas Solutions, L.L.C. \$8,178.69; DMT Clear Gas Solutions, L.L.C. \$11,340.00; Richard M. Aguayo \$800.00; Richard M. Aguayo \$1,050.00.

TREASURER

30. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

Vallee Kathryn Revoc Trust \$2,623.03

31. Certificate of Removal and Abatement - Certificate of Clearance

Staff requests approval of the Certificates of Removal and Abatement/Certificates of Clearance in the amount of \$211,941.46.

32. Request to Waive Interest

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-18053, staff requests approval of the Submission of Request to Waive Interest Due to Mortgage Satisfaction in the amount of \$531.48.

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

33. Minutes: January 6, 2026 and February 9, 2026

* * *

57. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 5:27 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

19. Hearing - Liquor License

Job No. 380359, Kevin Arnold Kramber, Solana Guest Ranch & Spanish Villas, 2200 N. Kimberlee Road, Tucson, Series 11, Hotel/Motel, New License.

Verbatim

JA: Chair Allen
RS: Supervisor Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
JL: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
JD: Thomas Drzazgowski, Director, Pima County Development Services
MM: Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board

Speakers

JHN: John Anderson, Constituent
CR: Chris Ribeau, Constituent
RB: Robert Burr, Constituent

JA: Item No. 17, so 17 is a hearing and we have two speakers, oh, alright, we got five speakers. So, this is Item 17, it is a hearing for liquor license for Solana Guest Ranch and Spanish Villas. We have got two telephonic speakers. Oh, they are no longer on the line. Okay, so no Vito. Then we have three speakers for the hearing. Chris Ribeau, who will be followed by John Anderson and followed by Robert Barr. If you wanted to speak again, if you would. Oh, happy to hear whichever order, but just identify yourself. Thank you.

JHN: Thank you Board. Good morning, my name is John Anderson. I represent Christina Brooks, who is the neighbor to the south of the Solana Guest Ranch, and as I was mentioning earlier, they have been operating for about a little over a year under the premise that they are non-conforming use, that it is allowed to operate a guest ranch. They had sent a letter to the County code enforcement in August of last year saying, hey, we think that we are non-conforming use. It has been in continuous use as a guest ranch since the initial conditional use was given all the way up until 2023. There is...

JA: I am sorry, can you direct the mic up more towards you? Alright. I am sorry. Yes. I know it needs an extender for height.

JHN: So essentially they were told that oh yes, you are grandfathered in with this conditional use. However the statute or excuse me, the ordinance is very clear that that conditional use elapses and you must apply again if that non-conforming use is

not used on the property for a year or more. And we have provided evidence, we did send an email a few days ago to code enforcement showing that this property has been not used at all for periods longer than a year in the last 20 years. Initially, there is a conditional use permit granted in '92. It was supposed to be for a small reformation school that was supposed to be ten students. The conditional use was additionally granted within this rural residential area because it was going to have minimal impact on the area surrounding it. Since then, it has gone through many periods where it has not had any non-conforming use whatsoever, and so that conditional permit has lapsed. Instead, the current owners of the property are now running a wedding venue that they have events that are very loud that can be heard. They violate the Pima County ordinance for noise because you can hear it. And I said 125 yards earlier, but it is actually 125 feet. You can hear within people's homes, more than 125 feet from that property line. It is a violation of the noise ordinance. There is a violation of the light pollution ordinances, and that they have lights that are angled up. They have to be angled 45 degrees down. There is an entire eucalyptus tree that is ten's feet high, it is very large. It is completely illuminated at night. It can be seen from anywhere in the neighborhood. There is also the issue of the water being drained out of this. And this is all being done under the guise of, oh, they had approval from zoning, but they do not actually have the zoning approval that they claim they did. We are asking the Board of Supervisors to deny the liquor license for this location, because we are going to be reaching out. We are going to be investigating more. We will be approaching code enforcement with these violations. And to exacerbate the current issues, by also allowing this place to have a liquor license would be absolutely detrimental to this community. It is a small, dark, low light community out east of Tucson. It has been a very serene community up until about a year, a little bit more than a year ago, we would ask that the liquor license be denied here.

JA: Thank you. We have got two more speakers, Robert Barr and Chris Ribeau.

CR: I am Chris Ribeau and everything he said is correct. And you know, with the lighting going up the trees they are putting beams of light straight up the tree. So the whole thing is lit and there is like a family of hawks that have been living in that tree for many, many years. And, you know, we would photograph them flying in and out and around the area, seeing the babies and stuff and they seem to be going away. A lot of the birds on that property with the removal of several trees have gone away. And now the birds seem to be flocking more towards my property and the property on the other side of me, because they are just clearing everything and it is a mesquite tree bosque and you are not supposed to be cutting down all the trees. We have trees that are over 200 years old. Most of your trees in Tucson as far as mesquites, you are lucky if they are 15, 20 feet tall. I got 40, 50 foot trees on my property, and with the drainage of the water, the way they are sucking it down for their koi pond, it is, these trees are going to start dying and we are going to lose a mesquite bosque that has been there for a very long time. And the road issues, they want to park cars not only in the parking lot that they cleared right next to my house, they also want to park them out in the street and it is like a one lane road. You could barely get up and down when a car comes the other way, one person has to pull off to the side to

let the other car go by. It is just, he should not be there and everything that he is doing, he keeps amplifying and building it up faster and faster and faster. Every time you go on his website, he is doing more and more, and he has even talking about doing Sunday night barbecues, just every chance he has, he just wants to milk it for all he can, and he has no concern for the neighbors, the night skies. I cannot see stars anymore. It is just everything is lit up. And when he is having these parties, it is noisy. Thank you.

JA: Thank you. Robert Barr.

RB: Thank you again for letting me speak, and I hate to beat a dead horse, and you have already heard quite a bit, but I want to pull at your heart a little bit and kind of tug on that as a resident of Pima County, as property owners like you probably are and family. And you know, if they are telling the County it is a drainage reservoir, but it is already filled with fish, it is not a drainage reservoir. It is a lie. Right? It is a trick. It is trying to manipulate the system. If they are saying that it was always a guest ranch, but I have lived there for ten years. I know it is been vacant most of that time. I know they were growing some sort of plant there at some point before that. I know it has not been a guest ranch, so if they are using that as an excuse, it is a trick. It is a lie, and it is trying to manipulate. And I get it I am a business guy. I am not trying to prevent business or entrepreneurship, but this is a residential area. And as I mentioned earlier, the street is narrow. Kids play on that street. They go up and down the street. How are we going to drive in and out of the area? And you know, everything that you can imagine as a family living in a house with cars flying back and forth, and potentially people who are drinking, nobody wants that, nobody would look at that. And I think if you lived there, you drove there, you would be like, I do not, I would not want this if I lived on this part of the street either. Right? We bought houses there to raise our families. As I mentioned, I have 12, two have already moved down. I have ten that are there now, and we intend on living there until the three-year-old moves out too. Again, I am not trying to go against entrepreneurship, but this was a bad move on their part. And they are doing it by manipulating the system and kind of tricking it. At least that is the way it appears to me on the environmental side, absolutely. As I mentioned earlier, my wife was in the shower last week when it turned off, and then it waited a while and then turned back on. We can see the trees starting to suffer. It is obvious when you live in an area where there is a lot of trees, which is pretty amazing where we live, right? I mean, Tucson does not normally have the bosky area like we have, but it also means we can quickly see change. I can see that the owl that we used to see once or twice a week, all of a sudden we are not seeing the owl flying around. We are not seeing the same birds that have been there in the past. So I just, I do not know why they even built this business there. It does not make sense to me putting a place with a liquor license in the middle of a residential area, nobody would ever think that that was okay. I do not know why they tried. It just does not seem to make sense to me. So I again, I just encourage that you guys look at, you know, consider, no, consider studies that get to the truth. Thank you.

JA: Thank you. Are there any other speakers for the item? So, with that I will close the hearing.

SC: Madam Chair?

JA: Supervisor Christy, please.

SC: I am sorry. Go ahead with what you were closing.

JA: No, just closing the item. Go right ahead. Good timing.

SC: For purposes of discussion, I would like to move the item.

MH: For discussion, second.

JA: Seconded by Supervisor Heinz. Discussion?

SC: Thank you, Madam Chair. I am listening to the individual speaking and I am concerned and in sort of wonderment, why a liquor license would trigger all of these Development Services Department complaints that we have never heard about. At this point I would like to ask a representative from Development Services what the liquor license in all of it entails in the whole process of developing this business. I know there are a lot of ramifications that you have to comply with in order to obtain a liquor license, and I think it would be helpful if we have an assessment and a report from Development Services.

JL: Thank you, Madam Chair. Mr. Drzazkowski is here and can speak to some of the zoning issues related to this property.

TD: Good morning, Chair Allen, Supervisor Christy, complicated requests here. The property owner did go through our office for a legal non-conforming use on the property. There is a comprehensive track record of commercial uses out there, including the guest ranch use that was approved in August of last year 2025. Since that time, I have not been out to the site, but I have heard, you know, what we have heard today is that there has been some work done. A check of permits right here at my desk shows no permits have been approved for. In responding to some of the comments that we have heard from the neighbors today, the lights and things like that, those are codified requirements that have to be met. Permits have to be secured so we can investigate some of those. I can take that back to my team at development services and work closely with code enforcement to investigate those. The liquor license is for the hotel use, which is the most similar type of use compared to what a guest ranch was approved for back in the day. Those were the guest ranches, Tanque Verde Guest Ranch, things like that. The thing that is unique in this case wedding businesses, things like that, that is not allowed as part of this. It is a guest ranch use and so the uses are only meant for the people who are at the guest ranch. I will conclude my comments there. Be happy to answer any additional questions the Board has.

SC: Madam Chair?

JA: Supervisor Christy.

SC: At this point there seems to be some up-ended issues that are not dealt with or have not been dealt with that need to be dealt with. There also seems to me that on the part of the property owners and the business owners, that the best thing they can possibly do in making sure that in addition to them being compliant with all permitting and regulations, that they do some community outreach. I have not heard any neighborhood meetings. I have not heard any canvassing of the community for their concerns. So I would like to continue. I would move to continue this item until such time all of the issues have been addressed that we have been discussing today, and they can come back before the Board for a future request, making sure that all of the I's are dotted and T's are crossed, both in Development Services as well as in the community outreach.

JA: Supervisor Heinz.

MH: Okay, could I second that but still ask questions? Yeah. Okay.

SC: Sure.

MH: Then I will second that.

JA: Seconded by Supervisor Heinz. Discussion?

MH: Thank you Chair. From Development Services' perspective, this like there are no flags, no concerns about the recommendation to the Board to move forward with this specific Series 11 with this license, correct?

TD: Chair Allen, Supervisor Heinz, in the note that was addressed in our report to the Board, it specifically talks about that this only applies to locations where permits have been secured. Some comments have been made today about things being done without permits, potentially out there. So the liquor license would only apply to areas where a tenant improvement, commercial permit, the standards that apply for our building code and zoning standards are already met. And that is meant to protect us, to ensure that the liquor license is not used in locations that have not been permitted. Right. Some of these structures may be residential in nature or barns or things like that. Well, if we are going to convert those to nonresidential uses, there are strict standards that apply that have to be adhered to.

MH: Thank you and Chair Allen, and the enforcement and monitoring of this falls to you, us, or is this the Arizona Board, the Liquor Board?

TD: My understanding is that the liquor license is approved through the State with input from the County. In regards to the code enforcement complaints, the lighting, the koi

pond, buildings that were constructed or adjusted without permits, that falls under our team at code enforcement in DEQ, and I will work with them directly on that. I am not sure if our team has been out there yet. We will make sure we get out there and I will address it with them in the next couple days.

MH: Okay. Thank you.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Given Mr. Drzazgowski comments, I am very much in support of Supervisor Christy's motion, especially since he is saying that he does not want it to come back onto an agenda until the Board has affirmation from Development Services that all of the other concerns by the adjacent property owners have been addressed.

JA: Okay. I would also just request, unless you can answer this now, that part of the investigation is into the continued use of the property for commercial use. So that that gets clarified because we have heard from the neighbors that there has not, in fact, been continuous use.

TD: Chair Allen, we will look into that.

JA: Thank you. Supervisor Christy, question for you. Is there a date by which you want this to come back onto the agenda?

SC: No specific date. That would be up to the developers and the Department of Developmental Service when they are confident that the issues that we have raised here at today's meeting with both the community, the developers and Development Services, then between the three of them, they can determine at what opportune time to bring it back before the Board.

JA: Okay.

SC: Maybe I do not know. I should probably throw that question back to Development services, the developer and the community. How long do you think it would take that could adequately address all of the issues? What three months?

TD: Chair Allen, Supervisor Christy, from a Development Services' perspective, we will begin our research immediately. I will talk to code enforcement in the next day or so to get them out to the site, investigate what complaints are going on out there. We will have a good understanding of what is going on in the next week or so to see if there is violations and things done without permits. Some of the other questions about neighbor outreach would probably be best addressed to the property owner or their representative.

SC: So, I do not want to put any kind of specific time frame and then find out that the issues that we are concerned with were not adequately dealt with. So I am going to leave that up to Development Services and the developer and the community to come together and make an agreement at what particular time it would be most opportune to bring back. But I would say just to start things, I would ask that the item be brought back before the Board within three months, in three months.

JA: Okay. Is that clear direction?

MM: Chair Allen, I would just like to point out that the liquor license permit is issued by the State, the Department of Liquor License and Control, and they can move forward without a local jurisdiction recommendation. Just want to point that out.

JA: Okay.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Can I ask the Clerk to clarify based on what you just said, Ms. Manriquez, does the State Department have a record of moving forward without the local jurisdiction's recommendation, or do they generally wait for it?

MM: Chair Allen, Supervisor Scott, I am not sure I would have to contact them and ask them.

RS: Thank you very much.

JA: Okay. So, we have got a second to that motion, that discussion. All those in favor of the motion? Aye.

RS: Aye.

AC: Aye.

MH: Aye.

SC: [Thumbs up.]

JA: Opposed? It passes 5-0.

PROCUREMENT

26. Hearing - Solicitation No. IFB2500027838, Portable Restroom, Rentals and Services

Appeal of Procurement Director's Decision

Pursuant to Pima County Code 11.20.010(H), Elite Waste, d.b.a. Dumpster Guys, appeals the decision by the Procurement Director regarding Solicitation No. IFB2500027838, Portable Restroom, Rentals and Services.

Verbatim

JA: Chair Allen
RS: Supervisor Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
SB: Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
BC: Bruce Collins, Director, Procurement
KG: Kevin Goode, Applicant, Dumpster Guys

JA: Let us hear Item No. 24 because there are people waiting. This is a hearing for Procurement, Solicitation IFB2500027838, is anyone here to speak to the item? Okay. If you could fill out the yellow hearing speaker's card before you leave and hand it in.

KG: Okay, sorry about that. We filled out a request to bid on the portable toilet business, and we made a mistake. And all we are asking for is you to look at the application and see that we were in business for three years, and we can save the City and the Pima County \$50,000.00 a year. And over five years, it is \$250,000.00 that you could save on this service that we can do.

JA: Thank you. Okay. Thank you.

KG: Alright.

JA: So I will close the hearing for Solicitation No. IFB2500027838.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: I would like to, since you have closed the hearing, I would like to move that we grant the appeal from the applicant.

AC: Second.

JA: Seconded by Supervisor Cano.

RS: Thank you and the reason I am making the request is if you go to the memorandum from the County Administrator that is attached to this item dated March 19th, the next to last paragraph says, "*The appeal does not provide grounds to alter or overturn the Procurement Director's decision. County's evaluation was conducted in accordance to procurement rules, information provided after the bid deadline cannot cure a material deficiency or render a non-responsive bid responsive.*" I appreciate and honor the fact that our Procurement staff was acting according to their regulations, but when it comes to an appeal before the Board, I think the Board can take into consideration the fact that the applicant, by their own admission, made a clerical error on the submission. They did provide documents which are attached to the item as well, that show that they have been in operation for over three years. And although the Procurement Department was not able to consider those documents, the Board certainly can. The other consideration that I would like us to take into account was stated by the applicant, which is that their bid will save the County \$50,000.00 a year, \$250,000.00 over the course of a five-year period. And then I would point out something else for my colleagues, which is that the applicant for the appeal is a local vendor, whereas the awardee is not a local vendor. So that is why I am requesting that we grant the appeal, and I appreciate the second from Supervisor Cano.

MH: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Heinz.

MH: Just to clarify, what will this actually do? Does this just sort of reset the process and it goes back to Procurement, or is this the Board actually kind of just saying, nope, we want this vendor instead?

BC: Chair Allen, members of the Board, just like to address several facts that have been addressed here. The recommendation, as noted in the information has been provided. The information provided acknowledges the mistake and the information submitted where they verify they have been in business for eight years, was submitted after the bid was open and closed. This Board has, during my tenure here, approved the disqualification of bidders that submitted information afterwards or incorrectly. This precedent that we are contemplating does not treat all of the bidders equally and fairly. And so, I respectfully ask that this action that is being proposed is not taken so that we can maintain the integrity of treating all bidders fairly. And just a correction, also, respectfully, both bidders have a local office here in Tucson. I will remind the Board that we do not have a local bid preference in that locality cannot be considered in the award. However, both bidders have a local bid preference.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Again, I respect the Procurement Director's statement and the need for his staff to follow the regulations that they follow. But when it comes to appeals to the Board, I think that we have other considerations to take into account and I think one of the most significant considerations is that this will save the County \$250,000.00 over the course of five years. And I think that is something that this Board has a fiduciary responsibility to consider. I also think that although the Procurement team might have been not been able to look at the documentation that the applicant provided after the fact, I think the Board has the ability to do that, and that is why I am asking that we grant this appeal.

JA: Supervisor Heinz.

MH: Could staff answer my question, though, please, from before.

BC: If the Board was to approve the appeal, the Procurement Department would rebid this item.

MH: Okay. Thank you for clarifying.

SC: Madam Chair?

JA: Supervisor Christy.

SC: Yeah, this, I think, has a potential to set some sort of a rather ugly precedent and it kind of neuters what the Procurement regulations are, and yeah, it makes sense to bend the rules here and there, but I think it casts aspersions perhaps on the Procurement process and the staff. I am wondering if Attorney Brown could weigh in on this. Is this precedent going to create any kind of exposure or liability on the County's part by showing that even though the Procurement director was absolutely correct in it and the department in its assertion of the procedure, by going against what the Procurement Department is proposing, does that have any kind of ramifications from any kind of legal exposure?

SB: Chair Allen, Supervisor Christy, I think the concern that you may be alluding to are prior bidders who may be in a similar situation, who may want similar treatment. I cannot speak to specific instances, but that may certainly be a concern. We would have to look at prior bidders that are similarly situated.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Thank you. I am going to quote from the letter that Director Collins sent to Mr. Goode, the owner of Elite Waste, doing business as dumpster guys. The item number five in his letter says, *"If you are adversely affected by this decision, you may appeal to the Pima County Board of Supervisors by filing a written appeal with the Clerk of the Board within five business days of the date of this decision, in accordance with Pima County Code section 11.20.010(H). If an appeal is filed on time, the Board will consider the matter at a regularly scheduled meeting within 30*

business days. The Board may uphold the Procurement Director's decision or determine and impose an alternative remedy as appropriate." So, we are acting within our rights under Pima County Code and in response to Supervisor Heinz's question, if the appeal is granted, that means that it will be rebid, which means that everybody will have an equal opportunity to participate. And I think given the circumstances raised by the applicant, they ought to have that opportunity.

BC: Chair Allen, can I just speak again?

JA: Yes.

BC: I just want to remind the Board, in regards to Supervisor Christy's comment, this Board has approved when the Procurement Department has deemed somewhat non-responsive for a similar error, this Board has approved the Procurement Department's recommendation. So, during my tenure, we have disqualified or deemed non-responsive other bidders for making a comparable error in their bid. This, to amend and receive information after a bid has been opened is extremely uncommon in the procurement profession.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Every situation has its own context and its own circumstances, and I took these circumstances into consideration when I made the motion to approve the appeal. The director is certainly correct that during his tenure, we have not affirmed any appeals, but given the circumstances associated with this particular appeal, I feel it is appropriate, especially since the outcome will be that there will be a rebidding.

JA: Any further discussion? All those in favor? Aye.

RS: Aye.

AC: Aye.

JA: Those opposed?

MH: No.

SC: [Thumb down]

JA: Motion passes 3-2.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

32. The Board of Supervisors on March 3, 2026, continued the following:

Recommended Use of Project Blue Land Sale Proceeds

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the recommended use of Project Blue land sale proceeds.

Verbatim

JA: Chair Allen
RS: Supervisor Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
JL: Jan Leshar, County Administrator

JA: We will move on now to Item No. 32. This is unfinished business on the use of Project Blue land sale proceeds and I turn this over to the Administrator.

JL: Thank you, Chair Allen and members of the Board. You have a memorandum dated March 18th which provides a summary, again, recommendation on the expenditure of those funds. Based on some of the feedback we received from the last meeting and talking with members, we reallocated or proposing that we reallocate some dollars that were looking at contingency to look at three key areas. One is to add a little over \$1 million to really fortify the public records response request system. We hear frequently that we are not moving as quickly as we might in responding to public records. This would provide for the management system that we need, as well as another PCN in the Clerk's office to make sure that we are able to do that. We are looking at a little over \$1 million for the expansion of utility assistance weatherization programs, and about \$300,000.00 for Development Services code enforcement. So again, you had the recommendations previously provided with some slight modification to expand and enhance those programs from dollars originally looking at budgeted towards Contingency. And with that, staff is here to answer any other questions you might have.

SC: Madam Chair?

JA: Supervisor Christy.

SC: Thank you. I have been going over the list of proposed allocation recipients of the proceeds from Project Blue. I would like to make the motion that the entire amount of the proceeds from the sale of Project Blue, since they were derived from public lands, they should be implemented for the public good. The lists that have been provided for proposed recipients of the money are a lot of administrative

facilitations, job positions in the County Administration, a lot of bureaucratic, nebulous, unclear entities that we really have no full understanding of what they are and what they are going to go through. So going back to my premise that County lands were sold and they should be at the return to the public benefit, I would propose and move that the entire amount of the proceeds from the sale of Project Blue be turned over to the Pima County Department of Transportation for additional road repair and rehabilitation throughout unincorporated County, and that they utilize their own rating system, starting with very poor or 24 or below. So my motion then is to allocate all the monies to Pima County Department of Transportation for road repair in unincorporated Pima County dedicated to begin with roads that are rated as very poor or 24 or under. I so move.

JA: Hearing no second, the motion fails.

AC: Madam Chair?

JA: Supervisor Cano.

AC: I would like to move the County Administrator's recommendations included in the March 18th memo in the amount of \$20,855,849.00 and want to send my appreciation to the Administrator for listening to the interests of this Board. It was not easy getting to these recommendations, but I do believe that the proposals in front of you serve a tremendous public benefit and investment, not only in the taxpayers, but ultimately in good governance and good government. As I have mentioned at our prior meeting, I did not support Project Blue, but I have worked really hard to see the proposal in front of us be something that will be an investment in the people of Pima County. I mentioned at our last meeting that one of the proudest investments that I have made in voting and I will be supporting the recommendations, is this \$2 million for neighborhood reinvestment. This is the possibility of resurrecting a program that once was bond funded. Think playgrounds, infrastructure, libraries, traffic mitigation and neighborhood sidewalks. This particular program needs to have more digits to it. We will get there as we begin a conversation with the public about bonding capacity, which we have not had for nearly two decades. But for the time being, this is a good down payment on solid investment that we need as it relates to environmental health. The tenants of ensuring that we are being good stewards of our neighbors and of our environment. Those are included here, working in partnership with our public health teams and ensuring that our neighbors are able to afford their utilities, which was a top concern of mine in the Project Blue discussion. I look forward to a greater conversation about each one of the line items included in the Administrator's recommendations. But for all of these reasons, I want to thank the Administrator for doing and staff for taking the Board's recommendations into consideration, and proudly offered a motion to approve the item.

RS: I will Second.

JA: Seconded by Supervisor Scott. I guess first I would say in response to Supervisor Christy's assertion that the allocation is not directly benefiting unincorporated Pima County residents. I wanted to just quickly note that I think the public records request enhancements is something that directly benefits in creating more transparency and accessibility into County information. That neighborhood reinvestment and I will note that and I think this is probably just semantics, but I think thinking about community reinvestments, because the unincorporated areas may not necessarily be neighborhoods in the traditional sense, but I think that they are investments into unincorporated communities as well. But that is a \$2 million reaching out into unincorporated communities and neighborhoods. Environmental health initiatives is obviously impacting the health of our residents. Workforce development, I think, sort of says it in its name. Utility assistance and weatherization programs, this is actually one of the things that our office gets more calls about than perhaps it might be tied neck and neck with the following item around code enforcement and the need for greater enforcement presence throughout unincorporated areas. Those are two items of the rising utility costs and putting stress and strain on people's ability to pay their bills, or making that difficult choice between paying bills or paying the mortgage or the rent. So I appreciate the degree of focus of these funds into the homes, the pocketbooks, the communities across the County. I want to put on the table an amendment, if I may. There is a \$275,000.00 within the economic development, \$3.1 million and it is the \$275,000.00 for the foreign direct investment. This is an item that and as I have said this before, I support it in concept, but in this political moment where tariffs are fluctuating massively and are high so there is instability. There is also so much instability around visas and getting people back and forth across from Mexico, which is where the foreign direct investment, I think, has been most contemplated. At this particular moment in time for like the next three years, I would rather see that we invest that \$275,000.00 into the other component of this, of the economic development funding line item, which is a focus on business retention and expansion. Small businesses are struggling these days, and I think it is focusing on small business is also a critical part of the Prosperity Initiative, and I think it would really enable our Economic Development team to be able to have more resources to advance the Prosperity Initiative's focus, which is focusing on women and entrepreneurs of color in high poverty neighborhoods and rural communities, where the research shows that investment in small business and small business support can lift families out of poverty and have positive economic impact in those areas. So, I would put on the table a motion to amend that allocation and to shift it into small business retention and expansion.

RS: Chair Allen, just for clarification, I am looking at the County Administrator's memo from March 18th, where is the...

JA: That breakdown?

RS: Yeah.

JA: So, it was actually detailed in the preceding memo on February 27th.

RS: Oh, okay. See, I was not paying attention to that one because...

JA: That memo broke down the \$3.12 million in Economic Development.

RS: My apologies.

JA: No problem. And specifically, it is two lines. One is a program manager that is \$150,000.00, and then there is an FDI program operational programmatic support that is \$125,000.00. So adding those two up is two is where I get the \$275,000.00.

AC: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Cano.

AC: My hesitation right now with the Board considering that particular motion, I mean, last week we accepted in a joint effort a Memorandum of Understanding with the Taiwanese government. So I would rather the Economic Development team receive the Board's communication about us wanting to prioritize small business and let them hear that here today, but proceed with the recommendations. I think foreign direct investment, you know, we have been asking for the people power of the department to grow and I would be cautious about deviating from the recommendations that I am sure have been well thought out.

JA: Supervisor Heinz.

MH: Having been to select USA in 2024 and witnessing the amount of interest from just, that was in Mexico City, but the amazing amount of interest in not just Arizona, but so many states, I was surprised because at like you, okay, tariff, whatever. That depends on the day and the hour, but there were like 3 or 3 and a half times the number of participants in that particular conference than there were the previous year, like before the current President was in office. So I was, oh, wait, I meant 25. Sorry. So, I and like there is a Delegation here right now this week from Aguascalientes and I believe next month Heath and possibly one other team member going down to like Baja California again. So I think I am comfortable with the Economic Development stuff kind of staying the way it is.

RS: Chair Allen, I just wanted to direct a question to the County Administrator. The overall allocation to Economic Development is for a period of three years, and then we would anticipate after that these positions and programs would be picked up by the general fund.

JL: Chair Allen and Supervisor Scott, a little bit of both and what the general fund that might be informed or funded through Project Blue revenues. What we have tried to outline is that you are getting one time money and some of these are positions that go on more than one time. So we have looked at using that one-time money to fund for multiple years until we believe that the actual revenue coming in from Project Blue could continue to fund it, it would then be just part of the general fund, but we

could continue to allocate it. But we wanted to make sure if we were using one-time dollars for something that we were planning enough runway until the dollars were generated among cells out of Project Blue to use the one time dollars.

RS: Thank you.

JA: Well, I withdraw my motion not hearing a second. So what stands was the motion and the second. Is there any further discussion on the item? All those in favor? Aye.

RS: Aye.

AC: Aye.

MH: Aye.

JA: Opposed?

SC: [Thumb down]

JA: So, the item passes 4-1.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

33. Update on County Initiatives to Address Homelessness and Public Safety

Verbatim

JA: Chair Allen
RS: Supervisor Scott
AC: Supervisor Cano
JD: Jenifer Darland, Senior Advisor to the County Administrator
KV: Kate Vesley, Director, Justice Services

JA: Item No. 33, this is our Update on County Initiatives to Address Homelessness and Public Safety.

JD: Thank you, Chair Allen, members of the Board, just briefly for this month's update from the County Administrator's Office with respect to all initiatives related to homelessness and public safety. This month's presentation will be provided by Director Vesely on the annual report for the Transition Center. And without further ado, I will pass the mic over to Ms. Vesely. 7:07:49

KV: Good afternoon Supervisors. It is wonderful to see you all. Thank you very much for having me here this afternoon to talk a little bit about our highlights from 2025. We have moved our reporting cycle of the Transition Center to align with the calendar year, so you should be expecting an annual report in the first quarter or so every year ongoing. This past year, we were surprised, even ourselves, at the amount of volume that we saw walking through the door. So, we have had a total of over 5,000 unique individuals that have come through the facility in just about two and a half years, half of those individuals came through last year. In many instances, multiple times, we had 11,000 points of service. Now that includes people walking in the door, phone calls, emails, meeting them in the field, and we had several months where we were having over 11,000 points of service in August and September of last year. Our rebooking rate is one of the core metrics we use to measure success in our control group. Prior to the Transition Center launching, we saw that for those individuals who were arrested on a non-domestic violence misdemeanor, about 28% of those individuals would be rebooked within 30 days. Our first full year of operations saw that number reduced to 11%. We were super excited about that, and our jaw dropped when we saw that in 2025, that rebooking rate dropped further down to 6%. So, we are very excited about that promising trend. We are seeing improvements. Oh, sorry. The next slide should be up. Thank you. Appreciate that. We are seeing modest improvements in court appearance rates. We have a lot of opportunity for improvement in there. It is a very challenging population to get to court, but we are seeing some of our strategies, especially around transportation and court reminders, yield positive results and so we are going to double down on those in this year. I think one of the most important things that we implemented last year was a warrant resolution program at Supervisor Scott's urging. About a year

and a half ago, we implemented a consolidated warrant resolution program that takes care of about 80 warrants or so per month, perhaps more. We do not know exactly how many people are able to self-initiate resolution, but the Transition Center has become a huge hub, so individuals walking in the door to try and get their warrants resolved. We saw about 180 people walking in the facility just to try and address those warrants. My favorite statistic is the number of families we assisted. We have been doing a lot of community engagement out in the field, trying to connect with those family support systems. What we know is when family members are involved in an individual's criminal justice proceedings, that person's probability of showing up for court, their probability of compliance with court requirements like probation, increases dramatically. So one of our objectives is to help demystify the justice system, provide family member supports so that they can help their loved one get through those proceedings, so that they can focus on the things like recovery and housing that really matter. And over 100 families reached out to us last year for that support. We transported over 500 individuals to services, housing or court appearances. Half of those transports came by the City of Tucson staff, who are embedded with the Transition Center with us.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: Ms. Vesely, with regard to the Warrant Resolution Center, I remember when we were first discussing it, there was an indication that there would be a need for training, not so much training, but assistance and support to law enforcement officers to help them, to encourage the individuals that they interact with, to assist with the Warrant Resolution Center. Wanted to know a little bit more about what we are doing to follow up with our officers on the ground and all of our law enforcement agencies, also wanted to know if, with regard to warrant resolution, if that involves just justice court, or if it involves all of the courts?

KV: Chair Allen, Supervisor Scott, to answer your last question, it involves every court, every jurisdiction in Pima County, but we have been resolving warrants. We dealt with a warrant resolution, I think it was out of Michigan. We have had people who are here temporarily who are out of court compliance conditions in other areas of the country. We have been able to do some very surprising problem solving for jurisdictions across the country. We have been training law enforcement through our crisis intervention team training program about the various resources, including warrant resolution, and we have been doing a lot of embedded work with law enforcement agencies. We have been out on patrol with both the Pima County Sheriff's Department and the City of Tucson Police Department to try and not only do ride alongs with officers and inform them about what services we have, but also go alongside them when they are engaging with individuals and talk about warrant resolution alongside those officers, so that they are aware of the resources as well.

RS: One follow up question, if I may, Chair Allen, I know that perhaps one of the more daunting challenges that we face throughout the justice system is failure to appear

and wondering if the Warrant Resolution Center or anything else connected with the operations of the Transition Center has helped make a dent in that issue?

KV: Chair Allen, Supervisor Scott, I think there is some room for improvement here. What we are seeing is a reduction in the amount of warrants that are being issued because people are proactively, I should say we are seeing those who already have warrants. We are seeing a reduction in those individuals being arrested on those warrants because they proactively resolve them. But court appearance still is something that we struggle with. Even if we get a warrant resolved, we are seeing a pattern of individuals incur yet another warrant when they do not appear. And so those supports that get them to court, the court reminders, transportation, having a court process that is easy for individuals to access, like community court, are all strategies that we are hoping to expand this year.

RS: And if the Board could get updates as some of those strategies have greater effects, that would be wonderful.

KV: Absolutely.

RS: Thank you. Thank you, Chair Allen.

KV: Next slide. And I will go through the next few pretty quickly, but please feel free to follow up with any questions here or afterwards. This is just an illustration about our growth of services we are in. You can see there was way more individuals served, but you can see the dramatic increase of walk-ins, phone calls and even texts. Text is a very surprising yet effective mechanism for getting ahold of participants. Next slide. Housing continues to be the single biggest factor of an individual's success going through the Transition Center, especially if they are housed before they walk in the door. Obviously, our staff make every effort to try and get them into shelter, and that does improve outcomes. But we know that the County and the City strategies around housing, the more that we are able to target the justice involved population, I expect to see those numbers improve. Next slide. So, our biggest objective in this next year is the Transition Center expansion. We are very grateful for the support given by Supervisor Cano and the One Pima. We are in the recruitment process right now for our staff. We had some logistical delays in being able to post the positions. I am really grateful to both Pima County Finance and the HR department, who were incredibly supportive and generous with their time, while we literally built a plane as we were flying it. So, we are expecting to be making offers here very quickly. We hope to have them start in mid-April. We are going to take a couple of weeks to train these new folks, and we expect to go to seven day a week, full-time operation in May. Now, in order to meet our deliverable around the April timeline, we are going to be offering staff overtime and those weekend months. So, we should be able to have a limited range of hours available throughout April so that we can still meet that seven day a week. We will not have that full-time range, because we will not have enough staff to make the overtime. But the staff are very enthusiastic about reaching this new milestone. Another big issue is the space for those of you who I think all of you have been to visit the Transition Center. It is very tiny. We currently have three desks per office. Those desks are one person, one

desk. In order to accommodate the doubling of staff size, we need to have additional space for lockers to be in the back and then we will convert all those desk spaces in the front to hotel space. What is also important about having additional space is there is no secure barricade location. We do not have security on site, so we have to have a place for staff to be able to hide if situation calls for it. We have identified a single wide trailer that could be fit in that space that can be attached to the Transition Center and attached by a bridge so that staff can retreat there. We can securely store our resources. Staff can have lockers, but they can also retreat there if they need to. We received a grant from the Arizona Complete Health, the regional Behavioral Health Authority, for \$100,000.00 to put towards the purchase of a new modular. We are in the process of trying to identify an additional \$85,000.00 to complete installation and outfit the furniture, but we are really grateful to Arizona Complete for supporting this work in the community. Next slide. I feel like we are hitting just about every item in the One Pima initiative. I will not go into too much detail, but the mobile Transition Center outreach is strongly supporting that Loop cleanup efforts and in this year, we are working very hard to engage with businesses. Businesses are going to be our top priority of trying to problem solve in real time those issues that they are seeing and connect the individuals who are impacting those businesses with the resources of the Transition Center and throughout the community. Next slide. We have gotten really positive national attention. We have had, it feels like at least one out of town visitor every single month to tour the Transition Center. Everything from the National Institute of Policing to local jurisdictions like from South Carolina, all the way to the west coast of Portland to come and see the work. We implemented a satisfaction survey in 100% of the 180 some folks who completed the satisfaction survey reported a great experience with us, and at follow up, we had about a third of all of our participants reported to staff that they had at least one personal success. Our priorities for the next year are going to be focusing on scaling our operations, expanding to the business, and continuing to support that mobile outreach operations. And that is all for me.

JA: Supervisor Cano.

AC: Chair Allen, I appreciate the update from Director Vesely and encourage the Administrator and the Director of Finance to look at utilizing our contingency for the remaining \$85,000.00 that was mentioned. Thank you.

JA: I will just echo the appreciation for your leadership and the tremendous work and success. I think decreasing the numbers of failure to appear is amazing and something that we will continue to do on a larger and larger scale as the Transition Center continues to grow and evolve. So, thank you.

KV: Thank you all very much for your support. You have all been very generous with your time and coming out and seeing the facility and talking with the team members. It means a lot to them.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

41. Fiscal Year (FY) 2026/27 Capital Improvement Program Budget Development Overview

Discussion/Direction/Action: Review of the Capital Improvement Program requests and overall budget development for the FY2026/27 Budget.

Verbatim

JA: Supervisor Allen
MH: Supervisor Heinz
AC: Supervisor Cano
RL: Rod Lane, Director, Project Design and Construction
ART: Art Cuaron, Director, Finance and Risk Management

JA: We are going to move on to Regular Agenda Item No. 41. This is also a staff presentation on the capital improvement, the capital budget.

RL: Good afternoon, Chair Allen, Board members, Ms. Leshner, we can move on to the next slide, please. Today I am going to be introducing you to the latest evolutionary step in the Pima County Integrated Infrastructure Plan. This is a plan that captures various capital project needs over a ten-year period. The plan collates this information into a single planning document to assist with identification of potential efficiencies and better use of County limited resources. On the screen is the cover page and a public link to the latest pdf print of the Fiscal Year 2027-2036 IIP, which includes the Fiscal Year 2027 funding requests. Next slide please. The plan is essentially a live document and is maintained and updated by project managers within the Project Design and Construction and other departments via our project management software. This is done by reviewing, updating department's existing needs, reviewing their department's specific planning documents, reviewing and incorporating County adopted initiatives and strategic planning documents. Prioritizing and organizing those needs to allow for appropriate planning, design, and delivery of those needs. Each department then reviews those with County Administration. The process then moves into the Capital Improvement Program programming process, where the Finance Department uses this information to develop the tentative Capital Improvement Program. Each department then meets with both the Finance Department and County Administration to further refine the tentative CIP. Which takes us to the point we are at today where Mr. Cuaron will present the tentative CIP to the Board to further refine it with the intent of approving it in June, at which time the process starts all over again and we will do this next year. Since this is a live document, the plan is to take a snapshot at two critical points in the process and then publish it to the website. The first step is what we are doing now where we are presenting the tentative one. So, we will be publishing that to the website today. And then after the Board approves it, we will then take another snapshot and publish that one to the website later. Next slide please. So, here is an

example of the latest and greatest IIP. You can see it is a ten-year plan. The years are across the top where we look at it at a ten-year outlook. And then we kind of reduce it to the five-year CIP, which is then renewed annually at the annual budget request for your current approval. The top right will have the printed date so that the users will know when the process snapshot was taken. And with that, I will turn it over to my colleague, Mr. Cuaron, to talk further about the CIP.

ART: Thank you, Mr. Lane. Chair Allen, members of the Board, if you could advance the slide, please. Mr. Lane did a really good job of talking about how the IIP and the CIP are interrelated. And as we look out at the ten year IIP needs list and then describing that and funneling down into our five year CIP funding plan, which includes the projects that have identified funding source over the five year period, and then further refining that into our FY26/27 CIP budget, which is the next fiscal year's CIP that has funding sources. And again, Mr. Lane acknowledged that we look at this every year, right? So, every year it is a cyclical process. Which brings us here today to talk about the '26/27 requested CIP budget. I do want to note that nothing has been finalized. We are taking input from Board members today in the lead up to the Administrator's recommended budget, as well as final budget adoption in June, as Mr. Lane mentioned. Next slide please. So, what is our CIP for '26/27? It is \$249.7 million as of right now and in the requested budget, it totals \$968 million over the five-year program, with a step down each year of the program, there is \$718.3 million from FY28 through FY31. Majority of the CIP here is centered in wastewater as you might imagine. The five-year CIP for Wastewater includes the new Santa Rita Resource Reclamation facility, which is driving a large portion of their capital project and their 53% of the total over that five-year period. We have other major infrastructure departments and Transportation, Flood Control, Facilities and Library there, in the middle of the screen, they represent 39% of the total over the five years. And then we have our remaining departments at 8%, really highlighted by PDC, Parks and Recreation in '26/27, as well as IT moving out into the out years. Next slide. So, when we talk about the Wastewater, which is \$510 million over that five-year period, again, this is really buoyed by that new Santa Rita facility, which at this point in time, is expected to cost a little over \$330 million over that 5 or 6 year time period. FY26/27 CIP is \$98 million with approximately \$21.5 million of that for the new facility, you can see over the course of the next few fiscal years, the CIP and Wastewater ramps up to account for that new facility and then tapers back down to account for more regular CIP maintenance items of about \$55 or \$60 million a year in those out years. Next slide please. So, as we talk about our other major infrastructure, this is really led by the Department of Transportation with about \$100 and close to \$180 million over the five-year period. That is in the dark green bar on the left. Next is Flood Control, again, they have their own sustained funding, so they are averaging about \$23 million a year, with a five-year total of \$116.2. Facilities is the next green bar to the right as you move from left to right on the chart, with about \$36.8 million over that five-year time frame. Library comes in at just a shade under \$42 million, which is really buoyed by the downtown Library renovation as well as planning for a new, I think it is a southwest Library. The total over here in this major infrastructure category with these departments is \$375 million over five years. The next slide please. So, the remaining departments is \$83

million over that five-year time frame. You can see PDC here, that is Project Design and Construction, that is Rod's group with \$26.7 million here in '26/27. Large portion of that is the Middle Mile Project, which then continues in '27/28 with \$4.5 million of funds identified in '27/28. Kino Stadium District over that five-year period has about \$6.1 in maintenance items related to upkeep of that facility. And again, \$83 million over the five-year period for the remaining departments. Next slide. So, as we talk about what specifically is being requested in the '26/27 budget, it totals \$249.7. As I mentioned at the top of the presentation, buoyed by Wastewater at \$98.1 million, Transportation follows at \$40.2 up, PDC at \$26.7, and Facilities and Flood Control coming in at little over a \$20 million, respectively. Again, I do want to make a point here that this is just requested and nothing is final until we get to final budget adoption. Next slide please. As we talk about funding sources for our '26/27 CIP, majority of them are coming from Wastewater obligations. We do have some operating transfers in there, moving money from certain funds out to the capital project funds. I do want to note here that the Project Blue projects are in the current CIP that \$10.75 million that you will be discussing at a later agenda item are included here, as are the transfers. We have some certificates of participation at \$34 million and Flood Control revenues at \$21 million. We do have some small PAYGO at \$2.2. That is really related to CLR and the open space acquisition. I do want to note here at \$264.7, you might note that it does not tie exactly to \$249.7. The reason is from projects that are being rolled over and those funding sources are being rolled over as well, which makes up the difference in those two numbers. With that, Chair Allen, members of the Board, that concludes my presentation, prepared remarks. I do want to note, there was a question that Supervisor Scott asked at the last meeting relative to the impact of Project Blue on the CIP and what it would mean, and quite simply, Project Blue funding allows the County to do more capital projects than we would otherwise normally be able to fund, or they would be competing for the same pot of money. So, if the Board does decide to adopt those projects, it would be advanced further in the project and allow the County to do more CIP projects.

AC: Chair Allen?

JA: Thank you. Supervisor Cano.

AC: Administrator Lesh, Director, from a District 5 perspective, I appreciate the investments from Flood Control along the Drexel and Valencia area, particularly as it relates to some improvements along the Santa Cruz. Those are much needed investments that folks in Midvale have been asking for, for many years and am looking forward to that progress. I am still awaiting some responses from the Administration, particularly Mr. DeBonis, on the Community Workforce Development line item as it relates to the County Housing Center and the John Miles Community Room. I do not see the improvements included in the CWD budget request to ensure that the John Miles Community Room goes back to the community, and also on Transportation, I have asked in prior meetings that we delineate how County dollars are going to supervisorial districts and kind of make it very clear where those investments are as it relates to which district. And along that line, I made a request

at our retreat to get a better idea of how much of our PAYGO transportation dollars have gone to each supervisorial district. Fully recognizing my colleague from District 1's notation that, unincorporated Pima County is where we have the ability to dictate some of those resources, but I would still like to see those numbers, and I have not received them and the retreat was several months ago. So, I appreciate the work done on the CIP. I wish we can do all of it all at once, but I recognize that we have got to have taxpayers interest in mind, and I look forward to hearing back from the Administration on the additional information that I have requested.

JA: Any further questions or comments? Supervisor Heinz.

MH: So, curious if, could we possibly consider some additional neighborhood reinvestment through CWD perhaps instead of maybe like the \$5 million for Canoa Ranch for a campground like, which is great and everything, but I feel like neighborhood reinvestment through CWD is not just nice to have, but really, really important for a lot of our communities, and right now it is \$2 million. I just wonder if and I do not mean to pick on any particular thing, but that just seems like maybe something we could think about doing a little bit more of, or changing that around, if that is possible.

JA: I mean, I think I would just note that the Canoa Ranch Campground is a revenue generator.

MH: Oh, okay.

JA: So, there is some upsides to that venture.

MH: It does not have to be that one, by the way. Just whatever. Thank you. Okay.

AC: Chair Allen, what I will take that comment to mean is that it will be a top request of the Supervisor from District 2 and the bond discussion for another time, because we need more neighborhood reinvestment dollars for sure.

JA: Thank you for the presentation and we look forward to the ongoing conversation.

HUMAN RESOURCES

47. The Board of Supervisors on February 17, 2026, continued the following:

Classification/Compensation

The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department from within its current budget:

Class Code/ Class Title/ Pay Grade (Salary Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

6115/ Division Director, Justice Court/ 18 (\$93,392.00-\$140,067.20)/ 1/ E**

**E = Exempt (not paid overtime)

Verbatim

JA: Chair Allen

RS: Supervisor Scott

MH: Supervisor Heinz

AC: Supervisor Cano

RO: Ron Overholt, Superior Court Administrator

JA: Item No. 47, this is unfinished business, the classification and compensation put forth by Human Resources Department over an adjustment, a new class code title for a position in the Justice Court. I turn it over to Mr. Overholt to give us an overview of what this item is.

RO: Well, thank you, Chair Allen. I am Ron Overholt. I am the Superior Court Administrator and Ms. Cassandra Reus, who is our Chief Deputy Court Administrator. This item is creating a classification in our Consolidated Justice Court of Division Director, and it would convert two manager positions from Manager to Division Director in Justice Court. That would reflect the level of responsibility that these two individuals have. They each have about half of the Justice Court responsibilities under their responsibilities. It is also consistent with the classification structure in other courts in the County. That Division Director classification exists in Superior Court and in Juvenile Court already, so this would mirror that classification in the Consolidated Justice Court. I know there was concern expressed in previous meetings with regard to whether this was creating another level of authority within the courts, and it is not. It already exists, and whether this would be creating new positions and it is not. These are converting to manager positions, to director positions. That was explained to a couple of the JPs who expressed concern. And now I understand the concern is that potentially the Chief Justice could undo the consolidation or placing the Justice Courts under the Superior Court, and therefore, we should not create the division director position, because it could be undone. If the Chief Justice rescinded her order, placing the Justice Courts under Superior Court, it would still be the same level of responsibility for those two individuals, so it would not affect that. I think in our letter to the Board, we did reference the Ajo, Justice Court, and that sort of added another layer of responsibility. But really, the

Ajo Justice Court consists of seven employees and one Justice of the Peace. So it is not it would not really affect the level of responsibility. It would cut down on the amount of time it takes to drive out to Ajo, and and be present in that, but it would not really reduce the level of responsibility for those two individuals. So we respectfully recommend that you approve creating that position in the Consolidated Justice Court. Any questions?

JA: I guess, you know, I have kind of struggled a little bit with this item somewhat because I, you know, I think back to my eighth grade civics and we are taught that there is this very hard line between the legislative, judicial and the executive. Then there is this, you know, what I realized in this role is that there is some blurriness to that, right? And that the budget for the courts is in here, Human Resources, and the court's is within the County, so there is some gray area in that. And I have heard from the Ajo Justice Court concerns about this and particularly from the recent administrative order that has extended from the State Supreme Court and putting Superior Court increased involvement in the Justice Court. So I guess my question, if you could just talk a little bit more to allay some of my concern about whether if indeed this reclassification is done, that there is an end game to kind of getting the restoring the independence of the Ajo Justice Court and if those positions will kind of step back and let them move forward. Is there some mile markers or some metrics of which are trying to be hit so that the court goes back to some independence, and then perhaps there may or may not need be a need for these positions in this reclassified role.

RO: Well, actually, the positions are in the Consolidated Justice Court downtown. So that is for the seven Justices of the Peace that are that are there. I think the Chief Justice is looking for an opportunity to have the independence of the Ajo court returned. What those metrics would be are really up to her. I can only speak to, we have been ordered to provide level of responsive service to Ajo Justice Court, and that is what we are trying to do. If Justice Court in Ajo was removed from the authority of the Superior Court, these two positions would still have roughly 50 employees reporting to them and have a level of responsibility that would warrant the division director position. The Chief Justice took the action on Ajo in December. Really trying to be responsive to Justice Williams in the process that her Court Administrator was not present. Justice Williams was seeking help from Human Services in the County, was trying to do payroll, was trying to figure out how to run the administration of the court that she was not familiar with. And so what has to happen in terms of the Chief Justice's decision about where the authority for administration is, is really up to her, and when she feels like the Ajo Justice Court is capable of running itself again.

JA: Thank you. Supervisor Cano.

AC: Thank you, Chair Allen, and it is a pleasure to see both of you. Mr. Overholt, I want to thank you for coming today, and I sympathize with both parties and the frustration that I am sure you have experienced, particularly in Ajo. It is important that we seek continued mediation so that we can come to an understanding where both parties can feel heard. That is the District 5's goal in all of this. Certainly, I anticipate that it

is a shared goal of all parties. My patience is getting limited because this has also gotten the attention of the Legislature and legislative action has also gotten the interest of both parties. And when that is happening, it tells me that we need a mediator to come into this and so I encourage the judicial system to figure out how we can come together, move forward, honor those who want to ensure the administration of the court is upheld, and also honor the integrity of those who were elected to those roles. I am always going to be advocating for additional resources to all of our justice courts, and I recognize that getting to this point, you know, your position is that this will help the court, and I certainly sympathize with that. But the elected leaders of that court need to be a part of the decision-making body, and I urge you to carry that message to the Chief Justice as well.

RO: Chair Allen and Supervisor Cano, we agree 100%, and the concept of mediation has been discussed with Judge Williams and with Judge Pesquiera and the Court Administrator at Ajo, and will continue to pursue that and see what we can. We want to resolve this as well.

RS: Chair Allen?

JA: Supervisor Scott.

RS: I agree with sentiments expressed by my colleague from District 5 that the situation with Ajo Justice Court needs to be resolved, but given that the item before us, Item No. 47, deals with the Consolidated Court and that it is unfinished business from one of our meetings in February, I will go ahead and move Item No. 47.

MH: Second.

JA: Seconded by Supervisor Heinz. Discussion?

JA: Before I call the vote, I will just note that I am going to support this item because of the staff and the acknowledgment of the increased responsibilities and that they have a classification that corresponds with the work that they are doing, but also echoing Supervisor Cano and Supervisor Scott, that independent of that, the need to resolve the situation and I believe, strongly, that elected Judge Williams should be running her court and how we figure out a solution in which the parties from the State Supreme Court, Superior Court and the Ajo Court judge feel good about where we are and that they are, you know, respect for her as an elected official is balanced and maintained. So with that, all those in favor Aye? Aye.

RS: Aye.

MH: Aye.

SC: [Thumbs Up]

AC: Aye.

JA: Opposed? Item passes 5-0.



Official Canvass

Regional Transportation Authority

Special Election - March 10, 2026

Pima County Elections Department
6550 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, AZ 85756

MEMORANDUM

Date: March 19, 2026

To: The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: Constance L. Hargrove
Elections Director



Re: March 10, 2026 - Regional Transportation Authority Special Election Canvass Report

I present the results of the March 10, 2026 Regional Transportation Authority Special Election for your approval.

I certify that all voting equipment underwent logic and accuracy testing before any ballots were tabulated. The equipment went through a total of three tests. We conducted in-house logic and accuracy testing on January 30, 2026. The Republican and Democratic parties participated in a logic and accuracy test on February 5, 2026. The office conducted the final test on February 6, 2026, with representatives from the Republican and Democratic parties and the Regional Transportation Authority. The ExpressVotes produced accurate ballots based on the operator's selections and were tabulated as part of the test. The tabulators scanned all ballots and accurately identified any that were incorrectly marked or blank ballots. The operators adjudicated any ballots identified by the tabulator as needing further clarification. The adjudication process allows an operator to review the ballot in question and determine the voter's intent. All tests resulted in 100% accuracy. The certifications for all tests are included in the canvass document.

Mail Ballots

The Recorder's office mailed 651,388 ballots, of which the elections department received and processed 209,533 ballots. The department received the first batch of early ballots on February 19, 2026. The early board began processing and opening the ballots on February 23, 2026 and tabulation began on February 27, 2026. All political parties were notified of the processing and tabulation schedule and were invited to observe. The Republican and Democratic parties provided observers throughout the tabulation process. The final ballots were turned over to the elections department on March 16, 2026 after the deadline to cure ballots. The staff conducted the post logic and accuracy test on March 16. The political party representatives observed the post logic and accuracy test. The post logic and accuracy test is conducted using the same ballots from the initial logic and accuracy test. The political party representatives certified that the test produced the identical results as before counting began and that the results were 100% accurate.

The office tracks affidavit envelopes received and early ballots processed to account for all ballots received from the Recorder's office. The "[2026 RTA Special Election Ballots Processed](#)" provides a daily accounting of ballots received, processed and counted. The office also maintains a hard copy of the chain of custody documents received from the Recorder's office.

Re: March 10, 2026 RTA Special Election Canvass Report

Date: March 19, 2026

Page 2

Election Results

The canvass includes a summary report of the election results and a detailed precinct report of the results.

Reports to Review:

- All by Mail Ballots Processed Report – Page 15
 - The Recorder’s report provides the total number of ballots issued, returned, and turned over to the Elections Department. The ballots turned over to the Elections Department correspond to the total affidavits received on the “[2026 RTA Special Election Ballots Processed](#)” spreadsheet. The Recorder’s report also includes a summary of the ballot curing results.
- Provisional Ballot Report – No provisional ballots were issued.
- Ballots Processed Report – Page 74
 - Provides a summary of the ballots received and processed by the Elections Department.
- Early Site Ballots Issued/Spoiled Totals – Page 87
 - Summary of ballots issued at in-person sites.
- Election Summary Report – Page 100
 - The summary report provides a summary of all votes cast in the election.
- Statement of Votes Cast – Pages 102 – 366
 - Precinct summary of all votes cast in the election.



CERTIFICATION OF OFFICIAL RETURNS

2026 Regional Transportation Authority Special Election

Pima County, Arizona

Pursuant to the provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 16, and in accordance with the Arizona Constitution, Article 7, as well as Arizona Revised Statutes Titles 9 and 16, I hereby certify that the attached tabulation constitutes a full, true, and correct copy of the official returns of the **2026 Special Election**, conducted in and for Pima County, Arizona, on **March 10, 2026**.

The following special taxing district participated in the election:

- Regional Transportation Authority

Tabulation concluded on **March 16, 2026**, at **3:43 P.M.** and includes all ballots cast in the election, encompassing all-mail and early in-person voting.

Signature of Certifying Official

3/17/2026

Date

Constance L. Hargrove

Elections Director

Pima County Elections Department

Constance L. Hargrove, Director

6550 S. Country Club Road • Tucson, AZ 85756 • Desk: 520-724-6868 | Fax: 520-724-6870 • constance.hargrove@pima.gov

www.pima.gov

Voter Registration Information



2026 RTA ELECTION

March 10, 2026

VOTER REGISTRATION TOTALS

ALL ELIGIBLE VOTERS

Registered Voter Totals by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	238,219	187,152	4,556	5,696	1,151	223,501	660,275
Inactive	22,475	13,573	598	475	160	27,411	64,692

Active Voters by Precinct/Voting District

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
1	618	522	11	21	2	648	1,822
2	88	79	0	6	1	93	267
3	183	8	1	0	2	73	267
4	689	65	3	3	0	407	1,167
5	903	2,212	49	55	5	1,847	5,071
6	1,577	2,588	64	78	7	2,477	6,791
7	18	36	3	1	0	48	106
8	187	185	10	7	3	215	607
9	1,027	797	17	20	10	849	2,720
10	757	792	8	12	0	648	2,217
11	621	1,982	42	29	2	1,157	3,833
12	1,709	1,874	28	36	7	1,802	5,456
13	1,002	1,025	22	33	12	1,150	3,244
14	1,268	1,495	32	28	5	1,648	4,476
15	453	623	10	12	4	611	1,713
16	1,436	585	18	12	3	828	2,882
17	1,007	236	12	18	15	617	1,905
18	1,251	239	13	18	5	751	2,277
19	200	230	5	10	1	276	722
20	1,571	471	26	41	9	1,257	3,375
21	1,223	961	9	24	6	1,044	3,267
22	1,830	762	18	42	3	1,643	4,298

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
23	1,735	673	22	22	6	1,230	3,688
24	621	999	16	22	8	898	2,564
25	1,410	340	15	29	5	988	2,787
26	1,452	373	10	26	6	1,050	2,917
28	889	215	11	13	4	603	1,735
29	350	294	6	4	2	290	946
30	1,303	948	26	31	6	1,241	3,555
31	674	595	13	20	7	720	2,029
32	1,589	1,279	26	55	11	1,747	4,707
33	1,025	501	12	32	5	861	2,436
34	516	332	6	14	4	579	1,451
35	101	180	1	1	0	117	400
36	1,469	856	33	43	16	1,695	4,112
37	658	180	10	19	4	483	1,354
38	835	440	11	21	6	765	2,078
39	1,050	1,336	28	29	4	1,165	3,612
40	972	1,221	47	23	4	1,152	3,419
41	3,280	1,929	53	101	10	3,201	8,574
42	1,702	418	24	28	17	1,249	3,438
43	708	205	4	17	2	533	1,469
44	1,005	190	15	23	8	594	1,835
45	1,123	159	12	13	13	521	1,841
46	280	486	14	4	1	309	1,094
47	1,903	467	25	28	7	1,431	3,861
48	1,143	532	15	42	8	1,275	3,015
49	489	616	17	23	1	645	1,791
50	1,234	294	15	27	5	955	2,530
51	1,004	236	9	23	1	803	2,076
52	1,130	284	9	25	4	879	2,331
53	2,619	846	20	62	5	2,470	6,022
54	1,207	561	21	27	6	1,224	3,046
55	534	315	11	14	5	443	1,322
56	1,138	599	13	7	5	708	2,470
57	1,635	533	28	47	11	1,317	3,571
58	481	153	9	10	4	345	1,002
59	994	348	10	31	4	735	2,122

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
60	606	619	24	26	5	765	2,045
61	823	611	6	13	4	631	2,088
62	676	240	12	17	10	652	1,607
63	822	185	7	7	3	355	1,379
64	973	273	13	23	4	856	2,142
65	9	10	0	0	0	7	26
66	1,425	383	19	33	5	1,265	3,130
67	1,198	476	32	39	9	1,028	2,782
68	758	194	7	14	4	418	1,395
69	1,677	1,153	28	32	11	1,184	4,085
70	243	247	4	1	1	250	746
71	109	13	0	2	0	68	192
72	1,676	629	29	50	9	1,445	3,838
73	1,486	298	22	18	7	711	2,542
74	880	832	13	9	1	717	2,452
75	1,460	396	22	28	14	985	2,905
76	255	22	0	1	0	132	410
77	755	1,057	15	14	2	815	2,658
78	1,005	199	7	10	4	439	1,664
79	947	1,323	38	30	8	1,172	3,518
80	1,307	326	20	16	11	764	2,444
81	370	468	9	9	1	451	1,308
82	1,310	254	22	15	7	572	2,180
83	563	1,010	15	14	1	728	2,331
84	2,210	3,160	26	39	5	2,379	7,819
85	463	246	9	16	5	380	1,119
86	1,940	640	28	34	15	1,324	3,981
87	250	108	3	6	0	177	544
88	1,221	824	26	24	6	1,026	3,127
89	1,420	556	19	30	5	976	3,006
90	481	614	11	19	1	593	1,719
91	1,340	568	19	39	17	1,068	3,051
92	1,339	922	29	17	4	857	3,168
93	1,062	345	20	22	11	659	2,119
94	1,084	324	10	20	8	633	2,079
95	354	540	9	18	1	509	1,431

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
96	1,301	404	24	13	7	645	2,394
97	987	325	8	11	1	599	1,931
98	1,338	548	24	34	17	1,146	3,107
99	1,109	502	10	13	3	618	2,255
100	1,180	546	22	19	13	879	2,659
101	726	675	14	11	3	675	2,104
102	370	165	4	8	1	362	910
103	626	213	10	6	4	359	1,218
104	1,407	1,600	21	27	2	1,546	4,603
105	750	448	16	29	5	779	2,027
106	501	559	9	2	1	361	1,433
107	1,404	648	15	32	11	1,081	3,191
108	1,838	873	41	41	15	1,305	4,113
109	1,668	3,101	61	69	9	2,468	7,376
110	1,251	149	12	26	5	866	2,309
111	987	472	17	10	6	651	2,143
112	1,164	944	17	13	4	962	3,104
113	1,233	756	21	39	8	1,229	3,286
114	181	324	16	15	0	414	950
115	1,600	2,136	29	46	4	1,971	5,786
116	2,027	1,702	42	81	11	2,034	5,897
117	1,082	607	31	28	11	818	2,577
118	1,185	763	13	30	2	908	2,901
119	839	577	16	38	9	749	2,228
120	1,216	805	31	25	11	1,073	3,161
121	313	315	5	3	0	282	918
122	740	637	17	28	4	831	2,257
123	1,642	1,175	26	56	12	1,806	4,717
124	559	526	11	24	3	603	1,726
125	979	715	12	9	1	655	2,371
126	513	622	10	6	4	533	1,688
127	1,746	2,816	29	34	3	2,256	6,884
128	849	771	23	29	3	715	2,390
129	850	645	20	31	5	799	2,350
130	655	679	13	22	2	665	2,036
131	741	813	12	18	3	695	2,282

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
132	493	469	9	19	2	477	1,469
133	1,373	1,129	37	41	8	1,453	4,041
134	701	797	25	21	6	811	2,361
135	157	4	0	0	0	52	213
136	127	12	0	1	0	48	188
137	112	10	0	0	0	46	168
138	364	27	0	4	0	164	559
139	205	163	4	7	0	252	631
140	226	362	8	8	2	328	934
141	1,696	1,644	12	18	3	1,399	4,772
142	1,252	1,297	28	36	6	1,277	3,896
143	576	79	5	9	11	324	1,004
144	1,261	459	20	20	2	757	2,519
145	1,560	1,728	13	16	1	1,565	4,883
146	1,002	833	28	33	5	1,108	3,009
147	822	945	27	30	3	1,105	2,932
148	798	805	26	17	3	780	2,429
149	912	613	17	25	4	823	2,394
150	221	234	9	15	0	351	830
151	564	361	10	3	2	333	1,273
152	442	154	5	4	2	366	973
153	1,722	814	33	28	4	1,212	3,813
154	815	366	23	36	5	957	2,202
155	792	362	10	24	3	892	2,083
156	318	371	6	7	1	323	1,026
157	1,492	496	24	47	5	1,239	3,303
158	774	526	8	12	3	618	1,941
159	2,050	601	24	54	13	1,803	4,545
160	950	235	12	19	3	671	1,890
161	232	414	4	3	0	244	897
162	740	434	13	22	5	678	1,892
163	802	670	6	19	1	751	2,249
164	1,809	575	25	19	9	1,007	3,444
165	110	521	8	6	2	286	933
166	2,491	666	43	60	23	1,920	5,203
167	905	196	16	14	6	523	1,660

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
168	260	372	8	5	0	316	961
169	1,121	1,387	17	21	0	1,151	3,697
170	1,039	597	14	18	3	841	2,512
171	1,046	839	15	20	3	883	2,806
172	1,548	2,250	29	35	8	1,910	5,780
173	840	1,112	22	9	2	990	2,975
174	1,675	1,311	19	55	5	1,546	4,611
175	924	1,720	37	48	6	1,470	4,205
176	471	726	11	17	3	646	1,874
177	1,326	1,223	35	43	6	1,427	4,060
178	857	1,011	42	16	5	915	2,846
179	1,062	1,575	24	21	4	1,064	3,750
180	1,122	1,120	31	39	11	1,106	3,429
181	1,098	1,343	23	26	2	1,085	3,577
182	1,270	1,279	34	32	9	1,303	3,927
183	473	600	11	9	4	506	1,603
184	1,571	2,902	36	38	1	2,324	6,872
185	606	699	21	19	4	661	2,010
186	113	123	2	1	0	100	339
187	1,189	1,417	24	20	2	1,085	3,737
188	678	1,243	20	14	2	880	2,837
189	695	336	7	18	5	566	1,627
190	782	297	11	22	4	704	1,820
191	364	446	16	5	0	372	1,203
192	1,126	1,082	24	21	10	973	3,236
193	579	662	1	12	1	443	1,698
194	945	1,345	21	19	7	1,037	3,374
195	1,007	1,609	23	54	1	1,288	3,982
197	861	939	26	37	0	959	2,822
198	475	1,222	31	24	4	754	2,510
199	815	1,121	18	18	0	809	2,781
200	556	1,019	11	10	1	781	2,378
201	1,066	1,090	32	46	4	1,262	3,500
202	1,133	1,333	29	23	7	1,147	3,672
203	700	428	11	22	3	664	1,828
204	69	326	5	7	0	145	552

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
205	800	1,039	5	5	1	841	2,691
206	106	231	4	2	0	146	489
207	852	806	4	11	0	712	2,385
208	498	570	19	20	0	688	1,795
209	920	1,158	9	2	0	859	2,948
210	1,059	569	7	12	3	678	2,328
211	852	768	9	6	2	657	2,294
212	490	608	10	9	1	491	1,609
213	143	140	2	5	0	163	453
214	1,203	814	16	25	3	951	3,012
215	438	677	23	12	7	533	1,690
216	780	1,073	12	15	0	875	2,755
217	620	592	20	35	2	935	2,204
218	1,932	1,938	54	46	4	2,033	6,007
219	886	962	31	20	7	933	2,839
220	1,023	1,192	23	15	2	956	3,211
221	121	357	5	6	1	211	701
222	458	995	28	19	4	837	2,341
223	520	603	15	21	0	707	1,866
224	1,654	2,313	61	67	6	2,529	6,630
225	1,220	1,138	31	37	13	1,308	3,747
226	314	393	5	3	2	287	1,004
227	1,724	2,023	37	53	5	2,277	6,119
228	456	404	6	8	2	304	1,180
229	1,065	2,612	61	48	6	1,949	5,741
230	426	257	9	7	2	345	1,046
231	1,835	2,323	49	56	6	2,493	6,762
232	1,967	1,218	39	59	5	2,189	5,477
233	973	713	19	22	1	856	2,584
234	966	596	12	20	4	726	2,324
235	2,187	932	38	68	9	1,790	5,024
236	579	141	5	9	1	523	1,258
237	747	485	10	12	3	614	1,871
238	1,076	826	18	22	9	961	2,912
239	1,541	1,003	20	26	4	1,220	3,814
240	27	47	2	0	0	35	111

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
241	635	621	17	8	3	572	1,856
242	684	864	18	14	1	844	2,425
243	549	905	15	6	2	623	2,100
244	454	103	7	2	1	350	917
245	999	198	13	15	7	554	1,786
246	1,127	178	11	17	11	646	1,990
247	238	54	1	4	0	166	463
248	501	98	6	12	0	312	929
249	635	1,272	36	34	2	1,130	3,109
250	19	32	0	0	0	26	77
252	782	935	23	10	3	725	2,478
253	86	37	3	2	1	122	251
254	321	138	7	9	2	273	750
257	416	732	13	9	4	629	1,803
258	46	135	4	0	0	81	266
260	220	154	5	8	0	176	563
262	852	856	29	22	3	892	2,654
264	281	187	5	14	3	280	770
265	95	63	2	1	0	59	220
266	45	96	1	3	0	73	218
267	19	5	0	1	0	17	42
269	85	75	3	4	0	69	236
271	272	223	11	10	0	361	877
272	711	322	7	13	1	609	1,663
273	20	20	0	0	0	40	80
274	107	87	2	0	0	74	270
275	1,304	1,449	27	41	3	1,491	4,315
Total	238,219	187,152	4,556	5,696	1,151	223,501	660,275

Ballots Processed Information



Pima County Recorder

2026 RTA ELECTION

March 10, 2026

Canvass Information

Our office contributes Voter Registration and Early Voting data to the official election record called the Canvass Report. The Election Department is in charge of adding our data to their tabulation and audit data, and submitting it to the Pima County Board of Supervisors for approval and then on to the AZ Secretary of State. Once final, the Canvass Report is available to the public on the Elections Department Website.

All by Mail Ballots Processed

Total Original Ballots Sent	651,388
Total Early Ballots Returned	243,319
Total Ballots Returned Unvoted *	(33,338)
Total Curable Ballots Rejected **	(424)
Ballots Redlined before Tabulation ***	32
Unresolved Redlines	(23)
Turned over for tabulation	209,533

*** Ballots Returned Unvoted:** Ballots returned as Undeliverable or with notation that the voter is deceased. These ballots are retained by the Recorder's Office for Voter Registration Roll maintenance purposes.

**** Curable Ballots Rejected:** Only represents ballots that were eligible to be signature verified but could not confirm voter's signature.

***** Redline:** The Early Election Board rejected the Ballot Affidavit for containing something other than a 2026 RTA ELECTION ballot and were removed from the turnover receipt. Voters were informed by the Recorder's Office.



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

Curing Summary (all precincts)

Reason	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	2				2
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT				6	6
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	59	7			66
MISSING SIGNATURE	63	63		122	248
NOT ELIGIBLE		3			3
SIGNED BY POA	1	5		3	9
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	156	3			159
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2,379	348		6	2,733
UNDELIVERABLE	883		33,270	1,836	35,989
UNDER 18	1				1
VOTED TWICE	4				4
VOTER DECEASED			30		30
VOTER MOVED			38		38
Total	3,548	429	33,338	1,973	39,288



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 1

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	135	3	142
Precinct Total:	7	2	135	3	147

PRECINCT: 2

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	22	0	22
Precinct Total:	1	1	22	0	24

PRECINCT: 3

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	35	0	36
Precinct Total:	1	0	35	0	36

PRECINCT: 4

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	112	0	112
Precinct Total:	3	0	112	0	115

PRECINCT: 5

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	2	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	229	6	235
Precinct Total:	13	2	229	7	251

PRECINCT: 6

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	32	5	0	0	37
UNDELIVERABLE	10	0	394	24	428
Precinct Total:	43	5	394	25	467

PRECINCT: 7					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	12	0	12
Precinct Total:	0	0	12	0	12

PRECINCT: 8					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	19	2	21
Precinct Total:	1	0	19	3	23

PRECINCT: 9					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	5	0	0	18
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	118	14	133
Precinct Total:	14	5	118	14	151

PRECINCT: 10					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	2	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	0	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	149	8	166
Precinct Total:	23	0	149	10	182

PRECINCT: 11					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	0	0	0	14



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	123	6	130
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	15	0	123	7	145

PRECINCT: 12					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	21	2	0	0	23
UNDELIVERABLE	13	0	489	35	537
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	38	2	489	35	564

PRECINCT: 13					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	383	21	407
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	9	1	383	21	414

PRECINCT: 14					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	0	0	1	12
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	371	15	389
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	17	0	371	16	404

PRECINCT: 15					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	101	6	108
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	11	1	101	6	119



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 16					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	1	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	42	6	49
Precinct Total:	14	2	42	6	64

PRECINCT: 17					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	0	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	89	2	94
Precinct Total:	15	0	89	2	106

PRECINCT: 18					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	67	3	74
Precinct Total:	10	2	67	4	83

PRECINCT: 19					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	14	1	15
Precinct Total:	3	0	14	1	18

PRECINCT: 20					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	2	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	84	5	90
Precinct Total:	14	2	84	5	105



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 21					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	5	0	0	0	5
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	72	6	79
Precinct Total:	20	3	72	6	101

PRECINCT: 22					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	4	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	93	2	98
Precinct Total:	12	4	93	3	112

PRECINCT: 23					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	4	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	41	3	45
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	6	4	42	3	55

PRECINCT: 24					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	156	5	162
Precinct Total:	9	1	156	6	172

PRECINCT: 25					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	2	0	0	13



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	64	5	70
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	13	2	64	5	84

PRECINCT: 26	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	58	1	60
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	0	58	1	67

PRECINCT: 28	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	2	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	22	0	23
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	2	22	2	30

PRECINCT: 29	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	31	2	35
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	1	31	2	41

PRECINCT: 30	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	379	28	411
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	20	2	379	29	430

PRECINCT: 31	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	7



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	76	1	78
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	2	76	1	86

PRECINCT: 32					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	2	0	0	2	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	0	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	161	5	170
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	19	0	161	7	187

PRECINCT: 33					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	1	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	133	10	148
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	1	133	11	161

PRECINCT: 34					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	64	4	68
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	0	64	4	71

PRECINCT: 35					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	9	0	9
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	0	9	0	12

PRECINCT: 36					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	3	0	2	6



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	4	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	168	13	183
Precinct Total:	14	7	168	15	204

PRECINCT: 37					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	52	2	54
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	1	1	53	2	57

PRECINCT: 38					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	74	6	82
Precinct Total:	10	0	74	6	90

PRECINCT: 39					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	2	0	1	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	3	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	55	7	66
Precinct Total:	19	5	55	8	87

PRECINCT: 40					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	3	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	284	10	295
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	12	1	286	13	312



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 41					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	27	6	0	0	33
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	146	6	157
Precinct Total:	35	6	146	7	194

PRECINCT: 42					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	170	12	186
Precinct Total:	18	2	170	12	202

PRECINCT: 43					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	68	4	75
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	6	2	68	4	80

PRECINCT: 44					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	95	4	105
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	11	0	96	4	111

PRECINCT: 45					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	2



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	86	8	103
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	25	3	87	8	123

PRECINCT: 46	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	40	1	43
Precinct Total:	7	1	40	1	49

PRECINCT: 47	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	2	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	116	2	119
Precinct Total:	8	2	116	2	128

PRECINCT: 48	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	68	2	70
Precinct Total:	7	1	68	2	78

PRECINCT: 49	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	60	5	66
Precinct Total:	8	0	60	5	73

PRECINCT: 50	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	6



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	51	1	57
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	11	2	51	1	65

PRECINCT: 51					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	3	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	47	1	50
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	3	47	1	56

PRECINCT: 52					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	3	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	52	0	52
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	3	52	1	62

PRECINCT: 53					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	20	1	0	0	21
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	188	7	197
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	23	1	188	8	220

PRECINCT: 54					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	49	4	53
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	0	49	4	57

PRECINCT: 55					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	79	3	84
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	2	79	4	92

PRECINCT: 56					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	1	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	90	6	99
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	2	90	7	115

PRECINCT: 57					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	179	15	201
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	1	181	15	213

PRECINCT: 58					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	37	2	42
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	1	37	2	48

PRECINCT: 59					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	45	5	50
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	1	1	45	5	52



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 60		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	84	1	86
VOTED TWICE		1	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:		10	1	84	1	96

PRECINCT: 61		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE		4	0	54	1	59
Precinct Total:		20	1	54	1	76

PRECINCT: 62		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		5	1	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	300	4	307
Precinct Total:		9	1	300	5	315

PRECINCT: 63		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		6	1	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	44	1	48
Precinct Total:		9	1	44	1	55

PRECINCT: 64		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		6	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	103	3	107
Precinct Total:		7	1	103	3	114



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 66	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	69	3	72
Precinct Total:	8	1	69	3	81

PRECINCT: 67	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	0	0	0	10
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	178	17	203
Precinct Total:	19	0	178	17	214

PRECINCT: 68	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	50	6	59
Precinct Total:	9	0	50	6	65

PRECINCT: 69	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	3	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	13	0	143	10	166
Precinct Total:	27	3	143	11	184

PRECINCT: 70	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	14	1	18
Precinct Total:	3	2	14	1	20



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 71		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	16	0	16
Precinct Total:		0	0	16	0	16

PRECINCT: 72		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		1	2	0	0	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE		7	0	319	15	341
VOTER DECEASED		0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:		19	3	321	15	358

PRECINCT: 73		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE		2	1	0	0	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		13	0	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE		5	0	77	10	92
VOTER MOVED		0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:		23	1	78	10	112

PRECINCT: 74		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		17	0	0	0	17
UNDELIVERABLE		10	0	134	9	153
VOTER DECEASED		0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:		30	0	135	9	174



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 75					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	2	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	111	8	123
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	9	2	112	9	132

PRECINCT: 76					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	29	0	29
Precinct Total:	0	0	29	0	29

PRECINCT: 77					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	119	11	134
Precinct Total:	11	0	119	11	141

PRECINCT: 78					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	51	5	62
Precinct Total:	17	1	51	5	74

PRECINCT: 79					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	16	2	0	0	18
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	301	10	315
Precinct Total:	21	3	301	10	335



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 80					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	2	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	1	16
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	98	1	104
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	19	3	99	2	123

PRECINCT: 81					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	58	6	69
Precinct Total:	12	0	58	6	76

PRECINCT: 82					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	64	3	73
Precinct Total:	16	1	64	3	84

PRECINCT: 83					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	79	1	80
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	7	1	80	1	89

PRECINCT: 84					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	5
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	41	3	0	0	44
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	374	22	405



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	55	5	375	22	457

PRECINCT: 85					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	142	5	150
Precinct Total:	4	1	142	5	152

PRECINCT: 86					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	17	2	0	0	19
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	64	4	70
Precinct Total:	20	2	64	6	92

PRECINCT: 87					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	35	3	41
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	8	1	36	3	48

PRECINCT: 88					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	16	4	0	0	20
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	241	9	254
Precinct Total:	26	4	241	9	280



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 89					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	0	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	179	13	196
Precinct Total:	15	0	179	14	208

PRECINCT: 90					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	5	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	44	2	46
Precinct Total:	3	5	44	2	54

PRECINCT: 91					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	0	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	205	12	223
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	19	0	206	12	237

PRECINCT: 92					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	2	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	43	0	43
Precinct Total:	13	3	43	0	59

PRECINCT: 93					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	2	0	0	11



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	60	3	65
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	12	2	60	4	78

PRECINCT: 94					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	59	11	72
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	0	59	11	78

PRECINCT: 95					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	15	3	19
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	1	15	3	24

PRECINCT: 96					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	52	5	61
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	2	52	5	75

PRECINCT: 97					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	70	4	75
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	9	2	70	4	85



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 98	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	1	6
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	163	8	173
Precinct Total:	8	2	163	9	182

PRECINCT: 99	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	1	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	111	5	125
Precinct Total:	22	1	111	5	139

PRECINCT: 100	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	3	4
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	131	6	139
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	9	2	134	9	154

PRECINCT: 101	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	44	1	45
Precinct Total:	8	1	44	1	54

PRECINCT: 102	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	8	0	8
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	1	0	8	0	9

PRECINCT: 103	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
SIGNED BY POA	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	44	6	52
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	2	45	8	60

PRECINCT: 104	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	26	2	0	0	28
UNDELIVERABLE	12	0	210	6	228
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	41	2	211	6	260

PRECINCT: 105	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	81	4	86
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	2	0	81	5	88

PRECINCT: 106	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	3	0	0	10



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	28	2	32
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	12	3	28	2	45

PRECINCT: 107					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	2	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	11	0	188	20	219
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	24	2	189	20	235

PRECINCT: 108					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	2	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	10	0	254	10	274
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	28	2	254	13	297

PRECINCT: 109					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	4	0	0	0	4
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	23	5	0	0	28
UNDELIVERABLE	14	0	353	26	393
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	44	5	353	27	429

PRECINCT: 110					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	31	1	32
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	1	1	31	1	34

PRECINCT: 111	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	3	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	39	2	43
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	3	40	3	52

PRECINCT: 112	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	3
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	199	10	211
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	19	3	199	10	231

PRECINCT: 113	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	111	9	120
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	0	112	10	128

PRECINCT: 114	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	170	2	172
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	2	0	170	2	174

PRECINCT: 115					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	25	2	0	1	28
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	297	11	311
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	31	3	297	12	343

PRECINCT: 116					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	21	3	0	0	24
UNDELIVERABLE	10	0	294	24	328
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	31	4	295	26	356

PRECINCT: 117					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	1	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	130	4	139
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	18	1	130	4	153

PRECINCT: 118					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	224	8	240
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	24	1	224	9	258

PRECINCT: 119					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	2	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	0	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	140	10	155
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	19	1	140	12	172

PRECINCT: 120					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	2	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	139	2	142
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	13	2	139	2	156

PRECINCT: 121					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	33	0	33
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	0	33	0	38

PRECINCT: 122					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	114	8	125
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	1	114	10	133

PRECINCT: 123					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	21	4	0	0	25
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	422	20	446
Precinct Total:	29	4	422	20	475

PRECINCT: 124					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	145	6	155
Precinct Total:	12	0	145	6	163

PRECINCT: 125					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	3	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	120	4	129
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	17	4	121	4	146

PRECINCT: 126					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	0	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	71	2	76
Precinct Total:	17	0	71	2	90

PRECINCT: 127					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	46	4	0	0	50
UNDELIVERABLE	12	0	326	34	372
Precinct Total:	62	5	326	34	427



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 128

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	2	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	174	16	191
Precinct Total:	15	2	174	17	208

PRECINCT: 129

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	258	10	276
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	14	0	259	11	284

PRECINCT: 130

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	3	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	142	10	152
UNDER 18	1	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	13	3	142	11	169

PRECINCT: 131

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	5	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	90	3	97
Precinct Total:	14	5	90	4	113

PRECINCT: 132

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	73	4	79
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	0	73	4	85

PRECINCT: 133	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	251	10	269
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	23	1	252	11	287

PRECINCT: 134	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	191	7	203
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	12	1	191	7	211

PRECINCT: 135	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	3	0	3
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	0	1	3	0	4

PRECINCT: 136	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	3	0	3
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	0	0	3	0	3



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 137		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	7	0	7
Precinct Total:		0	0	7	0	7

PRECINCT: 138		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		0	1	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	14	0	14
Precinct Total:		0	1	14	0	15

PRECINCT: 139		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	26	1	27
Precinct Total:		1	0	26	1	28

PRECINCT: 140		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	53	2	55
Precinct Total:		4	0	53	2	59

PRECINCT: 141		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		0	1	0	5	6
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		22	3	0	0	25
UNDELIVERABLE		10	0	236	18	264
Precinct Total:		32	5	236	23	296

PRECINCT: 142		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		0	2	0	0	2



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	19	3	0	0	22
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	199	8	211
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	23	5	200	8	236

PRECINCT: 143					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	113	8	124
Precinct Total:	4	0	113	8	125

PRECINCT: 144					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	53	5	59
Precinct Total:	8	0	53	5	66

PRECINCT: 145					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	31	5	0	0	36
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	284	19	312
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	1
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	44	6	286	19	355

PRECINCT: 146					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	11	0	432	20	463
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	18	1	433	20	472



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 147					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	0	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	139	12	153
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	1
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	15	0	140	13	168

PRECINCT: 148					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	15	0	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	139	12	154
Precinct Total:	18	1	139	12	170

PRECINCT: 149					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	1	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	200	9	212
Precinct Total:	15	2	200	9	226

PRECINCT: 150					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	2	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	145	13	158
Precinct Total:	1	2	145	13	161

PRECINCT: 151					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	35	1	37
Precinct Total:	9	2	35	2	48

PRECINCT: 152	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	11	0	11
Precinct Total:	1	0	11	0	12

PRECINCT: 153	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	17	5	0	0	22
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	139	6	146
Precinct Total:	18	6	139	7	170

PRECINCT: 154	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	237	12	254
Precinct Total:	11	2	237	13	263

PRECINCT: 155	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	59	0	59
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	3	1	61	0	65

PRECINCT: 156	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	28	3	31
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	0	28	3	34

PRECINCT: 157	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	1	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	136	5	144
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	2	136	6	160

PRECINCT: 158	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	133	3	142
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	14	1	133	3	151

PRECINCT: 159	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	0	0	0	10
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	176	11	187
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	11	0	177	11	199

PRECINCT: 160	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	80	0	80
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	2	80	0	85

PRECINCT: 161	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	36	0	36
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	0	36	0	40

PRECINCT: 162					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SIGNED BY POA	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	3	0	0	10
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	194	9	209
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	13	3	194	10	220

PRECINCT: 163					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	3	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	165	6	176
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	15	3	165	6	189

PRECINCT: 164					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	2	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	11	0	256	11	278
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	26	2	257	11	296

PRECINCT: 165					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	44	9	54
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	1	45	9	59

PRECINCT: 166					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	12	0	385	18	415
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	26	1	386	18	431

PRECINCT: 167	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	2	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	96	12	108
Precinct Total:	11	3	96	12	122

PRECINCT: 168	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	34	1	35
Precinct Total:	4	1	34	1	40

PRECINCT: 169	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	2	0	3	5
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	17	1	0	0	18
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	199	8	211
Precinct Total:	25	3	199	12	239

PRECINCT: 170	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	1	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	1	7
UNDELIVERABLE	10	0	203	9	222



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	18	1	204	11	234

PRECINCT: 171	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	2	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	172	17	196
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	21	4	172	18	215

PRECINCT: 172	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	3	4
SIGNED BY POA	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	21	6	0	0	27
UNDELIVERABLE	18	0	280	19	317
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	40	6	280	23	349

PRECINCT: 173	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	0	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	205	17	228
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	20	0	205	18	243

PRECINCT: 174	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	15	1	0	0	16



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	12	0	384	16	412
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	27	1	384	17	429

PRECINCT: 175					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	3	0	0	17
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	308	21	335
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	20	4	308	21	353

PRECINCT: 176					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	103	9	112
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	1	103	9	120

PRECINCT: 177					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	197	9	209
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	17	2	198	9	226

PRECINCT: 178					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	0	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	240	7	251
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	21	0	240	8	269



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 179					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	2	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	19	5	0	0	24
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	130	11	148
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	27	6	132	13	178

PRECINCT: 180					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	3	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	251	9	267
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	23	3	253	9	288

PRECINCT: 181					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	7	0	0	18
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	127	7	140
Precinct Total:	18	7	127	8	160

PRECINCT: 182					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	20	4	0	0	24
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	163	9	172
Precinct Total:	21	4	163	9	197



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 183	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	69	5	77
Precinct Total:	10	1	69	6	86

PRECINCT: 184	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	4	0	0	0	4
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	34	2	0	0	36
UNDELIVERABLE	19	0	407	29	455
Precinct Total:	59	2	407	31	499

PRECINCT: 185	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	139	6	148
Precinct Total:	10	2	139	6	157

PRECINCT: 186	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	1	1	2	0	4

PRECINCT: 187	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	22	4	0	0	26



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	168	11	185
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	30	4	170	13	217

PRECINCT: 188	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	15	2	0	0	17
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	153	6	162
Precinct Total:	18	2	153	6	179

PRECINCT: 189	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	96	8	110
Precinct Total:	13	1	96	8	118

PRECINCT: 190	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	2	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	53	3	56
Precinct Total:	3	4	53	4	64

PRECINCT: 191	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	57	2	62
Precinct Total:	6	1	57	2	66

PRECINCT: 192	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	3	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	197	12	213
Precinct Total:	17	3	197	12	229



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 193	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	3	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	2	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	127	15	151
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	18	3	128	18	167

PRECINCT: 194	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	265	16	289
Precinct Total:	15	2	265	16	298

PRECINCT: 195	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	4	0	0	0	4
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	20	3	0	0	23
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	201	17	223
Precinct Total:	29	4	201	17	251

PRECINCT: 197	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SIGNED BY POA	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	2	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	151	9	164
Precinct Total:	18	2	151	9	180

PRECINCT: 198	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	3	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	67	1	69
Precinct Total:	11	3	67	1	82

PRECINCT: 199					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	78	4	86
VOTER MOVED	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	15	1	81	5	102

PRECINCT: 200					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	106	2	113
Precinct Total:	17	1	106	3	127

PRECINCT: 201					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	4	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	278	9	294
Precinct Total:	19	4	278	10	311

PRECINCT: 202					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	20	1	0	0	21
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	191	7	203
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

VOTER MOVED	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	26	1	195	7	229

PRECINCT: 203					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	45	6	54
Precinct Total:	7	0	45	8	60

PRECINCT: 204					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	2	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	12	0	12
Precinct Total:	1	2	12	0	15

PRECINCT: 205					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	2	0	0	4	6
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	1	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	172	6	184
Precinct Total:	22	1	172	10	205

PRECINCT: 206					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	15	4	19
Precinct Total:	3	1	15	4	23

PRECINCT: 207					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	1	0	0	13
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	157	13	179
Precinct Total:	22	1	157	14	194

PRECINCT: 208					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	0	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	98	4	103
Precinct Total:	12	0	98	5	115

PRECINCT: 209					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	11	1	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	245	14	262
Precinct Total:	14	1	245	16	276

PRECINCT: 210					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	12	2	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	80	6	92
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	19	3	81	6	109

PRECINCT: 211					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	1	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	139	11	159
Precinct Total:	18	1	139	11	169



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 212

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	88	3	92
Precinct Total:	9	1	88	3	101

PRECINCT: 213

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	25	2	28
Precinct Total:	4	0	25	2	31

PRECINCT: 214

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	0	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	272	11	289
Precinct Total:	16	0	272	11	299

PRECINCT: 215

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	54	1	55
Precinct Total:	4	1	54	1	60

PRECINCT: 216

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	2	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	10	1	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	135	9	148
Precinct Total:	16	1	135	11	163



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 217					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	138	7	149
Precinct Total:	11	0	138	7	156

PRECINCT: 218					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	1	1
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	35	3	0	0	38
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	266	20	292
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	45	3	267	21	336

PRECINCT: 219					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	0	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	159	10	172
Precinct Total:	13	0	159	11	183

PRECINCT: 220					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	130	7	142
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	23	1	131	9	164



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 221

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	31	1	33
Precinct Total:	3	0	31	1	35

PRECINCT: 222

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	98	7	105
Precinct Total:	7	1	98	7	113

PRECINCT: 223

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	15	1	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	147	8	160
Precinct Total:	21	1	147	8	177

PRECINCT: 224

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	28	2	0	0	30
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	352	19	377
Precinct Total:	36	3	352	20	411

PRECINCT: 225

	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	4	0	0	17
UNDELIVERABLE	7	0	239	29	275
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	23	4	241	29	297

PRECINCT: 226					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	3	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	31	1	34
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	3	31	1	42

PRECINCT: 227					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	19	2	0	1	22
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	322	20	344
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	23	2	322	22	369

PRECINCT: 228					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	31	3	36
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	0	31	3	39

PRECINCT: 229					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	2	0	0	0	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	31	1	0	0	32
UNDELIVERABLE	6	0	283	7	296
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	40	1	283	7	331



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 230	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	42	0	43
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	3	1	43	1	48

PRECINCT: 231	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	19	4	0	0	23
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	265	10	277
Precinct Total:	21	4	265	10	300

PRECINCT: 232	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	16	5	0	0	21
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	185	11	200
Precinct Total:	20	5	185	12	222

PRECINCT: 233	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	3	0	0	10
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	61	3	64
Precinct Total:	7	3	61	4	75

PRECINCT: 234	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	3	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	2	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	67	2	71
VOTER MOVED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	7	2	68	5	82



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 235					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	3	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	121	13	134
Precinct Total:	17	4	121	13	155

PRECINCT: 236					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	31	0	31
Precinct Total:	0	1	31	1	33

PRECINCT: 237					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	140	6	154
Precinct Total:	13	0	140	7	160

PRECINCT: 238					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	2	0	0	10
UNDELIVERABLE	12	0	206	17	235
Precinct Total:	20	2	206	17	245

PRECINCT: 239					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	2	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	13	3	0	0	16



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	212	13	233
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	23	4	212	15	254

PRECINCT: 240					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	10	1	14
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	0	10	1	16

PRECINCT: 241					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	100	4	109
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	11	2	100	5	118

PRECINCT: 242					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	3	0	0	0	3
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	2	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	99	7	109
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	16	2	99	7	124

PRECINCT: 243					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	82	3	86
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	9	2	82	3	96



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 244	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	39	2	41
Precinct Total:	5	0	39	2	46

PRECINCT: 245	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	0	0	1	2
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	1	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	80	4	86
Precinct Total:	11	1	80	5	97

PRECINCT: 246	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	2	4
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	102	8	114
Precinct Total:	13	3	102	10	128

PRECINCT: 247	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	17	0	17
Precinct Total:	0	0	17	0	17

PRECINCT: 248	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	22	2	24
Precinct Total:	3	1	22	2	28



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 249					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	18	1	0	0	19
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	161	7	169
VOTER MOVED	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	20	1	163	8	192

PRECINCT: 250					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	4	0	4
Precinct Total:	0	0	4	0	4

PRECINCT: 252					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	1	0	0	15
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	112	10	123
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	16	1	113	10	140

PRECINCT: 253					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	74	6	80
Precinct Total:	1	0	74	6	81

PRECINCT: 254					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	49	1	50
Precinct Total:	0	0	49	1	50

PRECINCT: 257					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	6



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	78	4	82
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	0	78	4	88

PRECINCT: 258					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	13	0	13
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	1	0	13	0	14

PRECINCT: 260					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	43	0	44
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	1	43	0	47

PRECINCT: 262					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	1
MISSING SIGNATURE	1	1	0	1	3
SUPERVISOR REVIEW	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	100	2	104
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	9	1	100	3	113

PRECINCT: 264					
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	52	0	53
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	2	0	52	0	54



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 265		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	9	0	9
Precinct Total:		0	0	9	0	9

PRECINCT: 266		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	12	1	13
Precinct Total:		2	0	12	1	15

PRECINCT: 269		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	1	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	13	1	14
Precinct Total:		1	1	13	1	16

PRECINCT: 271		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	38	4	42
Precinct Total:		2	0	38	4	44

PRECINCT: 272		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	2	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	33	0	34
Precinct Total:		4	2	33	0	39



2026 RTA ELECTION

Ballot Curing Outcome Total (By Precinct)

PRECINCT: 273		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	9	1	10
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		0	0	9	1	10

PRECINCT: 274		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	7	0	7
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		0	0	7	0	7

PRECINCT: 275		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
MISSING SIGNATURE		0	0	0	1	1
SUPERVISOR REVIEW		5	0	0	0	5
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		14	0	0	0	14
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	238	12	251
VOTER DECEASED		0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		20	0	239	13	272

CURING TOTALS:	3,548	429	33,338	1,973	39,288

BALLOTS PROCESSED REPORT

Regional Transportation Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026



All Mail Ballots	Totals
Ballots Processed	209,533
Rejected	0
Ballots Counted	209,533

Provisionals	Totals
Total Received	0
Verified / Ballots Counted	0
Unverified / Ballots Uncounted	0
Rejected	0
Ballots Counted	0

Conditional Provisionals	Totals
Total Received	0
Verified / Ballots Counted	0
Unverified / Ballots Uncounted	0
Rejected	0
Ballots Counted	0

Total Ballots Counted	209,533

Notes: Elections Department Data Only.

DUPLICATION & ADJUDICATION REPORT

Regional Transportation Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026



Duplication Information	Totals
Ballots Duplicated	602

Reasons for Duplication	Totals
Military/Faxed	4
Timing Mark	161
Torn/Damaged	411
Braille	2
Large Print	9
Other	15

Adjudication Information	Totals
Approved with No Changes	290
Approved with Changes	614
Total Adjudicated	904

Reasons for Adjudication	Totals
Blank Ballot	195
Blank Ballot, Unclear Mark	39
Overvote	402
Unclear Mark	91
Unclear Mark, Overvote	1
Unclear Mark, Undervote	136
Undervote, Overvote	40

Notes: Elections Department Data Only.

Certification Information



February 4, 2026

The Honorable Adrian Fontes
Secretary of State
State Capitol – West Wing
1700 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Secretary Fontes,

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-445, the Pima County Department of Elections is filing a backup of the EMS Software and Database that is going to be used for the 2026 Regional Transportation Authority Special Election.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (520) 724-6830.

Sincerely,

Constance L. Hargrove, Director
Pima County Department of Elections

System Back Up Notice

ADDITIONAL REPORTING FORMS

Equipment, Software, Firmware, and Hash Code Certification Statement

I, Constance L. Hargrove certify by affixing my signature to this document that all election equipment and firmware, as well as all election management system software to be used in the 2026 RTA Election Election to be held on March 10, 2026 IN Pima County has been certified for use by the Arizona Secretary of State and that I have compared the hash code on file with the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) or the Arizona Secretary of State's Office to the hash code of the election management system software to be used in this election and certify that the numbers are identical. The following is a list of the equipment, firmware, and election management system software to be used in the aforementioned election:

VOTING UNITS

Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
Election Systems & Software	ExpressVote Version 1.0	4.4.0.0
Election Systems & Software	ExpressVote Version 2.0	4.4.0.0

CENTRAL COUNT UNITS

Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
Election Systems & Software	DS 850	4.4.0.0
Election Systems & Software	DS 950	4.4.0.0

ELECTION MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE

Manufacturer	Product Name	Version
Election Systems & Software	ElectionWare (EMS System)	6.5.0.0



Officer in Charge of Elections Signature

2/4/2026

Date

2026 Election Cycle (2025& 2026)





Certification of Completion

In-House Logic & Accuracy Test

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a test was held for the

2026 RTA Special Election, on the

30th day of January, 2026 at 10:41 AM
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EMS System's summary reports
match printouts and the predetermined results.

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature



Certification of Completion

In-House EMS Hash Validation

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a Hash Code verification was held for the

2026 RTA Special Election

and we declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that
the foregoing is true and correct, on the

30th day of January, 2026 at 10:41 AM
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature



Certification of Completion

Political Party Logic & Accuracy Test

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a test was held for the

2026 RTA Special Election
on the
5th day of February, 2026 at 10:20 AM
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EMS System's precinct and
summary report match the printouts and the pre-audit reports.

Barbara Teeman

Member's Signature

Rodney Lee

Member's Signature

C. Nini

Member's Signature

John Stabala Pima County GOP

Member's Signature



Certification of Completion


Official Logic & Accuracy Test

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a test was held for the

2026 RTA Special Election, on the

6th day of February, 2026 at 9 :58 AM
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EMS System's summary reports
match printouts and the predetermined results.

 6 Feb 2026
Member's Signature

 6 - Feb - 2026
Member's Signature

 6 - Feb 2026
Member's Signature

 6 - Feb - 2026
Member's Signature



Completion Certification Form by the Pima County Accuracy Certification Board

We, the undersigned members of the Accuracy Certification Board, having been duly appointed for the 2026 RTA Special Election held in the County of Pima, State of Arizona, on the 6th day of February, 2026 at 9:58 AM verify the

Logic and Accuracy Test ballots as required by A.R.S. § 16-449 for use on the accessible voting devices and systems, adopted pursuant to the Secretary of State's Procedures Manual, do hereby certify to the Secretary of State:

1. THAT the pre-audited group of ballots, prepared pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-449, have been used to ascertain that the equipment and programs, being used in this election, correctly counted the votes cast for all offices and measures;
2. THAT the pre-vote counting test results have been compared with the predetermined correct totals for each office and ballot measure;
3. THAT the cause of any discrepancy was found and corrected; and
4. THAT the Logic and Accuracy Test programs, the Logic and Accuracy test ballots, and the Logic and Accuracy test printed output which were certified as correct by the Accuracy Certification Board were delivered into the custody of the Elections Director or the Office of the Secretary of State.

We declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature] 6 Feb 2026
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 6-FEB-2026
Member's Signature Date

Barbara Tullman 6-Feb-2026
Member's Signature Date

Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 6-Feb-2026
Member's Signature Date

Member's Signature Date



Completion Form by the Pima County Accuracy Certification Board

We, the undersigned members of the Accuracy Certification Board, having been duly appointed for the 2026 RTA Special Election held in the County of Pima, State of Arizona, on the 16th day of March, 2026 at 3:43pm verify the

POST Logic and Accuracy Test ballots as required by A.R.S. § 16-449 for use on the central count systems, adopted pursuant to the Secretary of State's Procedures Manual, do hereby certify to the Secretary of State:

1. THAT the pre-audited group of ballots, prepared pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-449, have been used to ascertain that the equipment and programs, being used in this election, correctly counted the votes cast for all offices and measures;
2. THAT the pre-vote counting test results have been compared with the predetermined correct totals for each office and ballot measure;
3. THAT the cause of any discrepancy was found and corrected; and
4. THAT the Logic and Accuracy Test programs, the Logic and Accuracy test ballots, and the Logic and Accuracy test printed output which were certified as correct by the Accuracy Certification Board were delivered into the custody of the Elections Director or the Office of the Secretary of State.

We declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct.

Barbara Tellman 3-16-26
Member's Signature Date

Jalel Thabale 3-16-26
Member's Signature Date

Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 3/16/26
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 3/16/26
Member's Signature Date

Member's Signature Date

Drop-Off & Replacement Sites


Regional Transportation Authority Special Election - March 10, 2026

Ballot Replacement & Drop-Off Locations

Ballot Replacement & Drop-Off Sites					
Sitios de reemplazo de boletas					
Site Sitio	Address Dirección	Accessibility Accesibilidad	Votemobile Votomóvil	Dates/Times M-F only Fechas/Horas Lun-Vie solamente	
1	Recorder's Main Office	240 N Stone Ave	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>	n/a	2/11 - 3/9 8 am - 5 pm Election Day, Tuesday 3/10 Día de Elección, martes 3/10 6 am - 7 pm
2	Recorder's Country Club Office	6550 S Country Club Rd	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>	n/a	
3	City of Tucson - Ward 2 Office	7820 E Broadway Blvd	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>	n/a	
4	Tohono Plaza (aka Bashas' Plaza)	1 Main St, Sells	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>		Monday, Mar. 2 10 am - 3 pm
5	Salazar-Ajo Library	15 Plaza #179, Ajo	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>		Wednesday, Mar. 4 10 am - 3 pm
6	La Villita Community Center	71 W Sahuarita Rd	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>		Thursday, Mar. 5 10 am - 3 pm
7	Nanini Library	7300 N Shannon Rd	ADA Compliant <i>Cumple con la ADA</i>		Monday, Mar. 9 10 am - 3 pm

Ballot Drop Box Locations		
<i>Ubicaciones de Buzones de Votación</i>		
Site* <i>Sítio</i>	Address <i>Dirección</i>	Dates and Times <i>Fechas y horarios</i>
Recorder's Main Office - Drive up	Utility driveway between/ <i>camino de servicios públicos entre</i> 240 N Stone & 200 N Stone	Open 24 hours 8 am on 2/11 to 7 pm on 3/10 abierto 24 horas <i>8 am del 2/11 hasta las 7 pm del 3/10</i>
Recorder's Main Office - Walk up	Courtyard facing/ <i>Patio frente a</i> 240 N. Stone	
Recorder's Eastside Office - Walk up	6920 E Broadway Blvd	

*Secured 24 hour Ballot Drop Box located outside



2026 RTA ELECTION

Early Site Ballots Issued/Spoiled Totals

Date Range: 2/11/2026 - 3/10/2026

Early Sites	Totals
COUNTRY CLUB	45
DOWNTOWN	238
Mobile Voting Unit	42
TUCSON CITY COUNCIL WARD 2	148
Voted Ballots Total	473

Voter Education Report

Public Service Center Building
240 N. Stone Ave., First floor
Tucson, AZ 85701



Document Recording: (520) 724-4350
Voter Registration: (520) 724-4330

Mailing Address:
PO Box 3145
Tucson, AZ 85702-3145

Social: @PimaRecorder
Web: recorder.pima.gov

Gabriella Cázares-Kelly, Recorder

Pima County Recorder's Office Communications and Engagement Team Canvass Report Regional Transportation Authority Special Election March 10, 2026

March 10, 2026, RTA Special Election Media Summary								
Media Type	English & Spanish	Start Date	End Date	Quantity	Reach	Views	Clicks	Interactions
Organic Social Media (Facebook)	Y	1/23/2026	03/10/2026	12	6.9K	19.9K	2.2K	1.0K
Organic Social Media (Instagram)	Y	1/23/2026	03/10/2026	12	4.3K	13.0K	1	303
Newspaper Digital Advertisement (Paid)	N	2/08/2026	03/08/2026	18	13,390 Impressions		53	N/A
Newspaper Advertisement (Paid)	N	2/08/2026	03/08/2026	18	N/A		N/A	N/A
Newsletter	Y	2/18/2026	02/18/2026	1	162	N/A	54	69

Public Service Center Building
240 N. Stone Ave., First floor
Tucson, AZ 85701

Document Recording: (520) 724-4350
Voter Registration: (520) 724-4330



Mailing Address:
PO Box 3145
Tucson, AZ 85702-3145

Social: @PimaRecorder
Web: recorder.pima.gov

Gabriella Cázares-Kelly, Recorder

Pima County Recorder's Office

Communications and Engagement Canvass Report

Regional Transportation Authority Special Election March 10, 2026

March 10, 2026, RTA Special Election

Voter Education and Engagement Summary

Number Media Interviews:	5
Number Outreach Engagement/education events	18
Total number voters registered	74
Total number of voters engaged	1,111
Number of press releases/public announcements:	3
Number of locations Where Voting information was on Public Display:	3
Length of Time Voting Information was on Public Display	N/A

Proposition Text

**REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION AUTHORITY
SPECIAL ELECTION
MARCH 10, 2026
PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA**

Relating to the county transportation excise (sales) tax

20-year Regional Transportation Plan (RTA Next) Elements

The \$2.67 billion Regional Transportation Authority's plan (RTA Next) includes the following five elements for improvements across the region's transportation network and one administrative-related element:

1) Roadway (Multimodal) Corridor Element

- 31 new corridor improvement projects to add/improve five interstate interchanges, add lane capacity, new bike lanes and sidewalks, street lighting, and improve drainage and landscaping
- 7 corridor projects from the RTA's 2006 regional transportation plan with updated scopes and/or funding needs that reflect the changes in growth, traffic patterns and increased project costs
- Roadway projects may include combinations of transit, safety, pedestrian and bicycle improvements
- The development process for Roadway corridor projects will include citizen input

These improvements represent \$1.42 billion or 53.2% of the projected RTA revenues. In addition, \$978 million in non-RTA funding is committed for these projects.

2) Safety, ADA and Active Transportation Element

- Safety and Active Transportation (bicycle/pedestrian) projects throughout the region
- Safe Routes to School Projects throughout the region
- Traffic signal upgrades within the City of Tucson to improve traffic flow to reduce engine idling and travel time
- Complete remaining planned bikeway projects in the greenways, pathways, bikeways and sidewalk sub-element of the 2006 RTA plan

These improvements represent \$255 million or 9.6% of the projected RTA revenue. Of the \$255 million, \$10 million will go to finish planned bikeway improvements.

3) Arterial and Collector Roads, Pavement Rehabilitation Element

- Pavement rehabilitation for arterial and collector roads to extend the pavement lifecycle of the roadways and improve efficiency for regional connectivity

These improvements represent \$178 million or 6.6% of the projected RTA revenue.

4) Environmental Element

- Transportation-related critical wildlife linkages consist of features, such as culverts or directional fencing, to reduce wildlife-motorist collisions on highly traveled roadways that cross through natural habitat areas

These improvements represent \$50 million or 1.9% of the projected RTA revenue.

5) Transit Element

- **High-Capacity Transit Improvements** in the City of Tucson to efficiently move a higher number of people to reduce congestion
- **Streetcar Operations** to maintain and operate the Sun Link streetcar system
- **Expanded Weekday/Evening/Weekend Bus Service Hours and Expanded Paratransit Service** Later service hours on nights and weekends and expanded paratransit service area
- **Bus Frequency for increased weekday and weekend service/Route and Service Area Expansion** for improved access to transit
- **Express and Shuttle Fixed/Dial-a-Ride/Paratransit Services** to connect suburban and rural residents to the main Sun Tran system to access medical, recreational, educational or other destinations
- **Safety and Security of Regional Transit System** to employ measures and infrastructure for passenger and driver safety in areas both on and off the bus
- **General Expansion of Transit Services Regionwide** (Special South Tucson Allocation) to enhance and expand existing transit services across the region

These improvements represent \$726 million or 27.1% of the projected RTA revenue, which will be supplemented with \$228 million in non-RTA funding for a total of \$954 million for transit improvements. Transit services currently funded by the RTA will continue under RTA Next in addition to expanded services.

6) Debt Service, RTA and Small Business Program Administration Costs

- Administrative services to oversee RTA plan finances and plan implementation
- Small Business Assistance Program, which provides free consulting services to help businesses along construction corridors thrive before and during construction
- Debt service reserve for bond interest expense

Administration costs represent \$42 million or 1.6% of the projected RTA revenue.

The RTA Next plan addresses expenditures should tax revenues exceed projections.

Amendment of the 2006 RTA Plan

The RTA proposes to amend the 2006 RTA plan by moving seven projects to the RTA Next plan to better fit today's traffic and community needs. Project descriptions are updated to reflect current traffic demands, and cost estimates are updated to reflect latest pricing trends. The updated costs of the seven projects are included within the \$2.67 billion RTA Next plan budget. As part of RTA Next, the RTA also will complete remaining planned bikeway improvements in the greenways, pathways, bikeways and sidewalk sub-element of the 2006 RTA plan.

20-year tax to support the Regional Transportation Plan

When voters approved the 2006 RTA regional transportation plan, they also approved a one-half cent transaction privilege (sales) tax to fund it that is currently set to expire on June 30, 2026. If the RTA Next plan and sales tax are approved by voters at the March 10, 2026, election, the existing tax will end March 31, 2026, and the sales tax for the RTA Next plan would begin on April 1, 2026, at the same rate and will be in place until March 31, 2046. **As a result, there will be no tax increase.**

PROPOSITION 418:

Do you approve the regional transportation plan for Pima County?

A "YES" vote indicates your approval of the proposed regional transportation plan as developed by the Regional Transportation Authority of Pima County and described in the election materials.

A "NO" vote indicates your disapproval of the proposed regional transportation plan.

PROPOSITION 419:

Do you favor the levy of a transaction privilege (sales) tax for regional transportation purposes in Pima County?

A "YES" vote has the effect of imposing a transaction privilege (sales) tax in Pima County for twenty years to provide funding for the transportation projects contained in the regional transportation plan.

A "NO" vote has the effect of rejecting the transaction privilege (sales) tax for transportation purposes in Pima County.

**AUTORIDAD DE TRANSPORTE REGIONAL
ELECCIÓN ESPECIAL
10 DE MARZO DE 2026
CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA**

Relativo al impuesto sobre el consumo (sobre las ventas) de transporte del condado

Elementos del Plan de Transporte Regional (RTA Next) de 20 años

El plan de \$2.67 mil millones de la Autoridad de Transporte Regional (RTA Next) incluye los siguientes cinco elementos para mejoras en toda la red de transporte de la región y un elemento de carácter administrativo:

1) Elemento de Corredores Viales (Multimodales)

- 31 proyectos nuevos de mejoras de corredores para agregar o mejorar cinco enlaces interestatales, agregar carriles, aceras (banquetas) y ciclovías nuevas, iluminación de calles y mejorar el drenaje y el paisajismo
- 7 proyectos de corredores del plan de transporte regional del año 2006 de la RTA con áreas actualizadas o necesidades de financiación que reflejan los cambios en el crecimiento, en los patrones del tráfico y el aumento de los costos de los proyectos
- Los proyectos viales pueden incluir una combinación de mejoras de transporte público, de seguridad, peatonales y para bicicletas
- El proceso de desarrollo de los proyectos de los corredores viales incluirá la opinión ciudadana

Estas mejoras representan \$1.42 mil millones o un 53.2% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA. Además, \$978 millones de financiamiento, no provenientes de la RTA, se han comprometido para estos proyectos.

2) Elemento de Seguridad, ADA y Transporte Activo

- Proyectos de seguridad y transporte activo (peatonal/bicicletas) en toda la región
- Proyectos de rutas escolares seguras en toda la región
- Mejoras de semáforos dentro de la ciudad de Tucson para mejorar el flujo del tránsito con el fin de reducir los tiempos de viaje y de los motores en ralentí
- Completar los proyectos de ciclovías planificados restantes en el subelemento de vías verdes, senderos, carriles para bicicletas y aceras (banquetas) del plan del año 2006 de la RTA

Estas mejoras representan \$255 millones o un 9.6% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA. De los \$255 millones, \$10 millones se destinarán para terminar las mejoras planificadas de las ciclovías.

3) Elemento de Rehabilitación del Pavimento de las Vías Arteriales y Colectoras

- Rehabilitación del pavimento de las vías arteriales y colectoras con el fin de extender la vida útil del pavimento de las carreteras y mejorar la eficiencia de la conectividad regional

Estas mejoras representan \$178 millones o un 6.6% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA.

4) Elemento Medioambiental

- Los pasos de fauna críticos relacionados con el transporte consisten en estructuras, como alcantarillas o vallas direccionales, para reducir los accidentes entre los automovilistas y la fauna silvestre en carreteras muy transitadas que cruzan por áreas de hábitat natural

Estas mejoras representan \$50 millones o un 1.9% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA.

5) Elemento de Transporte Público

- **Mejoras de Transporte Público de Alta Capacidad** en la ciudad de Tucson para que un mayor número de personas se trasladen de manera eficiente a fin de reducir la congestión vehicular
- **Operaciones de Tranvía** para mantener y operar el sistema de tranvía Sun Link
- **Horario de Servicio Ampliado de Autobuses Durante los Días de Semana, Tardes-Noches y Fines de Semana y Servicio de Paratrásito Ampliado** Horario de servicio más tarde durante las noches y fines de semana y área de servicio de paratrásito ampliada
- **Frecuencia de Autobuses para incrementar el servicio durante los días de semana y fines de semana y Expansión del Área y Rutas de Servicio** para un mejor acceso al transporte público
- **Servicios Expreso y de Traslado Fijo/Dial-a-Ride/Paratrásito** para conectar a los residentes rurales y suburbanos al sistema Sun Tran principal para que accedan destinos médicos, recreativos, educativos y otros
- **Seguridad y Protección del Sistema de Transporte Regional** para emplear medidas e infraestructura para la seguridad de los pasajeros y conductores en las áreas tanto dentro como fuera del autobús
- **Expansión General de los Servicios de Transporte Público a Nivel Regional** (Asignación Especial del área sur de Tucson) para mejorar y expandir los servicios de transporte público existentes en toda la región

Estas mejoras representan \$726 millones o un 27.1% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA, que serán complementados con \$228 millones de financiamiento no provenientes de la RTA,

por un monto total de \$954 millones para mejoras de transporte público. Los servicios de transporte público financiados por la RTA continuarán bajo RTA Next, además de los servicios expandidos.

6) Costos de Administración del Programa de Pequeñas Empresas, RTA y Servicio de la Deuda

- Servicios administrativos para supervisar las finanzas del plan de la RTA y su implementación
- Programa de Asistencia a las Pequeñas Empresas que ofrece servicios de consultoría gratuitos para ayudar a las empresas en los corredores de construcción a que prosperen antes y durante la construcción
- Reserva del servicio de la deuda para los gastos de interés de los bonos

Los costos de administración representan \$42 millones o un 1.6% de los ingresos proyectados de la RTA.

El plan RTA Next aborda los gastos en caso de que los ingresos de los impuestos excedan las proyecciones.

Enmienda del Plan de la RTA 2006

La RTA propone enmendar su plan del año 2006 trasladando siete proyectos al plan RTA Next a fin de ajustarse mejor a las necesidades comunitarias y de tráfico de hoy. Las descripciones del proyecto se actualizaron para que reflejen las demandas actuales del tráfico y los costos estimados se actualizaron para reflejar las tendencias de precios recientes. Los costos actualizados de los siete proyectos se incluyen dentro del presupuesto del plan de RTA Next de \$2.67 mil millones. Como parte de RTA Next, la RTA también completará las mejoras de ciclovías planificadas restantes en el subelemento de vías verdes, senderos, carriles para bicicletas y aceras (banquetas) del plan del año 2006 de la RTA.

Impuestos por 20 años para apoyar el Plan de Transporte Regional

Cuando los electores aprobaron el plan de transporte regional de la RTA de 2006, también aprobaron un impuesto sobre el privilegio de realizar transacciones (sobre las ventas) de medio centavo para financiarlo, el que actualmente está por vencer el 30 de junio de 2026. Si el plan RTA Next y el impuesto sobre las ventas son aprobados por los electores en la elección del 10 de marzo de 2026, el impuesto existente terminará el 31 de marzo de 2026 y el impuesto sobre las ventas para el plan RTA Next comenzará el 1 de abril de 2026, a la misma tasa y el que estará en vigor hasta el 31 de marzo de 2046. **En consecuencia, no habrá un aumento de impuestos.**

PROPOSICIÓN 418:

¿Aprueba el plan de transporte regional del Condado de Pima?

Un voto "SÍ" indica que aprueba el plan de transporte regional propuesto como lo desarrolló la Autoridad de Transporte Regional del Condado de Pima y está descrito en los materiales electorales.

Un voto "NO" indica que no aprueba el plan de transporte regional propuesto.

PROPOSICIÓN 419:

¿Está a favor de gravar un impuesto sobre el privilegio de realizar transacciones (sobre las ventas) para propósitos de transporte regional en el Condado de Pima?

Un voto "SÍ" hará que se imponga un impuesto sobre el privilegio de realizar transacciones (sobre las ventas) en el Condado de Pima durante veinte años para proporcionar fondos para los proyectos de transporte contenidos en el plan de transporte regional.

Un voto "NO" hará que se rechace el impuesto sobre el privilegio de realizar transacciones (sobre las ventas) para propósitos de transporte en el Condado de Pima.

Election Summary Results

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	660,275		
Ballots Cast - Total	209,533	209,533	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	137	137	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	125,362	125,362	0
NO	82,128	82,128	0
Total Votes Cast	207,490	207,490	0
Overvotes	35	35	0
Undervotes	2,008	2,008	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	120,381	120,381	0
NO	86,236	86,236	0
Total Votes Cast	206,617	206,617	0
Overvotes	24	24	0
Undervotes	2,892	2,892	0

Statement of Votes Cast

Precinct 001

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,822		
Ballots Cast - Total	474	474	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.02%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	312	312	0
NO	158	158	0
Total Votes Cast	470	470	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	474	474	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	301	301	0
NO	165	165	0
Total Votes Cast	466	466	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	474	474	0

Precinct 002

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	267		
Ballots Cast - Total	52	52	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.48%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	24	24	0
NO	26	26	0
Total Votes Cast	50	50	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	52	52	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	16	16	0
NO	31	31	0
Total Votes Cast	47	47	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	52	52	0

Precinct 003

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	267		
Ballots Cast - Total	38	38	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.23%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	31	31	0
NO	7	7	0
Total Votes Cast	38	38	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	38	38	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	28	28	0
NO	10	10	0
Total Votes Cast	38	38	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	38	38	0

Precinct 004

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,167		
Ballots Cast - Total	135	135	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	11.57%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	119	119	0
NO	13	13	0
Total Votes Cast	132	132	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	135	135	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	113	113	0
NO	19	19	0
Total Votes Cast	132	132	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	135	135	0

Precinct 005

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,071		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,070	1,070	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.10%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	528	528	0
NO	527	527	0
Total Votes Cast	1,055	1,055	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,070	1,070	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	496	496	0
NO	551	551	0
Total Votes Cast	1,047	1,047	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	23	23	0
Contest Totals	1,070	1,070	0

Precinct 006

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,791		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,386	1,386	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.41%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	935	935	0
NO	449	449	0
Total Votes Cast	1,384	1,384	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	1,386	1,386	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	889	889	0
NO	482	482	0
Total Votes Cast	1,371	1,371	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,386	1,386	0

Precinct 007

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	106		
Ballots Cast - Total	30	30	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.30%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	9	9	0
NO	21	21	0
Total Votes Cast	30	30	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	30	30	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	7	7	0
NO	23	23	0
Total Votes Cast	30	30	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	30	30	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 008

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	607		
Ballots Cast - Total	206	206	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.94%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	125	125	0
NO	77	77	0
Total Votes Cast	202	202	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	206	206	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	122	122	0
NO	80	80	0
Total Votes Cast	202	202	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	206	206	0

Precinct 009

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,720		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,073	1,073	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.45%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	526	526	0
NO	539	539	0
Total Votes Cast	1,065	1,065	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,073	1,073	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	510	510	0
NO	554	554	0
Total Votes Cast	1,064	1,064	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,073	1,073	0

Precinct 010

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,217		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,056	1,056	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.63%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	676	676	0
NO	363	363	0
Total Votes Cast	1,039	1,039	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,056	1,056	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	647	647	0
NO	392	392	0
Total Votes Cast	1,039	1,039	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,056	1,056	0

Precinct 011

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,833		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,144	1,144	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.85%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	547	547	0
NO	583	583	0
Total Votes Cast	1,130	1,130	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,144	1,144	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	517	517	0
NO	618	618	0
Total Votes Cast	1,135	1,135	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,144	1,144	0

Precinct 012

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,456		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,063	2,063	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.81%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,362	1,362	0
NO	680	680	0
Total Votes Cast	2,042	2,042	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	21	21	0
Contest Totals	2,063	2,063	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,296	1,296	0
NO	728	728	0
Total Votes Cast	2,024	2,024	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	39	39	0
Contest Totals	2,063	2,063	0

Precinct 013

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,244		
Ballots Cast - Total	912	912	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.11%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	612	612	0
NO	292	292	0
Total Votes Cast	904	904	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	912	912	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	590	590	0
NO	310	310	0
Total Votes Cast	900	900	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	912	912	0

Precinct 014

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,476		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,196	1,196	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.72%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	761	761	0
NO	420	420	0
Total Votes Cast	1,181	1,181	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,196	1,196	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	735	735	0
NO	450	450	0
Total Votes Cast	1,185	1,185	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,196	1,196	0

Precinct 015

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,713		
Ballots Cast - Total	421	421	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.58%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	262	262	0
NO	158	158	0
Total Votes Cast	420	420	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	421	421	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	252	252	0
NO	164	164	0
Total Votes Cast	416	416	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	421	421	0

Precinct 016

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,882		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,187	1,187	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.19%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	711	711	0
NO	466	466	0
Total Votes Cast	1,177	1,177	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,187	1,187	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	692	692	0
NO	480	480	0
Total Votes Cast	1,172	1,172	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,187	1,187	0

Precinct 017

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,905		
Ballots Cast - Total	713	713	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.43%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	393	393	0
NO	317	317	0
Total Votes Cast	710	710	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	713	713	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	382	382	0
NO	328	328	0
Total Votes Cast	710	710	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	713	713	0

Precinct 018

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,277		
Ballots Cast - Total	534	534	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.45%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	298	298	0
NO	233	233	0
Total Votes Cast	531	531	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	534	534	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	280	280	0
NO	243	243	0
Total Votes Cast	523	523	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	534	534	0

Precinct 019

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	722		
Ballots Cast - Total	187	187	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.90%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	87	87	0
NO	97	97	0
Total Votes Cast	184	184	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	187	187	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	85	85	0
NO	99	99	0
Total Votes Cast	184	184	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	187	187	0

Precinct 020

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,375		
Ballots Cast - Total	650	650	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.26%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	408	408	0
NO	239	239	0
Total Votes Cast	647	647	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	650	650	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	379	379	0
NO	263	263	0
Total Votes Cast	642	642	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	650	650	0

Precinct 021

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,267		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,580	1,580	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.36%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	991	991	0
NO	569	569	0
Total Votes Cast	1,560	1,560	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	1,580	1,580	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	962	962	0
NO	604	604	0
Total Votes Cast	1,566	1,566	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,580	1,580	0

Precinct 022

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,298		
Ballots Cast - Total	905	905	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.06%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	569	569	0
NO	329	329	0
Total Votes Cast	898	898	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	905	905	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	540	540	0
NO	354	354	0
Total Votes Cast	894	894	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	905	905	0

Precinct 023

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,688		
Ballots Cast - Total	862	862	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.37%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	545	545	0
NO	307	307	0
Total Votes Cast	852	852	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	862	862	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	522	522	0
NO	333	333	0
Total Votes Cast	855	855	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	862	862	0

Precinct 024

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,564		
Ballots Cast - Total	672	672	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.21%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	379	379	0
NO	288	288	0
Total Votes Cast	667	667	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	672	672	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	363	363	0
NO	302	302	0
Total Votes Cast	665	665	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	672	672	0

Precinct 025

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,787		
Ballots Cast - Total	443	443	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.90%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	305	305	0
NO	132	132	0
Total Votes Cast	437	437	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	443	443	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	268	268	0
NO	172	172	0
Total Votes Cast	440	440	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	443	443	0

Precinct 026

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,917		
Ballots Cast - Total	434	434	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.88%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	290	290	0
NO	143	143	0
Total Votes Cast	433	433	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	434	434	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	271	271	0
NO	157	157	0
Total Votes Cast	428	428	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	434	434	0

Precinct 028

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,735		
Ballots Cast - Total	308	308	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.75%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	206	206	0
NO	100	100	0
Total Votes Cast	306	306	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	308	308	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	195	195	0
NO	110	110	0
Total Votes Cast	305	305	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	308	308	0

Precinct 029

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	946		
Ballots Cast - Total	398	398	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.07%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	270	270	0
NO	123	123	0
Total Votes Cast	393	393	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	398	398	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	267	267	0
NO	128	128	0
Total Votes Cast	395	395	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	398	398	0

Precinct 030

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,555		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,026	1,026	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	716	716	0
NO	296	296	0
Total Votes Cast	1,012	1,012	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,026	1,026	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	668	668	0
NO	339	339	0
Total Votes Cast	1,007	1,007	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,026	1,026	0

Precinct 031

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,029		
Ballots Cast - Total	449	449	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.13%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	285	285	0
NO	152	152	0
Total Votes Cast	437	437	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	449	449	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	274	274	0
NO	165	165	0
Total Votes Cast	439	439	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	449	449	0

Precinct 032

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,707		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,156	1,156	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	744	744	0
NO	399	399	0
Total Votes Cast	1,143	1,143	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,156	1,156	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	702	702	0
NO	437	437	0
Total Votes Cast	1,139	1,139	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,156	1,156	0

Precinct 033

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,436		
Ballots Cast - Total	854	854	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.06%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	527	527	0
NO	324	324	0
Total Votes Cast	851	851	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	854	854	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	504	504	0
NO	339	339	0
Total Votes Cast	843	843	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	854	854	0

Precinct 034

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,451		
Ballots Cast - Total	335	335	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.09%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	218	218	0
NO	109	109	0
Total Votes Cast	327	327	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	335	335	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	209	209	0
NO	118	118	0
Total Votes Cast	327	327	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	335	335	0

Precinct 035

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	400		
Ballots Cast - Total	184	184	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.00%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	105	105	0
NO	78	78	0
Total Votes Cast	183	183	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	184	184	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	101	101	0
NO	82	82	0
Total Votes Cast	183	183	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	184	184	0

Precinct 036

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,112		
Ballots Cast - Total	772	772	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.77%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	468	468	0
NO	295	295	0
Total Votes Cast	763	763	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	772	772	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	438	438	0
NO	321	321	0
Total Votes Cast	759	759	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	772	772	0

Precinct 037

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,354		
Ballots Cast - Total	249	249	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.39%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	156	156	0
NO	91	91	0
Total Votes Cast	247	247	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	249	249	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	145	145	0
NO	96	96	0
Total Votes Cast	241	241	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	249	249	0

Precinct 038

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,078		
Ballots Cast - Total	550	550	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.47%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	327	327	0
NO	217	217	0
Total Votes Cast	544	544	0
Overvotes	2	2	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	550	550	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	311	311	0
NO	229	229	0
Total Votes Cast	540	540	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	550	550	0

Precinct 039

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,612		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,554	1,554	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.02%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	763	763	0
NO	773	773	0
Total Votes Cast	1,536	1,536	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,554	1,554	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	728	728	0
NO	800	800	0
Total Votes Cast	1,528	1,528	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	26	26	0
Contest Totals	1,554	1,554	0

Precinct 040

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,419		
Ballots Cast - Total	791	791	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.14%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	482	482	0
NO	302	302	0
Total Votes Cast	784	784	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	791	791	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	444	444	0
NO	341	341	0
Total Votes Cast	785	785	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	791	791	0

Precinct 041

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	8,574		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,685	1,685	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.65%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,093	1,093	0
NO	570	570	0
Total Votes Cast	1,663	1,663	0
Overvotes	3	3	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,685	1,685	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,018	1,018	0
NO	628	628	0
Total Votes Cast	1,646	1,646	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	38	38	0
Contest Totals	1,685	1,685	0

Precinct 042

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,438		
Ballots Cast - Total	887	887	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.80%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	430	430	0
NO	448	448	0
Total Votes Cast	878	878	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	428	428	0
NO	447	447	0
Total Votes Cast	875	875	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Precinct 043

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,469		
Ballots Cast - Total	279	279	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.99%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	179	179	0
NO	98	98	0
Total Votes Cast	277	277	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	279	279	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	169	169	0
NO	108	108	0
Total Votes Cast	277	277	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	279	279	0

Precinct 044

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,835		
Ballots Cast - Total	537	537	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.26%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	254	254	0
NO	279	279	0
Total Votes Cast	533	533	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	537	537	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	258	258	0
NO	270	270	0
Total Votes Cast	528	528	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	537	537	0

Precinct 045

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,841		
Ballots Cast - Total	711	711	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.62%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	329	329	0
NO	371	371	0
Total Votes Cast	700	700	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	711	711	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	327	327	0
NO	374	374	0
Total Votes Cast	701	701	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	711	711	0

Precinct 046

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,094		
Ballots Cast - Total	510	510	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.62%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	244	244	0
NO	264	264	0
Total Votes Cast	508	508	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	510	510	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	239	239	0
NO	264	264	0
Total Votes Cast	503	503	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	510	510	0

Precinct 047

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,861		
Ballots Cast - Total	628	628	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.27%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	400	400	0
NO	214	214	0
Total Votes Cast	614	614	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	628	628	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	381	381	0
NO	237	237	0
Total Votes Cast	618	618	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	628	628	0

Precinct 048

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,015		
Ballots Cast - Total	394	394	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.07%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	235	235	0
NO	154	154	0
Total Votes Cast	389	389	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	394	394	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	221	221	0
NO	166	166	0
Total Votes Cast	387	387	0
Overvotes	2	2	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	394	394	0

Precinct 049

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,791		
Ballots Cast - Total	539	539	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.09%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	306	306	0
NO	231	231	0
Total Votes Cast	537	537	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	539	539	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	290	290	0
NO	243	243	0
Total Votes Cast	533	533	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	539	539	0

Precinct 050

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,530		
Ballots Cast - Total	432	432	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	278	278	0
NO	149	149	0
Total Votes Cast	427	427	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	432	432	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	257	257	0
NO	167	167	0
Total Votes Cast	424	424	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	432	432	0

Precinct 051

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,076		
Ballots Cast - Total	312	312	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.03%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	212	212	0
NO	97	97	0
Total Votes Cast	309	309	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	312	312	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	199	199	0
NO	105	105	0
Total Votes Cast	304	304	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	312	312	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 052

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,331		
Ballots Cast - Total	350	350	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.02%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	214	214	0
NO	130	130	0
Total Votes Cast	344	344	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	350	350	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	201	201	0
NO	145	145	0
Total Votes Cast	346	346	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	350	350	0

Precinct 053

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,022		
Ballots Cast - Total	887	887	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	627	627	0
NO	253	253	0
Total Votes Cast	880	880	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	574	574	0
NO	304	304	0
Total Votes Cast	878	878	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Precinct 054

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,046		
Ballots Cast - Total	555	555	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	349	349	0
NO	205	205	0
Total Votes Cast	554	554	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	555	555	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	320	320	0
NO	227	227	0
Total Votes Cast	547	547	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	555	555	0

Precinct 055

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,322		
Ballots Cast - Total	403	403	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.48%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	262	262	0
NO	139	139	0
Total Votes Cast	401	401	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	403	403	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	254	254	0
NO	148	148	0
Total Votes Cast	402	402	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	403	403	0

Precinct 056

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,470		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,136	1,136	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.99%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	792	792	0
NO	333	333	0
Total Votes Cast	1,125	1,125	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,136	1,136	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	771	771	0
NO	345	345	0
Total Votes Cast	1,116	1,116	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	1,136	1,136	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 057

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,571		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,010	1,010	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.28%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	577	577	0
NO	419	419	0
Total Votes Cast	996	996	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,010	1,010	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	545	545	0
NO	453	453	0
Total Votes Cast	998	998	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,010	1,010	0

Precinct 058

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,002		
Ballots Cast - Total	330	330	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.93%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	166	166	0
NO	161	161	0
Total Votes Cast	327	327	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	330	330	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	167	167	0
NO	161	161	0
Total Votes Cast	328	328	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	330	330	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 059

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,122		
Ballots Cast - Total	379	379	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	236	236	0
NO	141	141	0
Total Votes Cast	377	377	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	379	379	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	222	222	0
NO	151	151	0
Total Votes Cast	373	373	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	379	379	0

Precinct 060

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,045		
Ballots Cast - Total	407	407	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.90%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	220	220	0
NO	185	185	0
Total Votes Cast	405	405	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	407	407	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	207	207	0
NO	193	193	0
Total Votes Cast	400	400	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	407	407	0

Precinct 061

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,088		
Ballots Cast - Total	995	995	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.65%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	617	617	0
NO	366	366	0
Total Votes Cast	983	983	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	995	995	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	604	604	0
NO	374	374	0
Total Votes Cast	978	978	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	995	995	0

Precinct 062

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,607		
Ballots Cast - Total	234	234	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	102	102	0
NO	130	130	0
Total Votes Cast	232	232	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	234	234	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	103	103	0
NO	129	129	0
Total Votes Cast	232	232	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	234	234	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 063

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,379		
Ballots Cast - Total	668	668	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.44%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	342	342	0
NO	316	316	0
Total Votes Cast	658	658	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	668	668	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	336	336	0
NO	322	322	0
Total Votes Cast	658	658	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	668	668	0

Precinct 064

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,142		
Ballots Cast - Total	301	301	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.05%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	209	209	0
NO	86	86	0
Total Votes Cast	295	295	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	301	301	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	198	198	0
NO	96	96	0
Total Votes Cast	294	294	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	301	301	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 065

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	26		
Ballots Cast - Total	11	11	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.31%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	9	9	0
NO	2	2	0
Total Votes Cast	11	11	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	11	11	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	9	9	0
NO	2	2	0
Total Votes Cast	11	11	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	11	11	0

Precinct 066

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,130		
Ballots Cast - Total	445	445	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	305	305	0
NO	135	135	0
Total Votes Cast	440	440	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	445	445	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	288	288	0
NO	147	147	0
Total Votes Cast	435	435	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	445	445	0

Precinct 067

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,782		
Ballots Cast - Total	647	647	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.26%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	357	357	0
NO	285	285	0
Total Votes Cast	642	642	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	647	647	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	350	350	0
NO	292	292	0
Total Votes Cast	642	642	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	647	647	0

Precinct 068

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,395		
Ballots Cast - Total	572	572	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.00%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	253	253	0
NO	312	312	0
Total Votes Cast	565	565	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	572	572	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	240	240	0
NO	325	325	0
Total Votes Cast	565	565	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	572	572	0

Precinct 069

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,085		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,734	1,734	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.45%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	928	928	0
NO	787	787	0
Total Votes Cast	1,715	1,715	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,734	1,734	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	910	910	0
NO	798	798	0
Total Votes Cast	1,708	1,708	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	26	26	0
Contest Totals	1,734	1,734	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 070

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	746		
Ballots Cast - Total	273	273	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.60%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	174	174	0
NO	96	96	0
Total Votes Cast	270	270	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	273	273	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	166	166	0
NO	100	100	0
Total Votes Cast	266	266	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	273	273	0

Precinct 071

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	192		
Ballots Cast - Total	20	20	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	10.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	19	19	0
NO	1	1	0
Total Votes Cast	20	20	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	20	20	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	17	17	0
NO	3	3	0
Total Votes Cast	20	20	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	20	20	0

Precinct 072

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,838		
Ballots Cast - Total	955	955	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.88%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	536	536	0
NO	413	413	0
Total Votes Cast	949	949	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	955	955	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	516	516	0
NO	429	429	0
Total Votes Cast	945	945	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	955	955	0

Precinct 073

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,542		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,166	1,166	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.87%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	600	600	0
NO	551	551	0
Total Votes Cast	1,151	1,151	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,166	1,166	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	591	591	0
NO	553	553	0
Total Votes Cast	1,144	1,144	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	22	22	0
Contest Totals	1,166	1,166	0

Precinct 074

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,452		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,331	1,331	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	54.28%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	886	886	0
NO	414	414	0
Total Votes Cast	1,300	1,300	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	31	31	0
Contest Totals	1,331	1,331	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	862	862	0
NO	440	440	0
Total Votes Cast	1,302	1,302	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	29	29	0
Contest Totals	1,331	1,331	0

Precinct 075

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,905		
Ballots Cast - Total	938	938	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.29%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	464	464	0
NO	467	467	0
Total Votes Cast	931	931	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	938	938	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	458	458	0
NO	462	462	0
Total Votes Cast	920	920	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	938	938	0

Precinct 076

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	410		
Ballots Cast - Total	44	44	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	10.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	40	40	0
NO	3	3	0
Total Votes Cast	43	43	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	44	44	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	35	35	0
NO	8	8	0
Total Votes Cast	43	43	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	44	44	0

Precinct 077

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,658		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,135	1,135	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.70%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	766	766	0
NO	351	351	0
Total Votes Cast	1,117	1,117	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,135	1,135	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	739	739	0
NO	382	382	0
Total Votes Cast	1,121	1,121	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,135	1,135	0

Precinct 078

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,664		
Ballots Cast - Total	734	734	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.11%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	361	361	0
NO	362	362	0
Total Votes Cast	723	723	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	734	734	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	372	372	0
NO	354	354	0
Total Votes Cast	726	726	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	734	734	0

Precinct 079

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,518		
Ballots Cast - Total	981	981	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.89%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	614	614	0
NO	361	361	0
Total Votes Cast	975	975	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	981	981	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	579	579	0
NO	386	386	0
Total Votes Cast	965	965	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	981	981	0

Precinct 080

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,444		
Ballots Cast - Total	923	923	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.77%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	485	485	0
NO	416	416	0
Total Votes Cast	901	901	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	22	22	0
Contest Totals	923	923	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	485	485	0
NO	424	424	0
Total Votes Cast	909	909	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	923	923	0

Precinct 081

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,308		
Ballots Cast - Total	366	366	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.98%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	228	228	0
NO	136	136	0
Total Votes Cast	364	364	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	366	366	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	220	220	0
NO	144	144	0
Total Votes Cast	364	364	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	366	366	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 082

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,180		
Ballots Cast - Total	873	873	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.05%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	399	399	0
NO	463	463	0
Total Votes Cast	862	862	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	873	873	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	403	403	0
NO	462	462	0
Total Votes Cast	865	865	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	873	873	0

Precinct 083

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,331		
Ballots Cast - Total	709	709	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	336	336	0
NO	367	367	0
Total Votes Cast	703	703	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	709	709	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	321	321	0
NO	375	375	0
Total Votes Cast	696	696	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	709	709	0

Precinct 084

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	7,819		
Ballots Cast - Total	3,893	3,893	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	49.79%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	2,532	2,532	0
NO	1,314	1,314	0
Total Votes Cast	3,846	3,846	0
Overvotes	2	2	0
Undervotes	45	45	0
Contest Totals	3,893	3,893	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	2,448	2,448	0
NO	1,392	1,392	0
Total Votes Cast	3,840	3,840	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	52	52	0
Contest Totals	3,893	3,893	0

Precinct 085

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,119		
Ballots Cast - Total	285	285	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.47%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	191	191	0
NO	94	94	0
Total Votes Cast	285	285	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	285	285	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	180	180	0
NO	104	104	0
Total Votes Cast	284	284	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	285	285	0

Precinct 086

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,981		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,078	1,078	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	631	631	0
NO	435	435	0
Total Votes Cast	1,066	1,066	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	594	594	0
NO	467	467	0
Total Votes Cast	1,061	1,061	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078	0

Precinct 087

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	544		
Ballots Cast - Total	146	146	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.84%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	108	108	0
NO	37	37	0
Total Votes Cast	145	145	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	146	146	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	101	101	0
NO	40	40	0
Total Votes Cast	141	141	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	146	146	0

Precinct 088

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,127		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,275	1,275	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.77%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	901	901	0
NO	366	366	0
Total Votes Cast	1,267	1,267	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,275	1,275	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	883	883	0
NO	376	376	0
Total Votes Cast	1,259	1,259	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,275	1,275	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 089

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,006		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,060	1,060	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.26%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	645	645	0
NO	405	405	0
Total Votes Cast	1,050	1,050	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,060	1,060	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	621	621	0
NO	423	423	0
Total Votes Cast	1,044	1,044	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,060	1,060	0

Precinct 090

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,719		
Ballots Cast - Total	508	508	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.55%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	264	264	0
NO	241	241	0
Total Votes Cast	505	505	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	508	508	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	253	253	0
NO	252	252	0
Total Votes Cast	505	505	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	508	508	0

Precinct 091

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,051		
Ballots Cast - Total	887	887	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.07%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	468	468	0
NO	413	413	0
Total Votes Cast	881	881	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	442	442	0
NO	428	428	0
Total Votes Cast	870	870	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	887	887	0

Precinct 092

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,168		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,376	1,376	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.43%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	801	801	0
NO	561	561	0
Total Votes Cast	1,362	1,362	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,376	1,376	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	779	779	0
NO	570	570	0
Total Votes Cast	1,349	1,349	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	27	27	0
Contest Totals	1,376	1,376	0

Precinct 093

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,119		
Ballots Cast - Total	793	793	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	425	425	0
NO	361	361	0
Total Votes Cast	786	786	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	793	793	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	413	413	0
NO	371	371	0
Total Votes Cast	784	784	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	793	793	0

Precinct 094

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,079		
Ballots Cast - Total	807	807	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.82%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	409	409	0
NO	392	392	0
Total Votes Cast	801	801	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	807	807	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	396	396	0
NO	397	397	0
Total Votes Cast	793	793	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	807	807	0

Precinct 095

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,431		
Ballots Cast - Total	447	447	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.24%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	252	252	0
NO	193	193	0
Total Votes Cast	445	445	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	447	447	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	234	234	0
NO	209	209	0
Total Votes Cast	443	443	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	447	447	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 096

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,394		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,027	1,027	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.90%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	512	512	0
NO	503	503	0
Total Votes Cast	1,015	1,015	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,027	1,027	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	499	499	0
NO	517	517	0
Total Votes Cast	1,016	1,016	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,027	1,027	0

Precinct 097

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,931		
Ballots Cast - Total	656	656	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.97%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	364	364	0
NO	287	287	0
Total Votes Cast	651	651	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	353	353	0
NO	295	295	0
Total Votes Cast	648	648	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Precinct 098

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,107		
Ballots Cast - Total	727	727	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.40%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	405	405	0
NO	312	312	0
Total Votes Cast	717	717	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	727	727	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	403	403	0
NO	316	316	0
Total Votes Cast	719	719	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	727	727	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 099

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,255		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,087	1,087	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.20%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	665	665	0
NO	405	405	0
Total Votes Cast	1,070	1,070	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	659	659	0
NO	412	412	0
Total Votes Cast	1,071	1,071	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087	0

Precinct 100

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,659		
Ballots Cast - Total	878	878	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.02%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	499	499	0
NO	373	373	0
Total Votes Cast	872	872	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	878	878	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	479	479	0
NO	388	388	0
Total Votes Cast	867	867	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	878	878	0

Precinct 101

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,104		
Ballots Cast - Total	645	645	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.66%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	382	382	0
NO	254	254	0
Total Votes Cast	636	636	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	645	645	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	370	370	0
NO	264	264	0
Total Votes Cast	634	634	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	645	645	0

Precinct 102

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	910		
Ballots Cast - Total	140	140	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.38%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	90	90	0
NO	49	49	0
Total Votes Cast	139	139	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	140	140	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	83	83	0
NO	55	55	0
Total Votes Cast	138	138	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	140	140	0

Precinct 103

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,218		
Ballots Cast - Total	495	495	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.64%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	245	245	0
NO	246	246	0
Total Votes Cast	491	491	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	495	495	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	238	238	0
NO	250	250	0
Total Votes Cast	488	488	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	495	495	0

Precinct 104

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,603		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,453	1,453	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.57%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	937	937	0
NO	505	505	0
Total Votes Cast	1,442	1,442	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,453	1,453	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	908	908	0
NO	534	534	0
Total Votes Cast	1,442	1,442	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,453	1,453	0

Precinct 105

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,027		
Ballots Cast - Total	440	440	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.71%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	230	230	0
NO	208	208	0
Total Votes Cast	438	438	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	218	218	0
NO	216	216	0
Total Votes Cast	434	434	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Precinct 106

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,433		
Ballots Cast - Total	689	689	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	437	437	0
NO	251	251	0
Total Votes Cast	688	688	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	689	689	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	425	425	0
NO	251	251	0
Total Votes Cast	676	676	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	689	689	0

Precinct 107

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,191		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,084	1,084	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.97%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	648	648	0
NO	427	427	0
Total Votes Cast	1,075	1,075	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,084	1,084	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	618	618	0
NO	452	452	0
Total Votes Cast	1,070	1,070	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,084	1,084	0

Precinct 108

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,113		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,475	1,475	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	832	832	0
NO	625	625	0
Total Votes Cast	1,457	1,457	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,475	1,475	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	804	804	0
NO	652	652	0
Total Votes Cast	1,456	1,456	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,475	1,475	0

Precinct 109

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	7,376		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,405	2,405	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.61%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,589	1,589	0
NO	792	792	0
Total Votes Cast	2,381	2,381	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	24	24	0
Contest Totals	2,405	2,405	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,518	1,518	0
NO	854	854	0
Total Votes Cast	2,372	2,372	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	33	33	0
Contest Totals	2,405	2,405	0

Precinct 110

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,309		
Ballots Cast - Total	141	141	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	6.11%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	123	123	0
NO	17	17	0
Total Votes Cast	140	140	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	141	141	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	121	121	0
NO	17	17	0
Total Votes Cast	138	138	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	141	141	0

Precinct 111

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,143		
Ballots Cast - Total	815	815	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.03%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	387	387	0
NO	421	421	0
Total Votes Cast	808	808	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	815	815	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	380	380	0
NO	426	426	0
Total Votes Cast	806	806	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	815	815	0

Precinct 112

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,104		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,399	1,399	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.07%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	946	946	0
NO	442	442	0
Total Votes Cast	1,388	1,388	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,399	1,399	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	930	930	0
NO	457	457	0
Total Votes Cast	1,387	1,387	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,399	1,399	0

Precinct 113

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,286		
Ballots Cast - Total	745	745	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.67%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	399	399	0
NO	340	340	0
Total Votes Cast	739	739	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	745	745	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	378	378	0
NO	356	356	0
Total Votes Cast	734	734	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	745	745	0

Precinct 114

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	950		
Ballots Cast - Total	77	77	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	8.11%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	45	45	0
NO	32	32	0
Total Votes Cast	77	77	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	77	77	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	42	42	0
NO	34	34	0
Total Votes Cast	76	76	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	77	77	0

Precinct 115

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,786		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,963	1,963	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.93%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,271	1,271	0
NO	678	678	0
Total Votes Cast	1,949	1,949	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,963	1,963	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,208	1,208	0
NO	728	728	0
Total Votes Cast	1,936	1,936	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	27	27	0
Contest Totals	1,963	1,963	0

Precinct 116

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,897		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,904	1,904	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.29%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,104	1,104	0
NO	788	788	0
Total Votes Cast	1,892	1,892	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,904	1,904	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,040	1,040	0
NO	838	838	0
Total Votes Cast	1,878	1,878	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	26	26	0
Contest Totals	1,904	1,904	0

Precinct 117

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,577		
Ballots Cast - Total	816	816	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.66%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	416	416	0
NO	391	391	0
Total Votes Cast	807	807	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	816	816	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	408	408	0
NO	401	401	0
Total Votes Cast	809	809	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	816	816	0

Precinct 118

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,901		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,271	1,271	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.81%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	765	765	0
NO	497	497	0
Total Votes Cast	1,262	1,262	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,271	1,271	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	728	728	0
NO	530	530	0
Total Votes Cast	1,258	1,258	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,271	1,271	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 119

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,228		
Ballots Cast - Total	737	737	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	411	411	0
NO	318	318	0
Total Votes Cast	729	729	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	737	737	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	389	389	0
NO	337	337	0
Total Votes Cast	726	726	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	737	737	0

Precinct 120

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,161		
Ballots Cast - Total	975	975	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.84%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	537	537	0
NO	437	437	0
Total Votes Cast	974	974	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	975	975	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	504	504	0
NO	462	462	0
Total Votes Cast	966	966	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	975	975	0

Precinct 121

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	918		
Ballots Cast - Total	492	492	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	53.59%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	270	270	0
NO	217	217	0
Total Votes Cast	487	487	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	492	492	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	263	263	0
NO	225	225	0
Total Votes Cast	488	488	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	492	492	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 122

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,257		
Ballots Cast - Total	671	671	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	331	331	0
NO	333	333	0
Total Votes Cast	664	664	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	671	671	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	306	306	0
NO	353	353	0
Total Votes Cast	659	659	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	671	671	0

Precinct 123

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,717		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,177	1,177	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.95%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	673	673	0
NO	497	497	0
Total Votes Cast	1,170	1,170	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	1,177	1,177	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	629	629	0
NO	532	532	0
Total Votes Cast	1,161	1,161	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,177	1,177	0

Precinct 124

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,726		
Ballots Cast - Total	508	508	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.43%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	249	249	0
NO	251	251	0
Total Votes Cast	500	500	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	508	508	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	240	240	0
NO	258	258	0
Total Votes Cast	498	498	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	508	508	0

Precinct 125

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,371		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,048	1,048	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.20%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	674	674	0
NO	368	368	0
Total Votes Cast	1,042	1,042	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	1,048	1,048	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	648	648	0
NO	382	382	0
Total Votes Cast	1,030	1,030	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,048	1,048	0

Precinct 126

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,688		
Ballots Cast - Total	786	786	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	400	400	0
NO	375	375	0
Total Votes Cast	775	775	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	786	786	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	390	390	0
NO	382	382	0
Total Votes Cast	772	772	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	786	786	0

Precinct 127

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,884		
Ballots Cast - Total	3,273	3,273	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.54%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	2,136	2,136	0
NO	1,103	1,103	0
Total Votes Cast	3,239	3,239	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	34	34	0
Contest Totals	3,273	3,273	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	2,079	2,079	0
NO	1,154	1,154	0
Total Votes Cast	3,233	3,233	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	40	40	0
Contest Totals	3,273	3,273	0

Precinct 128

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,390		
Ballots Cast - Total	939	939	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.29%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	481	481	0
NO	451	451	0
Total Votes Cast	932	932	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	939	939	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	465	465	0
NO	462	462	0
Total Votes Cast	927	927	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	939	939	0

Precinct 129

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,350		
Ballots Cast - Total	687	687	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.23%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	391	391	0
NO	290	290	0
Total Votes Cast	681	681	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	687	687	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	375	375	0
NO	303	303	0
Total Votes Cast	678	678	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	687	687	0

Precinct 130

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,036		
Ballots Cast - Total	656	656	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	326	326	0
NO	322	322	0
Total Votes Cast	648	648	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	319	319	0
NO	330	330	0
Total Votes Cast	649	649	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Precinct 131

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,282		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,117	1,117	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.95%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	578	578	0
NO	532	532	0
Total Votes Cast	1,110	1,110	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	1,117	1,117	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	569	569	0
NO	536	536	0
Total Votes Cast	1,105	1,105	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,117	1,117	0

Precinct 132

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,469		
Ballots Cast - Total	440	440	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.95%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	231	231	0
NO	205	205	0
Total Votes Cast	436	436	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	220	220	0
NO	214	214	0
Total Votes Cast	434	434	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Precinct 133

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,041		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,233	1,233	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.51%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	707	707	0
NO	520	520	0
Total Votes Cast	1,227	1,227	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	1,233	1,233	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	667	667	0
NO	554	554	0
Total Votes Cast	1,221	1,221	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,233	1,233	0

Precinct 134

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,361		
Ballots Cast - Total	531	531	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.49%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	332	332	0
NO	195	195	0
Total Votes Cast	527	527	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	531	531	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	320	320	0
NO	204	204	0
Total Votes Cast	524	524	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	531	531	0

Precinct 135

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	213		
Ballots Cast - Total	20	20	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	9.39%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	18	18	0
NO	1	1	0
Total Votes Cast	19	19	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	20	20	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	16	16	0
NO	3	3	0
Total Votes Cast	19	19	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	20	20	0

Precinct 136

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	188		
Ballots Cast - Total	25	25	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.30%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	25	25	0
NO	0	0	0
Total Votes Cast	25	25	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	25	25	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	23	23	0
NO	2	2	0
Total Votes Cast	25	25	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	25	25	0

Precinct 137

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	168		
Ballots Cast - Total	18	18	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	10.71%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	16	16	0
NO	2	2	0
Total Votes Cast	18	18	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	18	18	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	13	13	0
NO	4	4	0
Total Votes Cast	17	17	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	18	18	0

Precinct 138

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	559		
Ballots Cast - Total	75	75	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	66	66	0
NO	5	5	0
Total Votes Cast	71	71	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	75	75	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	64	64	0
NO	8	8	0
Total Votes Cast	72	72	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	75	75	0

Precinct 139

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	631		
Ballots Cast - Total	104	104	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.48%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	75	75	0
NO	29	29	0
Total Votes Cast	104	104	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	104	104	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	72	72	0
NO	31	31	0
Total Votes Cast	103	103	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	104	104	0

Precinct 140

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	934		
Ballots Cast - Total	248	248	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.55%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	104	104	0
NO	143	143	0
Total Votes Cast	247	247	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	248	248	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	96	96	0
NO	149	149	0
Total Votes Cast	245	245	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	248	248	0

Precinct 141

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,772		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,556	2,556	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	53.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,663	1,663	0
NO	864	864	0
Total Votes Cast	2,527	2,527	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	29	29	0
Contest Totals	2,556	2,556	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,594	1,594	0
NO	923	923	0
Total Votes Cast	2,517	2,517	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	39	39	0
Contest Totals	2,556	2,556	0

Precinct 142

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,896		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,250	1,250	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	805	805	0
NO	428	428	0
Total Votes Cast	1,233	1,233	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,250	1,250	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	765	765	0
NO	466	466	0
Total Votes Cast	1,231	1,231	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,250	1,250	0

Precinct 143

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,004		
Ballots Cast - Total	340	340	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	122	122	0
NO	216	216	0
Total Votes Cast	338	338	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	340	340	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	126	126	0
NO	209	209	0
Total Votes Cast	335	335	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	340	340	0

Precinct 144

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,519		
Ballots Cast - Total	849	849	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.70%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	475	475	0
NO	366	366	0
Total Votes Cast	841	841	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	849	849	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	452	452	0
NO	386	386	0
Total Votes Cast	838	838	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	849	849	0

Precinct 145

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,883		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,752	2,752	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	56.36%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	1,938	1,938	0
NO	779	779	0
Total Votes Cast	2,717	2,717	0
Overvotes	3	3	0
Undervotes	32	32	0
Contest Totals	2,752	2,752	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	1,887	1,887	0
NO	827	827	0
Total Votes Cast	2,714	2,714	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	38	38	0
Contest Totals	2,752	2,752	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 146

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,009		
Ballots Cast - Total	779	779	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.89%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	545	545	0
NO	223	223	0
Total Votes Cast	768	768	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	779	779	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	526	526	0
NO	242	242	0
Total Votes Cast	768	768	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	779	779	0

Precinct 147

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,932		
Ballots Cast - Total	653	653	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.27%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	381	381	0
NO	262	262	0
Total Votes Cast	643	643	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	653	653	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	358	358	0
NO	282	282	0
Total Votes Cast	640	640	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	653	653	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 148

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,429		
Ballots Cast - Total	916	916	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.71%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	601	601	0
NO	310	310	0
Total Votes Cast	911	911	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	916	916	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	580	580	0
NO	327	327	0
Total Votes Cast	907	907	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	916	916	0

Precinct 149

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,394		
Ballots Cast - Total	763	763	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.87%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	481	481	0
NO	277	277	0
Total Votes Cast	758	758	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	763	763	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	462	462	0
NO	289	289	0
Total Votes Cast	751	751	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	763	763	0

Precinct 150

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	830		
Ballots Cast - Total	143	143	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.23%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	83	83	0
NO	58	58	0
Total Votes Cast	141	141	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	143	143	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	84	84	0
NO	57	57	0
Total Votes Cast	141	141	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	143	143	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 151

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,273		
Ballots Cast - Total	682	682	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	53.57%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	408	408	0
NO	267	267	0
Total Votes Cast	675	675	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	682	682	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	406	406	0
NO	267	267	0
Total Votes Cast	673	673	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	682	682	0

Precinct 152

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	973		
Ballots Cast - Total	184	184	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.91%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	127	127	0
NO	52	52	0
Total Votes Cast	179	179	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	184	184	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	121	121	0
NO	60	60	0
Total Votes Cast	181	181	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	184	184	0

Precinct 153

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,813		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,221	1,221	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.02%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	734	734	0
NO	476	476	0
Total Votes Cast	1,210	1,210	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,221	1,221	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	692	692	0
NO	504	504	0
Total Votes Cast	1,196	1,196	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	25	25	0
Contest Totals	1,221	1,221	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 154

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,202		
Ballots Cast - Total	332	332	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	222	222	0
NO	102	102	0
Total Votes Cast	324	324	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	332	332	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	214	214	0
NO	113	113	0
Total Votes Cast	327	327	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	332	332	0

Precinct 155

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,083		
Ballots Cast - Total	196	196	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	9.41%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	132	132	0
NO	62	62	0
Total Votes Cast	194	194	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	196	196	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	119	119	0
NO	73	73	0
Total Votes Cast	192	192	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	196	196	0

Precinct 156

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,026		
Ballots Cast - Total	440	440	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.88%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	230	230	0
NO	206	206	0
Total Votes Cast	436	436	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	226	226	0
NO	208	208	0
Total Votes Cast	434	434	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	440	440	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 157

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,303		
Ballots Cast - Total	569	569	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.23%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	378	378	0
NO	187	187	0
Total Votes Cast	565	565	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	569	569	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	356	356	0
NO	205	205	0
Total Votes Cast	561	561	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	569	569	0

Precinct 158

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,941		
Ballots Cast - Total	859	859	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.26%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	590	590	0
NO	265	265	0
Total Votes Cast	855	855	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	859	859	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	568	568	0
NO	271	271	0
Total Votes Cast	839	839	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	859	859	0

Precinct 159

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,545		
Ballots Cast - Total	709	709	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.60%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	454	454	0
NO	244	244	0
Total Votes Cast	698	698	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	709	709	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	436	436	0
NO	263	263	0
Total Votes Cast	699	699	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	709	709	0

Precinct 160

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,890		
Ballots Cast - Total	278	278	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.71%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	202	202	0
NO	71	71	0
Total Votes Cast	273	273	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	278	278	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	184	184	0
NO	92	92	0
Total Votes Cast	276	276	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	278	278	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 161

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	897		
Ballots Cast - Total	404	404	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.04%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	199	199	0
NO	199	199	0
Total Votes Cast	398	398	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	404	404	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	194	194	0
NO	200	200	0
Total Votes Cast	394	394	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	404	404	0

Precinct 162

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,892		
Ballots Cast - Total	658	658	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.78%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	436	436	0
NO	219	219	0
Total Votes Cast	655	655	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	658	658	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	421	421	0
NO	234	234	0
Total Votes Cast	655	655	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	658	658	0

Precinct 163

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,249		
Ballots Cast - Total	921	921	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.95%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	632	632	0
NO	289	289	0
Total Votes Cast	921	921	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	921	921	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	615	615	0
NO	298	298	0
Total Votes Cast	913	913	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	921	921	0

Precinct 164

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,444		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,369	1,369	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.75%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	803	803	0
NO	557	557	0
Total Votes Cast	1,360	1,360	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,369	1,369	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	789	789	0
NO	564	564	0
Total Votes Cast	1,353	1,353	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,369	1,369	0

Precinct 165

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	933		
Ballots Cast - Total	250	250	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.80%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	108	108	0
NO	142	142	0
Total Votes Cast	250	250	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	250	250	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	103	103	0
NO	143	143	0
Total Votes Cast	246	246	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	250	250	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 166

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,203		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,191	1,191	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.89%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	597	597	0
NO	586	586	0
Total Votes Cast	1,183	1,183	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,191	1,191	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	588	588	0
NO	585	585	0
Total Votes Cast	1,173	1,173	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,191	1,191	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 167

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,660		
Ballots Cast - Total	642	642	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.67%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	312	312	0
NO	324	324	0
Total Votes Cast	636	636	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	642	642	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	319	319	0
NO	318	318	0
Total Votes Cast	637	637	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	642	642	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 168

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	961		
Ballots Cast - Total	400	400	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.62%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	242	242	0
NO	155	155	0
Total Votes Cast	397	397	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	400	400	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	230	230	0
NO	164	164	0
Total Votes Cast	394	394	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	400	400	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 169

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,697		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,598	1,598	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,088	1,088	0
NO	500	500	0
Total Votes Cast	1,588	1,588	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,598	1,598	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,056	1,056	0
NO	520	520	0
Total Votes Cast	1,576	1,576	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	21	21	0
Contest Totals	1,598	1,598	0

Precinct 170

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,512		
Ballots Cast - Total	960	960	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	651	651	0
NO	296	296	0
Total Votes Cast	947	947	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	960	960	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	614	614	0
NO	323	323	0
Total Votes Cast	937	937	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	23	23	0
Contest Totals	960	960	0

Precinct 171

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,806		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,343	1,343	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	852	852	0
NO	473	473	0
Total Votes Cast	1,325	1,325	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,343	1,343	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	835	835	0
NO	484	484	0
Total Votes Cast	1,319	1,319	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	24	24	0
Contest Totals	1,343	1,343	0

Precinct 172

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,780		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,362	2,362	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.87%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,627	1,627	0
NO	710	710	0
Total Votes Cast	2,337	2,337	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	25	25	0
Contest Totals	2,362	2,362	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,567	1,567	0
NO	767	767	0
Total Votes Cast	2,334	2,334	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	28	28	0
Contest Totals	2,362	2,362	0

Precinct 173

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,975		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,120	1,120	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.65%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	731	731	0
NO	378	378	0
Total Votes Cast	1,109	1,109	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,120	1,120	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	700	700	0
NO	409	409	0
Total Votes Cast	1,109	1,109	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,120	1,120	0

Precinct 174

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,611		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,540	1,540	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.40%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	876	876	0
NO	657	657	0
Total Votes Cast	1,533	1,533	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	1,540	1,540	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	823	823	0
NO	697	697	0
Total Votes Cast	1,520	1,520	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	1,540	1,540	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 175

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,205		
Ballots Cast - Total	830	830	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.74%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	512	512	0
NO	312	312	0
Total Votes Cast	824	824	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	830	830	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	480	480	0
NO	334	334	0
Total Votes Cast	814	814	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	830	830	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 176

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,874		
Ballots Cast - Total	530	530	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.28%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	261	261	0
NO	265	265	0
Total Votes Cast	526	526	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	530	530	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	245	245	0
NO	273	273	0
Total Votes Cast	518	518	0
Overvotes	2	2	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	530	530	0

Precinct 177

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,060		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,105	1,105	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	545	545	0
NO	552	552	0
Total Votes Cast	1,097	1,097	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,105	1,105	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	517	517	0
NO	578	578	0
Total Votes Cast	1,095	1,095	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,105	1,105	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 178

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,846		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,106	1,106	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.86%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	551	551	0
NO	549	549	0
Total Votes Cast	1,100	1,100	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	1,106	1,106	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	515	515	0
NO	568	568	0
Total Votes Cast	1,083	1,083	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	23	23	0
Contest Totals	1,106	1,106	0

Precinct 179

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,750		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,878	1,878	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	50.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	985	985	0
NO	868	868	0
Total Votes Cast	1,853	1,853	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	25	25	0
Contest Totals	1,878	1,878	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	966	966	0
NO	895	895	0
Total Votes Cast	1,861	1,861	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,878	1,878	0

Precinct 180

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,429		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,283	1,283	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	643	643	0
NO	634	634	0
Total Votes Cast	1,277	1,277	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	1,283	1,283	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	609	609	0
NO	653	653	0
Total Votes Cast	1,262	1,262	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	21	21	0
Contest Totals	1,283	1,283	0

Precinct 181

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,577		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,560	1,560	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.61%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	750	750	0
NO	795	795	0
Total Votes Cast	1,545	1,545	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,560	1,560	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	703	703	0
NO	836	836	0
Total Votes Cast	1,539	1,539	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	21	21	0
Contest Totals	1,560	1,560	0

Precinct 182

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,927		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,354	1,354	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.48%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	710	710	0
NO	630	630	0
Total Votes Cast	1,340	1,340	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,354	1,354	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	677	677	0
NO	652	652	0
Total Votes Cast	1,329	1,329	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	25	25	0
Contest Totals	1,354	1,354	0

Precinct 183

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,603		
Ballots Cast - Total	616	616	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.43%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	294	294	0
NO	314	314	0
Total Votes Cast	608	608	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	616	616	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	289	289	0
NO	317	317	0
Total Votes Cast	606	606	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	616	616	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 184

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,872		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,815	2,815	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.96%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,765	1,765	0
NO	1,030	1,030	0
Total Votes Cast	2,795	2,795	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	2,815	2,815	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,700	1,700	0
NO	1,082	1,082	0
Total Votes Cast	2,782	2,782	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	33	33	0
Contest Totals	2,815	2,815	0

Precinct 185

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,010		
Ballots Cast - Total	527	527	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	353	353	0
NO	167	167	0
Total Votes Cast	520	520	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	527	527	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	342	342	0
NO	183	183	0
Total Votes Cast	525	525	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	527	527	0

Precinct 186

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	339		
Ballots Cast - Total	158	158	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.61%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	74	74	0
NO	77	77	0
Total Votes Cast	151	151	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	158	158	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	80	80	0
NO	77	77	0
Total Votes Cast	157	157	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	158	158	0

Precinct 187

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,737		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,476	1,476	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.50%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	966	966	0
NO	501	501	0
Total Votes Cast	1,467	1,467	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,476	1,476	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	929	929	0
NO	532	532	0
Total Votes Cast	1,461	1,461	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,476	1,476	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 188

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,837		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,019	1,019	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.92%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	550	550	0
NO	457	457	0
Total Votes Cast	1,007	1,007	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,019	1,019	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	518	518	0
NO	486	486	0
Total Votes Cast	1,004	1,004	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,019	1,019	0

Precinct 189

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,627		
Ballots Cast - Total	588	588	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.14%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	323	323	0
NO	260	260	0
Total Votes Cast	583	583	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	588	588	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	314	314	0
NO	260	260	0
Total Votes Cast	574	574	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	588	588	0

Precinct 190

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,820		
Ballots Cast - Total	292	292	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.04%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	197	197	0
NO	88	88	0
Total Votes Cast	285	285	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	292	292	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	192	192	0
NO	95	95	0
Total Votes Cast	287	287	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	292	292	0

Precinct 191

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,203		
Ballots Cast - Total	363	363	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.17%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	200	200	0
NO	159	159	0
Total Votes Cast	359	359	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	363	363	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	196	196	0
NO	164	164	0
Total Votes Cast	360	360	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	363	363	0

Precinct 192

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,236		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,210	1,210	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.39%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	597	597	0
NO	603	603	0
Total Votes Cast	1,200	1,200	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,210	1,210	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	573	573	0
NO	619	619	0
Total Votes Cast	1,192	1,192	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,210	1,210	0

Precinct 193

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,698		
Ballots Cast - Total	919	919	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	54.12%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	585	585	0
NO	324	324	0
Total Votes Cast	909	909	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	919	919	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	571	571	0
NO	334	334	0
Total Votes Cast	905	905	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	919	919	0

Precinct 194

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,374		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,289	1,289	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.20%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	871	871	0
NO	406	406	0
Total Votes Cast	1,277	1,277	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,289	1,289	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	837	837	0
NO	434	434	0
Total Votes Cast	1,271	1,271	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,289	1,289	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 195

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,982		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,590	1,590	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.93%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,040	1,040	0
NO	542	542	0
Total Votes Cast	1,582	1,582	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,590	1,590	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	995	995	0
NO	576	576	0
Total Votes Cast	1,571	1,571	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,590	1,590	0

Precinct 197

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,822		
Ballots Cast - Total	947	947	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	505	505	0
NO	437	437	0
Total Votes Cast	942	942	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	947	947	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	483	483	0
NO	457	457	0
Total Votes Cast	940	940	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	947	947	0

Precinct 198

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,510		
Ballots Cast - Total	967	967	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.53%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	563	563	0
NO	395	395	0
Total Votes Cast	958	958	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	967	967	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	538	538	0
NO	414	414	0
Total Votes Cast	952	952	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	967	967	0

Precinct 199

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,781		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,348	1,348	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.47%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	667	667	0
NO	669	669	0
Total Votes Cast	1,336	1,336	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,348	1,348	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	646	646	0
NO	683	683	0
Total Votes Cast	1,329	1,329	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,348	1,348	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 200

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,378		
Ballots Cast - Total	846	846	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.58%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	559	559	0
NO	280	280	0
Total Votes Cast	839	839	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	846	846	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	538	538	0
NO	294	294	0
Total Votes Cast	832	832	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	846	846	0

Precinct 201

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,500		
Ballots Cast - Total	823	823	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.51%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	569	569	0
NO	248	248	0
Total Votes Cast	817	817	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	823	823	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	547	547	0
NO	262	262	0
Total Votes Cast	809	809	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	823	823	0

Precinct 202

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,672		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,253	1,253	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.12%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	804	804	0
NO	440	440	0
Total Votes Cast	1,244	1,244	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,253	1,253	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	755	755	0
NO	477	477	0
Total Votes Cast	1,232	1,232	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	21	21	0
Contest Totals	1,253	1,253	0

Precinct 203

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,828		
Ballots Cast - Total	387	387	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.17%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	237	237	0
NO	145	145	0
Total Votes Cast	382	382	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	387	387	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	229	229	0
NO	155	155	0
Total Votes Cast	384	384	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	387	387	0

Precinct 204

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	552		
Ballots Cast - Total	164	164	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.71%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	62	62	0
NO	99	99	0
Total Votes Cast	161	161	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	164	164	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	57	57	0
NO	103	103	0
Total Votes Cast	160	160	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	164	164	0

Precinct 205

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,691		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,566	1,566	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	58.19%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	982	982	0
NO	572	572	0
Total Votes Cast	1,554	1,554	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,566	1,566	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	960	960	0
NO	588	588	0
Total Votes Cast	1,548	1,548	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	1,566	1,566	0

Precinct 206

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	489		
Ballots Cast - Total	169	169	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.56%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	72	72	0
NO	96	96	0
Total Votes Cast	168	168	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	169	169	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	66	66	0
NO	98	98	0
Total Votes Cast	164	164	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	169	169	0

Precinct 207

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,385		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,202	1,202	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	50.40%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	731	731	0
NO	452	452	0
Total Votes Cast	1,183	1,183	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,202	1,202	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	709	709	0
NO	467	467	0
Total Votes Cast	1,176	1,176	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	25	25	0
Contest Totals	1,202	1,202	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 208

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,795		
Ballots Cast - Total	472	472	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.30%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	228	228	0
NO	237	237	0
Total Votes Cast	465	465	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	472	472	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	212	212	0
NO	254	254	0
Total Votes Cast	466	466	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	472	472	0

Precinct 209

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,948		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,357	1,357	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.03%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	836	836	0
NO	501	501	0
Total Votes Cast	1,337	1,337	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,357	1,357	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	799	799	0
NO	542	542	0
Total Votes Cast	1,341	1,341	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	1,357	1,357	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 210

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,328		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,108	1,108	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.59%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	764	764	0
NO	333	333	0
Total Votes Cast	1,097	1,097	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	1,108	1,108	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	749	749	0
NO	351	351	0
Total Votes Cast	1,100	1,100	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	1,108	1,108	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 211

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,294		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,089	1,089	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.47%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	763	763	0
NO	314	314	0
Total Votes Cast	1,077	1,077	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,089	1,089	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	737	737	0
NO	340	340	0
Total Votes Cast	1,077	1,077	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,089	1,089	0

Precinct 212

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,609		
Ballots Cast - Total	517	517	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.13%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	326	326	0
NO	188	188	0
Total Votes Cast	514	514	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	517	517	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	317	317	0
NO	195	195	0
Total Votes Cast	512	512	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	517	517	0

Precinct 213

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	453		
Ballots Cast - Total	126	126	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.81%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	95	95	0
NO	30	30	0
Total Votes Cast	125	125	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	126	126	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	87	87	0
NO	37	37	0
Total Votes Cast	124	124	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	126	126	0

Precinct 214

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,012		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,147	1,147	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	745	745	0
NO	389	389	0
Total Votes Cast	1,134	1,134	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,147	1,147	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	712	712	0
NO	415	415	0
Total Votes Cast	1,127	1,127	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	1,147	1,147	0

Precinct 215

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,690		
Ballots Cast - Total	500	500	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.59%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	255	255	0
NO	242	242	0
Total Votes Cast	497	497	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	500	500	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	243	243	0
NO	245	245	0
Total Votes Cast	488	488	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	500	500	0

Precinct 216

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,755		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,328	1,328	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.20%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	880	880	0
NO	431	431	0
Total Votes Cast	1,311	1,311	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	1,328	1,328	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	855	855	0
NO	463	463	0
Total Votes Cast	1,318	1,318	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,328	1,328	0

Precinct 217

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,204		
Ballots Cast - Total	384	384	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.42%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	239	239	0
NO	142	142	0
Total Votes Cast	381	381	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	384	384	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	226	226	0
NO	154	154	0
Total Votes Cast	380	380	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	384	384	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 218

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,007		
Ballots Cast - Total	2,090	2,090	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.79%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,240	1,240	0
NO	840	840	0
Total Votes Cast	2,080	2,080	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	2,090	2,090	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,200	1,200	0
NO	860	860	0
Total Votes Cast	2,060	2,060	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	30	30	0
Contest Totals	2,090	2,090	0

Precinct 219

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,839		
Ballots Cast - Total	716	716	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	423	423	0
NO	281	281	0
Total Votes Cast	704	704	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	716	716	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	396	396	0
NO	304	304	0
Total Votes Cast	700	700	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	716	716	0

Precinct 220

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,211		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,603	1,603	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	49.92%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	882	882	0
NO	701	701	0
Total Votes Cast	1,583	1,583	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	20	20	0
Contest Totals	1,603	1,603	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	860	860	0
NO	729	729	0
Total Votes Cast	1,589	1,589	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,603	1,603	0

Precinct 221

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	701		
Ballots Cast - Total	221	221	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.53%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	105	105	0
NO	114	114	0
Total Votes Cast	219	219	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	221	221	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	102	102	0
NO	116	116	0
Total Votes Cast	218	218	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	221	221	0

Precinct 222

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,341		
Ballots Cast - Total	544	544	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.24%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	277	277	0
NO	263	263	0
Total Votes Cast	540	540	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	544	544	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	253	253	0
NO	289	289	0
Total Votes Cast	542	542	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	544	544	0

Precinct 223

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,866		
Ballots Cast - Total	438	438	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	23.47%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	275	275	0
NO	160	160	0
Total Votes Cast	435	435	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	438	438	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	263	263	0
NO	170	170	0
Total Votes Cast	433	433	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	438	438	0

Precinct 224

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,630		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,709	1,709	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.78%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,003	1,003	0
NO	693	693	0
Total Votes Cast	1,696	1,696	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,709	1,709	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	957	957	0
NO	726	726	0
Total Votes Cast	1,683	1,683	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	26	26	0
Contest Totals	1,709	1,709	0

Precinct 225

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,747		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,025	1,025	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.36%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	602	602	0
NO	409	409	0
Total Votes Cast	1,011	1,011	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,025	1,025	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	583	583	0
NO	426	426	0
Total Votes Cast	1,009	1,009	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	15	15	0
Contest Totals	1,025	1,025	0

Precinct 226

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,004		
Ballots Cast - Total	439	439	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	262	262	0
NO	174	174	0
Total Votes Cast	436	436	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	439	439	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	248	248	0
NO	187	187	0
Total Votes Cast	435	435	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	439	439	0

Precinct 227

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,119		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,257	1,257	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.54%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	766	766	0
NO	478	478	0
Total Votes Cast	1,244	1,244	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	706	706	0
NO	527	527	0
Total Votes Cast	1,233	1,233	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	24	24	0
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257	0

Precinct 228

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,180		
Ballots Cast - Total	625	625	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	52.97%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	346	346	0
NO	269	269	0
Total Votes Cast	615	615	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	625	625	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	338	338	0
NO	274	274	0
Total Votes Cast	612	612	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	625	625	0

Precinct 229

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,741		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,557	1,557	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.12%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	836	836	0
NO	709	709	0
Total Votes Cast	1,545	1,545	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	1,557	1,557	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	792	792	0
NO	741	741	0
Total Votes Cast	1,533	1,533	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	23	23	0
Contest Totals	1,557	1,557	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 230

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,046		
Ballots Cast - Total	409	409	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.10%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	280	280	0
NO	126	126	0
Total Votes Cast	406	406	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	409	409	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	274	274	0
NO	131	131	0
Total Votes Cast	405	405	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	409	409	0

Precinct 231

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	6,762		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,367	1,367	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	733	733	0
NO	611	611	0
Total Votes Cast	1,344	1,344	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	23	23	0
Contest Totals	1,367	1,367	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	690	690	0
NO	648	648	0
Total Votes Cast	1,338	1,338	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	29	29	0
Contest Totals	1,367	1,367	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 232

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,477		
Ballots Cast - Total	918	918	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.76%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	507	507	0
NO	405	405	0
Total Votes Cast	912	912	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	918	918	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	466	466	0
NO	440	440	0
Total Votes Cast	906	906	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	918	918	0

Precinct 233

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,584		
Ballots Cast - Total	644	644	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.92%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	414	414	0
NO	224	224	0
Total Votes Cast	638	638	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	644	644	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	398	398	0
NO	238	238	0
Total Votes Cast	636	636	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	644	644	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 234

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,324		
Ballots Cast - Total	642	642	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.62%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	398	398	0
NO	236	236	0
Total Votes Cast	634	634	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	642	642	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	369	369	0
NO	256	256	0
Total Votes Cast	625	625	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	17	17	0
Contest Totals	642	642	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 235

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	5,024		
Ballots Cast - Total	895	895	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.81%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	587	587	0
NO	301	301	0
Total Votes Cast	888	888	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	895	895	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	546	546	0
NO	331	331	0
Total Votes Cast	877	877	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	18	18	0
Contest Totals	895	895	0

Precinct 236

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,258		
Ballots Cast - Total	177	177	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.07%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	140	140	0
NO	37	37	0
Total Votes Cast	177	177	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	177	177	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	136	136	0
NO	38	38	0
Total Votes Cast	174	174	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	177	177	0

Precinct 237

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,871		
Ballots Cast - Total	768	768	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.05%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	548	548	0
NO	206	206	0
Total Votes Cast	754	754	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	768	768	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	544	544	0
NO	214	214	0
Total Votes Cast	758	758	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	768	768	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 238

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,912		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,185	1,185	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.69%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	831	831	0
NO	340	340	0
Total Votes Cast	1,171	1,171	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,185	1,185	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	818	818	0
NO	357	357	0
Total Votes Cast	1,175	1,175	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	1,185	1,185	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 239

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,814		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,635	1,635	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.87%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,117	1,117	0
NO	509	509	0
Total Votes Cast	1,626	1,626	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	1,635	1,635	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	1,080	1,080	0
NO	536	536	0
Total Votes Cast	1,616	1,616	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,635	1,635	0

Precinct 240

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	111		
Ballots Cast - Total	46	46	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.44%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	27	27	0
NO	18	18	0
Total Votes Cast	45	45	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	46	46	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	26	26	0
NO	19	19	0
Total Votes Cast	45	45	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	46	46	0

Precinct 241

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,856		
Ballots Cast - Total	872	872	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.98%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	515	515	0
NO	348	348	0
Total Votes Cast	863	863	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	872	872	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	502	502	0
NO	357	357	0
Total Votes Cast	859	859	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	872	872	0

Precinct 242

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,425		
Ballots Cast - Total	619	619	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.53%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	387	387	0
NO	230	230	0
Total Votes Cast	617	617	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	619	619	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	363	363	0
NO	252	252	0
Total Votes Cast	615	615	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	619	619	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 243

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,100		
Ballots Cast - Total	886	886	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.19%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	511	511	0
NO	367	367	0
Total Votes Cast	878	878	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	8	8	0
Contest Totals	886	886	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	490	490	0
NO	387	387	0
Total Votes Cast	877	877	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	9	9	0
Contest Totals	886	886	0

Precinct 244

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	917		
Ballots Cast - Total	117	117	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	12.76%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	73	73	0
NO	43	43	0
Total Votes Cast	116	116	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	117	117	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	73	73	0
NO	43	43	0
Total Votes Cast	116	116	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	117	117	0

Precinct 245

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,786		
Ballots Cast - Total	544	544	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.46%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	312	312	0
NO	229	229	0
Total Votes Cast	541	541	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	544	544	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	298	298	0
NO	236	236	0
Total Votes Cast	534	534	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	10	10	0
Contest Totals	544	544	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 246

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,990		
Ballots Cast - Total	656	656	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.96%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	314	314	0
NO	335	335	0
Total Votes Cast	649	649	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	7	7	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	316	316	0
NO	334	334	0
Total Votes Cast	650	650	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	656	656	0

Precinct 247

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	463		
Ballots Cast - Total	95	95	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.52%		

Proposition 418
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	46	46	0
NO	46	46	0
Total Votes Cast	92	92	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	95	95	0

Proposition 419
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	46	46	0
NO	46	46	0
Total Votes Cast	92	92	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	95	95	0

Precinct 248

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	929		
Ballots Cast - Total	168	168	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.08%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	129	129	0
NO	37	37	0
Total Votes Cast	166	166	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	168	168	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	122	122	0
NO	44	44	0
Total Votes Cast	166	166	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	168	168	0

Precinct 249

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	3,109		
Ballots Cast - Total	838	838	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.95%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	450	450	0
NO	383	383	0
Total Votes Cast	833	833	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	5	5	0
Contest Totals	838	838	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	427	427	0
NO	400	400	0
Total Votes Cast	827	827	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	11	11	0
Contest Totals	838	838	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 250

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	77		
Ballots Cast - Total	15	15	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.48%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	6	6	0
NO	9	9	0
Total Votes Cast	15	15	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	15	15	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	5	5	0
NO	10	10	0
Total Votes Cast	15	15	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	15	15	0

Precinct 252

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,478		
Ballots Cast - Total	931	931	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.57%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	601	601	0
NO	324	324	0
Total Votes Cast	925	925	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	6	6	0
Contest Totals	931	931	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	570	570	0
NO	345	345	0
Total Votes Cast	915	915	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	16	16	0
Contest Totals	931	931	0

Precinct 253

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	251		
Ballots Cast - Total	26	26	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	10.36%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	19	19	0
NO	7	7	0
Total Votes Cast	26	26	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	26	26	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	18	18	0
NO	8	8	0
Total Votes Cast	26	26	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	26	26	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 254

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	750		
Ballots Cast - Total	219	219	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.20%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	133	133	0
NO	85	85	0
Total Votes Cast	218	218	0
Overvotes	1	1	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	219	219	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	129	129	0
NO	87	87	0
Total Votes Cast	216	216	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	3	3	0
Contest Totals	219	219	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 257

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,803		
Ballots Cast - Total	391	391	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.69%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	190	190	0
NO	199	199	0
Total Votes Cast	389	389	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	391	391	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	178	178	0
NO	209	209	0
Total Votes Cast	387	387	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	4	4	0
Contest Totals	391	391	0

Precinct 258

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	266		
Ballots Cast - Total	79	79	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	29.70%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	20	20	0
NO	57	57	0
Total Votes Cast	77	77	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	79	79	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	20	20	0
NO	58	58	0
Total Votes Cast	78	78	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	79	79	0

Precinct 260

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	563		
Ballots Cast - Total	171	171	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.37%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	117	117	0
NO	54	54	0
Total Votes Cast	171	171	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	171	171	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	111	111	0
NO	59	59	0
Total Votes Cast	170	170	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	171	171	0

Precinct 262

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	2,654		
Ballots Cast - Total	975	975	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.74%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	506	506	0
NO	457	457	0
Total Votes Cast	963	963	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	12	12	0
Contest Totals	975	975	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	497	497	0
NO	465	465	0
Total Votes Cast	962	962	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	13	13	0
Contest Totals	975	975	0

Precinct 264

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	770		
Ballots Cast - Total	207	207	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.88%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	119	119	0
NO	87	87	0
Total Votes Cast	206	206	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	207	207	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	116	116	0
NO	90	90	0
Total Votes Cast	206	206	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	207	207	0

Precinct 265

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	220		
Ballots Cast - Total	83	83	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.73%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	42	42	0
NO	40	40	0
Total Votes Cast	82	82	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	83	83	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	38	38	0
NO	45	45	0
Total Votes Cast	83	83	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	83	83	0

Precinct 266

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	218		
Ballots Cast - Total	33	33	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.14%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	16	16	0
NO	16	16	0
Total Votes Cast	32	32	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	33	33	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	16	16	0
NO	17	17	0
Total Votes Cast	33	33	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	33	33	0

Precinct 267

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	42		
Ballots Cast - Total	9	9	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.43%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	5	5	0
NO	4	4	0
Total Votes Cast	9	9	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	9	9	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	4	4	0
NO	5	5	0
Total Votes Cast	9	9	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	9	9	0

Precinct 269

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	236		
Ballots Cast - Total	59	59	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.00%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	33	33	0
NO	25	25	0
Total Votes Cast	58	58	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	59	59	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	32	32	0
NO	27	27	0
Total Votes Cast	59	59	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	59	59	0

Precinct 271

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	877		
Ballots Cast - Total	151	151	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.22%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	98	98	0
NO	52	52	0
Total Votes Cast	150	150	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	151	151	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	95	95	0
NO	54	54	0
Total Votes Cast	149	149	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	151	151	0

Statment of Votes Cast
Regional Transport Authority Special Election
March 10, 2026

OFFICIAL RESULTS

County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 272

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	1,663		
Ballots Cast - Total	332	332	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.96%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	230	230	0
NO	101	101	0
Total Votes Cast	331	331	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	1	1	0
Contest Totals	332	332	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	215	215	0
NO	115	115	0
Total Votes Cast	330	330	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	332	332	0

Precinct 273

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	80		
Ballots Cast - Total	13	13	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.25%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	8	8	0
NO	5	5	0
Total Votes Cast	13	13	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	13	13	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	10	10	0
NO	3	3	0
Total Votes Cast	13	13	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	13	13	0

Precinct 274

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	270		
Ballots Cast - Total	122	122	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.19%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	87	87	0
NO	33	33	0
Total Votes Cast	120	120	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	2	2	0
Contest Totals	122	122	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / SÍ	89	89	0
NO	33	33	0
Total Votes Cast	122	122	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	0	0	0
Contest Totals	122	122	0

Precinct 275

Statistics	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
Registered Voters - Total	4,315		
Ballots Cast - Total	1,453	1,453	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.67%		

Proposition 418

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	963	963	0
NO	476	476	0
Total Votes Cast	1,439	1,439	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	14	14	0
Contest Totals	1,453	1,453	0

Proposition 419

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	All Mail Provisional	
YES / Sí	913	913	0
NO	521	521	0
Total Votes Cast	1,434	1,434	0
Overvotes	0	0	0
Undervotes	19	19	0
Contest Totals	1,453	1,453	0