

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, November 18, 2025. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Rex Scott, Chair
Jennifer Allen, Vice Chair
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
Steve Christy, Member
Andrés Cano, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshner, County Administrator
Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
John Stuckey, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting at 9:24 a.m.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

The Land Acknowledgement Statement was delivered by Tisha Tallman, Chief Executive Officer, Primavera Foundation.

3. CURRENT EVENTS/PUBLIC ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Supervisor Cano requested a moment of silence for the recent passing of Ernesto Portillo on November 2, 2025, and that he had recently celebrated his 92nd birthday. He stated that Mr. Portillo had established a 50-year career in Spanish language radio in Tucson, and that his legacy was defined by his commitment to elevating the voices and stories of the community in his decades of journalism and broadcasting. The Board observed a moment of silence in honor of Mr. Portillo.

Supervisor Allen stated that on November 15, 2025, a rally had taken place at the San Rafael Valley, which was organized by the Patagonia Alliance, The Sierra Club and other organizations. She stated that the San Rafael Valley was a place of ecological importance and that there were around 100 people at the rally ensuring to protect the region. She thanked several of the District Councils on the Tohono O'odham Nation for allowing her space on their agenda so that she could explain some of things that the Board had been doing. She stated that there would be a meeting on November 20th held by the Western Pima County Community Council in Ajo and that they were driving forth a list of projects that would make Ajo stronger.

Chair Scott requested that the County Administrator commend staff from the Office of Emergency Management because they had joined him and his staff at Coronado K-8 School to talk to residents of the Catalina area about fire mitigation, fire response, and rising insurance costs. He added that the Golder Ranch Fire District staff had also joined them.

PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION

4. Presentation of a proclamation to John Neatherlin, Deputy Director, Eleana Acosta Zavala and Amy Lind, Epidemiologists, Pima County Health Department, proclaiming November 18 through November 24, 2025, to be: "NATIONAL ANTIBIOTIC AWARENESS WEEK"

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Allen and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the item. Chair Scott made the presentation.

5. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Sheriff Chris Nanos addressed the Board and acknowledged two community members of the Redington area who had worked with the Pima County Sheriff's Department (PCSD) resolving some tough problems in the area. He stated that the PCSD had worked to provide communication in that area, and he acknowledged that Consent Calendar Item No. 8, would be a game changer for the rural community.

Randy Rist shared a recording taken in his neighborhood in the early morning hours, which depicted many loud barking dogs. He stated that he had asked for help three months ago and it had gone nowhere. He thanked the Sheriff's Department for the nine citations they had left and stated that he had left several phone messages and emails for the County Attorney about this issue but had not received a response from her office.

Sara Haghighi stated that she came to the United States in 2013 with only \$45.00, and the assistance she received from SNAP was more than just food assistance in her first year, it was stability. She stated SNAP allowed her to focus her limited income on other things and take adult education classes to prepare for employment. She urged the Board to support Minute Item No. 34.

Wendy Scheder Black spoke about the Pima Community College's Adult Basic Education for College and Career Program, and that it saw over 4,500 adult learners each year, including 600 refugees. She stated that recent changes in SNAP eligibility put opportunities at risk, because learners would be forced to take on extra jobs and it would slow their progress. She added that there was conflicting guidance on whether adult education counted towards the 80-hour monthly eligibility requirement.

Phineas Anderson thanked Supervisor Allen for placing Resolution No. 2025-52, on the agenda. He stated that President Trump posed a serious threat to democracy, and if the Board passed the Resolution, they were setting an example for other counties to stand up.

Daniel Butierez spoke about community homelessness and that citizens were dying in the streets. He stated that he had shared a plan with the Board regarding how to help homeless individuals and asked them to read it.

Tracey Solis spoke about being homeless and that a lot of homeless individuals were mischaracterized as drug users, which she was not. She stated that there were a lot of people at the Salvation Army Hospitality House, that homelessness could be resolved if funds were reprioritized properly, and abandoned buildings could be used to keep people off the streets.

Rebecca Ursule stated that she was originally from the Democratic Republic of Congo, had lived in Tucson for three years and that her family relied on food stamps when they first arrived. She stated that 75% of refugees arrived without being fluent in English, and many did not pursue education in order to work. She indicated that her heart broke to see how SNAP benefit cuts were affecting refugees who no longer had opportunities.

Christina Early, Board Chair of Refugee Network, thanked the Board for addressing Minute Item No. 34. She stated that even after the federal government reopened, refugees of less than five years were set to lose their benefits. She asked the Board to consider a way to continue the monies to support future refugees.

Jon Ralston addressed the Board regarding the land sale to Beale and Project Blue. He said that he could not support Project Blue and that data centers were the lynchpin of dystopian developments. He implored the Board not to inflict pain on the community for short term gain.

Vivek Bharathan spoke about the sales team from Beale and they had told the Board everything they wanted to hear, but the Board had not gotten anything in writing that was legally binding. He stated that he was sympathetic towards union workers who wanted this project, but the project would prevent people from living in a safe and healthy environment.

Joseph LaSalvia voiced his concern with the Pima Animal Care Center's inability to care for the County's animals. He spoke about multiple instances of violent and aggressive dogs that harmed people and other animals, including his neighbors who had not paid any fines for the 20-year history of bites from their unvaccinated dogs. He spoke about a petition he started calling for timely and substantive enforcement, but that so far, the petition had been ignored. He urged the Board and County Administrator to reconsider the petition.

* * *

Chair Scott closed Call to the Public.

Supervisor Cano stated that he received information from the Clerk in reference to a constituent who spoke about her experience living in the Salvation Army House and requested that the Clerk provide that information to the County Administrator so that she could see if Community and Workforce Development would be able to support any wraparound services, including transition to permanent housing.

Chair Scott requested that staff from County Administration or the County Attorney's Office follow up with Mr. Rist regarding his specific concerns.

6. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Allen and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 3:52 p.m.

7. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 4:44 p.m. All members were present.

8. **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A)(3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding legal options related to Project Blue.

No Board action was taken.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

9. **Hearing - Liquor License**

Job No. 356863, Felicity Ann Heron, Marathon Food Mart, 16455 N. Oracle Road, Tucson, Series 10, Beer and Wine Store, New License.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

10. **Hearing - Zoning Code Text Amendment**

P25TA00002, ACCESSORY DWELLING UNITS

An Ordinance of the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, relating to zoning (Title 18); amending the Pima County Code Chapter 18.03 (General Definitions), Section 18.03.020 (Definitions) to add a definition for accessory dwelling unit and amending the Pima County Code Chapter 18.09 (General

Residential and Rural Zoning Provisions) to add standards for accessory dwelling units. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 6-0 (Commissioners Becker, Matter, Gungle and Cook were absent) to recommend APPROVAL. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (All Districts)

If approved, pass and adopt: ORDINANCE NO. 2025 – 27

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue the item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of December 2, 2025.

11. Hearing - Rezoning Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2025 - 28, P24SP00007, Chacon, et al. - E. Hawser Street Specific Plan Rezoning Plan. Owner: Norma Chacon/CNA Enterprises, Inc. (District 1)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Allen and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

12. Hearing - Rezoning Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 50, P20CR00003, Suki Investment Group, L.L.C. - W. Magee Road Concurrent Plan Amendment and Rezoning. Owner: Suki Investment Group, L.L.C. (District 1)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Allen and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Resolution.

13. Hearing - Comprehensive Plan Amendment and Specific Plan Resolution and Ordinance

P25SP00001, Aquilina - W. Ajo Highway Specific Plan and Comprehensive Plan Amendment. Owners: Aquilina, Vincent & Maureen S. (District 5)

If approved, pass and adopt RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 51 and ORDINANCE NO. 2025 - 29

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Cano, seconded by Chair Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Resolution and Ordinance.

14. **RECESS**

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Allen, and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to recess the meeting at 12:01 p.m., to take part in the joint meeting with the City of Tucson Mayor & Council.

15. **RECONVENE**

The meeting was reconvened at 3:07 p.m. All members were present.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

16. **Board of Supervisors Representative Updates on Boards, Committees and Commissions and Any Other Municipalities**

Supervisor Allen stated that the Arizona Borders Counties Coalition meeting was postponed and that the Board of Health (BOH) had met at the end of last month. She stated that Dr. Alexia Smith from Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), provided a periodic report to the BOH, the Friends of PACC Community Clinic had recently opened on 1st Avenue, the Eastside PACC Adoption Center would open soon, volunteers were needed at PACC, and there was a continuation of the discussion about the Health Department's role in conducting a public health assessment related to the County's new due diligence process as it related to data centers, high water and energy users. She stated that as part of that discussion, there was an approval by the BOH to advise the Board of Supervisors to apply the due diligence process and policy retroactively so that it would encompass Project Blue before the County closed on the land sale. She stated that there was also a report regarding concerns about the new world screwworm, which had currently spread in Mexico and was making its way north.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

17. **Opposing Executive Overreach**

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 52, of the Board of Supervisors, opposing executive overreach, supporting the U.S. Constitution, and defending our democracy. (District 3)

It was moved by Supervisor Allen and seconded by Chair Scott to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Allen read the entirety of the Resolution for the record:

"Whereas, the Pima County Board of Supervisors maintains that the U.S. Constitution is the basis for our democratic republic and our civil society;

Whereas, the first three articles of the U.S. Constitution establish three "separate but equal" branches of the United States government;

Whereas, the Pima County Board of Supervisors has witnessed the consolidation of power in the Executive branch by Executive Orders and actions such as, but not limited to: the revocation of birthright citizenship; freezing of federal spending approved by Congress; shuttering of federal agencies; demonstrating a pattern of usurping the duties of the Legislative Branch; violating due process of persons in the United States; infringing upon civil service protections for federal employees; threatening deportation and/or prosecution based on political views; ignoring or disobeying judicial mandates; politicizing law enforcement and the military; and generally expressing contempt for the separation of powers, freedom of speech, and equal justice under the law;

Whereas, the Arizona Attorney General's Office has filed 28 federal action lawsuits, that include protecting the Rule of Law and the U.S. Constitution on behalf of Arizonans, with Pima County joining two additional lawsuits;

Whereas, each member of the Pima County Board of Supervisors swears an oath to support the Constitution of the United States and thus has a duty to protect and defend the U.S. Constitution and the rights of residents within their jurisdiction that are being harmed by violations of the U.S. Constitution;

Now Therefore, be it resolved:

That the Pima County Board of Supervisors strongly urges the United States Congressional delegation of the State of Arizona to take the lead in pressing their colleagues to stand up and reclaim their Constitutional duty to be an equal partner in the administration of the U.S. government, to work hand-in-hand with the Judicial Branch to ensure that the U.S. Constitution is not violated by the Executive Branch, and to ensure that illegal or unconstitutional actions that have occurred are overturned."

Supervisor Allen thanked Phineas Anderson, his wife and others in the community who had pushed the Board to move this resolution forward. She stated that Mr. Anderson and his wife started the "Take Down Tesla" protests and as a great example of executive overreach, President Trump had declared anyone who protested Tesla sites was a domestic terrorist. She indicated that versions of the resolution had been considered in 84 jurisdictions in 22 states. She expressed appreciation for the ongoing resistance that the community continued to show for the integrity of the U.S. Constitution and the country.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

18. Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo Presentation

Presentation by Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo on proposal to develop County-owned property located at 75 East Broadway.

(Clerk's Note: See the attached verbatim related to this item.)

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

19. Final Plat With Assurances

P22FP00017, Wildflower, Block 11, Lots 1 - 365 and Common Area "A". (District 5)

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

20. Final Plat With Assurances

P25FP00011, Sycamore Vista Phase A, Lots 14 - 26, 104 - 156 & 158 - 199, Common Area "A" Private Drainage, Common Area "B" Public Sewer, being a replat of a portion of "New Tucson Unit No. 9 Lots 1 - 404." (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

ELECTIONS

21. Canvass

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-642(A), canvass of the election results for the November 4, 2025, Consolidated Election.

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Cano and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to canvass the election.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

22. Monthly Financial Update

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a monthly financial update on the County's financial performance.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, stated that the Board had previously discussed moving the monthly financial update to the first meeting of every month rather than the second, and starting in December 2025, it would be placed on the agenda for the first meeting of every month.

Art Cuaron, Director, Finance and Risk Management, provided a brief slide show presentation due to timing of the presentation in conjunction with the timeline change announced by Ms. Leshar. He explained that he did not have a forecast

ready but would provide some financial information. He stated that they decided to change it to the first meeting of each month because it would reduce the lag time in reporting of actuals. He stated that it would be closer to their month-end close processes, which would improve the timeliness of the financial reporting provided to the Board. He stated this would also allow his team to meet with the departments and discuss a more thorough analysis of what their budgets were from month to month. He stated that it aligned with the Board's strategic oversight in terms of the ability to manage the fiscal performance of the County monthly, and that in December he would provide a full financial forecast based upon October's actuals but would forecast through November. He provided an overview of the September forecast and reminded the Board where they were up to at that point. He noted that the variance of \$8.3 million was based on the previously reported short-term funding and also noted the \$101.4 million projected Ending Fund Balance as of the end of September. He explained they had some figures up through October that when compared to the previous year, the Fund Balance was higher based upon how they ended the prior fiscal year compared to how they were projecting to end FY25, which showed a \$5 million variance. He stated that revenues were up largely due to increased state cost shifts that the Board approved for the '25/26 budget cycle. He added that their Transfers In were up due to PAYGO which was also adopted in the '26 budget cycle. He added that expenditures were up resulting from the supplemental increases that were approved, as well as the first tranche of the total 5% pay increases, paid out \$3.6 million and the upcoming \$1.4 million in January. He referred to the following slide and stated it was a reconciliation based upon the September forecast. He stated that it showed the impact of the \$838,000.00 and the \$101.4 million. He added that by reducing the \$838,000.00 that the Board had just approved, it brought the amount down to \$100.5 million and when the required General Fund Reserve of \$92 million was subtracted, it brought that number down to \$8.5 million. He reiterated that he expected those numbers to change based upon the forecast through October, but if they took that based upon the September forecast, this was where they would be. He pointed out that it would grow the deficit from the Fund Balance perspective when looking at '26/27 to \$2.8 million, based upon actuals from '25, which they were finalizing and expected to have those at the end of December. He stated they had not had any use of General Fund Contingency with \$894,000.00 available for this year from the budgeted \$1 million contingency. He went over the Budget Guidelines and Process memo for '26/27 that the Board had received, and noted that the budget opened on November 24th for all departments and they could start to enter them into Adaptive. He stated that all budgets, Grant, Capital Improvement Program (CIP) and operating budgets were due on January 16th. He stated that they planned on coming to the Board with the strategic plan overview and a budget study session on February 17th. He added that CIP would be reviewed on March 17th and were scheduled to have the recommended budget delivered on April 17th, with tentative adoption in May and final adoption on June 19th, with the tax levy being held on August 17th in concert with required state statutes.

Supervisor Cano stated that he appreciated the update on the County's financial progress. He reiterated District 5's opinion on that with the budget process, they thought broadly about the ways they could have meaningful impact for all

departments. He stated he was encouraged by recent memorandum communicating additional employee benefits that would be critical for their employees, including childcare. He thanked them for looking into those conversations and ensuring that employees were at the top of the list as the Board made budget recommendations. He also reiterated that it was the District 5 office's belief that the Economic Development (ED) team was understaffed, and they needed to ensure that they had at least 3 more positions in the ED Office in the coming year, to meet the City's total Full Time Equivalents in their economic development team. He stated that he also wanted to ensure that the budget recommendations received from the Library District were representative of the increasing need for them to handle librarian shortages, and also to ensure that libraries were receiving the support that they needed. He stated that in his estimates they were anywhere between 3 and 5 years behind in the Library District. He acknowledged that usually the departments came up with a plan that was essentially maintaining a status quo and not looking for additional investment. He encouraged Administration and the Finance director to work with departments to ask what additional resources they needed from this Board as those were navigated. He stated that he did not want to put Band-Aids on top of important, critical conversations that were happening about the need in the community, and specifically about those departments, from economic development, to libraries to the employee classification and compensation discussions.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

23. **Board of Directors for the Ajo Domestic Water Improvement District**

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 53, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to the petition of members of the Ajo Domestic Water Improvement District to appoint Board of Directors.

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Christy to continue the item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of December 2, 2025. No vote was taken at this time.

Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated that he had requested this item be continued because there needed to be additional discussions with the district's attorney about the process for appointing the Board of Directors, given the unusual circumstances.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

REAL PROPERTY

24. **Abandonment by Vacation**

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 54, of the Board of Supervisors, for the vacation of a portion of Pluto Court, a public right-of-way, as Pima County Road Abandonment

No. A-0084, within Section 31, T12S, R13E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

25. **Abandonment by Vacation**

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 55, of the Board of Supervisors, for the vacation of a portion of Via Santa Rosa, a public right-of-way, as Pima County Road Abandonment No. A-0075, within Section 3, T18S, R13E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

26. **Surplus Property**

Staff requests approval to sell surplus property consisting of 1.05 acres of vacant land, located on the south side of Edwin Road between Twin Lakes Drive and Parker Place, by auction to the highest bidder. (District 1)

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Detainee and Crisis Systems

27. Banner-University Medical Center South Campus, L.L.C., Amendment No. 3, to provide for inpatient court ordered evaluation services pursuant to A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 5, extend contract term to 9/30/26, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$934,018.00 (CT_22-86)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Allen to approve Minute Item Nos. 27, 28, 29 and 30. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Scott asked the Director of Detainee and Crisis Systems if she had any additional information she wanted to share with the Board.

Paula Perrera, Director, Detainee and Crisis Systems, stated that these contracts had been in place since time immemorial and her department went out every five years for a RFP. She stated that these contracts were for an initial three-year term with two one-year time extensions and these amendments were for the final one-year renewal.

Chair Scott asked why there were four different contracts.

Director Perrera responded that Pima County had a limited number of psychiatric inpatient beds and that providers needed to be licensed to provide court ordered evaluation services. She stated that the contracts had different dollar values because each facility had different patient volumes.

Chair Scott inquired about the County's statutory obligations.

Steve Holmes, Deputy County Administrator, stated that the only statutory obligation in the behavioral health space was the court ordered evaluation.

Ms. Perrera stated that the County was statutorily required to provide the services of a screening agency and evaluation agencies. She stated that the County satisfied their obligation to provide the services of a screening agency by sending money to AHCCCS, which contracted with the appropriate facilities who provided those services which were separate from evaluation services. She explained that screening services were used to determine if an application to send a patient to a hospital had merit.

Chair Scott asked how the County evaluated whether contractual obligations were being met.

Ms. Perrera responded that there were performance and reporting requirements. She stated that hospitals had to get prior authorization for the number of days of the stay and had to submit appropriate documentation justifying a claim that also outlined exactly which services they had provided before it could be paid.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

28. UHS of Tucson, L.L.C., d.b.a. Palo Verde Behavioral Health, Amendment No. 3, to provide for inpatient court ordered evaluation services pursuant to A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 5, extend contract term to 9/30/26, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$735,000.00 (CT_22-87)

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 27, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Allen to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

29. Sonora Behavioral Health, Amendment No. 3, to provide for inpatient court ordered evaluation services pursuant to A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 5, extend contract term to 9/30/26, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$1,575,000.00 (CT_22-88)

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 27, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Allen to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

30. Connections Southern AZ, L.L.C., Amendment No. 3, to provide for inpatient court ordered evaluation services pursuant to A.R.S. Title 36, Chapter 5, extend contract term to 9/30/26, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$78,750.00 (PO2400016392)

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 27, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Allen to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

Real Property

31. American Battery Factory, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide a lease purchase agreement for the construction of a battery manufacturing facility located at the Aerospace Research Campus, extend contract term to 12/5/28 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$524,780.00 revenue (CTN-RPS-23-81)

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, stated that in December of 2022, there had been an unanimous vote of representatives from both the City and the County for this project and that this was simply an amendment to the lease purchase agreement.

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

John Kem, President, American Battery Factory (ABF), stated that they continued working aggressively to bring this project to fruition and that previously they requested to amend some of the timeline, and were formally requesting this change. He stated that staff had been very supportive in trying to figure out the details and ensuring it all worked right. He explained that this was driven by a combination of things, a delay in the full funding needed for their project, which was about \$525 million initially, and eventually, \$2 billion. He stated some of the reasons were related partially to tariffs, the full funding, and some of the rules during the Biden Administration and the rules of the Trump Administration. He stated that as the financing people worked through those differences it kept things delayed but they were aggressively close to obtaining the full funding, hopefully between now and Christmas, maybe early January. He stated they would have initial production in late 2027 and then full monthly production in 2028, so this amendment would extend the current plan and add inflationary things to the lease payment to support the County.

Chair Scott thanked Mr. Kem for meeting with him and other members of the Board and thanked the County Administrator for the detailed and comprehensive memorandum the Board received and that staff from Economic Development worked hard on that memorandum with all the timelines and all the reasons for the request of this amendment.

Supervisor Christy asked for a reminder as to exactly how much water would be used in their operations.

Mr. Kem stated he would provide the exact numbers through staff, but effectively, they were not a huge water user. He gave an example, that for having 300 people on site required about 6,000 gallons a day, that would go through the regular toilets and all the processing that happened with regular things. He stated that in addition, they used about double that for deionized water daily and explained that, deionized, meant they removed calcium and magnesium because it affected the chemistry of the cells. He stated water was not lost but was mostly recycled, and in some cases, it was evaporated because it was safe and they did want to put calcium magnesium back into the system. He stated that they would be at about 20,000 gallons a day in the aggregate for a very huge factory and the few places they did use water came from recycled systems due to refrigeration and not wanting to vent that water.

Supervisor Christy asked if all their operations combined, employees, product, research and development and all the ancillary activities to provide the product was 20,000 gallons of water.

Mr. Kem confirmed it was about 20,000 gallons a day, but that he would provide the exact details to the Board. He stated that one of the hard parts was for the initial turning on of the factory and explained that when they put them into these closed systems, there was water in part of that and they had those details but once they were in operation, the day-to-day burn of water would be that amount.

Supervisor Christy asked if they knew what the initial water usage would be.

Mr. Kem estimated that it would be at 500,000 gallons initially, to fill the factory.

Supervisor Christy asked if it would descend after the 500,000 gallons.

Mr. Kem stated most of it was recycled and included a small amount of loss in processing systems, but essentially, new water and then it would go into the discharge system.

Supervisor Christy asked what the day-to-day burn of water would be.

Mr. Kem responded it was about 25,000 to 30,000 gallons a day.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, explained that there was one item in the contract that was inconsistent between two sections of the agreement and he it needed to be corrected for the record. He stated that Section 1.1.3., included the correct date of June 1, 2028, but in Section 1.1.2., it indicated July 1, 2028, but it should be the June 1, 2028 date.

Chair Scott asked the Clerk to make note of those corrections.

Mr. Kem stated they were fine with the corrected date of June 1, 2028.

Supervisor Allen stated that the agreements around this were developed before her time, and she did not recall if she mentioned this when she had met with Mr. Kem a couple of weeks ago, but she was curious whether or not there had been any agreement to use union labor as part of the construction process and if that was part of the initial negotiation.

Mr. Kem responded that in the initial negotiations it did not formally require it but that they would be doing that. He stated that the challenge for them was based on their financing deal and they were not sure if ABF or somebody else got to pick the actual construction contractor at this point. He stated that they would know shortly, so that could drive some of that, but when they made a final selection, they would be able to work through some of that.

Supervisor Allen stated that she hoped that would happen.

Chair Scott revised his original motion to approve the contract as amended and asked Supervisor Heinz if he accepted the revision as seconder to the motion.

Supervisor Heinz responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion, as amended, unanimously carried 5-0.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

32. 2026 Legislative Agenda: Addressing Home Title Fraud

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the need for legislative action to reduce the threat to vulnerable populations posed by home title theft, and directing Pima County's lobbyist to work with state legislators to effect same. (District 4)

Supervisor Christy stated that there had been an increasingly amount of concern expressed to them by what they would consider vulnerable populations, particularly in the Green Valley area, about folks who worked all their lives, had saved their money, paid their mortgages and owned their homes free and clear, only to have them subject to title fraud and there had been several instances where title fraud had taken place. He stated that these folks were in a world of hurt and that one of the things that was troubling was that the information could be accessed through the Assessor's Office that warned of title fraud, but the problem was that it told them after it had already happened. He stated that there needed to be more aggressive legislation to address this very significant problem. He stated that there was one in the Scottsdale area that they highlighted about people forging signatures. He added that one of the issues was not only with the Assessor, that could not access the information in a timely manner to the owners of the home, but also the Recorder, because they worked hand in hand. He stated that without overdoing the situation, he requested that their Legislative Agenda include what it stated in this item, to explore, "the need for legislative action to reduce the threat to vulnerable populations posed by home title theft, and directing Pima County lobbyists to work with state legislatures to effect same."

It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Chair Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Scott stated that shortly after he became the Chair of the Board, he participated in a meeting with the County Administrator, the County Assessor, the County Recorder and Mr. Brown because that was an issue that they were very concerned about. He stated that the Maricopa County Assessor had held a large meeting with officials from around the state and he had asked for some information from the County Supervisors Association about how this was being addressed. He stated that he seconded Supervisor Christy's motion but would appreciate it if the Board could be provided with some updates from the Assessor and the Recorder and Mr. Brown, if any, because this was months ago.

Supervisor Christy stated that Assessor Droubie had been very proactive in this issue and was holding seminars on this subject in Green Valley. He stated that the community was very concerned about this happening and it was very important that, as a Board, they needed to follow up on and especially with their legislative lobbyists.

Supervisor Cano thanked Supervisor Christy for bringing this very important issue for the Board's consideration. He stated that he was not aware that this had taken place in Pima County and was certainly an abuse of power by people that did not deserve praise or attention, and they had to support the most vulnerable, especially seniors. He stated that he looked forward to this being added to the County's legislative priority for the upcoming legislative session.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

33. **2025 Consolidated General & Special Election**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the Pima County Elections Department and Recorder's Office activities during the November 4, 2025 Consolidated General & Special Election, including but not limited to, the operational differences between a typical Pima County General Election and a Consolidated Vote-by-Mail General & Special Election. (District 4)

(Clerk's Note: See attached verbatim related to this item.)

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

34. **Opportunities for Pima County to Support Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Recipients**

Discussion/Direction/Action: Directing the County Administrator to identify opportunities for Pima County departments and programs to support SNAP recipients that, per new eligibility requirements, will need to demonstrate a minimum of 80 hours per month in work, training, or volunteer time. (District 3)

(Clerk's Note: See attached verbatim related to this item.)

It was moved by Supervisor Allen and seconded by Chair Scott to direct the County Administrator to identify opportunities for Pima County departments and programs, including in rural areas of the County, to figure out ways in which to creatively support the eligibility requirements currently imposed for SNAP recipients. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

35. **Short-Term Crisis and Emergency Resources Grant Program**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a proposed process and application form for the funds highlighted in the County Administrator's memorandum dated November 12, 2025, and a proposed schedule to fast-track consideration of applications and awards of funding.

(Clerk's Note: See attached verbatim related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the item, as amended. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

36. **Reaffirming Fentanyl as a Public Health Crisis and Establishing the One Pima Initiative**

RESOLUTION NO. 2025 - 56, of the Board of Supervisors, reaffirming the declaration of Fentanyl as a public health crisis and establishing the "One Pima Initiative: A Framework for Safety, Recovery and Treatment." (District 5)

Supervisor Cano stated that he was introducing the One Pima Initiative, a coordinated, evidence-based plan built over the last several weeks with County Administration and shaped by what had been heard from families, neighborhoods, housing advocates and small businesses. He stated that people wanted safety along the Chuck Huckelberry Loop, real treatment options and visible action from their county government. He stated that One Pima was their response and the plan brought together the critical work that was already funded, not new spending, into one unified strategy. He stated that it would accelerate Loop cleanup by reaffirming the \$750,000.00 already approved for the FY26 budget, and it directed the County Administrator to complete systemwide wash and trail cleanup along the Loop before April of next year. He stated that it would also launch a Loop safety plan with QR-coded markers, upgraded lighting options, and real-time reporting tools so that the County could respond to emergencies faster and more effectively. He stated that those recommendations, as part of the One Pima plan, would come back to the Board for approval at a later time. He stated that this proposal would strengthen the treatment system by expanding the Transition Center to seven-day operations, maintaining more than \$14 million in public health and overdose prevention programs, and supporting proven diversion models that had been heard about on this Board, like the Drug Treatment Alternative to Prison (DTAP) Program with the

County Attorney and the Supportive Treatment and Engagement Programs (STEPS) with Services from the Superior Court, which saved lives and reduced recidivism. He stated that the plan reaffirmed the Board's commitment as a region to affordable housing investments with \$60 million invested since 2020. He stated that it moved them towards a regional shelter capacity platform that would lower barriers for unsheltered neighbors. He stated they heard issues about pets and storage and access to basic services, reasons why folks were not going to shelters, and they needed to do a better job coordinating as a region. He stated that One Pima would bring neighborhood driven reinvestment strategy for the west side communities closest to the jail and treatment corridors, using existing capital planning capacity and guided by resident input. He stated that the public was asking for coordinated action and One Pima delivered a roadmap, real timelines and measurable outcomes, all without any new FY26 costs. He stated that this proposal was meant for all to have some wins and to celebrate one coordinated strategy under one plan. He thanked the incredible staff that helped influence this, and especially neighborhood advocates that asked for this type of plan. He stated that he was curious to hear how the Board could consider making One Pima a document that they too could support.

It was moved by Supervisor Cano and seconded by Chair Scott to adopt the Resolution and establish the One Pima Initiative with the following amendments: Removal of Item 1), b) on page 8; on page 12, the recommendation of \$50,000.00 for the neighborhood reinvestment strategy be changed to \$25,000.00, and on the same bullet, remove the sentence that suggested having up to \$2 million go toward the neighborhood reinvestment strategy. He stated those three changes would bring them closer to this being a unified proposal, and that he would provide the Board and the County Attorney with the edited document based on those changes after this meeting. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked if there would be a possible analysis of the costs involved in this proposal and how long it would require. He stated that an analysis was needed to ensure that Opioid settlement money could be used for this, and a projection of the ongoing expense and impact this program would have on the County's General Fund, and an additional analysis on the City of Tucson's efforts in this County proposal, particularly the City's contribution in the Silverlake Mission Reinvestment Zone. He stated that these were strictly City properties and areas.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, explained that the One Pima document being considered included the answers Supervisor Christy was asking as recommendations for what was in it this year and plans for next year. She stated that she did not recall the specific dates, but there were times where they were to return with plans to show what the impact would be on next year's budget. She stated that she would be happy to provide this analysis and that it was consistent with the request that was embedded in this document.

Supervisor Christy asked if she had to read the document before she knew the analysis.

Ms. Leshar stated no, and that it had been read but what she had indicated was that within this document was the request that staff completed the analysis that Supervisor Christy suggested. She stated that everything that was noted as expenditure for this year was currently in this year's budget and she did not see that they would be spending additional funds for this fiscal year. She stated that it asked that they look forward to what it would be in the next fiscal year, which would be brought forward for consideration in that budget for final adoption by the Board. She reiterated she would be happy to provide the analysis.

Supervisor Christy asked if the Board was approving the plan on this day, or were they moving it forward for the additional analysis as described and if they could say with certainty that this could be paid for with Opioid settlement funds.

Ms. Leshar responded that there were different elements of this plan, some of which could be addressed with the Opioid dollars and some with other funds for the current year's budget. She stated that as they looked at next year, there may be funding opportunities through Opioid dollars and other dollars, and she could not say that every element described would be covered by Opioid dollars, but many of them could.

Supervisor Christy asked if the others that were not funded by Opioid dollars would be taken out of the General Fund and what the impact of that would be.

Ms. Leshar replied that they knew for this year because it was already in the budget and with the analysis, there was direction for them to explore and if approved by the Board, would be included in next year's budget, and would be brought back for consideration by the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked what the City of Tucson's contribution would be.

Ms. Leshar stated that the City had the Safe City proposal that would be discussed during the joint meeting.

Supervisor Christy stated that he appreciated that they were confident that these items would be covered financially, but he did not have that same confidence. He stated that he firmly believed that there should be a more in-depth analysis, particularly with the Opioid settlement. He stated that Ms. Leshar indicated that she was not certain which elements of the Opioid settlement would cover this and which would not. He stated that he did not feel comfortable with taking money out of the General Fund without an impact analysis, and he wanted to know what their partners in the City of Tucson were going to be providing for this.

Supervisor Cano stated that the One Pima plan expanded the Transition Center from five days to seven days. He stated that the Board received a memorandum from the County Administrator making that suggestion, and the Opioid settlement dollars were the funding source for that proposal. He stated that the City of Tucson

had committed to supporting an additional Full Time Equivalent as part of this commitment. He stated that the Sobering Alternative to Recovery (SAFR) Center was a pilot program on the agenda, that was also being funded through Opioid settlement dollars. He explained that the proposal before the Board was meant to have the taxpayer in mind and fiscal conservatives in mind because this was a funded plan, and there would be conversation in the afternoon about what the City and the County would do together. He stated that he was viewing this from the perspective of the County only, and as it related to the reinvestment zone that Supervisor Christy mentioned, it was within City property, but the County was the landlord of the Pima County Jail, the Transition Center, the Annex building and the Fleet Services building, basically, all the property next to A Mountain was not only within the City of Tucson, they were County properties. He stated that as they talked about ways to increase treatment, and opportunities to support the unsheltered, he was not going to have a conversation unless the County invested in the vicinity to be able to ensure that beautification reinvestment strategy was there and the City would remain an instrumental part of that, because he expected to have joint cooperation in the master plan for that entire region. He stated that as the landlord, he felt the County had a little bit of a say in that. He stated that he was grateful for the steps taken to ensure that they were signaling to the public that they were taking treatment seriously. He stated that one of the most impactful programs that they had was DTAP with the County Attorney. He added that this called upon the Board to renew their commitment when recommendations for the budget came in to support more people through that program, taking people away from jail, putting them into treatment and into diversion programs. He stated that it was the same proposal, with the STEPs program that all presiding judges at Superior Court had indicated was a worthy investment because it saved taxpayer dollars, got people out of the justice system and into the treatment and diversion programs that they needed.

Supervisor Christy asked which Supervisorial district the Silverlake Mission reinvestment zone was located in.

Supervisor Cano responded it was in District 5.

Supervisor Allen thanked Supervisor Cano, his team and the Administration for working to pull this together. She stated that it was an incredibly helpful compilation that put in one place the many layers of services and programs that the County had propped up that served the community around substance use disorder, housing, mental health treatment, and how it connected to the criminal justice system that the County supported. She stated that it was a much needed and very timely process and not an easy lift and there were a couple things in it that were very important. She stated that it lifted up something done during COVID, which was to keep a running tally of available shelter beds. She stated that during the COVID crisis, they knew where beds across City and County lines, and as folks came in, knew where they could direct people, knew where there was availability, and that had since kind of fallen to the side and resuming that was incredibly important. She stated that one thing that she wanted to think about was how to add in something comparable for detox beds, as well as long-term recovery beds, so that at any given

moment they knew when somebody was ready to go into detox, and where there was availability, and when somebody came out of detox and wanted to make the shift to recovery. She stated they would know where there was availability and that knowledge and understanding of where there was availability should be able to cross, whether it was a City program or a County program. She stated that would be one addition that she wanted to figure out where it could be weaved into this plan.

Chair Scott stated that he was pleased to second the approval of this initiative and appreciated that Supervisor Allen used the word “compilation” in describing the initiative because it really was a systemic way of looking at budgeted items that this Board had already committed to. He stated that what the Board was being asked to do by putting this together was make a long-term, sustained commitment to programs that were going to help address a lot of difficult issues in this community. He stated that with specific reference to the DTAP and STEP’s program, he wanted to let his colleagues know that when they had their justice system partner meetings that Mayor Romero and himself had convened with representatives from the courts, the police and Sheriff’s Department, and the City and County Attorney’s offices, expansion of those programs so that they could have more people involved in the justice system and the kind of help they needed for dealing with addiction. He stated that expansion of those programs was something that had been advocated for by the courts, by law enforcement and by prosecutors. He thanked Presiding Judge of Superior Court, Judge Liwski, for the dialog that she encouraged amongst the courts, law enforcement and the prosecutors. He stated that this action by the Board showed their level of commitment, and he appreciated the questions by Supervisor Christy because as the County Administrator pointed out in response to his inquiries, while they were making a commitment to these items through this initiative, as part of the budgetary process, the Board would revisit them and address them one by one if necessary.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

37. Central Arizona Project Presentation

Presentation by Karen Cesare, Board Secretary, Central Arizona Water Conservation District, to provide an update on the Central Arizona Project.

At the request of the presenter and without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors’ Meeting of January 20, 2026.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

HEALTH

38. Community Bridges, Inc., to provide for the Pima County SAFR Center Sobering Facility, term date 11/18/25 to 6/30/26, One Arizona Distribution of Opioid Settlement Funds Agreement, contract amount \$1,860,851.98 (PO2500035010)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Scott stated that there were references made to this item during the Board's joint meeting with the City of Tucson.

Supervisor Cano noted that this was the Board's first commitment post-adoption of the One Pima Initiative.

Supervisor Allen extended her gratitude to those on the Regional Opioid Settlement Advisory Committee for mapping out a thoughtful and researched process. She stated that she looked forward to other recommendations for other RFPs.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

39. Acceptance - Health

Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Women, Infants, and Children (WIC) and Breastfeeding Peer Counseling (BFPC) Services and amend scope of work, for the following:

Project/Grant Amount/Grant No.

WIC Services/\$2,594,020.00/GA-HD-78965

BFPC/\$105,998.00/GA-HD-78964

BFPC Special Project Services/\$34,561.00/GA-HD-100648

It was moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONSENT CALENDAR

40. Approval of the Consent Calendar

At the request of Supervisor Christy to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 1, 10, and 12 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Chair Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

1. The City of Tucson, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for Affordable Housing GAP Funding for Tucson House Preservation, term date 11/18/25 to 7/31/29, General Fund, contract amount \$1,000,000.00 (PO2500028550)

At the request of staff and without objection, this item was removed from the agenda.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

10. **Acceptance - County Attorney**
Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, to provide for the Edward Byrne Justice Assistance Grant Drug, Gang, and Violent Crime Control FY2026 Award, \$273,642.49/\$91,214.17 General Fund match (G-PCA-85541)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

Supervisor Christy stated that he had voted incorrectly on this item and wanted to reconsider the item.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to reconsider the item.

It was then moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to approve the item.

12. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 5, to provide for the Public Health Emergency Preparedness Program, \$691,245.00/\$69,124.50 Health Special Revenue Fund match (GA-HD-66328)

It was moved by Chair Scott and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked how these efforts coordinated with the Office of Emergency Management (OEM), who was the lead and where the division was between OEM and public health.

Steve Holmes, Deputy County Administrator, stated that although there was coordination between the two, with this grant the Health Department would take the lead for health emergency purposes. He stated that this was a continuation grant from 2021 through 2026, in its fifth year and it funded four full-time staff positions, covered additional department expenses and was unique to a health emergency. He stated that declaring these types of health emergencies was at the purview of the County Administrator.

Supervisor Christy called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

Supervisor Christy stated that he had voted incorrectly on this item and wanted to reconsider the item.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to reconsider the item.

It was then moved by Chair Scott, seconded by Supervisor Heinz and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to approve the item.

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

1. The City of Tucson, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Facilities Management

2. The University of Arizona, Amendment No. 2, to provide for a lease termination at Roy Place, with an effective term date of 10/31/25, contract amount \$1,500.00 revenue decrease (CTN-FM-12-560)

Health

3. Arizona Board of Regents, The University of Arizona, Amendment No. 2, to provide for Pima CARES Project, extend contract term to 8/31/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (PO2400001342)

Procurement

4. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2400000836, Amendment No. 7, Bank of America, N.A., to provide for purchasing card program services. This amendment extends the term of the contract commencing on

2/1/26 and terminating on 9/30/26. The estimated revenue amount expected for this term is \$64,000.00. The extension is needed to align the termination date with the termination date of the City of Tucson contract that was used cooperatively. Administering Department: Procurement.

5. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Multiple Supplier Contracts, Amendment No. 6, to provide for emergency code equipment parts and service. This amendment increases the shared annual award amount by \$275,000.00 from \$1,610,000.00 to \$1,885,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$7,315,000.00. This shared annual award amount will be allocated as outlined in the table on Attachment A. This increase is necessary due to higher usage of parts and labor associated with annual vehicle model changes and the maintenance of an aging fleet. Funding Source: Fleet Services (95%) and General (5%) Funds. Administering Departments: Fleet Services and Sheriff's Department.

Supplier Contract No./Contractor Name/Current NTE/Increase Amounts/Cumulative NTE

SC2400001424/The Specialists on Oracle (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), Backup - Parts & Labor/\$1,126,400.00/\$260,000.00/\$1,386,400.00

SC2400001425/Arizona Emergency Products, Inc., d.b.a. American Emergency Products (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ), Primary - Parts & Labor/\$3,520,000.00/\$0.00/\$3,520,000.00

SC2400001426/Airwave Communication Enterprises, Inc. (Headquarters: Commerce, CA), Secondary - Parts & Labor/\$2,112,000.00/\$0.00/\$2,112,000.00

SC2400001427/Lamoine Waterhouse, d.b.a. Complete Auto Restore, L.L.C. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), Backup - Labor/\$ 281,600.00/\$15,000.00/\$296,600.00

Totals: \$7,040,000.00/\$275,000.00/\$7,315,000.00

Real Property

6. Mount Lemmon Domestic Water Improvement District, Amendment No. 1, to provide a lease agreement for land and a building located on the west side of Sabino Canyon Park Road to use as an office, extend contract term to 10/17/27 and amend contractual language, Regional Wastewater Treatment Fund, contract amount \$28,776.00 (CTN-RPS-23-50)

Sheriff

7. Pima County Community College District, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for educational and training services to Commissioned and Corrections recruits, no cost/3 year term (SC2500000494)

Transportation

8. Redington Natural Resource Conservation District, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for cooperative highway maintenance, HURF Fund, contract amount \$1,600,000.00/10 year term (PO2500034741)

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

9. **Acceptance - County Attorney**
Arizona Department of Public Safety, to provide for the Victims of Crime Act increasing volunteer advocate corps and victim services capacity, \$761,385.00/\$190,346.00 General Fund match (G-PCA-85470)
10. **Acceptance - County Attorney**
Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
11. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Pima County Medical Reserve Corps State, Territory and Tribal Nations, Representative Organizations for the Next Generation and amend grant language, no cost (GA-HD-70287)
12. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 5, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
13. **Acceptance - Health**
State of Arizona Governor's Office of Youth, Faith and Family, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Pima County Health Department Chronic Pain Initiative, extend grant term to 9/30/26 and amend grant language, \$199,860.00 (GA-HD-79252)
14. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 1, to provide for Prop 201 Smoke-Free Arizona, \$768,951.00 (GA-HD-66390)

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

15. **Arizona Municipal Property Corporation**
 - Reappointments of Kenneth M. Silverman, Diane Quihuis and John H. Payne. Term expirations: 11/19/26. (Corporation recommendations)
 - Appointments of Jeff Singleton and Paul Kraft, to replace Stanley Lehmen and Frank Y. Valenzuela. Term expirations: 11/19/26. (Corporation recommendations)

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

16. **Special Event**
 - Edward Lucero, Roman Catholic Church of Saint Elizabeth Ann Seton - Tucson, St. Elizabeth Ann Seton Church & School - Gym & Parish Hall, 8650 N. Shannon Road, Tucson, March 7, 2026.

- Concha Maria Montes, W.A.L.D. Inc., Ajo Plaza Rec Hall, 15 W. Plaza Street, Ajo, November 15, 2025.
- Aaron Michael Cooper, International Sonoran Desert Alliance, 10 W. Pajaro Street, Ajo, December 6, 2025.

17. **Temporary Extension**

06100010, Rebecca Debenport Safford, Tap & Bottle, 7254 N. Oracle Road, Tucson, December 13, 2025.

18. **Wine Fair/Wine Festival**

Timothy Mackey, d.b.a. Barrio Brewing Co., Historic Canoa Ranch, 5375 S. I-19 Frontage Road, Green Valley, November 22, 2025 from 11:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

19. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification**

St. Mary's Hospital \$2,154.95; Kone, Inc. \$205.91; Assured Women's Imaging Women's Wellness \$15,380.86; Cornelia Co., L.L.C. \$850.00; JanMarco Vasquez \$550.00; Palomino Crossings \$1,049.00; Home Depot USA \$1,100.00; Palo Verde Behavioral Health \$27,021.68; Teal Path Counseling, P.L.L.C. \$700.00; Johnathan Rios Duran \$106.00; Ailem Luque Salinas \$550.00; Cantera Apartments \$4,000.00; La Lomita Apartments \$1,552.40; Hunter Tantlinger \$133.00; Shamrock Foods Company \$33,778.74; Mission Creek Apartments \$1,802.92; Polydyne, Inc. \$985.50; American Campus Communities, OP LP \$799.00; Polydyne, Inc. \$77,770.00; Today's Business Solutions \$2,233.60; The American Bottling Company \$1,241.08; SunE DB36, L.L.C. \$12,473.05; Hana Mohamed \$1,800.00; Pine Tree Apartments \$837.00; Quikprint \$2,804.79; Tucson Unified School District \$87,789.62; Our Family Services \$4,382.03; Our Family Services \$3,299.00; Banner University Medical Center South Campus \$11,885.00; City of Tucson \$2,570.16.

TREASURER

20. **Certificate of Removal and Abatement - Certificate of Clearance**

Staff requests approval of the Certificates of Removal and Abatement/Certificates of Clearance in the amount of \$202,565.04

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

21. Minutes: September 16, 2025

* * *

41. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 4:45 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

18. Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo Presentation

Presentation by Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo on proposal to develop County-owned property located at 75 East Broadway.

Verbatim

RS: Chair Scott
JA: Supervisor Allen
SC: Supervisor Christy
JL: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
FM: Fletcher McCusker, Chair, Rio Nuevo
BO: Brian Obie, President and CEO, Obie Companies
IA: Irene Alltucker, Vice President of Real Estate, Obie Companies

RS: We have another time certain item, and we are a little late getting to it. But this is Item No. 16 under the County Administrator's section of the agenda. It is a presentation by Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo on a proposal to develop County owned property located at 75 East Broadway. I would ask Ms. Leshar if she could introduce the item and our guests.

JL: Certainly, thank you, Mr. Chair and members of the Board. Pima County has owned property located at 75 East Broadway since 2005 and has been looking to make this piece of land that is in the heart of downtown a significant partner and an opportunity within the downtown region to benefit all County residents. We entered into an agreement, in 2016 I believe, with Rio Nuevo, who has, ultimately, through a series of RFPs and public communications, began development and work with Obie Companies. We ask no action by the Board today, simply an understanding of where we are with the process and we will be coming back in the future. I would like then to turn it over to the Chair of Rio Nuevo, Mr. Fletcher McCusker, who is with us today and our guest from Obie, thank you.

RS: Thank you, Ms. Leshar. Mr. McCusker?

FM: Chair Scott, it is a pleasure to introduce from Eugene, Oregon, Brian Obie, who runs the Obie properties, who is pretty much a legend in the Pacific Northwest, Irene Alltucker from his staff. As Jan mentioned, they were the awardee of this project almost two years ago. This is a very difficult site. It is a hard site to finance. They have now committed to over \$50 million to develop this site, so we wanted you to hear their story, their plans. This is a joint Procurement between Rio Nuevo and the County, so you have to approve, ultimately, the project that goes into this site. I have a few slides, I think they started them, just to give you an update on Rio Nuevo's role in this, what we can do and cannot do on your behalf. I think some of this will probably surprise you, but for those of you that do not appreciate our

history, Rio Nuevo is a Stadium District. It was cobbled together when Maricopa County was running stadium districts for their baseball stadiums. The city kind of snuck in there, identifying the convention center as a stadium, induced South Tucson to join with them, and they created Rio Nuevo as a Stadium District. It limits us in terms of what our mandate is. Our primary component is described under the law. This is the statute is the Tucson Convention Center. Period. We are allowed to do things that enhance the convention center. That is why we spent so much time and money and food and beverage and hotels, because the convention center, the stadium, does not work without those adjacent properties. You can go to the next slide. I am just going to quickly go through this. I will leave this with you. This is the actual language in the statute that talks about us being a Stadium District. We can only contribute 50% of the project's total cost. One thing that is important for you to consider, and the primary reason we did this together years ago, Rio Nuevo is exempt from the state's gift clause, we are the only jurisdiction in the state of Arizona that is exempt from the gift clause. So, the kind of things that we do, you would never be allowed to. We can gift property, we can do under market leases, we can loan money, we can participate in equity. We have done all of that over the years. The Attorney General ruled that, in fact, when it was challenged, we are exempt from the gift clause, and Goldwater has stood down in terms of our activity. Two quick slides that touch on the statutes, I am going to leave this with you. Go ahead, advance that one and the next one. This just indicates that Rio Nuevo is in fact a Stadium District authorized under the law. We ran a state procurement process, so this is an official state procurement. They were selected as the awardee by an evaluation committee that included Rio Nuevo and County staff, also included an architect, a contractor and an independent member of that committee. Next slide please. This is what we have done with state money over the last ten years. You can see that we have doubled our tax base. The city gets the same amount of tax that we do. The state gets the same amount of tax the city does. We have gone from \$9 million a year in state tax now to approaching \$20 million a year. We do that by investing in private sector partners. This is the San Diego model of tax incentive district, where everything is done with a private sector partner. I am going to show you quickly who they are. Next slide, and then I am going to get out of Brian's way. You see the leverage that we create with our investments. That is almost ten times in the time that I have been on the Board. We have invested about \$160 million, but the private sector has invested over \$1 billion. And we are primarily the gap filler. We attract the commercial lenders. None of these projects get done without a bank. Downtown Tucson is very difficult to finance. We have found that Rio Nuevo's participation fills the gap that makes them creditworthy. And then the last slide is just our projects in the last year. Those are fun, I will leave that with you. We have achieved a lot of notoriety for what we are doing, including the number two food city in the United States, right behind New York. I think my last slide is just a summary of our deals last year. Of all these deals, all but one of them is to a small local business. You will notice that we participate on a bigger percentage with small businesses and a smaller percentage with big business. On a project of this scale, our contribution will be someplace in the 10 to 15% of the total cost rate. This is very similar to what we have done with other hotel projects. I would like to introduce Brian Obie. Brian joined his father's billboard company, I think when he was 11. This

company went public. His retirement plan, however, was to become a hotel developer. They have a number of very successful projects that are very local, very activated, surrounded by retail, surrounded by pedestrian provenance. Mr. Obie is also the former mayor of Eugene, Oregon and a member of the Planning Commission, so he has been really a delight to work with. Please meet Mr. Brian Obie.

BO: Thank you, Fletcher. Thank you very much. A legend before my time, I have never been introduced that way. Chairman and Board, thank you for having us this morning. Irene Alltucker, we are both residents have a home in Eugene, but I also have a home here and in many respects that what brings us here as well as this particular site, this particular community, this particular downtown, because it is there to be done of what we do and what we enjoy doing and the aspect of making a difference with the time that we have on this earth and making a difference in the community and activating downtown, if you will. My role here today is just to introduce you to us so that you have an understanding who we are and perhaps our ability to carry off this project that has been set in, as I understand it, for nearly 40 years, in the position that and it is challenging, but we can do it and we have done it before and we will do it. Before I turn this over to Irene, I also want to thank Heath, Economic Development Director, as well as Fletcher. Both have put up with us for the last couple of years, getting to this point. This maybe does represent the beginning, because today you are going to see renderings as to what we visualize going on the site for the first time that they have ever been seen in public. We are honored to be able to show it, and Irene will be introducing those to you. Prior to that, I want to show you and talk a little bit about our company and some of the projects that we have done so you have just background in terms of who we are and our capability. The slide that is up now represents a project in Eugene. It is actually a half a block, much like the site that we are working on. Although the site we are working on here in downtown is smaller, in the block is smaller and what have you. It contains a very important element, and you will see right in the center of it an alley. You will hear, as the presentation unfolds, how important that alley is and what it will mean to retail, etcetera, in downtown Tucson and to the particular type and we worked through that. In this particular case, that alley had makers on both sides of it that are local tenants. In fact, if we are going to attract retail to downtown Tucson, it is going to be local. That is who we can attract, that is who we will find to be part of this project without question. If he will go on to the next slide, we want to introduce to you a small part of our team, our executive team. We actually have 200 people behind this, people that have worked on multiple projects that you will see, the capacity to do things. We are an innovative company. We believe in creativity. We are frankly into art in the presentation that we make to our guests and to the community. That is kind of what we live for and involved. We have been fortunate, frankly, to work with the Rio Nuevo for the last couple of years, and their encouragement in bringing this along, as well as Swaim, the architectural firm, Gensler, who has offices here, but throughout the world. We brought them to the party because of their reputation in creating centers of activity, of energy, because that is what we want to create in downtown Tucson. That is what we have done elsewhere and we will do here. Certainly, Swaim, in part, and Sundt Construction

has been part of helping us along. The next slide shows you our first project in Eugene some 15 years ago. First time we got in the hotel business because it was a difficult time in '07 and '08 and we needed to fill some space, and we decided to build a hotel that would fill space in a marketplace that we have in Eugene. As it turned out, we built the hotel, it was named one of the top 25 hotels in the country and continues today. Small hotel, 69 rooms, guest-oriented place and that draws people from many miles just for the experience of staying there. Middle of what we call the 5th Street Market. The next slide shows the hotel in Boise, which was our second hotel. Today, probably \$60 million hotel. When we built it, it was maybe a \$40 million hotel. Unfortunately, as the costs have gone up and made it more difficult, but in Downtown Boise and made a difference there, and I would refer you to that community in terms of our relationship in working with them to make this happen at the time. The next slide is really the Gordon Hotel in Eugene. We are going to talk a little more about that. It is part of the project that will be part of that project. We are talking about building a destination element of that is certainly is a hotel, but the retail element that are local makers and artists that will draw people to downtown and it will draw people to the hotel. The next slide, a little better indication of that, you see the Gordon Hotel. Gordon is actually my dad, and dad was an artist, and that is why we decided to name the hotel after him. He spent a good deal of his time here in New Mexico painting as I acquired his interest in our company when he was 45 years old. And I paid him until his death when he was 98 years old for that company. Along the way, we were able to build a significant company that has great depth and great people like Irene. On the left-hand side is a picture of Gordon. You see the art on the exterior, not unlike what you see in downtown Tucson, but it will represent Tucson when it gets here. On the right is the lobby of that hotel. That is the ceiling you are looking at. To some, it may look like crystals, to others that looks a lot like Mason jars, about 3,000 of them. And that lobby, and this is important, on any given Friday, Saturday night, perhaps other times of celebration, you cannot tell whether you are in a lounge, a bar, an activity center or a hotel lobby. That is what we love. That is what our guests love. That is why they come to us. That is why the community comes there. The next slide represents the alley that will be adjacent to the hotel that Irene will introduce you to. That will go between Scott and 6th, with the Congress Street properties on one side and the new development hotel on the other, and the alley will be a center for local purveyors of their goods. This could be anywhere from jewelry makers, to jewelry sellers, to artists, to gift stores. We have a mom and a daughter that make clothes for kids, and another one that is a barber. That will be the tenants that wind up in the alley in Tucson as they have here. It is obviously a center during the holidays, any holiday, not just the Christmas holidays, but it is also when we celebrate the Rally in the Alley when the Ducks are playing Arizona on a Saturday. And every Friday night before those games, there is a rally in the alley that is to bring the university, in that case, University of Oregon downtown. That is our challenge. How do we bring that downtown to excite and utilize that asset to activate our downtown? Same opportunity here, and we will be chasing that opportunity and believe that we can do that. We look forward to rally in the alley in downtown Tucson. We certainly have spent time already exploring that possibility and those connected with that, and our local partners will help us with that. The next slide is a project that actually our executive team had a

groundbreaking in Corvallis, Oregon. We broke ground about four months ago on that with \$80 million project in downtown Corvallis, on a river community much smaller than Eugene, much smaller than Tucson. It is both housing, and a hotel, and multiple restaurants in related to that, it will be connected to downtown Corvallis without question. The next project we are going to break ground on in about three months in Eugene, called The Station House much like here, but adjacent to a rail station that we also have a tenant in, a 100 plus year old building, that goes next to. It honored the past as well as the present. And that is also what we will be doing in downtown Tucson. With that, I would like to introduce Irene Alltucker, who is so fond of Tucson as we all have become, and to introduce you to the project in reality.

- IA: Good morning. It is an absolute honor to be here today. As has been mentioned, it has been a few years that we have been working on this, but I started coming to Tucson over 40 years ago. Several years ago, my husband and I purchased a home here. When I joined Obie Companies nine years ago, Brian said, what is it about Tucson, and is that something that we should consider about Tucson? When Fletcher showed the list of Tucson successes, I think more and more people come to Tucson and fall in love with Tucson. We embarked on, through my visits, to see whether there might be a place to develop in Tucson. Next slide. We looked for a criteria of what would be proximity to the university, what would be linking to the convention center, and what might be in the heart of downtown, near Hotel Congress. Previously, there used to be Hydra Boutique on the corner of Congress and Scott, a favorite of mine, and Johnny Gibson's Market. So that area was a real draw. Little did I know, next slide, that Rio Nuevo would put out this RFP and request for proposals, and so I brought it to the Obie team and said, here is a project that we should consider. We brought our president of hospitality down here to visit Tucson and explore about what it is about Tucson that makes it so special. And when Rio Nuevo put out this request for proposal of a vacant lot to be studied, we provided an outline of what we anticipated would be and could be built here. After receiving the exclusive negotiating agreement, we took on months and now years of market studies, architectural studies, financial studies to determine what would have the most success. We also talked to a lot of Tucsonans. What is it that you would like to see downtown? Overwhelmingly, it was retail and vibrancy and a similar venue to our alley, our 5th Street Market Alley. Next slide. We have landed and are presenting to you today the Tucson Inn and Market. It is unusual where you have a hotelier who has so much focus on retail. In the slide that Brian showed you of the first hotel, Inn at the 5th in Eugene, right in the lobby is the Pendleton store. That is the highest grossing Pendleton store in all of their stores. The link to the hotel, people visiting the hotel go right into the Pendleton store. We understand the link between visitors and hotels and retail, and the success and impact it can have. We have designed this hotel so that the ground floor is retail, and that we are paying attention to the neighborhood. Next slide. This is an important concept from us as developers to understand the neighborhood with which we are going to be in. That Ms. Saigon is right across the street, that Johnny Gibson's Market that is being transformed, to the food hall and event space and the market on the corner. That the Chicago Music Store and the stores on Congress, and the restaurant that will soon open on the corner of Scott and Congress, the Cornish Pasties. This is going

to be a draw, and it will build upon the success that I experienced just this past weekend in downtown Tucson. It is a vibrant place in the evening, people going to the music venues, people going to Hotel Congress. It was wonderful to be in downtown Tucson. We will build upon that. So, the next slide will be the initial rendering. What I want to caution you all is that with Obie Companies, we continue to develop and refine until we get exactly the right building. So, we will take elements of this and build upon this. This is not what will be built, but this is our first rendering of the elements. The rooftop pool bar, the pool for the hotel guests, the ground floor retail, the interaction with the Broadway Street and the streetcar that goes by. So, all these elements will be built upon and refined so that it is just an iconic place that people will go to, both as hotel guests, and be driven and desire to go into the retail on the ground floor. It is exciting to look at this and understand how many hours of time, and feedback, and renderings took to get to this. It is a pleasure to bring it before you, and Brian is going to talk a little bit more about how we are going to build upon this and bring an alley to the area between Broadway and Congress.

BO: Thank you, Irene. Next slide please. Thank you for putting up with us. This is the view if you are standing perhaps across the street from the Gibson market, this is what you would be looking at and looking down this alley to the Tucson Inn and Market. On each side of that, we have talked about there will be retailers of various sizes, but we know for certain there would be multiple retailers. If you have an idea and will make a commitment for \$500, \$600 a month, and for the first time in your life, you think you can build and sell toys, you can do it here. Or if you can create jewelry, you can do it here. Or if you are a first-time barber, you can do it here. That is what this is about. And you will see this alley lined with that. But there will also be food venues, you know, whether it is toys or tortillas. It matters not to us, except we want a mixture of tenants that will attract people here and also attract people to this hotel. That is why this hotel will be successful, is that the relationship it has with that. You can see a bandstand here in the corner. We got work to do on it, there is no question about it, and how wide it is going to be and how the Congress Street properties that are historic are going to relate on their backside to this alley. You have got the owners in the audience here today. We had great partners that we will be working later today on that relationship and have worked on it for many months so that we can activate both sides of that alley, and frankly those buildings in the downtown in a significant way, our guests will find great pleasure. And then our last slide I wanted you to see was to some extent, the ground floor. The pink areas are retail, those are those small spaces we talk a lot about along the alley. And again, as I mentioned, we do have work to do. As we move forward on this schedule, we will probably get into definitive architecture next April, May, generally in that area. Move to structural architecture, engineering, etcetera, will take over the next year, permits, etcetera, and hopefully be underway in 2027 in terms of actual construction going on. So, we are excited about it. We have taken a lot of your time. You have got a big agenda and I appreciate that. Thank you for your patience. I would be glad to answer any questions.

SC: Mr. Chair?

RS: Supervisor Christy?

SC: It sounds like a terrific project and we are glad you are investing into downtown Tucson, because this appears that it is going to be a real winner. I do want to ask a question to Mr. McCusker regarding Rio Nuevo activities.

BO: I think he is more of a legend here.

SC: Could you illuminate us or expand a little bit briefly about the Rio Nuevo's restrictions on engaging in any type of affordable housing or public housing subsidies or anything of that nature and what the core mission is by law and by legislation regarding Rio Nuevo?

FM: Thank you. Mr. Chairman, Supervisor Christy, the Stadium District is the statute that limits us and provides our statutory mandate. We are, by law, an entertainment district. It is focused on the convention center. The original Rio Nuevo, you may remember, was going to build a new arena that collapsed in on itself due to the incompetence of the original Rio Nuevo. But the legislation provides that we can invest in and nurture things in the immediate area that nurture and promote and provide pedestrian traffic to the convention center. That is primarily why we have focused on food and beverage. That opening slide that I showed, ten years ago, none of that existed. And we say, but for Rio Nuevo, none of those places would exist today. We have opened 45 restaurants. We have opened five hotels. All of them have been enabled by state funds. I could not build an affordable housing complex if I wanted to. We have looked at residential. We have invested in residential; the Bautista is a good example on the far west side.

RS: If I could interject just for a second, I think we are getting a little beyond the agenda item, which was "Presentation by Obie Companies and Rio Nuevo on proposal to develop County owned property at 75 East Broadway." We are also getting up against another time certain item.

FM: Sorry, Mr. Chairman. Just a final note about where we go from here. This is the first introduction. We will see a similar presentation in our meeting today. While you are doing your joint meeting with the City, we will begin negotiating the agreement. What we have from the County right now is an option to lease and purchase this. So, we will provide you notice of our intent to exercise that, and it will work through your staff, legal and County, probably over the next few months.

RS: And the County Administrator has a memo attached to this item that lays out that timeline as well, but Supervisor Allen had a question or comment.

JA: I did. Thank you all for being here for the proposal. We are tight on time, so I will just get right to it. There are three things that I think are important to me, as we think about developments and investments in our downtown. One, given the extremities of our climate, I think it is important to strive for LEED certification. And at a

minimum, working towards energy efficiency, towards low water use, building materials that are sustainable. Second, and we have representatives in the audience today from Local 469, I think utilizing as much as possible trade unions, in construction. I think it is important for strengthening our community and strengthening our families. And then third, I love the integration of art in the facilities and just encouraging collaboration with local artists, of which we have many, and they are wonderful. So, thank you.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor. Any other questions or comments from my colleagues? Alright, thank you very much for being so generous with your time. We are very much looking forward to seeing how this project moves forward.

BO: Thank you for your generosity. It is an absolute pleasure to be here.

RS: Thank you, Sir. Thank you, Ma'am.

IA: Thank you very much.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

33. 2025 Consolidated General & Special Election

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the Pima County Elections Department and Recorder's Office activities during the November 4, 2025 Consolidated General & Special Election, including but not limited to, the operational differences between a typical Pima County General Election and a Consolidated Vote-by-Mail General & Special Election. (District 4)

Verbatim

RS: Chair Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
JA: Supervisor Allen
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
GCK: Gabriella Cázares-Kelly, Pima County Recorder
CH: Constance Hargrove, Director, Elections Department

RS: Let us go to Addendum Item No. 3. This was put on the addendum by Supervisor Christy, so I will turn it over to him.

SC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. I want to thank the Elections Director and the County Recorder for their attendance and participation. I know you got a lot of things to do, and it is probably not on your higher priority list to have to sit at a Board of Supervisors meeting, but we thank you for being here. The reason I put this item on is obviously during the election of this nature, there were a lot of questions raised from constituents and from the public in general, and I thought that this might be a very good time since we were canvassing the recent election to give an opportunity to the Recorder and to the Elections director to illuminate folks that might have questions or issues regarding certain procedures that might seem a little out of the ordinary or irregular, and to explain those situations. Basically, the item itself is to discuss *"The Pima County Elections Department and Recorder's Office activities during the November 4, 2025 Consolidated General and Special Election, including but not limited to, the operational differences between a typical Pima County General Election and a Consolidated Vote-by-Mail General and Special Election."* That is a lot of words and a lot of terminology that I am sure most of us are not familiar with, and this would be a good time to have that discussed by those that are in charge of implementing it. To save a little time, I am going to throw a couple more questions into that general discussion so you can answer them without having to go back and forth like a tennis match here. In addition to a discussion and an elucidation of the issues on the item itself, two more questions I have is why was the Recorder's office doing ballot duplication and replacement rather than the Elections Department? The second question to that is, why no observers? If that could be discussed and presented to the public, I think that would be very helpful as well. I know it would answer a lot of questions that I have been receiving from my

constituents. And finally, there was an article or a news item regarding the elections, that there was a video circulating on social media of Pima County election workers emptying an overfilled ballot drop box. And of course, this created the controversy that I know folks have been experiencing. I do remember at one particular point, I believe it was the Recorder, describing how those ballot boxes were going to be secured and that they had special amenities that were going to make sure that there would be no tampering with them. It sounded to me like it was the equivalent of Fort Knox so I was very impressed with that. In addition to all of the other safeguards, there was another item that those drop boxes were going to be surveilled by cameras. I guess my question is, if there is surveillance by cameras, would not those cameras have detected an overfilled drop box? I would just like to hear the response from you officials on that item. At this point, you know the areas we are looking for, please take your time and explain those situations for everybody's benefit of information. Thank you.

RS: Would either the Recorder or the Elections Director like to respond or are you both going to?

GCK: Thank you, Chair Scott, Supervisor Christy for the opportunity and for asking those questions. Yes, it has been a very long year. We have had almost 24 months of continuous elections within the Recorder's Office and the Elections Department, so it has been very...Is that good? Can you hear me okay? Alright, so first is the consolidated election and what is a consolidated election? The City of Tucson normally facilitates their own elections. However, because of bond overrides in three separate school districts, including the City of Tucson, there created an overlap and so rather than having the City of Tucson send out a ballot and Pima County send out a ballot since we are responsible for administering that election, they are then combined to only be on one ballot together, hence the word consolidated. And because those special jurisdictions had requested those be all vote-by-mail, all voters, regardless of whether they are signed up for the active early voting list or not, receive a ballot. Anyone who is eligible to vote within that jurisdiction or multiple jurisdictions. Because we send out a ballot automatically to every voter who is eligible, the Recorder's Office then provides replacement ballot locations. Those are locations where a voter may show up in person and request a ballot. This would be considered their second ballot. It is a second request, which means that there is additional activities that we have to do within our system. Usually, if there is a reason that the voter did not receive that first ballot, it is usually having to do with either a voter registration issue or something of that manner, and we are resolving it during that time period. The replacement ballot locations act as extensions of our office, which, as you know, on Election Day, there is very limited data that is provided to the Elections director via the electronic poll book, so they cannot see the entire voter's record. However, during replacement voting, which is an extension of early voting, we can. So, we are dealing with much more sensitive information during that time period. Information that is protected by state statute. We are trying to make it as easy as possible for people to participate. That is the major difference. The Elections Department continues to process all the ballots. My office, the Recorder's Office, is responsible for the issuing of those ballots, anything having to do with voter registration records, signature verification and that is all. We then turn

those ballots over to the Elections Department, and the Elections Department will open up those affidavits, remove them and process them as has been described here many times before. In regard to duplication and replacement, that is still the responsibility of the Elections Department. I am wondering if there is some misconstrued information about that process. Other than the new ballot portal, which allows us to receive electronic ballots from military and overseas voters, we do not duplicate ballots.

CH: Yeah, as far as the duplication and replacement, I think they are combining two things. So, the Recorder's Office did have replacement sites where they replaced a voter's ballot if they lost it damaged or whatever may have happened to the ballot. My office, I still do the same thing that I have always done. We still program the election. We still create the ballots and design them, and then we receive those ballots from the Recorder's Office after they have signature verified, and then we process them the same way. Of course, observers are always welcome and invited to be in our office when we are doing this. When we process those ballots, we open the ballots to determine, you know, whether or not of course they are for the correct election and whether or not they can actually go through a tabulator and be counted. If the processors determine that that ballot will not go through the tabulator, then it goes to our duplication team. So my office, the early board, actually duplicates any ballots that may be damaged and may not go through the tabulator. Of course, we are tracking them, and they get put back into batches and they go to the counting room to be tabulated. And so, we still continue the same process that we have always done. We are not replacing ballots and the Recorder's Office is not duplicating ballots. So it is still separated between our two offices.

GCK: Thank you. To the third question, why are there no observers? I have been in office for five years. I have facilitated 12 elections, a number of them federal elections, including this past year. We have never had observers at early voting or replacement sites during my administration, nor the prior administration within the Recorder's Office. That is not something that we have the infrastructure to provide. It is not something that is mandated by state statute, and I continue to emphasize that if there are people who are interested in learning more about our process, please apply to work within our office. We hire a number of people. We struggle with this because unlike Election Day, which is one day where people can usually take a day off of work, we are trying to fill much longer periods of time. An early voting site replacement site could be open for a week, two weeks, four weeks, and that makes it an additional challenge to fill those seats. Once people are hired as intermittent workers, they do undergo extensive training, including hands on training. They are made aware of all state statutes and how we comply with those statutes. They have first-hand experience understanding the checks and balances that we have implemented within our office to continue to uphold the integrity of the election. I know that there had been some social media chatter about the Recorder's Office denying observers at a replacement location. That situation, essentially what happened is someone coordinating from the GOP party sent a list of observers intended to those locations, and they sent them to the wrong office. They sent it to the Elections Department, not the Recorder's office. They sent it at 6:00 p.m., after the close of Election Day, the day before the election, when no one was available.

We were in the middle of our duties to prepare for Election Day, and that email, because there had been no communication and there is no process for that, was not received by the Recorder's Office until the next day. Had we received that information, we would have shared that we do not have a policy to allow observers on Election Day. As I said, we are sharing a lot of critical information, protected information, at those locations. We are asking people to explain their living situation sometimes. We are troubleshooting what documents they may have to prove residency or citizenship. We are asking a lot more information in those spaces than one would on Election Day. We did communicate with the party, and we are continuing to receive additional information, but as that is not required by statute, my policy will remain unchanged, as it has in the past five years. The last question that you had regarding the election threats, a situation occurred where our ballot drop box, which has a capacity of 1,600 ballot affidavits, was filled to nearly 3,000. We were alerted about this from voters that said it is difficult to push the ballot affidavit in. That ballot drop box, located on the east side of Tucson, is right outside of our east side micro-office. However, that was not a ballot replacement location. It is simply a ballot drop box. And so that office closes every day for lunch at noon. And we started receiving phone calls. We then got a hold of our staff who were on lunch. It took them a little time to close up the office and go out and check on the box, and what they found is that people were, and this is a great problem to have, they were stuffing the ballots to capacity, and they were just putting them in there...

SC: Madam Recorder, I do not think stuffing is a proper term to be using.

GCK: That is literally the term that they were doing. Yeah, so close to Thanksgiving I am sure, but they were really jamming them into the box. We deployed an emergency courier team, a bipartisan team from our office, to go and empty the box. I had a staff member who was redirecting folks to their nearest location, which was the Ward 2 office, which is just a little over a mile away from that location, and just explaining the situation. Some people were choosing to still continue to put their ballots there. We redirected a few folks just letting them know that this is not secure, and we are waiting for people, we have people coming up to empty this box. This of course was something that we did not foresee. This is the very first time that we had reached this type of capacity and so people were upset. When my courier team arrived, which was within 20 minutes, they both had the keys. It requires two separate distinct keys to open the box. They were wearing Recorder's Office lanyards. They had their employee identification. The vehicle that they were driving had Pima County Recorder's identification on it. They had black transfer bags and zip ties, seals, that they use to seal them. When they arrived, because the box was overflowing with ballot affidavits, they then had to wiggle out, that is a technical term, wiggle. They had to wiggle it, and they removed the ballot affidavits from the box and placed them in the secure ballot transfer bags. That process took a bit of time, and as they were doing that, we had a constituent filming that entire scenario. Everything that my couriers did was approved in our trainings. It was completely by the books. We have a clear chain of custody. Those ballots were secured and it was emptied, and while we had them emptying, I had a third staff member there directing people and explaining what they are doing. They are emptying the ballot drop box, you may leave your ballot directly in the transfer bag. So, the

communication to the public was occurring. After that situation, that constituent, member of the public, posted that online, and that resulted in some outrageous claims that people were tampering with the box, that people were doing something malicious. That was absolutely not the case. That was all happening on Election Day. By the next morning, we were starting to see death threats made towards our staff members, which is incredibly upsetting to our entire office. That is not normal. That is not okay. That is not acceptable. These are hardworking individuals. They have families and they were doing their jobs. We were...

SC: If I may ask, to elucidate a little bit more, with the camera surveillance, would this have been detected? And anybody watching on the camera to monitor this type of...

GCK: Thank you, Supervisor Christy, Chair Scott. The angle that we have of that box, we are going to be working to figure out a way to have the front of the box, if we can. We do not currently have that. There is no structure currently in place in front of that box. The cameras that we currently have are facing the rear of it, and the intention for the direction of the box was so that if someone really needed to, they could pull up their vehicle out of the driver's side and deposit their ballot affidavit in that way. Again, these ballot drop boxes are brand new to our County. We are learning more about the use and the public's interest in it. It was really exciting to see a strong number of people choosing to drop off their ballot this way. Although, we still did have the same amount of people mailing ballot affidavits back as we did prior, this is still another option that people seem to really enjoy, and we are going to be installing larger boxes with higher capacity.

SC: And maybe moving them in a different location to be monitored a little better?

GCK: We are going to discuss our options for that. However, it is very limited in that location. Although the box is outside of the health complex, across the street is a barbecue joint, it is not County property.

SC: I want to make sure you have all your discussion points covered regarding that over capacity of the ballot boxes. I do not want to interrupt that, but I do have one last question, and it dealt with the signatures on the outside of the return envelopes. Could you address that?

GCK: Yes, Chair Scott, Supervisor Christy. I would actually like to share a little bit more about the impact of the online threats that our office received and what our office did to continue to keep our staff safe. I think it is really important to know that we were alerted of that video that was circulating online through the Secretary of State's Office, through their activities with the Arizona Counterterrorism Information Center. They first alerted us of that situation, and then as we were monitoring, they did notify us when we started to see those death threats, which then our office worked very quickly to screenshot to obtain the web address and to communicate that information to the Secretary of State's Office so that we could file a case that then gets investigated by the Federal Bureau of Investigation (FBI), which we have been in contact with the FBI. The threats to election workers and the intimidation is a criminal activity. It is not just abhorrent; it is also against the law. I want to make that

exceedingly clear. We have taken it very, very seriously, and we are continuing to alert the County and communicate. We also filed a case with the Tucson Police Department and informed the Sheriff's Department through our threat liaison officers, through the Arizona Counterterrorism Information Center, what was happening and notified the rest of the County what was happening, including our building. As far as the signatures on the ballot affidavit envelopes, specifically, part of the reason that it is so important that we have these ballot drop boxes are to provide an option for people who are not comfortable returning their ballot affidavit via the USPS. I will say that the decision to change that ballot envelope was taken very, very seriously. We have talked to our colleagues across the state about what others have been doing and the response from the public. In all jurisdictions, they say they have a small number of people, and that is what we saw, we saw a small number of complaints about those. For the majority of people who are concerned about this, it is important to know, that in order for bad actors to do anything malicious with your identity, they must have information more than what is accessible on the outside of that envelope. They need to have your birth date. They need to have your Social Security number, your driver's license number, all things that our office protects by state statute. On the outside of the envelope, if people are not comfortable with leaving their phone number, for example, we strongly encourage people to visit our voter dashboard to confirm their information that we have the most up to date way to contact you in case we have questions about your ballot, and you are able to leave it off. And then we strongly encourage you to use ballot drop boxes. And again, we will be working in 2026 to ensure that we have larger boxes, multiple boxes, more boxes available to address this issue for the public.

RS: Supervisor Christy, any other questions?

SC: I just wanted to make sure that the Elections Director as well as the Recorder, is there any areas of issue or concern that I missed in my questioning that you want to add to? Or do you think that pretty much encompasses it?

CH: Chair Scott, Supervisor Christy. I just want to say that the Recorder's Office and the Elections Office, we were following the guidance of the Elections Procedures Manual in Chapter 3, which references the all-mail elections, as well as Chapter 16, article 8, which is reference of the Arizona Revised Statutes. So, we are following the Elections Procedures Manual and the statute when, we bifurcated anyway, but the way that the election was set up, whereas the Recorder's Office provided the replacement sites on election day and I did not provide vote centers. And yeah, that is all I wanted to say. Thank you.

SC: Mr. Chair, I want to thank our officials for being so open and loquacious with the explanation and for the time that you have given us here to explain all those things. I have no further questions.

RS: Thank you. Any other questions or comments? Supervisor Cano?

AC: Thank you, Chair Scott. I want to extend my appreciation to our County Recorder and to our Elections Director for answering some of these questions today. I really do not get the sensational rhetoric that was posted online. The integrity of our elections are secure, they are safe. I implore any member of the public who has doubt in Pima County's system to take a look at all of the information available to voters as it relates to their ballot process. I vote by mail, and I love the fact that, when I submit my ballot and put it in the mail, as I do, I get a text message letting me know that it has been received. I get a follow up text message to let me know that my signature has been verified by our elections workers, and that it has been tabulated and ready to head for processing. That kind of process reassures me that my vote is counted, and most importantly, I hope Madam Recorder and our Elections director and our County Administration, that we hold hands with law enforcement as it relates to the intimidation and threats that are illegal against our election workers. These are incidents, they are not isolated, number one, and number two, it is incumbent on us to directly negate the rhetoric that is not factual. And in fact, is criminal when you put the lives of our men and women in our Elections Department and our Recorder's Department on the front lines. These were individuals who had every amount of verification possible. The County shirts, the ballot seal transfer bag, the lanyards identifying them as County workers. That, to me, is a firm understanding of how divided we have come, Chair Scott, on this issue, and I appreciate Supervisor Christy working with our County Recorder and our Elections Department to dispel the myths that exist as it relates to the integrity of our election system. It is an important conversation we have to have. I am looking forward to the additional reforms needed to check on the boxes a little bit more regularly, but I am also celebrating the fact that 3,000 people went to one drop box on the east side to count their votes. That is a good problem to have. As it relates to additional technologies, you know, we will have that conversation as we prepare for next year's elections as well, but those are my comments. And again, my appreciation to Supervisor Christy, our County Recorder, and to our Elections Director and our elections workers who did an exceptional job with the consolidated election.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor Cano. Any other questions or comments? Supervisor Allen?

JA: I just want to echo Supervisor Cano's comments and just layer on. Please share our gratitude to all of the employees of the Elections Department, the Recorder's Office, for their incredible commitment, thoroughness, integrity and we wish them well, for lack of better word, for standing strong, for doing such important work for the County despite threats and attacks. So please share that with them and thank you for all that you do.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor Allen. Any other questions or comments from Board members? I want to thank Recorder Cázares-Kelly and Director Hargrove for joining us today. Thank you very much.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

34. Opportunities for Pima County to Support Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) Recipients

Discussion/Direction/Action: Directing the County Administrator to identify opportunities for Pima County departments and programs to support SNAP recipients that, per new eligibility requirements, will need to demonstrate a minimum of 80 hours per month in work, training, or volunteer time. (District 3)

Verbatim

RS: Chair Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
JA: Supervisor Allen
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
GM: Geraldine Miranda, Assistant Director of Fiscal Policy, Arizona Center for Economic Progress at Children's Action Alliance
MEH: Meheria Habibi, Deputy Director of Programs, International Rescue Committee
SZ: Shamsedin Zamani, Constituent

RS: We had another Addendum item that Supervisor Allen put on. She had asked for a time certain of 11:20 a.m. I apologize, Supervisor, that we are 13 minutes late, but I am going to turn it over to you. This is Addendum Item No. 4.

JA: Addendum Item No. 4, is in response to the background, and we have two speakers for this item. One of whom is online, two of whom are present. Just a little bit of context, as we have heard, SNAP is being gutted. It is one of the most important safety net programs that we have to ensure that families do not go hungry. The impact of the cuts to SNAP is far reaching and puts hundreds, if not thousands, at greater risk for food insecurity. This includes refugees, veterans, working families and their children, young adults coming out of foster care, older adults. It will escalate as households have to choose, as we noted in the previous item, between going hungry and paying for the rent at a time that utility costs continue to rise. It is our understanding, as part of this rapidly changing landscape, the new eligibility requirements require people now to be eligible to work, demonstrate work, training, education, up to 80 hours a month. So to understand a little bit more about that, we have invited some experts here today to give us some context of the scale and scope of the problem, because our task, as this item notes, is for the County to be able to identify ways in which we can utilize our departments, our programs, our services, to ensure that people can meet the eligibility requirements, and so that people do not fall through the cracks. The County is big, broad and wide, reaching from Ajo to Arivaca throughout the City of Tucson to Marana, and we do not want people to fall through the cracks for lack of eligibility, demonstrating work or

volunteer or not being able to fill out the new forms. With that, I would like to invite our first speaker.

RS: Supervisor Allen, pardon me for interrupting. The Clerk just let me know that there is a speaker online who is unidentified. That might be your presenter. If you wanted to ask if that is her.

JA: Ms. Miranda, are you online? If you are, you are on mute.

GM: Hi.

JA: Hello.

GM: Yes, this is Geraldine Miranda. I am here online. I am here over the phone, sorry.

JA: So, let me make a little introduction to who it is that we are hearing from. Ms. Geraldine Miranda, who is the Economic Policy Analyst for the Children's Action Alliance and the Arizona Center for Economic Progress. She has expertise in the area of federal benefits, which includes Medicaid, SNAP, TANF. Miranda is joining virtually, and so we will pass it over to you, Ms. Miranda?

GM: Thank you, Supervisor Allen. Thank you for inviting me to share a little bit about some of the recent changes to the Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP). As was mentioned, my name is Geraldine Miranda. I am the Assistant Director of Fiscal Policy for the Arizona Center for Economic Progress at Children's Action Alliance. My policy and advocacy work mostly focuses on state budget and economic well-being programs like SNAP and [inaudible] Action Program. As you are aware, over the summer, Congress passed a federal reconciliation bill, known by many names, including H.R. 1, and this legislation made the deepest cut in the history of the SNAP of about \$186 billion. Among the many changes that this legislation made to the SNAP program, there are two that I would like to talk to you about right now that are already happening as of the passage of the bill over the summer, and that is, changes to one piece of one of the SNAP work requirements. SNAP has two work requirements, and this legislation makes substantial changes to one of them. The work requirements that it changes is, normally referred to, as the Able-Bodied Adults without Dependents, work requirement. I do not know if slides are being shown since I am over the phone, but I do have a slide that shows the impact of the changes to this work requirement. Among those changes are that it expands the work requirement to now include older adults until they turn 65 years old. Prior to this, there was an age limit of 54, so it expands it now to those who are between 54 and 65 are now included. It also now includes parents, where it previously did not include parents. Now there is a parent that has a child that is between 14 and 18 years old, they are now also subject to this work requirement. There was a Fiscal Responsibility Act legislation passed in 2023 that provided some exemptions for veterans, people experiencing homelessness and foster youth under 24. This budget reconciliation bill effectively ends those exemptions for these people. It also, for the first time, also restricts waiver requests that are based off of unemployment rates. Typically, the state could ask for a waiver request, waiving large parts of the state. Before this legislation, all counties were under this waiver,

and now the waiver no longer exists. Sorry, all counties, except for Maricopa County. Now many Arizonans living in rural counties and also living in Pima will be subject to this work requirement. Outside of the work requirement changes, the SNAP eligibility has also ended for many immigrants, including refugees, people granted asylum and certain victims of labor and sex trafficking, victims of domestic violence, and other previously eligible immigrants with lawful status, which I think that another organization is going to talk to you about that a little bit more later. But in terms of Pima County, the changes to the work requirement will require more people to either work or prove that they are working or participating in some kind of work activity. Currently, the Department of Economic Security has what is called a SNAP Career Management Network that helps people connect to certain work activities. They have 22 providers statewide, 7 of which provide virtual services, and there are 3 in Pima County, but only 2 of those in Pima County serve all of Pima. The third is only for students enrolled in Pima Community College. In Pima, overall, there are about 145,000 people participating in SNAP. Of those, about 90,900 are adults, estimating and if you want to go to the other slide that I have, according to the Department of Economic Security recent budget requests, about 140,000 Arizonans are subject to this work requirement. If you take this number statewide and you try to guess what that number might be for Pima County, that is probably about 23,000 adults that may be at risk for losing their benefits if they are not able to prove that they are meeting this work requirement. It is not just completing the work requirement, but really it is going through all the red tape that involves sending your paperwork and making sure that it is received, all these things that just take a lot of time and take a lot of resources to do. At the same time, there is other changes that this budget reconciliation made that have led the Department of Economic Security to take more less flexible policies because they have this pressure to reduce the safe error rate, or the rate, at which they are making errors and making benefit determinations. Based upon that, we have heard that the Department of Economic Security is no longer accepting attestation. They are going to be more strict in terms of how people will need to prove that they are meeting these work requirements. I think those are most of the information that I wanted to share. I am open to any questions you may have.

RS: Supervisor Allen?

JA: Thank you, Ms. Miranda, and if you do not mind staying on the line. I would like to invite up our other speakers and then we can open it up for questions for both sets of speakers. We are going to hear now from the International Rescue Committee (IRC) staff. The IRC has a long history of successfully integrating refugees into our community. District 3 office along with other offices have been working with IRC staff since the summer, diligently trying to identify what the impacts are going to be and how we as a County can be supportive. I would like to welcome Meheria Habibi, Deputy Director of Programs for IRC Arizona and Director of IRC Tucson today to give us a brief overview.

MEH: Hello everyone. Thank you so much, Supervisor Allen, for the invitation to speak. Thank you so much to all of you for supporting our efforts. County Administrator Leshner, Chair Scott and Board of Supervisors. Again, my name is Meheria Habibi. I

am a former refugee that was resettled and have proudly called Pima County and Tucson home for over 24 years. I actually live in Supervisor Christy's district. Refugees like myself are long-term residents, workers, parents and future citizens of Pima County. We are Arizonans. For decades, refugees who fled war and persecution arrived here invited by the U.S. government with legal status, work authorization and a clear path to stability. Legal permanent residence after they have been here for 1 year and citizenship after they have been here for 5 years. Because early jobs are low wages, as you have heard from other speakers, and rent is high, SNAP has always been a crucial bridge, especially for large families where only 1 or 2 adults can work. Language remains a major barrier for them to pursue other careers, even though they might come with credentials. Before H.R. 1, otherwise known as, One Big Beautiful Bill, refugees were eligible, as you heard from Miranda, for SNAP benefits. After H.R. 1, SNAP eligibility is now tied to immigration status, meaning that for many of those individuals that live here in Pima County, I gave you some handouts that have some information, 4,200 individuals are going to lose eligibility based on the populations that we work with. That includes refugees that have been admitted under the U.S. Refugee Admissions Program, asylees that have been granted asylum, survivors of human trafficking, special immigrant visa holders, these are individuals that have worked with the U.S. government overseas and supported them, and then Afghan and Ukrainian humanitarian parolees. As the federal government shutdown ends and most Arizonans see their SNAP eligibility reinstated, refugees and many of these other groups that you see on there are the only group that are going to be losing their benefits more on a long-term basis. In addition to the information that I gave you for the 4,200, we are trying to determine how many of these individuals are actually accessing SNAP benefits. So, we started conducting a survey. In the last two weeks, we were able to reach over 1,100 individuals, and of those, we determined that 336 adults and 326 children were relying on SNAP benefits to supplement their income in addition to work and other sources. In addition to the loss of this benefit, unfortunately, many of these families are not aware of these policy changes. So, our organizations, in coordination with other colleague agencies here, started to hold information sessions and we held our first information session on November 1st in partnership with the Pima County Library, where over 100 individuals showed up and they had many questions as to why they are losing eligibility. They have been invited by the U.S. government here. They have been here. They have pursued legal pathways to get here. After the government shut down, when SNAP benefits were cut, we also held food distribution at our site, where we served more than 273 families, and that was 1,414 individuals. So, the need is really big. We really appreciate you for passing Addendum Item No. 5. This is going to be immensely helpful. But we also ask that, because these policies are changing on a monthly basis and we are trying to catch up and stay on top of this. I know we have had a lot of conversations with many of you and your staff, so we really appreciate your time on that, but we would request the flexibility of this County, support of this grant, because we, as refugee serving organizations, are facing a lot of demand with very limited capacity and reduced capacity right now because of federal policy changes. So, your partnership would really allow us to pivot quickly as new policies emerge. Thank you so much for your support on that. Refugees in Pima County have

survived war, supported U.S. military missions abroad, and are now working to rebuild their lives here. We have an urgent moment to protect their stability, and with your partnership, we would be able to do that. We really appreciate your time and thank you so much for this. Would you like me to call the other speaker?

RS: Supervisor Allen?

MEH: Happy to answer any questions, thank you.

JA: Do we also have...?

MEH: Mr. Zamani.

JA: Mr. Zamani?

MEH: Yes.

JA: I will note, sorry, we are running very tight on time. We have to get over to another meeting, all of us, at noon.

SZ: Hello, thank you for your time. My name is Shamsedin Zamani. I am from Afghanistan. I came to Tucson as a refugee program in 2023. I was a civil prosecutor in my country and was working with the government in that position for 16 years. We had a normal life in our country. Unfortunately, the government closed and our life was at risk and we were requited by the American team, the United States. We start our life in that time we arrived. I have four kids and my wife. We are six people in our family, and I appreciate the supporting with the IRC, JFCS, and other organization. They help us and we receive the EBT SNAP at that time. That leads to having time to improve our language. I was not able to speak English at that time, and now I can speak English, and I am the only person working in my family, and I have to support six of us. I working seven days per week and 14 hours every day, and it is hard for me. It is still hard to support my family. Thank you for passing that emergency program resources, and I appreciate your attention. I am working as a community ambassador in 29th Street Project Drive Zone, and I see a lot of family. They are in here, especially from Afghanistan, they have four, six, even eight kids, and they are illiterate and here is no opportunities, minimum wage is too low. It is hard to support the family. As a human, they need attention. Thanks for your attention. Thank you.

JA: Thank you.

SZ: If you have a question.

RS: Do you want to move the item, Supervisor?

JA: I would love to move the item. I would like to move that we direct the County Administrator to identify opportunities for Pima County departments and programs, including out in rural areas of the County, to figure out ways in which we can creatively support the eligibility requirements now imposed for SNAP recipients.

RS: I will second. Moved and seconded. Discussion? Supervisor Christy?

SC: A couple of questions. With all the asylum issues and the asylum activities that we were involved with, and it appears now that there is different gradations of asylum seekers, refugees, whatever, whole list of them. It was my understanding in the early days of all the asylum-seeking processes, that those seeking asylum had to have sponsors. Do all of the folks that are going to be involved in this program, do they have sponsors?

RS: My understanding from the presentation, Supervisor Christy, and this is also connected with the previous item. There is a distinction between people who are here on refugee status and people who are here as asylum seekers. People who are here on refugee status are here on fully legal status, as either political or economic refugees. They are not required to have sponsors like asylum seekers are.

SC: So this will be going to refugees only?

RS: If you looked at the slide in terms of the SNAP recipients who are affected by the new eligibility requirements, that was my understanding. Supervisor Allen, am I leaving anything out?

JA: We focused in and included a presentation that gave us a window into the unique impact on the refugee community. But as Ms. Miranda outlined, it is a much broader stroke of our community that is impacted by these increased eligibility requirements on SNAP, ranging from veterans, working families with children, seniors and others. This specific item is to look at how we, as a County, can create opportunities to improve and expand eligibility opportunities for everyone impacted by this higher bar...

SC: Regardless of their immigration status?

JA: You know, I am just going to let that sit there. This is intended to expand out the SNAP eligibility. If you do not have legal status, there are requirements already in place.

SC: Okay, did not mean to, just asking a question.

JA: It is just the cumulative impact.

SC: I was called on to ask a question, and that is what I did, and I have a couple more.

RS: Just to respond to your other one. These are folks who have been deemed eligible for federal benefits. There are new eligibility requirements. These are folks who are already deemed eligible for benefits based on their status, but now they are dealing with new eligibility requirements.

SC: Thank you, Mr. Chair. These eligibility requirements, we know what they are?

RS: We do.

SC: We know how to comply for the recipients to receive the benefits?

RS: We do. The item that Supervisor Allen has put forth is asking the County Administrator, when appropriate, to lend County support towards meeting those eligibility requirements. Correct?

JA: That is correct.

SC: So basically, what we have before us, in addition to the funding, is a request to assist those who are in this program or want to be in this program, to assist them in helping them find eligibility requirements?

RS: To meet their eligibility.

SC: So that is really the bottom line?

RS: Yes.

SC: We are just here to approve and vote for the County to assist the individuals applying for this program, assist them to meet eligibility requirements?

RS: That is my understanding. Supervisor Allen, is that a good summation?

JA: Yes.

SC: We could have said that probably a long time ago. Thank you, Mr. Chair.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor. Any other questions or comments from Board members? All those in favor of Addendum Item No. 4 indicate by saying, "Aye." Aye.

JA: Aye.

MH: Aye.

SC: Aye.

AC: Aye.

RS: Any opposed? Item passes unanimously.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

35. Short-Term Crisis and Emergency Resources Grant Program

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a proposed process and application form for the funds highlighted in the County Administrator's memorandum dated November 12, 2025, and a proposed schedule to fast-track consideration of applications and awards of funding.

Verbatim

RS: Chair Scott
MH: Supervisor Heinz
JA: Supervisor Allen
SC: Supervisor Christy
AC: Supervisor Cano
JL: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
SB: Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney

RS: Supervisor Allen had another time certain item at 11:20, but I am going to ask if we can, before we get to that, consider Addendum Item No. 5? Because there is a significant number of members of the audience who are interested in that item and who signed up for Call to the Public, to talk about it. This is a Short-Term Crisis and Emergency Resources Grant Program. I will move Addendum Item No. 5.

MH: Second.

JA: Second.

RS: Moved and seconded by Supervisor Heinz. I am going to ask the County Administrator if she can lay out the parameters of this program and how it was developed by staff. Before doing so, I want to thank her and her team for putting this together, because the Board had taken up some similar items at our last meeting. We continued them until the first meeting in December, so for you and your team, Ms. Leshar, to have something for us to consider two weeks after our last action, is appreciated and commendable.

JL: Mr. Chair and members of the Board. I think what staff heard from all of you at the last meeting, as well as from the community, is that we needed a way to respond very quickly to some of the need within the community. While I think the government shutdown might have been something that caused us to recognize some urgency, I think the additional recognition is that there is always some emergent need in our community. What we hope to do with this is to ask the Board to establish the Short-Term Crisis and Emergency Resources Grant that will be funded through the remainder of this year by taking 10% of what we believe is the additional carryforward for the year. We had budgeted some carryforward. It appears that with

revenues coming in higher and expenditures lower, that we will have about \$8.3 million additional capacity. We are targeting 10% of that for this fund, or \$838,000.00. With the understanding, I believe we would be looking at, what we have heard from the Board, is the request that we maintain a program like this and bring it forward as an item in next year's budget. The idea is that it would be a one-page form that agencies that are in need of crisis assistance can apply very quickly. It can move very quickly. All of those applications would be reviewed by the Outside Agency Committee, and then we would be bringing back recommendations to this Board by December 16th. There is four service categories that we are proposing at this point with food assistance, housing and utility support, transportation and medical expenses or related needs. We have been recommending a minimum award of \$25,000.00, and a maximum award of \$75,000.00. And again, additional criteria going through this, which then the evaluation would be based on urgency and readiness, alignment with County priorities, capacity and track record, and budget clarity and justification. If approved today, we will go live, if you will, with the program tomorrow. Applications would be available online tomorrow. They will be due by 5:00 p.m. on December 3rd. The Outside Agency Citizens Review Committee, which is comprised of representatives appointed by each of you, will review those, make recommendations and we will be back to the Board for consideration of those recommendations, and the allocation of the funds on December 16th. I will turn to the staff to see if there is additional. I am getting a thumbs up, so that is it for now. Thank you.

RS: Ms. Leshar, thank you. Questions or comments from Board members? Supervisor Cano?

AC: Thank you, Chair Scott. Administrator Leshar, is our intention to distribute all of the dollars in the timeline presented December 16th, or are we going to half the appropriation? What is your [inaudible]?

JL: Chair Scott, Supervisor Cano. We have not reviewed that, so we would be looking for any kind of direction from the Board that might be available, and through the Outside Agency Review Committee. What they have done historically with some of the other funds available is hold back a little bit of money so that there are additional opportunities down the road. What we will do is meet with the commission, encourage you to have a conversation with your appointees as well, and see how we might want to allocate those funds.

AC: Thank you, Administrator Leshar. I am curious what further input will be from my colleagues as to whether they would like two buckets or one. I am indifferent about it, but I just think it is smarter planning for us to perhaps try a spring and ask our outside agency if that is a process that they like to see or not. Most importantly, I wanted to thank my colleague from District 2, Supervisor Heinz, for his initial proposal at our last meeting. Getting us to this point today. We are, of course, responding to emergency needs in our community as a result of federal cuts, and without the leadership from District 2, this proposal might not have made it on to today's agenda. I want to say thank you. I look forward to our County resources

being dispatched to the most critical lifelines of our community that need our investment. I wholeheartedly endorse this proposal. I want to thank the County Administrator and just say that this is exactly the kind of government that people believe and want at this moment, right now, because this is what we are faced with, with lots of decisions and limited resources. I just want to say thanks. I look forward to supporting the item.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor Cano. Any other questions or comments? Supervisor Heinz?

MH: Thank you, Chair Scott. I actually have a couple of questions for staff. The 10% number, was that just arbitrary, or was there a specific reasoning behind that because I was hoping maybe we could do a little more.

JL: Chair Scott and Supervisor Heinz. We have been conversing regularly with the Board about what we think is going to be a difficult financial year. While those were funds we had not anticipated moving into the budget, we felt that 10% was a very conservative estimate of what we could allocate for the fund at this time. Maybe one of the ways to address the question of funds now and funds for a second tranche or a second bucket might be to allocate another small amount of those dollars. Know that as we begin to look at projections for next year, I think it is going to be another difficult year for the County and for the community. And we felt it wiser to be conservative and see that there might be funds down the road available. We can always come back and refill the bucket at any time from the Board's direction.

MH: Okay, great. I believe the current process with regard to outside agency funding, every agency is allowed to apply for more than one specific program or project. I think the language is a little unclear. If we need to amend it, I would like to do so to make sure that it is not one entity or agency can only seek \$75,000.00 maximum. That is it, because just like with outside agency funding, you could have a food bank or whatever having three, four, five, six different good programs, and they should each be able to apply for all of those. They should not be capped as an agency. I just wanted to clarify, was that the intent of the language, or should we amend this?

JL: Thank you, Chair Scott and Supervisor Heinz. The intent is to mirror that of the outside agency program, which is that the cap is by program, not by agency. We can make sure that that is clarified here. There can be agencies, as you point out, that are large and have multiple programs.

MH: Great, good. And then lastly, Mr. Chair, this actually might just be for, probably, my colleagues, but I would like to hear your thoughts on including, as qualifying for funding, programs that would help to provide legal services, low cost or no cost, to individuals, or potentially subsidizing federal government application fees, and make these categories allowable, as long as those specific services would get a resident the ability to access food assistance, rental assistance, health care, etcetera. If my colleagues would consider making that amendment or opining, that would be great.

RS: Administrator Lesher, responding to Supervisor Heinz's inquiry, as the program is set up, especially since it is set up for agencies that are already receiving assistance and support through the County, through the Community and Workforce Development Department. Based on the inquiries from my colleague, would we be able to take that kind of action as the program is configured?

JL: Chair Scott, there are organizations that provide those functions that are receiving funding now. I think we could easily add an additional bullet just to clarify the service categories. If one is a, help us wordsmith from the dais, but an additional bullet that is, to provide legal services and/or any assistance required to ensure that people have ultimate access to food security issues. We can certainly either amend the food assistance to ensure that we have called that out and/or add an additional bullet, however, the Board would like to move that forward.

RS: Mr. Brown, could we take Ms. Lesher's comment in response to Supervisor Heinz's inquiry and would we need to do a formal amendment, or is that something that she is saying that could just be clarified in the supporting materials to the item, or does this call for an amendment to the item?

SB: Chair Scott, I believe it could be amended here from the dais.

RS: Okay, and the Clerk is scribbling away so I know she got that. I would make that amendment based on Ms. Lesher's suggestion, if you wanted to second that, Supervisor?

MH: I will second, thank you.

SC: Mr. Chair?

RS: Supervisor Christy? Oh wait, first we would have to vote on the amendment. So, discussion on the amendment. All those in favor of the amendment indicate by saying "Aye." Aye.

JA: Aye.

MH: Aye.

AC: Aye.

RS: Any opposed?

SC: No.

RS: Okay, so it is amended 4-1 with Supervisor Christy opposed. Now we are back to the original item, as amended. Thank you, Ms. Lesher. Supervisor Christy?

SC: We have talked a lot about services here for a lot of different people. Are property taxes going to be paying for this?

RS: Administrator Leshar?

JL: Chair Scott, Supervisor Christy, these would be allocated out of the General Fund. Property tax, yes.

SC: So, property taxes will be paying for this?

JL: Yes, sir.

SC: Thank you.

RS: Any other questions or comments from Board members? Supervisor Allen?

JA: I want to echo Supervisor Cano and Supervisor Heinz and thank Supervisor Heinz for bringing this issue to the forefront at the last meeting. I thank the staff for moving very quickly in pulling together a process that attempts to make kind of a level playing field for nonprofits in the community, to be able to provide for the urgent need that folks are facing. I think what we are seeing now is a food crisis which will then be pushing people to make decisions around paying for food or paying for rent or a mortgage, which is then going to push us into the next layer of another eviction crisis and exacerbating our existing housing and affordable housing struggle that we are already struggling with on a day to day basis. We know on the horizon, next year, is a healthcare crisis with cuts to AHCCCS in our state and making it more difficult for eligibility and for us to jump through hoops. So, there is a series of the erosions of the safety net will be unraveled through phase by phase in our community. I appreciate that this first bucket is 10%. I hope that as we move forward that we create additional buckets as the various needs and the layers of the net become unwoven. My last point, is that we heard this at the last meeting, I think from the food banks, that what is needed right now, is money. Food drives are helpful, but ultimately that the food banks can purchase food at a far better rate than anyone else. So, this is a call out to others in the community, from other municipalities, from businesses, from donors across the community to contribute to our food banks across Southern Arizona with cash, to help meet the need that there is. Thank you.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor Allen. Supervisor Christy?

SC: Again, referring back to all of the services that property taxes will be paying for on this item, is there going to be any question as to the legal status of citizenship that the recipients are going to be receiving or not receiving? Does anyone receive these or are they going to be United States Citizens?

RS: Administrator Leshar?

- JL: Chair Scott, Supervisor Christy. The funds that we will be allocating go to the nonprofits and the organizations that are supporting the different programs. We are not the ones that are providing direct services to an end user.
- SC: So, Mr. Chair, the nongovernmental organizations (NGOs) may distribute these services paid out of property taxes, paid to the NGOs, to illegal aliens?
- RS: Supervisor Christy, people who are here as economic or political refugees are here in this country with legal status. They are not illegal aliens. It is unfortunate to characterize them as such. Moreover, this program is going to be done by application. And as it says in the supporting materials, the agencies that are supported, are agencies that already receive support from the County through the Community and Workforce Development Department. I join Supervisors Cano and Allen for thanking Supervisor Heinz for bringing the issue writ large to us two weeks ago, but I think what the County Administrator and her team have done has come up with an inclusive and holistic process that takes into account the need that exists throughout the community, need that we heard two weeks ago that we continue to hear, and again, these are already agencies that are receiving County support. And certainly, we comply with all federal and state laws and regulations when we provide support to agencies within the community.
- SC: Mr. Chair, that was a very long dissertation on the obvious that there is a potential that illegal aliens will receive taxpayer funded money from this program. That is all the questions I have. Thank you.
- AC: Chair Scott?
- RS: Supervisor Cano and then Supervisor Allen?
- AC: How quick we are to rush to judgment, and the last time I checked, this is a process that is very identical to the outside agency process that we approved in the budget adoption earlier this summer. The same framework of us going to the community asking for proposals, having those proposals reviewed by a citizen driven outside agency, citizen review committee, then coming to the Board of Supervisors for final adoption, that vote in this summer was 5-0. And so, for us to utilize this opportunity to say that it worked then but not now, I think is just an incredibly disingenuous process. I applaud the Administrator for ensuring that there was a process, that it was citizen driven and that it was made in alignment with everything we do as a County to ensure that we help our County taxpayers and our County residents with the services that they deserve, food, housing, and that is all I have to say about that. If it worked then, it was a 5-0 vote from this Board to adopt that budget with the same process.
- RS: Thank you, Supervisor. Supervisor Allen?
- JA: It is unfortunate that my colleague drops his offensive language and then leaves the dais because it is unacceptable language from this dais to be insulting members of

our community and being derogatory and undignified. We do not speak to people in our community using that language. It is unacceptable.

RS: Thank you, Supervisor Allen. Any other comments or discussion on this item? All those in favor of Addendum Item No. 5, as amended, indicate by saying "Aye." Aye.

JA: Aye.

MH: Aye.

AC: Aye.

RS: Any opposed?

SC: Opposed.

RS: Item passes 4-1 with Supervisor Christy opposed.



Official Canvass

Consolidated General Election
November 4, 2025

Pima County Elections
6550 S. Country Club Road
Tucson, AZ 85756



MEMORANDUM

Date: November 12, 2025

TO: The Honorable Chair and Members
Pima County Board of Supervisors

FROM: Constance L. Hargrove
Elections Director

RE: November 4, 2025 Consolidated Election

The documents before you represent the complete results of the November 4, 2025, Consolidated Election, which includes the City of Tucson, the Tucson Unified School District, the Flowing Wells School District, and the Sunnyside School District. There were 392,939 eligible voters from all jurisdictions, and 114,569 voters cast ballots in the election, resulting in a 29.16% turnout.

The Board of Supervisors is required by A.R.S. § 16-493 to canvass the results of any School's Bond and Override Elections. The Board of Supervisors has no statutory requirements to canvass the City of Tucson election. The Elections Department will transmit the canvass documents, along with the Board of Supervisors' certification, to the Superintendent of Schools upon approval. The City of Tucson has received the necessary documents to certify and canvass the City's election.

I certify that all voting equipment passed logic and accuracy testing (L&A) before any ballots were tabulated. The equipment went through a total of three tests. We conducted an in-house test. The Republican and Democratic parties participated in marking ballots and creating a test script to verify the results. The Elections Department conducted the final L&A test on October 1, 2025. Representatives from the City of Tucson and the School Superintendent's Office were present to observe the test. All voting equipment passed the test with 100% accuracy. The certifications for all tests are included in the canvass document.

The Consolidated Election was an all-mail election, and the Recorder's office mailed all eligible voters a ballot. The Recorder's office also opened ballot replacement sites on Election Day to accommodate any voters who did not receive a ballot or needed a replacement. The replacement sites were open from 6:00 a.m. until 7:00 p.m. The Elections Department did not open any vote centers on election day.

The Elections Department hosted observers from the Republican and Democratic parties throughout the election. Both parties were also present to observe the post L&A test on November 7, 2025.

The Elections Department received and processed 114,571 ballots from the Recorder's office and tabulated 114,569 ballots. The Department rejected two ballots received from a previous election.

Chair Scott and members of the Board, I certify that the canvass documents before you are an accurate and true representation of the Consolidated Election held on November 4, 2025. I recommend that you accept and certify the election results as presented.



CERTIFICATION OF OFFICIAL RETURNS

2025 Consolidated Election – Pima County, Arizona

Pursuant to the provisions of the Arizona Revised Statutes, Title 16, and in accordance with the Arizona Constitution, Article 7, as well as Arizona Revised Statutes Titles 9 and 16, I hereby certify that the attached tabulation constitutes a full, true, and correct copy of the official returns of the **2025 Consolidated Election**, conducted in and for Pima County, Arizona, on **November 4, 2025**.

The following jurisdictions participated in the election:

- City of Tucson
- Tucson Unified School District
- Flowing Wells School District
- Sunnyside School District

The tabulation concluded on **November 7, 2025**, at **5:42 PM**.

This tabulation includes all ballots cast in the election, encompassing all-mail and early in-person voting.

Signature of Certifying Official

Date

Constance L. Hargrove
Elections Director
Pima County Elections Department

Constance L. Hargrove, Director

Voter Registration Information



2025 Consolidated General Election

November 4, 2025

VOTER REGISTRATION TOTALS

ALL ELIGIBLE VOTERS

Registered Voter Totals by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	161,475	88,184	2,759	3,593	868	136,060	392,939
Inactive	10,852	5,335	316	120	66	13,393	30,082

Active Voters by Precinct/Voting District

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
009	1,071	805	20	23	9	888	2,816
011	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
016	1,481	610	21	13	4	854	2,983
017	1,083	258	13	21	15	677	2,067
018	1,357	278	17	22	5	832	2,511
019	203	225	5	11	1	280	725
020	1,642	522	26	41	9	1,350	3,590
021	1,242	1,014	11	22	6	1,057	3,352
022	1,915	797	17	42	5	1,769	4,545
023	1,770	700	20	22	5	1,278	3,795
025	1,489	357	17	24	5	1,054	2,946
026	1,526	396	13	26	6	1,111	3,078
028	903	226	12	13	5	616	1,775
031	711	641	13	17	6	761	2,149
032	1,499	1,257	26	43	11	1,670	4,506
033	1,127	547	15	37	5	952	2,683
034	552	383	8	16	4	614	1,577
035	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
036	1,661	951	35	50	16	1,915	4,628
037	717	199	10	18	3	533	1,480

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
038	916	495	17	20	4	854	2,306
039	1,074	1,385	28	28	3	1,202	3,720
041	3,252	1,940	51	94	7	3,196	8,540
042	1,989	511	32	31	19	1,515	4,097
043	795	242	5	15	3	614	1,674
044	1,174	234	14	25	9	767	2,223
045	1,228	197	11	16	14	617	2,083
047	2,019	522	25	33	7	1,553	4,159
048	1,223	582	15	45	9	1,347	3,221
049	502	650	18	24	2	678	1,874
050	1,299	324	14	22	6	1,065	2,730
051	1,057	256	9	19	1	845	2,187
052	1,208	313	8	25	4	962	2,520
053	2,810	919	25	72	6	2,703	6,535
054	1,258	576	23	30	5	1,255	3,147
055	203	85	5	12	4	242	551
056	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
057	1,781	611	34	46	11	1,469	3,952
058	512	172	7	7	5	368	1,071
059	1,045	359	9	27	4	783	2,227
061	502	337	4	5	4	364	1,216
062	893	355	18	17	14	888	2,185
063	869	199	10	7	4	376	1,465
064	1,051	315	16	24	5	958	2,369
066	1,515	428	18	27	6	1,404	3,398
067	1,310	528	34	46	11	1,153	3,082
068	813	214	8	12	4	459	1,510
069	1,818	1,257	32	40	12	1,280	4,439
072	1,812	713	36	51	8	1,587	4,207
073	1,582	321	19	17	10	764	2,713
075	1,550	429	23	35	18	1,097	3,152
078	1,064	220	7	10	4	491	1,796
080	1,452	385	21	11	12	878	2,759
082	1,365	280	24	14	7	606	2,296
086	2,032	689	28	31	17	1,383	4,180
087	294	135	3	9	1	239	681
089	1,465	580	24	26	4	1,022	3,121

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
090	496	647	13	20	1	618	1,795
091	1,405	618	22	41	18	1,160	3,264
092	1,366	929	32	16	3	879	3,225
093	1,143	378	22	24	11	718	2,296
094	1,136	357	9	22	6	709	2,239
095	369	579	13	16	2	528	1,507
096	1,365	427	26	15	7	660	2,500
097	1,066	341	9	14	2	663	2,095
098	1,452	618	22	39	18	1,322	3,471
099	1,142	528	11	10	3	645	2,339
100	1,257	591	20	19	12	929	2,828
101	626	542	10	10	3	569	1,760
102	375	175	4	9	1	377	941
103	647	218	12	6	3	366	1,252
105	796	488	17	31	6	866	2,204
106	511	569	11	1	1	367	1,460
107	1,504	712	20	35	10	1,183	3,464
108	1,885	927	43	42	15	1,365	4,277
110	1,281	158	11	18	5	901	2,374
111	1,015	487	20	10	8	671	2,211
112	119	74	2	2	1	68	266
113	1,297	793	23	38	7	1,315	3,473
114	222	399	20	20	0	505	1,166
116	2,141	1,800	45	84	12	2,207	6,289
117	1,105	624	31	29	12	836	2,637
118	1,193	777	13	25	2	899	2,909
119	887	607	19	34	10	798	2,355
120	1,227	827	30	24	12	1,107	3,227
121	314	321	5	3	0	278	921
122	765	650	20	26	3	861	2,325
123	1,663	1,214	26	60	12	1,806	4,781
124	568	532	11	23	4	592	1,730
125	999	717	12	10	1	640	2,379
126	519	631	10	7	3	522	1,692
128	854	780	24	29	3	713	2,403
129	862	651	19	32	5	809	2,378
130	665	687	12	22	3	668	2,057

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
131	748	819	11	17	3	686	2,284
132	500	476	10	17	2	478	1,483
133	1,375	1,167	35	40	8	1,462	4,087
143	609	84	5	9	9	338	1,054
144	1,283	468	20	17	2	773	2,563
150	198	221	9	12	0	320	760
151	515	313	7	6	2	287	1,130
152	444	157	5	4	1	372	983
153	1,765	823	34	28	4	1,232	3,886
154	879	408	20	27	6	1,040	2,380
155	789	364	10	21	3	905	2,092
156	330	380	7	5	1	331	1,054
157	1,562	510	23	42	5	1,269	3,411
159	2,106	628	24	49	14	1,862	4,683
160	980	241	12	18	3	697	1,951
164	1,839	588	26	17	9	1,016	3,495
166	2,674	717	47	56	29	2,056	5,579
167	945	217	18	15	8	522	1,725
170	916	485	14	16	1	723	2,155
171	918	755	11	18	2	776	2,480
174	1,691	1,343	18	52	5	1,556	4,665
176	473	736	13	16	3	644	1,885
177	1,341	1,242	36	41	6	1,442	4,108
178	855	1,020	41	18	5	887	2,826
180	1,138	1,120	31	38	12	1,097	3,436
181	1,096	1,362	25	23	2	1,083	3,591
182	1,268	1,285	34	25	7	1,294	3,913
183	485	604	11	9	2	498	1,609
186	116	126	2	2	0	96	342
189	738	363	9	16	3	581	1,710
190	804	304	12	24	3	723	1,870
192	1,133	1,094	25	21	10	979	3,262
197	859	959	26	37	0	964	2,845
199	24	57	0	0	0	34	115
203	717	435	10	20	2	675	1,859
210	97	68	1	1	0	89	256
214	1,159	787	13	19	3	887	2,868

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
217	597	586	18	32	2	883	2,118
218	1,917	1,899	54	44	4	1,993	5,911
219	882	970	31	17	7	920	2,827
220	1,025	1,181	22	16	2	956	3,202
224	1,338	1,828	47	39	4	1,904	5,160
225	445	476	21	18	6	489	1,455
Total	161,475	88,184	2,759	3,593	868	136,060	392,939



CITY OF TUCSON

Registered Voters by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	127,882	66,291	2,206	2,925	744	106,962	307,010
Inactive	9,321	4,337	276	105	60	11,513	25,612

CITY OF TUCSON

Registered Voter Totals by Precinct

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
009	1,071	805	20	23	9	888	2,816
011	0	2	0	0	0	0	2
016	1,186	430	17	11	3	667	2,314
017	1,083	258	13	21	15	677	2,067
018	1,357	278	17	22	5	832	2,511
019	97	154	3	4	1	163	422
020	1,642	522	26	41	9	1,350	3,590
022	1,482	592	14	32	3	1,436	3,559
025	1,489	357	17	24	5	1,054	2,946
026	1,526	396	13	26	6	1,111	3,078
028	903	226	12	13	5	616	1,775
032	236	163	3	7	3	261	673
033	1,057	484	14	35	5	873	2,468
034	552	383	8	16	4	614	1,577
036	1,661	951	35	50	16	1,915	4,628
037	717	199	10	18	3	533	1,480
038	916	495	17	20	4	854	2,306
039	996	1,274	24	27	3	1,119	3,443
042	1,989	511	32	31	19	1,515	4,097
043	795	242	5	15	3	614	1,674
044	1,174	234	14	25	9	767	2,223
045	1,228	197	11	16	14	617	2,083
047	850	209	5	14	3	637	1,718
048	581	279	7	22	3	582	1,474
049	502	650	18	24	2	678	1,874

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
050	1,299	324	14	22	6	1,065	2,730
051	1,057	256	9	19	1	845	2,187
052	1,208	313	8	25	4	962	2,520
053	2,810	919	25	72	6	2,703	6,535
054	440	263	12	12	3	525	1,255
055	203	85	5	12	4	242	551
056	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
057	1,781	611	34	46	11	1,469	3,952
058	512	172	7	7	5	368	1,071
059	1,045	359	9	27	4	783	2,227
062	893	355	18	17	14	888	2,185
063	869	199	10	7	4	376	1,465
064	1,051	315	16	24	5	958	2,369
066	1,448	362	18	25	3	1,272	3,128
067	1,265	513	33	44	10	1,118	2,983
068	813	214	8	12	4	459	1,510
069	1,818	1,257	32	40	12	1,280	4,439
072	1,812	713	36	51	8	1,587	4,207
073	1,582	321	19	17	10	764	2,713
075	1,550	429	23	35	18	1,097	3,152
078	1,064	220	7	10	4	491	1,796
080	1,452	385	21	11	12	878	2,759
82	1,365	280	24	14	7	606	2,296
86	2,031	687	28	31	17	1,381	4,175
87	294	135	3	9	1	239	681
89	1,465	580	24	26	4	1,022	3,121
90	496	647	13	20	1	618	1,795
91	1,405	618	22	41	18	1,160	3,264
93	1,143	378	22	24	11	718	2,296
94	1,136	357	9	22	6	709	2,239
95	369	579	13	16	2	528	1,507
96	1,365	427	26	15	7	660	2,500
97	1,066	341	9	14	2	663	2,095
98	1,452	618	22	39	18	1,322	3,471
99	1,142	528	11	10	3	645	2,339
100	1,257	591	20	19	12	929	2,828
103	647	218	12	6	3	366	1,252

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
105	796	488	17	31	6	866	2,204
106	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
107	1,504	712	20	35	10	1,183	3,464
108	1,885	927	43	42	15	1,365	4,277
111	1,015	487	20	10	8	671	2,211
113	1,297	793	23	38	7	1,315	3,473
114	222	399	20	20	0	505	1,166
116	2,141	1,800	45	84	12	2,207	6,289
117	1,105	624	31	29	12	836	2,637
118	1,193	777	13	25	2	899	2,909
119	887	607	19	34	10	798	2,355
120	1,227	827	30	24	12	1,107	3,227
121	314	321	5	3	0	278	921
122	765	650	20	26	3	861	2,325
123	1,663	1,214	26	60	12	1,806	4,781
124	568	532	11	23	4	592	1,730
126	452	574	8	7	3	476	1,520
128	854	780	24	29	3	713	2,403
129	862	651	19	32	5	809	2,378
130	665	687	12	22	3	668	2,057
131	741	802	11	17	3	676	2,250
132	500	476	10	17	2	478	1,483
133	1,375	1,167	35	40	8	1,462	4,087
143	609	84	5	9	9	338	1,054
144	1,252	444	18	16	2	745	2,477
153	1,431	635	24	24	3	1,025	3,142
154	879	408	20	27	6	1,040	2,380
155	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
156	330	380	7	5	1	331	1,054
157	1,562	510	23	42	5	1,269	3,411
159	2,106	628	24	49	14	1,862	4,683
160	980	241	12	18	3	697	1,951
164	1,839	588	26	17	9	1,016	3,495
166	2,674	717	47	56	29	2,056	5,579
167	945	217	18	15	8	522	1,725
170	253	103	7	8	0	220	591
174	1,691	1,343	18	52	5	1,556	4,665

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
176	473	736	13	16	3	644	1,885
177	1,341	1,242	36	41	6	1,442	4,108
178	286	240	19	9	2	356	912
180	1,138	1,120	31	38	12	1,097	3,436
181	1,096	1,362	25	23	2	1,083	3,591
182	1,268	1,285	34	25	7	1,294	3,913
183	485	604	11	9	2	498	1,609
186	15	17	0	1	0	17	50
189	738	363	9	16	3	581	1,710
190	718	254	12	23	3	652	1,662
192	1,133	1,094	25	21	10	979	3,262
197	859	959	26	37	0	964	2,845
199	24	57	0	0	0	34	115
210	97	68	1	1	0	89	256
214	65	45	1	2	0	75	188
217	597	586	18	32	2	883	2,118
218	1,917	1,899	54	44	4	1,993	5,911
224	1,338	1,828	47	39	4	1,904	5,160
230	113	31	1	2	0	80	227
231	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
232	1,998	1,277	37	53	5	2,235	5,605
243	1	10	0	0	0	8	19
244	466	109	6	4	0	356	941
245	1,041	199	14	13	7	577	1,851
246	1,195	197	13	21	14	716	2,156
247	251	54	2	5	0	172	484
248	512	100	7	10	0	317	946
254	321	145	7	8	2	273	756
260	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
262	858	872	33	23	4	889	2,679
264	306	202	6	15	3	310	842
265	95	62	2	1	0	58	218
269	84	77	3	3	0	71	238
275	776	744	25	26	3	998	2,572
	127,882	66,291	2,206	2,925	744	106,962	307,010



TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	123,770	65,860	2,052	2,603	675	98,176	293,136
Inactive	8,351	4,028	243	90	48	9,946	22,706

TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Precinct

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
009	1,071	805	20	23	9	888	2,816
016	1,481	610	21	13	4	854	2,983
017	1,083	258	13	21	15	677	2,067
018	1,357	278	17	22	5	832	2,511
020	1,642	522	26	41	9	1,350	3,590
021	1,242	1,014	11	22	6	1,057	3,352
022	1,915	797	17	42	5	1,769	4,545
023	1,770	700	20	22	5	1,278	3,795
025	1,489	357	17	24	5	1,054	2,946
033	1,127	547	15	37	5	952	2,683
037	717	199	10	18	3	533	1,480
039	1,052	1,355	28	28	3	1,181	3,647
041	3,252	1,940	51	94	7	3,196	8,540
042	1,989	511	32	31	19	1,515	4,097
043	795	242	5	15	3	614	1,674
044	1,174	234	14	25	9	767	2,223
045	1,228	197	11	16	14	617	2,083
047	2,019	522	25	33	7	1,553	4,159
050	1,299	324	14	22	6	1,065	2,730
054	0	1	0	0	0	3	4
059	1,045	359	9	27	4	783	2,227
061	502	337	4	5	4	364	1,216

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
062	893	355	18	17	14	888	2,185
063	869	199	10	7	4	376	1,465
064	1,051	315	16	24	5	958	2,369
066	1,515	428	18	27	6	1,404	3,398
067	1,310	528	34	46	11	1,153	3,082
068	813	214	8	12	4	459	1,510
069	1,818	1,257	32	40	12	1,280	4,439
072	1,812	713	36	51	8	1,587	4,207
073	1,582	321	19	17	10	764	2,713
075	1,550	429	23	35	18	1,097	3,152
078	1,064	220	7	10	4	491	1,796
080	1,452	385	21	11	12	878	2,759
082	1,365	280	24	14	7	606	2,296
086	2,032	689	28	31	17	1,383	4,180
089	1,465	580	24	26	4	1,022	3,121
091	1,405	618	22	41	18	1,160	3,264
092	1,366	929	32	16	3	879	3,225
093	1,143	378	22	24	11	718	2,296
094	1,136	357	9	22	6	709	2,239
096	1,365	427	26	15	7	660	2,500
097	1,066	341	9	14	2	663	2,095
098	1,452	618	22	39	18	1,322	3,471
099	1,142	528	11	10	3	645	2,339
100	1,257	591	20	19	12	929	2,828
101	626	542	10	10	3	569	1,760
102	375	175	4	9	1	377	941
103	647	218	12	6	3	366	1,252
105	796	488	17	31	6	866	2,204
106	511	569	11	1	1	367	1,460
107	1,504	712	20	35	10	1,183	3,464
108	1,885	927	43	42	15	1,365	4,277
110	1,281	158	11	18	5	901	2,374
111	1,015	487	20	10	8	671	2,211
112	119	74	2	2	1	68	266
113	1,297	793	23	38	7	1,315	3,473
114	222	399	20	20	0	504	1,165
116	2,141	1,800	45	84	12	2,207	6,289

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
117	1,105	624	31	29	12	836	2,637
118	1,193	777	13	25	2	899	2,909
119	887	607	19	34	10	798	2,355
120	1,227	827	30	24	12	1,107	3,227
121	314	321	5	3	0	278	921
122	765	650	20	26	3	861	2,325
123	1,663	1,214	26	60	12	1,806	4,781
124	568	532	11	23	4	592	1,730
125	999	717	12	10	1	640	2,379
126	519	631	10	7	3	522	1,692
128	854	780	24	29	3	713	2,403
129	862	651	19	32	5	809	2,378
130	665	687	12	22	3	668	2,057
131	748	819	11	17	3	686	2,284
132	500	476	10	17	2	478	1,483
133	1,375	1,167	35	40	8	1,462	4,087
143	609	84	5	9	9	338	1,054
144	1,283	468	20	17	2	773	2,563
151	515	313	7	6	2	287	1,130
152	444	157	5	4	1	372	983
153	1,753	797	34	27	4	1,212	3,827
156	330	380	7	5	1	331	1,054
157	1,562	510	23	42	5	1,269	3,411
164	1,839	588	26	17	9	1,016	3,495
166	296	42	3	4	3	136	484
167	945	217	18	15	8	522	1,725
170	916	485	14	16	1	723	2,155
171	918	755	11	18	2	776	2,480
174	1,691	1,343	18	52	5	1,556	4,665
177	1,341	1,242	36	41	6	1,442	4,108
178	855	1,020	41	18	5	887	2,826
180	1,138	1,120	31	38	12	1,097	3,436
181	1,096	1,362	25	23	2	1,083	3,591
182	1,268	1,285	34	25	7	1,294	3,913
183	485	604	11	9	2	498	1,609
186	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
189	738	363	9	16	3	581	1,710

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
190	804	304	12	24	3	723	1,870
192	1,133	1,094	25	21	10	979	3,262
197	859	959	26	37	0	964	2,845
203	717	435	10	20	2	675	1,859
214	1,159	787	13	19	3	887	2,868
220	1,025	1,181	22	16	2	956	3,202
228	331	309	6	4	2	211	863
233	977	719	16	16	1	857	2,586
234	977	604	11	19	4	739	2,354
235	2,232	974	37	65	9	1,789	5,106
237	103	67	0	3	0	72	245
238	14	9	0	1	0	10	34
239	2	0	0	0	0	2	4
241	633	632	16	8	3	567	1,859
244	466	109	6	4	0	356	941
245	1,041	199	14	13	7	577	1,851
246	1,195	197	13	21	14	716	2,156
247	251	54	2	5	0	172	484
262	858	872	33	23	4	889	2,679
264	306	202	6	15	3	310	842
265	95	62	2	1	0	58	218
267	20	6	0	1	0	16	43
272	715	319	7	12	1	611	1,665
TOTAL	123,770	65,860	2,052	2,603	675	98,176	293,136



FLOWING WELLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	5,278	4,793	142	148	43	5,902	16,306
Inactive	360	285	9	7	2	575	1,238

FLOWING WELLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Precinct

PRECINCT	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
014	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
031	711	641	13	17	6	761	2,149
032	1,322	1,145	23	39	10	1,478	4,017
034	386	279	5	8	4	471	1,153
035	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
036	793	546	23	22	10	883	2,277
150	198	221	9	12	0	320	760
153	12	26	0	1	0	20	59
186	116	126	2	2	0	96	342
219	882	970	31	17	7	920	2,827
225	445	476	21	18	6	489	1,455
269	84	77	3	3	0	71	238
271	279	235	11	9	0	361	895
274	50	51	1	0	0	32	134
TOTAL	5,278	4,793	142	148	43	5,902	16,306



SUNNYSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Party

	DEM	REP	LBT	NOL	GRN	OTH	TOTAL
Active	17,207	6,317	207	401	63	15,732	39,927
Inactive	831	293	25	7	4	1,088	2,248

SUNNYSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT

Registered Voter Totals by Precinct

PRECINCT	DEM	GRN	LBT	NOL	OTH	REP	TOTAL
019	203	1	5	11	280	225	725
026	1,526	6	13	26	1,111	396	3,078
028	903	5	12	13	616	226	1,775
048	1,223	9	15	45	1,347	582	3,221
051	1,057	1	9	19	845	256	2,187
052	1,208	4	8	25	962	313	2,520
053	2,810	6	25	72	2,703	919	6,535
054	1,258	5	23	30	1,252	575	3,143
114	0	0	0	0	1	0	1
155	789	3	10	21	905	364	2,092
159	2,106	14	24	49	1,862	628	4,683
160	980	3	12	18	697	241	1,951
231	53	0	2	1	79	72	207
232	1,998	5	37	53	2,235	1,277	5,605
236	581	1	5	8	520	143	1,258
248	512	0	7	10	317	100	946
TOTAL	17,207	63	207	401	15,732	6,317	39,927

Ballots Processed Information



2025 CONSOLIDATED ELECTIONS

November 4, 2025

Canvass Information

Our office contributes Voter Registration and Early Voting data to the official election record called the Canvass Report. The Election Department is in charge of adding our data to their tabulation and audit data, and submitting it to the Pima County Board of Supervisors for approval and then on to the AZ Secretary of State. Once final, the Canvass Report is available to the public on the Elections Department Website.

All by Mail Ballots Processed

Total Original Ballots Sent	380,102
Total Early Ballots Returned	125,582
Total Ballots Returned Unvoted *	(10,794)
Total Curable Ballots Rejected **	(211)
Ballots Redlined before Tabulation ***	7
Unresolved Redlines	(6)
Turned over for tabulation	114,571

*** Ballots Returned Unvoted:** Ballots returned as Undeliverable or with notation that the voter is deceased. These ballots are retained by the Recorder's Office for Voter Registration Roll maintenance purposes.

**** Curable Ballots Rejected:** Only represents ballots that were eligible to be signature verified but could not confirm voter's signature.

***** Redline:** The Early Election Board rejected the Ballot Affidavit for containing something other than a 2025 CONSOLIDATED ELECTIONS ballot and were removed from the turnover receipt. Voters were informed by the Recorder's Office.



2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025
BALLOT CURING OUTCOME TOTALS

Ballot Curing Outcome Summary

Reason	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	12	1				13
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	4	4			3	11
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	8	4			3	15
NO SIGNATURE	85	53			39	177
NOT ELIGIBLE		11				11
SIGNED BY POA	1	1				2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	387	134	1		8	530
UNDELIVERABLE	254			10,811	313	11,378
VOTED TWICE	6					6
VOTER DECEASED				2		2
VOTER MOVED	3			2		5
WRONG ELECTION	11	2				13
Total	771	210	1	10,815	366	12,163

Ballot Curing Outcome By Precinct

PRECINCT: 9		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		5	1	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		4	0	0	81	3	88
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		9	1	0	81	4	95

PRECINCT: 16		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		4	2	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		2	0	0	68	1	71
VOTER DECEASED		0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		6	2	0	69	1	78

PRECINCT: 17		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	0	83	6	90
WRONG ELECTION		1	1	0	0	0	2
<i>Precinct Total:</i>		5	3	0	83	6	97

PRECINCT: 18						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	152	3	158
Precinct Total:	7	1	0	152	3	163

PRECINCT: 19						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	16	0	16
WRONG ELECTION	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	16	0	17

PRECINCT: 20						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	2	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	135	4	139
Precinct Total:	3	3	0	135	4	145

PRECINCT: 21		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT		0	0	0	0	1	1
NO SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		4	1	0	0	1	6
UNDELIVERABLE		6	0	0	111	9	126
Precinct Total:		11	1	0	111	11	134

PRECINCT: 22		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		5	1	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	0	172	3	178
WRONG ELECTION		0	1	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:		9	2	0	172	3	186

PRECINCT: 23		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	0	71	4	76
Precinct Total:		5	2	0	71	4	82

PRECINCT: 25						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	87	0	88
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	87	0	90

PRECINCT: 26						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	3	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	88	2	90
Precinct Total:	4	3	0	88	4	99

PRECINCT: 28						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	29	3	33
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	29	3	33

PRECINCT: 31						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	74	0	74
Precinct Total:	1	1	0	74	1	77

PRECINCT: 32						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	132	5	139
WRONG ELECTION	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	5	2	0	132	6	145

PRECINCT: 33						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	1	5
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	130	3	136
Precinct Total:	8	2	0	130	4	144

PRECINCT: 34						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	99	3	103
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	99	4	106

PRECINCT: 36						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	3	0	0	0	9
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	349	8	359
Precinct Total:	10	3	0	349	9	371

PRECINCT: 37						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	65	1	68
Precinct Total:	4	1	0	65	1	71

PRECINCT: 38						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	0	137	3	144
Precinct Total:	4	0	0	137	3	144

PRECINCT: 39						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	11	0	0	120	4	135
Precinct Total:	16	2	0	120	4	142

PRECINCT: 41						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	9	2	0	0	0	11
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	152	5	159
Precinct Total:	11	3	0	152	5	171

PRECINCT: 42						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	3	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	0	381	8	393
Precinct Total:	8	3	0	381	9	401

PRECINCT: 43						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	111	3	114
Precinct Total:	0	1	0	111	3	115

PRECINCT: 44						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	0	220	9	233
Precinct Total:	8	1	0	220	9	238

PRECINCT: 45						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	8	0	0	156	4	168
VOTER MOVED	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	9	3	0	157	4	173

PRECINCT: 47						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	2	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	134	2	137
Precinct Total:	8	2	0	134	4	148

PRECINCT: 48						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	126	3	130
WRONG ELECTION	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	6	1	0	126	3	136

PRECINCT: 49						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	10	0	0	124	2	136
VOTED TWICE	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	15	1	0	124	2	142

PRECINCT: 50						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	126	2	128
Precinct Total:	1	1	0	126	3	131

PRECINCT: 51						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	53	1	55
Precinct Total:	2	2	0	53	1	58

PRECINCT: 52						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	94	3	97
WRONG ELECTION	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	3	1	0	94	3	101

PRECINCT: 53						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	3	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	311	7	321
Precinct Total:	8	5	0	311	7	331

PRECINCT: 54						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	2	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	144	3	149
Precinct Total:	3	2	0	144	5	154

PRECINCT: 55						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	100	3	105
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	100	3	106

PRECINCT: 57						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	2	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	9	0	0	425	13	447
Precinct Total:	10	2	0	425	14	451

PRECINCT: 58						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	83	3	86
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	83	3	87

PRECINCT: 59						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	57	0	57
Precinct Total:	4	1	0	57	0	62

PRECINCT: 61						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	57	1	59
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	57	3	63

PRECINCT: 62						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	422	8	433
Precinct Total:	5	2	0	422	8	437

PRECINCT: 63						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	0	48	5	58
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	9	1	0	48	5	63

PRECINCT: 64						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	91	3	94
WRONG ELECTION	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	91	3	97

PRECINCT: 66						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	155	1	157
WRONG ELECTION	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	8	2	0	155	1	166

PRECINCT: 67						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	3	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	4	0	0	315	15	334
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	9	3	0	315	16	343

PRECINCT: 68						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	67	3	73
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	0	0	67	3	75

PRECINCT: 69						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	0	5
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	2	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	24	0	0	368	9	401
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	30	4	0	368	9	411

PRECINCT: 72		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		0	0	0	0	1	1
NO SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		9	0	0	346	5	360
Precinct Total:		13	1	0	346	7	367

PRECINCT: 73		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		5	0	0	78	3	86
Precinct Total:		7	0	0	78	3	88

PRECINCT: 75		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		7	0	0	268	8	283
Precinct Total:		11	1	0	268	8	288

PRECINCT: 78		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		0	1	0	0	2	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	0	60	1	64
Precinct Total:		5	1	0	60	3	69

PRECINCT: 80		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED		1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		2	0	0	180	6	188
Precinct Total:		8	0	0	180	6	194

PRECINCT: 82		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		2	1	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		6	1	0	0	1	8
UNDELIVERABLE		5	0	0	65	3	73
Precinct Total:		13	2	0	65	4	84

PRECINCT: 86	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	96	4	103
VOTER MOVED	1	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	7	4	0	96	4	111

PRECINCT: 87	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	5	0	0	147	4	156
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	2	0	147	4	158

PRECINCT: 89	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	91	3	94
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	2	0	91	4	100

PRECINCT: 90		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE		0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	0	80	1	82
Precinct Total:		3	3	0	80	1	87

PRECINCT: 91		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	0	189	4	196
Precinct Total:		6	1	0	189	4	200

PRECINCT: 92		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		1	1	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		5	0	0	57	0	62
Precinct Total:		7	1	0	57	1	66

PRECINCT: 93		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT		1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		7	0	0	159	6	172
Precinct Total:		11	0	0	159	7	177

PRECINCT: 94		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		1	2	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	0	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE		8	0	0	124	3	135
Precinct Total:		12	2	0	124	3	141

PRECINCT: 95		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		0	0	0	0	2	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		3	0	0	76	2	81
Precinct Total:		5	0	0	76	4	85

PRECINCT: 96						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	0	1	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	42	1	46
Precinct Total:	6	0	0	42	3	51

PRECINCT: 97						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	87	0	90
Precinct Total:	7	0	0	87	0	94

PRECINCT: 98						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	3	0	0	171	4	178
Precinct Total:	4	1	0	171	5	181

PRECINCT: 99						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	2	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	57	1	58
Precinct Total:	1	2	0	57	1	61

PRECINCT: 100						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	180	1	183
Precinct Total:	6	2	0	180	2	190

PRECINCT: 101						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	28	0	30
Precinct Total:	6	1	0	28	0	35

PRECINCT: 102						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	15	0	15
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	15	2	17

PRECINCT: 103						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	18	1	20
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	0	0	18	1	23

PRECINCT: 105						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	112	1	115
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	1	0	112	1	120

PRECINCT: 106						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	15	0	15
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	1	0	15	0	19

PRECINCT: 107						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	256	4	261
Precinct Total:	6	0	0	256	4	266

PRECINCT: 108						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	1	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	174	6	181
Precinct Total:	9	1	0	174	7	191

PRECINCT: 110						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	49	0	49
Precinct Total:	1	1	0	49	0	51

PRECINCT: 111						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	34	0	35
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	34	0	37

PRECINCT: 112		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:		1	0	0	3	0	4

PRECINCT: 113		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED		1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE		1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		6	0	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	94	1	95
Precinct Total:		8	1	0	94	1	104

PRECINCT: 114		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	152	0	152
Precinct Total:		0	0	0	152	0	152

PRECINCT: 116		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		2	0	0	0	0	2
NO SIGNATURE		4	0	0	0	0	4
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	2	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE		12	0	0	412	19	443
Precinct Total:		20	2	0	412	19	453

PRECINCT: 117		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		0	0	0	0	3	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		4	2	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE		1	0	0	6	0	7
Precinct Total:		5	2	0	6	3	16

PRECINCT: 118		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		3	0	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		3	3	0	0	0	6
VOTED TWICE		1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:		7	3	0	0	0	10

PRECINCT: 119						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	6	0	6
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	0	0	6	0	10

PRECINCT: 120						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	2	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	6	1	9
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	6	3	0	6	1	16

PRECINCT: 121						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	3	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	0	3	0	1	0	4

PRECINCT: 122						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
VOTER DECEASED	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	1	3	0	4	1	9

PRECINCT: 123						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	7	5	0	0	0	12
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	9	5	14
Precinct Total:	10	5	0	9	5	29

PRECINCT: 124						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	2	0	0	3	0	5

PRECINCT: 125						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	10	0	10
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	10	0	13

PRECINCT: 126						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	3	0	6

PRECINCT: 128						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	3	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	4	2	7
Precinct Total:	3	4	0	4	2	13

PRECINCT: 129						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	5	3	9
Precinct Total:	5	1	0	5	4	15

PRECINCT: 130						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	4	0	4
Precinct Total:	3	1	0	4	0	8

PRECINCT: 131						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	2	0	3
Precinct Total:	4	1	0	2	0	7

PRECINCT: 132						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	1	6
Precinct Total:	2	3	0	5	1	11

PRECINCT: 133						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	1	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	14	0	14
Precinct Total:	6	2	0	14	0	22

PRECINCT: 143						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	0	1	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	1	0	1	3	0	5

PRECINCT: 144						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	8	0	8
VOTER MOVED	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	9	0	12

PRECINCT: 150						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	4	0	4
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	4	0	4

PRECINCT: 152						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	0	0	1

PRECINCT: 153						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	2	2	0	0	1	5
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	3	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	8	0	9
Precinct Total:	4	6	0	8	1	19

PRECINCT: 154		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP		1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	12	0	12
Precinct Total:		3	0	0	12	0	15

PRECINCT: 155		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:		2	1	0	2	0	5

PRECINCT: 156		BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE		2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE		0	0	0	1	0	1
WRONG ELECTION		1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:		5	0	0	1	1	7

PRECINCT: 157						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
Precinct Total:	5	1	0	5	0	11

PRECINCT: 159						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	1	3
Precinct Total:	0	1	0	2	1	4

PRECINCT: 160						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	1	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	3	2	0	1	0	6

PRECINCT: 164						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	6	1	9
Precinct Total:	3	1	0	6	1	11

PRECINCT: 166						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	0	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	27	0	29
Precinct Total:	10	1	0	27	0	38

PRECINCT: 167						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	6	0	7
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	4	0	0	6	0	10

PRECINCT: 170						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	2	4
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	2	2	7

PRECINCT: 171						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	2	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	10	2	12
Precinct Total:	3	3	0	10	2	18

PRECINCT: 174						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
SIGNED BY POA	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	2	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	13	1	15
Precinct Total:	8	4	0	13	1	26

PRECINCT: 176						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	5	0	6

PRECINCT: 177						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	6	1	7
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	6	1	10

PRECINCT: 178						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	8	0	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	8	1	10
Precinct Total:	10	0	0	8	2	20

PRECINCT: 180						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	5	2	0	0	0	7
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	1	4
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	5	3	0	3	1	12

PRECINCT: 181						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	8	0	8
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	8	3	0	8	0	19

PRECINCT: 182						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	2	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	6	1	7
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	2	0	6	1	13

PRECINCT: 183						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	4	2	0	2	1	9

PRECINCT: 186						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	0	1	0	0	0	1

PRECINCT: 189						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	2	7
Precinct Total:	1	1	0	5	2	9

PRECINCT: 190						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
Precinct Total:	7	1	0	5	0	13

PRECINCT: 192						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	2	0	0	1	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	1	4
Precinct Total:	2	2	0	3	2	9

PRECINCT: 197						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
Precinct Total:	2	1	0	5	0	8

PRECINCT: 203						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	2	0	0	1	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	2	2	0	2	1	7

PRECINCT: 210						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	0	1	1
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	0	1	1

PRECINCT: 214						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	1	0	5	0	10

PRECINCT: 217						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	0	0	3	0	7

PRECINCT: 218						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
HOUSEHOLD MIX-UP	1	0	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	5	0	0	0	0	5
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	14	2	0	0	0	16
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	20	3	24
VOTED TWICE	1	0	0	0	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	22	2	0	20	3	47

PRECINCT: 219						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	1	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	5	0	5
Precinct Total:	2	2	0	5	1	10

PRECINCT: 220						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	1	6
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	0	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	10	1	0	3	1	15

PRECINCT: 224						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	1	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	17	1	18
Precinct Total:	4	2	0	17	2	25

PRECINCT: 225						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	1	1	0	0	0	2

PRECINCT: 228						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	2	0	0	2	0	4

PRECINCT: 230						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	2	0	0	0	0	2

PRECINCT: 232						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	4	0	0	1	11
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	18	2	20
WRONG ELECTION	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	8	4	0	18	3	33

PRECINCT: 233						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
NOT ELIGIBLE	0	3	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	4	0	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	3	0	3
Precinct Total:	5	3	0	3	0	11

PRECINCT: 234						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
VOTER MOVED	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	5	2	0	1	0	8

PRECINCT: 235						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	6	2	0	0	0	8
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	13	2	15
Precinct Total:	6	2	0	13	2	23

PRECINCT: 236						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
EMPTY AFFIDAVIT	0	0	0	0	1	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	0	1	1
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	0	2	2

PRECINCT: 237						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
Precinct Total:	2	0	0	0	0	2

PRECINCT: 241						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	0	1	0	0	0	1
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	1	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	1	0	3
Precinct Total:	5	3	0	1	0	9

PRECINCT: 244						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
SIGNED BY POA	1	0	0	0	0	1
Precinct Total:	1	0	0	0	0	1

PRECINCT: 245						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	0	3
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	5	0	0	1	0	6

PRECINCT: 246						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
DAMAGED	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	6	0	7
Precinct Total:	4	0	0	6	0	10

PRECINCT: 247						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	1	0	1

PRECINCT: 248						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	2	0	0	0	5
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	3	2	0	1	0	6

PRECINCT: 254						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	0	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	0	0	0	0	2
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	0	2
Precinct Total:	3	0	0	2	0	5

PRECINCT: 262						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	3	0	0	0	6
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	9	2	12
Precinct Total:	4	3	0	9	2	18

PRECINCT: 264						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	2	0	0	4	2	8
Precinct Total:	2	0	0	4	2	8

PRECINCT: 269						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
Precinct Total:	0	0	0	1	0	1

PRECINCT: 271						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	2	0	2
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	0	1	0	2	0	3

PRECINCT: 272						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	1	1	0	0	0	2
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	2	2	0	0	0	4
UNDELIVERABLE	0	0	0	1	0	1
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	3	3	0	1	0	7

PRECINCT: 275						
	BALLOT ACCEPTED	BALLOT REJECTED	BALLOT UNRESOLVED	BALLOT UNVOTED	REPLACEMENT BALLOT ISSUED	Total
NO SIGNATURE	0	1	0	0	0	1
UNABLE TO VERIFY SIGNATURE	3	0	0	0	0	3
UNDELIVERABLE	1	0	0	9	0	10
<i>Precinct Total:</i>	4	1	0	9	0	14

CURING TOTALS:	771	210	1	10,815	366	12,163
-----------------------	------------	------------	----------	---------------	------------	---------------



**All Mail Ballots Processed Report
Consolidated Election 2025
November 4, 2025**

Ballots Processed	Totals
Ballots Processed	114,571
Ballots Counted	114,569
Rejected	2
Provisional Ballots Processed	Totals
Ballots Received	0
Verified	0
Verified Ballots Counted	0
Ballots Unverified and Uncounted	0
Rejected	0
Verified with no ballot in Provisional Envelope	0
Conditional Provisional Ballots Processed	Totals
Ballots Received	0
Ballots Verified and Counted	0
Ballots Unverified and Uncounted	0
Rejected	0
Verified with no ballot in Provisional Envelope	0
Total Ballots Counted	114,569



Adjudication & Duplication Report
Consolidated Election 2025
November 4, 2025

Duplication Information	Totals
Total Duplicated Ballots	624
Reasons for Duplication	
Military/Faxed	28
Timing Mark	333
Torn/Damaged	244
Large Print	15
Braille	1
Other	3
Adjudication Information	Totals
Total Adjudicated	515
Approved with No Changes	188
Approved with Changes	327
Reasons for Adjudication	
Blank Ballot	83
Blank Ballot, Unclear Mark	12
Overvote	188
Unclear Mark	45
Unclear Mark, Overvote	2
Unclear Mark, Undervote	99
Unclear Mark, Undervote, Overvote	1
Undervote, Overvote	85

Certification Information



September 26, 2025

The Honorable Adrian Fontes
Secretary of State
State Capitol – West Wing
1700 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007

Dear Secretary Fontes,

Pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-445, the Pima County Department of Elections is filing a backup of the EMS Software and Database that is going to be used on the 2025 Consolidated General Election.

If you have any questions, feel free to contact me at (520) 724-6830.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Constance L. Hargrove". The signature is fluid and stylized, with a large loop at the beginning and a long horizontal stroke extending to the right.

Constance L. Hargrove, Director
Pima County Department of Elections

ADDITIONAL REPORTING FORMS

Equipment, Software, Firmware, and Hash Code Certification Statement

I, Constance L. Hargrove certify by affixing my signature to this document that all election equipment and firmware, as well as all election management system software to be used in the 2025 Consolidated General Election to be held on November 4, 2025 IN Pima County has been certified for use by the Arizona Secretary of State and that I have compared the hash code on file with the National Institute of Science and Technology (NIST) or the Arizona Secretary of State's Office to the hash code of the election management system software to be used in this election and certify that the numbers are identical. The following is a list of the equipment, firmware, and election management system software to be used in the aforementioned election:

VOTING UNITS

Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
<u>Election Systems & Software</u>	<u>ExpressVote Version 1.0</u>	<u>4.2.1.0</u>
<u>Election Systems & Software</u>	<u>ExpressVote Version 2.0</u>	<u>4.2.1.0</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

CENTRAL COUNT UNITS

Manufacturer	Model	Firmware Version
<u>Election Systems & Software</u>	<u>DS 850 Version 1.0</u>	<u>4.2.0.0</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>

ELECTION MANAGEMENT SOFTWARE

Manufacturer	Product Name	Version
<u>Election Systems & Software</u>	<u>ElectionWare (EMS System)</u>	<u>6.3.0.0</u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>
<u> </u>	<u> </u>	<u> </u>



Officer in Charge of Elections Signature

10/1/25

Date



Certification of Completion

In-House Logic & Accuracy Test

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a test was held for the

November 4, 2025 Consolidated Election
on the

30 day of September, 2025 at 1:40 p.m.
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EMS System's summary reports
match printouts and the predetermined results.

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "David E. Wady", written over a horizontal line.

Member's Signature

A handwritten signature in dark ink, appearing to read "Rebecca", written over a horizontal line.

Member's Signature

Member's Signature

Member's Signature



Certification of Completion

Political Party Logic & Accuracy Test

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a test was held for the

November 4, 2025 Consolidated Election

on the

30 day of September, 2025 at 3:08 p.m.
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)

and that the EMS System's precinct and
summary report match the printouts and the pre-audit reports.

Barbara Teelman

Member's Signature

Ann S. Hollis

Member's Signature

David M. Wistig

Member's Signature

Paul R. [Signature]

Member's Signature



Completion Certification Form by the Pima County Accuracy Certification Board

We, the undersigned members of the Accuracy Certification Board, having been duly appointed for the 2025 Consolidated Election held in the County of Pima, State of Arizona, on the 1st day of October, 2025 at 11:22 AM verify the

Logic and Accuracy Test ballots as required by A.R.S. § 16-449 for use on the accessible voting devices and systems, adopted pursuant to the Secretary of State's Procedures Manual, do hereby certify to the Secretary of State:

1. THAT the pre-audited group of ballots, prepared pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-449, have been used to ascertain that the equipment and programs, being used in this election, correctly counted the votes cast for all offices and measures;
2. THAT the pre-vote counting test results have been compared with the predetermined correct totals for each office and ballot measure;
3. THAT the cause of any discrepancy was found and corrected; and
4. THAT the Logic and Accuracy Test programs, the Logic and Accuracy test ballots, and the Logic and Accuracy test printed output which were certified as correct by the Accuracy Certification Board were delivered into the custody of the Elections Director or the Office of the Secretary of State.

We declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct.

[Signature] 10/1/25
Member's Signature Date

AS Hollis 10-1-2025
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 10/1/25
Member's Signature Date

Barbara Tietman 10-1-2025
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 10.1.25
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 10-1-2025
Member's Signature Date



Certification of Completion


ES&S Software Hash Validation

We the undersigned do hereby certify that a Hash Code verification was held for the

2025 Consolidated General Election

and we declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that
the foregoing is true and correct, on the

1st day of October, 2025 at 2:47 pm
(day) (Month) (Year) (Time)



Member's Signature



Member's Signature



Member's Signature

Member's Signature



Completion Form by the Pima County Accuracy Certification Board

We, the undersigned members of the Accuracy Certification Board, having been duly appointed for the 2025 consolidated Election held in the County of Pima, State of Arizona, on the 7th day of November, 2025 at 5:42pm verify the

POST Logic and Accuracy Test ballots as required by A.R.S. § 16-449 for use on the central count systems, adopted pursuant to the Secretary of State's Procedures Manual, do hereby certify to the Secretary of State:

1. THAT the pre-audited group of ballots, prepared pursuant to A.R.S. § 16-449, have been used to ascertain that the equipment and programs, being used in this election, correctly counted the votes cast for all offices and measures;
2. THAT the pre-vote counting test results have been compared with the predetermined correct totals for each office and ballot measure;
3. THAT the cause of any discrepancy was found and corrected; and
4. THAT the Logic and Accuracy Test programs, the Logic and Accuracy test ballots, and the Logic and Accuracy test printed output which were certified as correct by the Accuracy Certification Board were delivered into the custody of the Elections Director or the Office of the Secretary of State.

We declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of Arizona that the foregoing is true and correct.

Barbara Telles 11-7-25
Member's Signature Date

Ann S. Hollis 7 Nov 2025
Member's Signature Date

Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 11-7-25
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 11-7-25
Member's Signature Date

[Signature] 11/7/25
Member's Signature Date

Ballot Replacement & Drop-off Sites



2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025
BALLOT REPLACEMENT SITES

Ballot Replacement & Drop-Off Sites		
Sitios de reemplazo de boletas		
Site Sitio	Address Dirección	Dates/Times M-F only Fechas/Horas Lun-Vie solamente
1	Recorder's Main Office	240 N Stone Ave
2	Recorder's Country Club Office	6550 S Country Club Rd
3	Ward 2 Office	7820 E Broadway Blvd
4	Tucson City Clerks Election Center	800 E. 12th St
5	Recorder's Eastside Office (Drop-off only)	6920 E Broadway Blvd
6	Tucson City Housing & Community Development	310 N. Commerce Park Loop
7	Morris K. Udall Regional Center	7200 E. Tanque Verde Rd
8	Donna R. Liggins Recreation Center	2160 N. 6th Ave
9	El Pueblo Senior Center	101 W. Irvington Rd
10	Tucson City Parks & Rec Admin – Randolph Park	900 S. Randolph Way
11	William Clements Recreation Center	8155 E. Poinciana Dr
12	Sunnyside Unified School District #12	2238 E. Ginter Rd
13	Pistor Middle School	5455 S. Cardinal Ave
14	Flowing Wells School District Administration	1556 W. Prince Rd
Ballot Drop Box Locations		
Ubicaciones de Buzones de Votación		
Site* Sitio	Address Dirección	Dates and Times Fechas y horarios
Recorder's Main Office - Drive up	Utility driveway between/ camino de servicios públicos entre 240 N Stone & 200 N Stone	Open 24 hours 8 am on 10/8 to 7 pm on 11/4 abierto 24 horas 8 am del 10/8 hasta las 7 pm del 11/4
Recorder's Main Office - Walk up	Courtyard facing/Patio frente a 240 N. Stone	
Recorder's Eastside Office	6920 E Broadway Blvd	

*Secured 24 hour Ballot Drop Box located outside

revised: 8/28/2025



2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025
BALLOT REPLACEMENTS ISSUED BY SITE

BALLOT REPLACEMENT SITES	Total Issued
COUNTRY CLUB	54
DONNA R LIGGINS REC CENTER	173
DOWNTOWN	263
EL PUEBLO SENIOR CENTER	122
FLOWING WELLS SD ADMIN	79
MORRIS K UDALL REGIONAL CENTER	232
PISTON MIDDLE SCHOOL	44
SUNNYSIDE SCHOOL DISTRICT	26
TUC CITY PARKS AND REC RANDOLPH	177
TUCSON CITY CLERK	53
TUCSON CITY COUNCIL WARD	207
TUCSON CITY HOUSING & COMM DEV	38
WILLIAM CLEMENTS REC CTR	84
Voted Ballots Totals:	1,552

Candidate Information



OFFICE OF THE CITY CLERK CITY OF TUCSON

2025 GENERAL ELECTION CANDIDATES (AS OF AUGUST 29, 2025)

WARD 3	WARD 5	WARD 6
<p>DAHL, KEVIN * <i>DEMOCRAT</i> Kevin Dahl 4 Tucson kevindhahl4tucson@gmail.com</p> <p>WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" * <i>REPUBLICAN</i> Janet Wittenbraker for Ward 3 janetforpima@gmail.com</p>	<p>BARAJAS, SELINA * <i>DEMOCRAT</i> Vote Selina for Ward 5 info@voteselinaforward5.com</p>	<p>SCHUBERT, MIRANDA * <i>DEMOCRAT</i> MIRANDA FOR WARD 6 mirandaforward6@gmail.com</p> <p>TOLKOFF, JAY * <i>REPUBLICAN</i> Tolkoff for Tucson jt4ward6@gmail.com</p>

* **Statement of Organization** has been filed, creating the Candidate's Committee

+ Indicates additions/deletions/changes

08-29-25 Listing

Write-In Information

Note: There are no official write-ins for this election.

Proposition

Text

**TUCSON UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 1
NOVEMBER 4, 2025**

15% MAINTENANCE AND OPERATION BUDGET OVERRIDE

PROPOSITION 414

Shall the Governing Board of Tucson Unified School District No. 1 of Pima County, Arizona (the “District”), adopt a General Maintenance and Operation Budget that includes an amount that exceeds the revenue control limit specified by statute by 15% for fiscal year 2026/2027 and for six (6) subsequent years as described below?

The amount of the proposed increase of the proposed budget over the alternate budget for fiscal year 2026/2027 is estimated to be \$45,025,670. In fiscal years 2026/2027 through 2030/2031 the amount of the proposed increase will be 15% of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes. In fiscal years 2031/2032 and 2032/2033, the amount of the proposed increase will be 10% and 5%, respectively, of the District’s revenue control limit in each of such years, as provided in Section 15-481(P) of the Arizona Revised Statutes.

Any budget increase authorized by this election shall be entirely funded by a levy of taxes on the taxable property within this school district for the year for which adopted and for six (6) subsequent years, shall not be realized from monies furnished by the state and shall not be subject to the limitation on taxes specified in Article IX, Section 18, Constitution of Arizona. Based on the current net assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes, to fund the proposed increase in the school district’s budget would require an estimated tax rate of \$1.02 per \$100 of net assessed valuation used for secondary property tax purposes and is in addition to the school district’s tax rate that will be levied to fund the school district’s revenue control limit allowed by law.

BUDGET INCREASE, YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
BUDGET INCREASE, NO	<input type="checkbox"/>

[At the discretion of the County elections department, the question set forth above may be presented on the actual ballot in summary form, reading substantially as follows:]

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Tucson Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget which includes an amount that exceeds the District’s revenue control limit.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Tucson Unified School District Governing Board to adopt a maintenance and operation budget which includes an amount that exceeds its revenue control limit.

DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 1 DE TUCSON
4 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 2025

15% DE AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO DE MANTENIMIENTO Y OPERACIONES

PROPOSICIÓN 414

¿Debe la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado Núm. 1 de Tucson del Condado de Pima, Arizona (el “*Distrito*”), adoptar un Presupuesto General de Mantenimiento y Operaciones que incluye un importe que excede el límite de control de ingresos especificado por estatuto en un 15% para el año fiscal 2026/2027 y por los seis años subsiguientes, como se describe a continuación?

El monto del aumento propuesto al presupuesto propuesto por sobre el presupuesto alterno para el año fiscal 2026/2027 se estima que será de \$45,025,670. En los años fiscales 2026/2027 al 2030/2031 el importe del aumento propuesto será 15% del límite de control de ingresos del Distrito en cada uno de esos años, como está dispuesto en la sección 15-481(P) de los Estatutos Revisados de Arizona. En los años fiscales 2031/2032 y 2032/2033 el importe del aumento propuesto será de 10% y 5%, respectivamente, del límite de control de ingresos del Distrito en cada uno de esos años, como está dispuesto en la sección 15-481(P) de los Estatutos Revisados de Arizona.

Cualquier aumento al presupuesto autorizado por esta elección será totalmente financiado por una imposición de impuestos sobre la propiedad gravada dentro de este distrito escolar en el año en que se adopta y por los seis (6) años subsiguientes, no será realizado con dinero proporcionado por el estado, y no estará sujeto a las limitaciones de impuestos especificadas en la sección 18 del artículo IX de la Constitución de Arizona. Con base en la actual valoración tasada neta que se usa para propósitos de impuestos secundarios sobre la propiedad, para financiar el aumento propuesto en el presupuesto del distrito escolar se requerirá de una tasa de impuesto estimada de \$1.02 por cada \$100 dólares de la valoración tasada neta que se utiliza para propósitos de impuestos secundarios sobre la propiedad y es en adición a la tasa de impuesto del distrito escolar que será impuesta para financiar el límite del control de ingresos del distrito escolar permitido por la ley.

AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ ☐

AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO ☐

[A discreción del departamento de elecciones del Condado, la pregunta antes mencionada puede ser presentada en la boleta electoral en forma abreviada, y se leerá sustancialmente como sigue:]

Un voto “sí” autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Tucson a que adopte un presupuesto de mantenimiento y operaciones que incluye un monto que excede el límite de control de ingresos del Distrito.

Un voto “no” no autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Tucson a que adopte un presupuesto de mantenimiento y operaciones que incluye un monto que excede su límite de control de ingresos.

**FLOWING WELLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 8
OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA
SPECIAL BOND ELECTION
NOVEMBER 4, 2025**

QUESTION NO. 415

Shall Flowing Wells Unified School District No. 8 of Pima County, Arizona (the “*District*”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$30,000,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

- Constructing and/or purchasing school buildings;
- Renovating school buildings;
- Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;
- Acquiring by purchase or lease school lots;
- Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;
- Supplying school buildings with furniture, equipment and technology;
- Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;
- Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;
- Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and
- Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 12% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Flowing Wells Unified School District is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$30,000,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Flowing Wells Unified School District is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “YES” VOTE SHALL AUTHORIZE THE FLOWING WELLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 8 OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA GOVERNING BODY TO ISSUE AND SELL \$30,000,000 OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BONDS OF THE DISTRICT TO BE REPAID WITH SECONDARY PROPERTY TAXES.

A “NO” VOTE SHALL NOT AUTHORIZE THE FLOWING WELLS UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 8 OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA GOVERNING BODY TO ISSUE AND SELL SUCH BONDS OF THE DISTRICT.

BOND APPROVAL, YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
BOND APPROVAL, NO	<input type="checkbox"/>

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Flowing Wells Unified School District Governing Board to issue and sell \$30,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Flowing Wells Unified School District Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

**DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 8 DE FLOWING WELLS
DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA
ELECCIÓN ESPECIAL DE BONOS
4 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 2025**

PREGUNTA NO. 415

¿Se debe autorizar al Distrito Escolar Unificado Núm. 8 de Flowing Wells del Condado de Pima, Arizona (el “*Distrito*”) para emitir y vender bonos de obligación general de la cantidad principal que no excedan de \$30,000,000 para proporcionar dinero para los siguientes propósitos:

- Construir o comprar edificios escolares;
- Renovar edificios escolares;
- Comprar vehículos de transporte escolar;
- Adquirir por compra o arriendo terrenos escolares;
- Mejorar el recinto escolar, incluidos caminos adyacentes al mismo;
- Dotar a los edificios escolares con muebles, equipos y tecnología;
- Liquidar las deudas generadas para los propósitos descritos aquí;
- Proveer todos los servicios públicos y otros bienes de capital necesarios para la construcción y renovación de los edificios escolares y para el mejoramiento de los recintos escolares;
- Pagar todos los costos de arquitectura, diseño, ingeniería, manejo del proyecto y de la construcción y otros costos generados en conexión con los propósitos antes expuestos; y
- Pagar todos los costos legales, financieros y otros costos en conexión con la emisión de los bonos?

Los bonos devengarán interés a tasas no superiores a un 12% por año. El interés puede evidenciarse por certificados separados y será pagado el 1 de enero y el 1 de julio de cada año hasta el vencimiento de los bonos. Los bonos, y cualquier bono que se emita para reembolsar los bonos del Distrito, pueden ser vendidos a precios que incluyan primas no mayores que los permitidos por la ley. Los bonos se pueden reembolsar mediante la emisión de bonos de reembolso de un vencimiento medio ponderado inferior al 75% del vencimiento medio ponderado de los bonos que se reembolsen. Los bonos serán en denominaciones de \$5,000 cada uno o en múltiplos de \$5,000 y vencerán el primer día de enero o de julio en años determinados por la Junta Directiva del Distrito. Los bonos vencerán durante un período (i) no menor a (1) año (o parte de eso) o (ii) no mayor de veinte (20) años a partir de la fecha de su emisión. La emisión de estos bonos resultará en un aumento del impuesto sobre la propiedad suficiente para pagar el servicio anual de la deuda de los bonos.

Las mejoras de capital que se proponen financiar por medio de esta emisión de bonos excederán los límites del estado y son adicionales a los dineros proporcionados por el estado. El Distrito Escolar Unificado de Flowing Wells propone emitir bonos de obligación general de la Clase B por un total de \$30,000,000 para financiar mejoras de capital por sobre aquellos financiados por el estado. Bajo el sistema de financiación de capital Students FIRST, el Distrito Escolar Unificado de Flowing Wells tiene derecho a dineros del estado para construcción nueva y renovación de edificios escolares de conformidad con la ley estatal.

UN VOTO “SÍ” AUTORIZARÁ A LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA DEL DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 8 DE FLOWING WELLS DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA, PARA EMITIR Y VENDER BONOS DE MEJORAS ESCOLARES DEL DISTRITO EN LA CANTIDAD DE \$30,000,000 Y SER REEMBOLSADOS CON IMPUESTOS SECUNDARIOS SOBRE LA PROPIEDAD.

UN VOTO “NO” NO AUTORIZARÁ A LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA DEL DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 8 DE FLOWING WELLS DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA, PARA EMITIR Y VENDER TALES BONOS DEL DISTRITO.

APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ

☐

APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO

☐

Un voto “sí” autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Flowing Wells para emitir y vender bonos de mejoras escolares del Distrito en la cantidad de \$30,000,000 y ser reembolsados con impuestos secundarios sobre la propiedad.

Un voto “no” no autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Flowing Wells para emitir y vender tales bonos del Distrito.

**SUNNYSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 12
OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA
SPECIAL BOND ELECTION
NOVEMBER 4, 2025**

QUESTION NO. 416

Shall Sunnyside Unified School District No. 12 of Pima County, Arizona (the “*District*”), be allowed to issue and sell general obligation bonds in the principal amount of not to exceed \$120,000,000 to provide money for the following purposes:

- Constructing and/or purchasing school buildings;
- Renovating school buildings;
- Purchasing pupil transportation vehicles;
- Acquiring by purchase or lease school lots;
- Improving school grounds, including adjacent ways thereto;
- Supplying school buildings with furniture, equipment and technology;
- Liquidating indebtedness incurred for the purposes set forth herein;
- Providing all utilities and other capital items necessary for the construction and renovation of school buildings and for improving school grounds;
- Paying all architectural, design, engineering, project and construction management and other costs incurred in connection with the purposes set forth above; and
- Paying all legal, financial and other costs in connection with issuance of the bonds?

The bonds will bear interest at rates not exceeding 9.00% per year. Interest may be evidenced by separate certificates and will be paid on January 1 and July 1 each year until the bonds mature. The bonds, and any bonds issued to refund the District’s bonds, may be sold at prices that include premiums not greater than permitted by law. The bonds may be refunded by the issuance of refunding bonds of a weighted average maturity of less than 75% of the weighted average maturity of the bonds being refunded. Bonds will be in the denominations of \$5,000 each or in multiples of \$5,000 and will mature on the first day of January and/or July in years determined by the District’s governing board. The bonds shall mature over a period of not (i) less than one (1) year (or a portion thereof) or (ii) more than twenty (20) years from the date of their issuance. The issuance of these bonds will result in a property tax increase sufficient to pay the annual debt service on bonds.

The capital improvements that are proposed to be funded through this bond issuance are to exceed the State standards and are in addition to monies provided by the State. Sunnyside Unified School District is proposing to issue Class B general obligation bonds totaling \$120,000,000 to fund capital improvements over and above those funded by the State. Under the Students FIRST capital funding system, Sunnyside Unified School District is entitled to State monies for new construction and renovation of school buildings in accordance with State law.

A “YES” VOTE SHALL AUTHORIZE THE SUNNYSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 12 OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA GOVERNING BODY TO ISSUE AND SELL \$120,000,000 OF SCHOOL IMPROVEMENT BONDS OF THE DISTRICT TO BE REPAID WITH SECONDARY PROPERTY TAXES.

A “NO” VOTE SHALL NOT AUTHORIZE THE SUNNYSIDE UNIFIED SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 12 OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA GOVERNING BODY TO ISSUE AND SELL SUCH BONDS OF THE DISTRICT.

BOND APPROVAL, YES	<input type="checkbox"/>
BOND APPROVAL, NO	<input type="checkbox"/>

A “yes” vote shall authorize the Sunnyside Unified School District Governing Board to issue and sell \$120,000,000 of school improvement bonds of the District to be repaid with secondary property taxes.

A “no” vote shall not authorize the Sunnyside Unified School District Governing Board to issue and sell such bonds of the District.

**DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 12 DE SUNNYSIDE
DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA
ELECCIÓN ESPECIAL DE BONOS
4 DE NOVIEMBRE DE 2025**

PREGUNTA NO. 416

¿Se debe autorizar al Distrito Escolar Unificado Núm. 12 de Sunnyside del Condado de Pima, Arizona (el “*Distrito*”) para emitir y vender bonos de obligación general de la cantidad principal que no excedan de \$120,000,000 para proporcionar dinero para los siguientes propósitos:

- Construir o comprar edificios escolares;
- Renovar edificios escolares;
- Comprar vehículos de transporte escolar;
- Adquirir por compra o arriendo terrenos escolares;
- Mejorar el recinto escolar, incluidos caminos adyacentes al mismo;
- Dotar a los edificios escolares con muebles, equipos y tecnología;
- Liquidar las deudas generadas para los propósitos descritos aquí;
- Proveer todos los servicios públicos y otros bienes de capital necesarios para la construcción y renovación de los edificios escolares y para el mejoramiento de los recintos escolares;
- Pagar todos los costos de arquitectura, diseño, ingeniería, manejo del proyecto y de la construcción y otros costos generados en conexión con los propósitos antes expuestos; y
- Pagar todos los costos legales, financieros y otros costos en conexión con la emisión de los bonos?

Los bonos devengarán interés a tasas no superiores a un 9.00% por año. El interés puede evidenciarse por certificados separados y será pagado el 1 de enero y el 1 de julio de cada año hasta el vencimiento de los bonos. Los bonos, y cualquier bono que se emita para reembolsar los bonos del Distrito, pueden ser vendidos a precios que incluyan primas no mayores que los permitidos por la ley. Los bonos se pueden reembolsar mediante la emisión de bonos de reembolso de un vencimiento medio ponderado inferior al 75% del vencimiento medio ponderado de los bonos que se reembolsen. Los bonos serán en denominaciones de \$5,000 cada uno o en múltiplos de \$5,000 y vencerán el primer día de enero o de julio en años determinados por la Junta Directiva del Distrito. Los bonos vencerán durante un período (i) no menor a (1) año (o parte de eso) o (ii) no mayor de veinte (20) años a partir de la fecha de su emisión. La emisión de estos bonos resultará en un aumento del impuesto sobre la propiedad suficiente para pagar el servicio anual de la deuda de los bonos.

Las mejoras de capital que se proponen financiar por medio de esta emisión de bonos excederán los límites del estado y son adicionales a los dineros proporcionados por el estado. El Distrito Escolar Unificado de Sunnyside propone emitir bonos de obligación general de la Clase B por un total de \$120,000,000 para financiar mejoras de capital por sobre aquellas financiadas por el estado. Bajo el sistema de financiación de capital Students FIRST, el Distrito Escolar Unificado de Sunnyside tiene derecho a dineros del estado para construcción nueva y renovación de edificios escolares de conformidad con la ley estatal.

UN VOTO “SÍ” AUTORIZARÁ A LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA DEL DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 12 DE SUNNYSIDE DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA, PARA EMITIR Y VENDER BONOS DE MEJORAS ESCOLARES DEL DISTRITO EN LA CANTIDAD DE \$120,000,000 Y SER REEMBOLSADOS CON IMPUESTOS SECUNDARIOS SOBRE LA PROPIEDAD.

UN VOTO “NO” NO AUTORIZARÁ A LA JUNTA DIRECTIVA DEL DISTRITO ESCOLAR UNIFICADO NÚM. 12 DE SUNNYSIDE DEL CONDADO DE PIMA, ARIZONA, PARA EMITIR Y VENDER TALES BONOS DEL DISTRITO.

APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ <input style="float: right; margin-left: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>
APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO <input style="float: right; margin-left: 20px;" type="checkbox"/>

Un voto “sí” autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Sunnyside para emitir y vender bonos de mejoras escolares del Distrito en la cantidad de \$120,000,000 y ser reembolsados con impuestos secundarios sobre la propiedad.

Un voto “no” no autorizará a la Junta Directiva del Distrito Escolar Unificado de Sunnyside para emitir y vender tales bonos del Distrito.

PROPOSITION 417
OFFICIAL BALLOT FORMAT

PROPOSAL REFERRED BY MAYOR AND COUNCIL FOR THE RATIFICATION OF "PLAN TUCSON 2025" AS THE CITY OF TUCSON'S GENERAL PLAN FOR THE NEXT TEN YEARS.

Official Title:

City of Tucson General Plan

Descriptive Title:

Shall "Plan Tucson 2025" and all of its elements be ratified as the general plan for the City of Tucson for the next ten years?

A "YES" vote shall have the effect of ratifying Plan Tucson 2025, the updated and revised City of Tucson general plan and all of its elements, as the general plan for the City of Tucson for the next ten years.

A "NO" vote shall have the effect of rejecting Plan Tucson 2025, the updated and revised City of Tucson general plan and all of its elements, in which case the present general plan will remain in effect.

_____ YES

_____ NO

PROPOSICIÓN 417
FORMATO OFICIAL DE LA BOLETA

PROPOSICIÓN

PROPUESTA PRESENTADA POR EL ALCALDE Y EL CONCEJO MUNICIPAL PARA LA APROBACIÓN DEL “PLAN TUCSON 2025” COMO EL PLAN GENERAL DE LA CIUDAD DE TUCSON PARA LOS PRÓXIMOS DIEZ AÑOS.

Título Oficial:

Plan General de la Ciudad de Tucson

Título Descriptivo:

¿Debe aprobarse el “Plan Tucson 2025” y todos sus componentes como el plan general de la Ciudad de Tucson para los próximos diez años?

Un voto por “SÍ” tendrá como efecto aprobar el “Plan Tucson 2025”, el plan general actualizado y revisado de la Ciudad de Tucson, junto con todos sus componentes, como el plan general para los próximos diez años.

Un voto por “NO” tendrá como efecto rechazar el “Plan Tucson 2025”, el plan general actualizado y revisado de la Ciudad de Tucson, junto con todos sus componentes, y el plan general actual permanecerá en vigor.

_____ SÍ

_____ NO

Election Summary Results

Summary Results Report
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	392,939	
Ballots Cast - Total	114,569	114,569
Ballots Cast - Blank	68	68
Voter Turnout - Total	29.16%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	60,964	60,964
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	28,765	28,765
Write-In Totals	187	187
Not Assigned	187	187
Total Votes Cast	89,916	89,916
Overvotes	25	25
Undervotes	1,731	1,731
Contest Totals	91,672	91,672

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	65,812	65,812
Write-In Totals	3,510	3,510
Not Assigned	3,510	3,510
Total Votes Cast	69,322	69,322
Overvotes	7	7
Undervotes	22,343	22,343
Contest Totals	91,672	91,672

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	60,404	60,404
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	29,280	29,280
Write-In Totals	151	151
Not Assigned	151	151
Total Votes Cast	89,835	89,835
Overvotes	18	18
Undervotes	1,819	1,819
Contest Totals	91,672	91,672

Summary Results Report
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	51,587	51,587
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	38,649	38,649
Total Votes Cast	90,236	90,236
Overvotes	8	8
Undervotes	2,678	2,678
Contest Totals	92,922	92,922

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	2,033	2,033
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	1,570	1,570
Total Votes Cast	3,603	3,603
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	3,640	3,640

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	3,801	3,801
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	2,656	2,656
Total Votes Cast	6,457	6,457
Overvotes	3	3
Undervotes	191	191
Contest Totals	6,651	6,651

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	54,583	54,583
NO	32,607	32,607
Total Votes Cast	87,190	87,190
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	4,480	4,480
Contest Totals	91,672	91,672

Statement of Votes Cast

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 009

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,816	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,154	1,154
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.98%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	660	660
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	471	471
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,132	1,132
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	1,154	1,154

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	745	745
Write-In Totals	45	45
Not Assigned	45	45
Total Votes Cast	790	790
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	364	364
Contest Totals	1,154	1,154

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	657	657
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	472	472
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,130	1,130
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	1,154	1,154

Precinct 009

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	569	569
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	541	541
Total Votes Cast	1,110	1,110
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	44	44
Contest Totals	1,154	1,154

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	641	641
NO	476	476
Total Votes Cast	1,117	1,117
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,154	1,154

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 011

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2	
Ballots Cast - Total	2	2
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	100.00%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	2	2
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	2	2
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	2	2

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	2	2

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	2	2
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	2	2
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	2	2

Precinct 011

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	2	2
Total Votes Cast	2	2
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	2	2

Precinct 014

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	0	
Ballots Cast - Total	0	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	0.00%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 016

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,983	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,239	1,239
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	41.54%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	697	697
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	224	224
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	927	927
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	945	945

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	733	733
Write-In Totals	26	26
Not Assigned	26	26
Total Votes Cast	759	759
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	186	186
Contest Totals	945	945

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	711	711
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	216	216
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	931	931
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	945	945

Precinct 016

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	695	695
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	507	507
Total Votes Cast	1,202	1,202
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,239	1,239

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	598	598
NO	312	312
Total Votes Cast	910	910
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	945	945

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 017

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,067	
Ballots Cast - Total	756	756
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.57%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	629	629
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	117	117
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	747	747
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	756	756

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	649	649
Write-In Totals	14	14
Not Assigned	14	14
Total Votes Cast	663	663
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	93	93
Contest Totals	756	756

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	621	621
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	121	121
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	742	742
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	756	756

Precinct 017

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	537	537
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	192	192
Total Votes Cast	729	729
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	756	756

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	550	550
NO	173	173
Total Votes Cast	723	723
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	756	756

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 018

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,511	
Ballots Cast - Total	576	576
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.94%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	495	495
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	69	69
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	567	567
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	576	576

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	512	512
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	525	525
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	50	50
Contest Totals	576	576

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	499	499
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	67	67
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	567	567
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	576	576

Precinct 018

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	392	392
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	163	163
Total Votes Cast	555	555
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	576	576

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	393	393
NO	157	157
Total Votes Cast	550	550
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	576	576

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 019

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	725	
Ballots Cast - Total	181	181
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.97%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	43	43
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	92	92
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	135	135
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	138	138

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	65	65
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	78	78
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	60	60
Contest Totals	138	138

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	42	42
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	93	93
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	135	135
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	138	138

Precinct 019

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	71	71
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	105	105
Total Votes Cast	176	176
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	181	181

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	51	51
NO	85	85
Total Votes Cast	136	136
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	138	138

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 020

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,590	
Ballots Cast - Total	710	710
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	19.78%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	568	568
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	128	128
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	697	697
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	710	710

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	605	605
Write-In Totals	18	18
Not Assigned	18	18
Total Votes Cast	623	623
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	87	87
Contest Totals	710	710

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	576	576
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	121	121
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	697	697
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	710	710

Precinct 020

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	422	422
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	253	253
Total Votes Cast	675	675
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	710	710

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	443	443
NO	234	234
Total Votes Cast	677	677
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	710	710

Precinct 021

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,352	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,327	1,327
Ballots Cast - Blank	3	3
Voter Turnout - Total	39.59%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	681	681
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	642	642
Total Votes Cast	1,323	1,323
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	1,327	1,327

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 022

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,545	
Ballots Cast - Total	933	933
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	20.53%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	500	500
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	187	187
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	689	689
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	706	706

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	534	534
Write-In Totals	16	16
Not Assigned	16	16
Total Votes Cast	550	550
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	156	156
Contest Totals	706	706

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	502	502
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	185	185
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	688	688
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	706	706

Precinct 022

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	522	522
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	369	369
Total Votes Cast	891	891
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	933	933

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	412	412
NO	254	254
Total Votes Cast	666	666
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	706	706

Precinct 023

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,795	
Ballots Cast - Total	847	847
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	22.32%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	495	495
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	349	349
Total Votes Cast	844	844
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	847	847

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 025

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,946	
Ballots Cast - Total	496	496
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.84%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	411	411
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	75	75
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	487	487
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	496	496

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	436	436
Write-In Totals	9	9
Not Assigned	9	9
Total Votes Cast	445	445
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	51	51
Contest Totals	496	496

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	417	417
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	69	69
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	487	487
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	496	496

Precinct 025

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	297	297
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	174	174
Total Votes Cast	471	471
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	496	496

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	302	302
NO	176	176
Total Votes Cast	478	478
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	496	496

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 026

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,078	
Ballots Cast - Total	539	539
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.51%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	430	430
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	95	95
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	528	528
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	539	539

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	463	463
Write-In Totals	11	11
Not Assigned	11	11
Total Votes Cast	474	474
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	65	65
Contest Totals	539	539

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	430	430
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	93	93
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	524	524
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	539	539

Precinct 026

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	311	311
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	207	207
Total Votes Cast	518	518
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	539	539

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	323	323
NO	202	202
Total Votes Cast	525	525
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	539	539

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 028

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,775	
Ballots Cast - Total	372	372
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.96%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	303	303
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	63	63
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	367	367
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	372	372

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	322	322
Write-In Totals	9	9
Not Assigned	9	9
Total Votes Cast	331	331
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	372	372

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	307	307
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	60	60
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	368	368
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	372	372

Precinct 028

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	210	210
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	150	150
Total Votes Cast	360	360
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	372	372

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	232	232
NO	131	131
Total Votes Cast	363	363
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	372	372

Precinct 031

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,149	
Ballots Cast - Total	420	420
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	19.54%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	244	244
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	175	175
Total Votes Cast	419	419
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	420	420

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 032

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,506	
Ballots Cast - Total	988	988
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	21.93%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	94	94
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	60	60
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	154	154
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	154	154

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	99	99
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	106	106
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	48	48
Contest Totals	154	154

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	89	89
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	61	61
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	150	150
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	154	154

Precinct 032

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	519	519
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	370	370
Total Votes Cast	889	889
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	893	893

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	66	66
NO	69	69
Total Votes Cast	135	135
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	154	154

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 033

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,683	
Ballots Cast - Total	905	905
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.73%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	535	535
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	267	267
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	803	803
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	817	817

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	583	583
Write-In Totals	30	30
Not Assigned	30	30
Total Votes Cast	613	613
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	204	204
Contest Totals	817	817

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	539	539
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	261	261
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	802	802
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	817	817

Precinct 033

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	509	509
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	346	346
Total Votes Cast	855	855
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	50	50
Contest Totals	905	905

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	537	537
NO	235	235
Total Votes Cast	772	772
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	817	817

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 034

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,577	
Ballots Cast - Total	357	357
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.64%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	216	216
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	136	136
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	352	352
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	357	357

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	248	248
Write-In Totals	12	12
Not Assigned	12	12
Total Votes Cast	260	260
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	97	97
Contest Totals	357	357

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	214	214
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	137	137
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	351	351
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	357	357

Precinct 034

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	134	134
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	83	83
Total Votes Cast	217	217
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	225	225

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	204	204
NO	119	119
Total Votes Cast	323	323
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	357	357

Precinct 035

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	0	
Ballots Cast - Total	0	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	0.00%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 036

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,628	
Ballots Cast - Total	849	849
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	18.34%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	545	545
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	292	292
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	838	838
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	849	849

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	593	593
Write-In Totals	51	51
Not Assigned	51	51
Total Votes Cast	644	644
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	205	205
Contest Totals	849	849

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	528	528
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	293	293
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	823	823
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	849	849

Precinct 036

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	276	276
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	200	200
Total Votes Cast	476	476
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	496	496

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	450	450
NO	303	303
Total Votes Cast	753	753
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	96	96
Contest Totals	849	849

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 037

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,480	
Ballots Cast - Total	288	288
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	19.46%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	232	232
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	49	49
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	281	281
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	288	288

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	246	246
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	250	250
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	288	288

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	231	231
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	50	50
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	281	281
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	288	288

Precinct 037

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	178	178
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	97	97
Total Votes Cast	275	275
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	288	288

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	188	188
NO	85	85
Total Votes Cast	273	273
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	288	288

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 038

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,306	
Ballots Cast - Total	564	564
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.46%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	380	380
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	178	178
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	558	558
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	564	564

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	408	408
Write-In Totals	20	20
Not Assigned	20	20
Total Votes Cast	428	428
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	136	136
Contest Totals	564	564

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	371	371
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	176	176
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	547	547
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	564	564

Precinct 038

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	320	320
NO	166	166
Total Votes Cast	486	486
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	78	78
Contest Totals	564	564

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 039

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,720	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,589	1,589
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.72%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	676	676
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	764	764
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,441	1,441
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	1,471	1,471

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	766	766
Write-In Totals	93	93
Not Assigned	93	93
Total Votes Cast	859	859
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	612	612
Contest Totals	1,471	1,471

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	661	661
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	776	776
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,438	1,438
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	1,471	1,471

Precinct 039

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	550	550
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	962	962
Total Votes Cast	1,512	1,512
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	1,548	1,548

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	641	641
NO	791	791
Total Votes Cast	1,432	1,432
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	39	39
Contest Totals	1,471	1,471

Precinct 041

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	8,540	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,555	1,555
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.21%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	807	807
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	747	747
Total Votes Cast	1,554	1,554
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	1,555	1,555

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 042

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,097	
Ballots Cast - Total	994	994
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.26%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	829	829
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	151	151
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	983	983
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	994	994

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	824	824
Write-In Totals	23	23
Not Assigned	23	23
Total Votes Cast	847	847
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	147	147
Contest Totals	994	994

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	813	813
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	149	149
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	966	966
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	28	28
Contest Totals	994	994

Precinct 042

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	711	711
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	236	236
Total Votes Cast	947	947
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	47	47
Contest Totals	994	994

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	702	702
NO	227	227
Total Votes Cast	929	929
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	65	65
Contest Totals	994	994

Precinct 043

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,674	
Ballots Cast - Total	307	307
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.34%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	243	243
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	58	58
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	303	303
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	307	307

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	250	250
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	254	254
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	53	53
Contest Totals	307	307

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	244	244
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	55	55
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	300	300
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	307	307

Precinct 043

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	197	197
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	97	97
Total Votes Cast	294	294
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	307	307

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	215	215
NO	79	79
Total Votes Cast	294	294
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	307	307

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 044

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,223	
Ballots Cast - Total	635	635
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.56%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	552	552
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	61	61
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	615	615
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	635	635

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	563	563
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	569	569
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	66	66
Contest Totals	635	635

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	558	558
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	68	68
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	626	626
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	635	635

Precinct 044

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	506	506
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	105	105
Total Votes Cast	611	611
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	635	635

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	493	493
NO	109	109
Total Votes Cast	602	602
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	635	635

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 045

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,083	
Ballots Cast - Total	792	792
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	38.02%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	708	708
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	67	67
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	778	778
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	792	792

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	713	713
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	726	726
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	66	66
Contest Totals	792	792

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	715	715
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	71	71
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	788	788
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	792	792

Precinct 045

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	623	623
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	142	142
Total Votes Cast	765	765
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	792	792

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	651	651
NO	108	108
Total Votes Cast	759	759
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	792	792

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 047

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,159	
Ballots Cast - Total	602	602
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	14.47%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	264	264
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	65	65
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	331	331
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	339	339

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	283	283
Write-In Totals	8	8
Not Assigned	8	8
Total Votes Cast	291	291
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	48	48
Contest Totals	339	339

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	267	267
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	62	62
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	330	330
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	339	339

Precinct 047

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	405	405
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	174	174
Total Votes Cast	579	579
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	602	602

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	205	205
NO	114	114
Total Votes Cast	319	319
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	339	339

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 048

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,221	
Ballots Cast - Total	380	380
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	11.80%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	151	151
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	81	81
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	233	233
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	239	239

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	163	163
Write-In Totals	10	10
Not Assigned	10	10
Total Votes Cast	173	173
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	66	66
Contest Totals	239	239

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	152	152
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	80	80
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	232	232
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	239	239

Precinct 048

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	205	205
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	169	169
Total Votes Cast	374	374
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	380	380

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	140	140
NO	93	93
Total Votes Cast	233	233
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	239	239

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 049

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,874	
Ballots Cast - Total	536	536
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.60%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	274	274
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	252	252
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	527	527
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	536	536

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	308	308
Write-In Totals	35	35
Not Assigned	35	35
Total Votes Cast	343	343
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	193	193
Contest Totals	536	536

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	272	272
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	253	253
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	526	526
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	536	536

Precinct 049

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	258	258
NO	225	225
Total Votes Cast	483	483
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	53	53
Contest Totals	536	536

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 050

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,730	
Ballots Cast - Total	517	517
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.94%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	420	420
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	88	88
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	508	508
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	517	517

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	444	444
Write-In Totals	18	18
Not Assigned	18	18
Total Votes Cast	462	462
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	55	55
Contest Totals	517	517

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	423	423
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	85	85
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	508	508
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	517	517

Precinct 050

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	305	305
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	182	182
Total Votes Cast	487	487
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	517	517

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	302	302
NO	198	198
Total Votes Cast	500	500
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	517	517

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 051

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,187	
Ballots Cast - Total	352	352
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.10%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	283	283
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	57	57
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	340	340
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	352	352

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	303	303
Write-In Totals	9	9
Not Assigned	9	9
Total Votes Cast	312	312
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	352	352

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	287	287
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	54	54
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	341	341
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	352	352

Precinct 051

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	208	208
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	130	130
Total Votes Cast	338	338
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	352	352

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	206	206
NO	128	128
Total Votes Cast	334	334
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	352	352

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 052

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,520	
Ballots Cast - Total	420	420
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.67%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	337	337
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	79	79
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	416	416
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	420	420

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	365	365
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	378	378
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	420	420

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	339	339
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	71	71
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	413	413
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	420	420

Precinct 052

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	235	235
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	164	164
Total Votes Cast	399	399
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	420	420

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	240	240
NO	163	163
Total Votes Cast	403	403
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	420	420

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 053

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	6,535	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,029	1,029
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	15.75%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	756	756
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	242	242
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,001	1,001
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	1,029	1,029

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	855	855
Write-In Totals	32	32
Not Assigned	32	32
Total Votes Cast	887	887
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	142	142
Contest Totals	1,029	1,029

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	767	767
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	233	233
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,004	1,004
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	1,029	1,029

Precinct 053

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	612	612
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	386	386
Total Votes Cast	998	998
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,029	1,029

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	653	653
NO	347	347
Total Votes Cast	1,000	1,000
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	1,029	1,029

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 054

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,147	
Ballots Cast - Total	552	552
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.54%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	164	164
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	76	76
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	240	240
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	243	243

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	177	177
Write-In Totals	11	11
Not Assigned	11	11
Total Votes Cast	188	188
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	55	55
Contest Totals	243	243

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	160	160
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	79	79
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	239	239
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	243	243

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 054

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	0	0
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	2	2
Total Votes Cast	2	2
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	2	2

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	279	279
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	262	262
Total Votes Cast	541	541
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	550	550

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	150	150
NO	87	87
Total Votes Cast	237	237
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	243	243

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 055

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	551	
Ballots Cast - Total	86	86
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	15.61%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	51	51
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	32	32
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	83	83
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	86	86

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	59	59
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	61	61
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	86	86

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	52	52
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	30	30
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	82	82
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	86	86

Precinct 055

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	50	50
NO	22	22
Total Votes Cast	72	72
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	86	86

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 056

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	0	
Ballots Cast - Total	0	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	0.00%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Precinct 056

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 057

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,952	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,064	1,064
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.92%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	829	829
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	222	222
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	1,058	1,058
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	1,064	1,064

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	860	860
Write-In Totals	25	25
Not Assigned	25	25
Total Votes Cast	885	885
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	179	179
Contest Totals	1,064	1,064

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	818	818
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	227	227
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,047	1,047
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	1,064	1,064

Precinct 057

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	698	698
NO	239	239
Total Votes Cast	937	937
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	127	127
Contest Totals	1,064	1,064

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 058

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,071	
Ballots Cast - Total	336	336
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.37%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	262	262
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	73	73
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	335	335
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	336	336

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	271	271
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	277	277
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	59	59
Contest Totals	336	336

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	260	260
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	67	67
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	327	327
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	336	336

Precinct 058

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	212	212
NO	82	82
Total Votes Cast	294	294
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	336	336

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 059

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,227	
Ballots Cast - Total	409	409
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.37%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	290	290
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	112	112
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	404	404
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	409	409

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	329	329
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	342	342
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	67	67
Contest Totals	409	409

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	296	296
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	111	111
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	407	407
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	409	409

Precinct 059

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	229	229
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	164	164
Total Votes Cast	393	393
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	409	409

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	249	249
NO	150	150
Total Votes Cast	399	399
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	409	409

Precinct 061

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,216	
Ballots Cast - Total	559	559
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.97%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	314	314
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	245	245
Total Votes Cast	559	559
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	559	559

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 062

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,185	
Ballots Cast - Total	291	291
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.32%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	249	249
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	34	34
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	284	284
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	291	291

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	250	250
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	251	251
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	291	291

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	249	249
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	41	41
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	290	290
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	291	291

Precinct 062

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	217	217
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	61	61
Total Votes Cast	278	278
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	291	291

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	224	224
NO	43	43
Total Votes Cast	267	267
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	291	291

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 063

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,465	
Ballots Cast - Total	746	746
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	50.92%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	615	615
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	110	110
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	727	727
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	746	746

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	623	623
Write-In Totals	8	8
Not Assigned	8	8
Total Votes Cast	631	631
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	114	114
Contest Totals	746	746

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	608	608
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	124	124
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	733	733
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	746	746

Precinct 063

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	561	561
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	147	147
Total Votes Cast	708	708
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	746	746

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	570	570
NO	135	135
Total Votes Cast	705	705
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	746	746

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 064

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,369	
Ballots Cast - Total	305	305
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	12.87%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	251	251
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	40	40
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	292	292
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	305	305

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	271	271
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	278	278
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	305	305

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	252	252
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	38	38
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	291	291
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	305	305

Precinct 064

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	193	193
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	98	98
Total Votes Cast	291	291
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	305	305

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	201	201
NO	83	83
Total Votes Cast	284	284
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	305	305

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 066

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,398	
Ballots Cast - Total	468	468
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.77%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	353	353
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	92	92
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	445	445
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	459	459

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	391	391
Write-In Totals	15	15
Not Assigned	15	15
Total Votes Cast	406	406
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	53	53
Contest Totals	459	459

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	363	363
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	87	87
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	450	450
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	459	459

Precinct 066

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	269	269
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	172	172
Total Votes Cast	441	441
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	468	468

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	274	274
NO	164	164
Total Votes Cast	438	438
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	459	459

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 067

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,082	
Ballots Cast - Total	737	737
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	23.91%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	526	526
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	175	175
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	704	704
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	712	712

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	546	546
Write-In Totals	26	26
Not Assigned	26	26
Total Votes Cast	572	572
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	140	140
Contest Totals	712	712

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	507	507
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	183	183
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	692	692
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	712	712

Precinct 067

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	476	476
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	236	236
Total Votes Cast	712	712
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	737	737

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	468	468
NO	220	220
Total Votes Cast	688	688
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	712	712

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 068

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,510	
Ballots Cast - Total	612	612
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.53%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	470	470
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	130	130
Write-In Totals	8	8
Not Assigned	8	8
Total Votes Cast	608	608
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	612	612

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	494	494
Write-In Totals	11	11
Not Assigned	11	11
Total Votes Cast	505	505
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	107	107
Contest Totals	612	612

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	471	471
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	121	121
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	594	594
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	612	612

Precinct 068

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	418	418
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	164	164
Total Votes Cast	582	582
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	612	612

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	416	416
NO	167	167
Total Votes Cast	583	583
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	612	612

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 069

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,439	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,900	1,900
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	42.80%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,086	1,086
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	752	752
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,842	1,842
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	56	56
Contest Totals	1,900	1,900

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,200	1,200
Write-In Totals	92	92
Not Assigned	92	92
Total Votes Cast	1,292	1,292
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	608	608
Contest Totals	1,900	1,900

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,062	1,062
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	773	773
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,837	1,837
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	63	63
Contest Totals	1,900	1,900

Precinct 069

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	922	922
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	910	910
Total Votes Cast	1,832	1,832
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	68	68
Contest Totals	1,900	1,900

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	1,058	1,058
NO	775	775
Total Votes Cast	1,833	1,833
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	67	67
Contest Totals	1,900	1,900

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 072

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,207	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,078	1,078
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.62%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	793	793
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	258	258
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,051	1,051
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	842	842
Write-In Totals	25	25
Not Assigned	25	25
Total Votes Cast	867	867
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	211	211
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	781	781
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	277	277
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,059	1,059
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078

Precinct 072

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	657	657
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	384	384
Total Votes Cast	1,041	1,041
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	728	728
NO	315	315
Total Votes Cast	1,043	1,043
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	1,078	1,078

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 073

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,713	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,272	1,272
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.89%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,039	1,039
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	196	196
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,236	1,236
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	1,272	1,272

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,065	1,065
Write-In Totals	17	17
Not Assigned	17	17
Total Votes Cast	1,082	1,082
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	190	190
Contest Totals	1,272	1,272

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,000	1,000
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	253	253
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,253	1,253
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	1,272	1,272

Precinct 073

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	920	920
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	299	299
Total Votes Cast	1,219	1,219
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	53	53
Contest Totals	1,272	1,272

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	911	911
NO	294	294
Total Votes Cast	1,205	1,205
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	67	67
Contest Totals	1,272	1,272

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 075

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,152	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,087	1,087
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.49%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	873	873
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	176	176
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,052	1,052
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	909	909
Write-In Totals	18	18
Not Assigned	18	18
Total Votes Cast	927	927
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	160	160
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	880	880
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	198	198
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,079	1,079
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087

Precinct 075

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	766	766
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	289	289
Total Votes Cast	1,055	1,055
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	776	776
NO	268	268
Total Votes Cast	1,044	1,044
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	43	43
Contest Totals	1,087	1,087

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 078

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,796	
Ballots Cast - Total	822	822
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	45.77%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	660	660
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	128	128
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	790	790
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	822	822

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	661	661
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	668	668
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	153	153
Contest Totals	822	822

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	630	630
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	172	172
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	803	803
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	822	822

Precinct 078

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	640	640
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	153	153
Total Votes Cast	793	793
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	822	822

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	608	608
NO	174	174
Total Votes Cast	782	782
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	822	822

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 080

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,759	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,030	1,030
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	37.33%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	778	778
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	211	211
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	992	992
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	1,030	1,030

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	794	794
Write-In Totals	20	20
Not Assigned	20	20
Total Votes Cast	814	814
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	216	216
Contest Totals	1,030	1,030

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	784	784
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	221	221
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	1,011	1,011
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	1,030	1,030

Precinct 080

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	682	682
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	301	301
Total Votes Cast	983	983
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	47	47
Contest Totals	1,030	1,030

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	706	706
NO	262	262
Total Votes Cast	968	968
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	62	62
Contest Totals	1,030	1,030

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 082

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,296	
Ballots Cast - Total	986	986
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.94%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	814	814
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	149	149
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	965	965
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	986	986

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	849	849
Write-In Totals	11	11
Not Assigned	11	11
Total Votes Cast	860	860
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	126	126
Contest Totals	986	986

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	806	806
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	163	163
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	972	972
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	986	986

Precinct 082

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	719	719
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	228	228
Total Votes Cast	947	947
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	39	39
Contest Totals	986	986

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	725	725
NO	207	207
Total Votes Cast	932	932
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	54	54
Contest Totals	986	986

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 086

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,180	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,185	1,185
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.35%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	898	898
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	261	261
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,161	1,161
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	1,184	1,184

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	968	968
Write-In Totals	43	43
Not Assigned	43	43
Total Votes Cast	1,011	1,011
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	173	173
Contest Totals	1,184	1,184

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	889	889
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	264	264
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	1,158	1,158
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	1,184	1,184

Precinct 086

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	671	671
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	460	460
Total Votes Cast	1,131	1,131
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	54	54
Contest Totals	1,185	1,185

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	685	685
NO	445	445
Total Votes Cast	1,130	1,130
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	54	54
Contest Totals	1,184	1,184

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 087

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	681	
Ballots Cast - Total	156	156
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.91%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	117	117
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	38	38
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	155	155
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	156	156

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	121	121
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	123	123
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	156	156

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	115	115
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	36	36
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	151	151
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	156	156

Precinct 087

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	107	107
NO	28	28
Total Votes Cast	135	135
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	156	156

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 089

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,121	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,161	1,161
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.20%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	835	835
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	314	314
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,151	1,151
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	1,161	1,161

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	878	878
Write-In Totals	18	18
Not Assigned	18	18
Total Votes Cast	896	896
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	265	265
Contest Totals	1,161	1,161

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	812	812
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	312	312
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,127	1,127
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	1,161	1,161

Precinct 089

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	707	707
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	421	421
Total Votes Cast	1,128	1,128
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	1,161	1,161

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	776	776
NO	340	340
Total Votes Cast	1,116	1,116
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	1,161	1,161

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 090

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,795	
Ballots Cast - Total	519	519
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.91%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	244	244
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	271	271
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	515	515
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	519	519

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	280	280
Write-In Totals	45	45
Not Assigned	45	45
Total Votes Cast	325	325
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	194	194
Contest Totals	519	519

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	248	248
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	269	269
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	518	518
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	519	519

Precinct 090

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	244	244
NO	233	233
Total Votes Cast	477	477
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	519	519

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 091

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,264	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,012	1,012
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.00%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	748	748
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	244	244
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	992	992
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	1,012	1,012

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	791	791
Write-In Totals	25	25
Not Assigned	25	25
Total Votes Cast	816	816
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	196	196
Contest Totals	1,012	1,012

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	731	731
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	272	272
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,004	1,004
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	1,012	1,012

Precinct 091

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	630	630
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	349	349
Total Votes Cast	979	979
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	1,012	1,012

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	639	639
NO	328	328
Total Votes Cast	967	967
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	1,012	1,012

Precinct 092

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,225	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,312	1,312
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	40.68%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	638	638
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	672	672
Total Votes Cast	1,310	1,310
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	1,312	1,312

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 093

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,296	
Ballots Cast - Total	879	879
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.28%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	658	658
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	185	185
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	845	845
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	879	879

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	692	692
Write-In Totals	22	22
Not Assigned	22	22
Total Votes Cast	714	714
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	165	165
Contest Totals	879	879

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	670	670
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	201	201
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	873	873
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	879	879

Precinct 093

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	560	560
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	284	284
Total Votes Cast	844	844
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	879	879

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	605	605
NO	233	233
Total Votes Cast	838	838
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	879	879

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 094

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,239	
Ballots Cast - Total	885	885
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.53%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	676	676
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	185	185
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	862	862
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	885	885

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	707	707
Write-In Totals	29	29
Not Assigned	29	29
Total Votes Cast	736	736
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	149	149
Contest Totals	885	885

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	660	660
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	214	214
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	874	874
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	885	885

Precinct 094

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	611	611
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	248	248
Total Votes Cast	859	859
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	885	885

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	627	627
NO	214	214
Total Votes Cast	841	841
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	43	43
Contest Totals	885	885

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 095

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,507	
Ballots Cast - Total	466	466
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	30.92%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	219	219
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	240	240
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	460	460
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	466	466

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	254	254
Write-In Totals	40	40
Not Assigned	40	40
Total Votes Cast	294	294
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	172	172
Contest Totals	466	466

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	216	216
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	241	241
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	459	459
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	466	466

Precinct 095

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	211	211
NO	217	217
Total Votes Cast	428	428
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	466	466

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 096

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,500	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,132	1,132
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.28%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	850	850
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	250	250
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,102	1,102
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	1,132	1,132

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	863	863
Write-In Totals	21	21
Not Assigned	21	21
Total Votes Cast	884	884
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	248	248
Contest Totals	1,132	1,132

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	835	835
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	271	271
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	1,112	1,112
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	1,132	1,132

Precinct 096

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	744	744
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	337	337
Total Votes Cast	1,081	1,081
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	51	51
Contest Totals	1,132	1,132

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	728	728
NO	339	339
Total Votes Cast	1,067	1,067
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	65	65
Contest Totals	1,132	1,132

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 097

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,095	
Ballots Cast - Total	701	701
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.46%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	505	505
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	181	181
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	689	689
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	701	701

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	543	543
Write-In Totals	21	21
Not Assigned	21	21
Total Votes Cast	564	564
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	137	137
Contest Totals	701	701

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	506	506
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	173	173
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	683	683
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	701	701

Precinct 097

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	420	420
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	247	247
Total Votes Cast	667	667
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	701	701

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	451	451
NO	213	213
Total Votes Cast	664	664
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	701	701

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 098

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,471	
Ballots Cast - Total	774	774
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	22.30%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	552	552
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	203	203
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	756	756
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	774	774

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	598	598
Write-In Totals	31	31
Not Assigned	31	31
Total Votes Cast	629	629
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	145	145
Contest Totals	774	774

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	545	545
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	217	217
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	766	766
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	774	774

Precinct 098

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	463	463
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	279	279
Total Votes Cast	742	742
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	774	774

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	457	457
NO	278	278
Total Votes Cast	735	735
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	39	39
Contest Totals	774	774

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 099

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,339	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,124	1,124
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.05%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	789	789
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	309	309
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,099	1,099
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	1,124	1,124

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	828	828
Write-In Totals	28	28
Not Assigned	28	28
Total Votes Cast	856	856
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	268	268
Contest Totals	1,124	1,124

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	775	775
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	322	322
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,099	1,099
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	1,124	1,124

Precinct 099

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	737	737
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	357	357
Total Votes Cast	1,094	1,094
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	1,124	1,124

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	763	763
NO	317	317
Total Votes Cast	1,080	1,080
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	44	44
Contest Totals	1,124	1,124

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 100

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,828	
Ballots Cast - Total	981	981
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.69%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	649	649
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	311	311
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	963	963
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	981	981

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	705	705
Write-In Totals	54	54
Not Assigned	54	54
Total Votes Cast	759	759
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	222	222
Contest Totals	981	981

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	647	647
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	322	322
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	973	973
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	981	981

Precinct 100

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	567	567
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	374	374
Total Votes Cast	941	941
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	981	981

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	605	605
NO	334	334
Total Votes Cast	939	939
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	981	981

Precinct 101

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,760	
Ballots Cast - Total	513	513
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	29.15%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	222	222
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	290	290
Total Votes Cast	512	512
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	513	513

Precinct 102

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	941	
Ballots Cast - Total	117	117
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	12.43%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	63	63
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	54	54
Total Votes Cast	117	117
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	117	117

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 103

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,252	
Ballots Cast - Total	584	584
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.65%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	447	447
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	115	115
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	562	562
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	584	584

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	459	459
Write-In Totals	11	11
Not Assigned	11	11
Total Votes Cast	470	470
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	114	114
Contest Totals	584	584

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	443	443
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	134	134
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	577	577
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	584	584

Precinct 103

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	396	396
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	167	167
Total Votes Cast	563	563
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	584	584

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	402	402
NO	150	150
Total Votes Cast	552	552
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	584	584

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 105

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,204	
Ballots Cast - Total	477	477
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	21.64%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	285	285
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	185	185
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	470	470
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	477	477

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	322	322
Write-In Totals	21	21
Not Assigned	21	21
Total Votes Cast	343	343
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	134	134
Contest Totals	477	477

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	292	292
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	177	177
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	470	470
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	477	477

Precinct 105

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	232	232
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	227	227
Total Votes Cast	459	459
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	477	477

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	252	252
NO	203	203
Total Votes Cast	455	455
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	477	477

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 106

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,460	
Ballots Cast - Total	607	607
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	41.58%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Precinct 106

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	328	328
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	279	279
Total Votes Cast	607	607
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	607	607

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 107

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,464	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,222	1,222
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.28%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	874	874
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	332	332
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,206	1,206
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	1,222	1,222

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	930	930
Write-In Totals	32	32
Not Assigned	32	32
Total Votes Cast	962	962
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	260	260
Contest Totals	1,222	1,222

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	864	864
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	344	344
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,208	1,208
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	1,222	1,222

Precinct 107

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	758	758
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	433	433
Total Votes Cast	1,191	1,191
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,222	1,222

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	829	829
NO	348	348
Total Votes Cast	1,177	1,177
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	1,222	1,222

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 108

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,277	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,600	1,600
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.41%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,100	1,100
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	457	457
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,558	1,558
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	1,600	1,600

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,167	1,167
Write-In Totals	56	56
Not Assigned	56	56
Total Votes Cast	1,223	1,223
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	377	377
Contest Totals	1,600	1,600

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,088	1,088
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	487	487
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,575	1,575
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	1,600	1,600

Precinct 108

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	984	984
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	569	569
Total Votes Cast	1,553	1,553
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	47	47
Contest Totals	1,600	1,600

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	1,011	1,011
NO	518	518
Total Votes Cast	1,529	1,529
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	71	71
Contest Totals	1,600	1,600

Precinct 110

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,374	
Ballots Cast - Total	143	143
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	6.02%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	107	107
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	35	35
Total Votes Cast	142	142
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	143	143

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 111

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,211	
Ballots Cast - Total	879	879
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	39.76%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	600	600
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	267	267
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	869	869
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	879	879

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	639	639
Write-In Totals	32	32
Not Assigned	32	32
Total Votes Cast	671	671
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	208	208
Contest Totals	879	879

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	588	588
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	281	281
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	871	871
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	879	879

Precinct 111

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	493	493
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	357	357
Total Votes Cast	850	850
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	879	879

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	498	498
NO	343	343
Total Votes Cast	841	841
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	879	879

Precinct 112

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	266	
Ballots Cast - Total	128	128
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	48.12%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	67	67
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	61	61
Total Votes Cast	128	128
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	128	128

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 113

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,473	
Ballots Cast - Total	789	789
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.72%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	460	460
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	312	312
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	777	777
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	789	789

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	523	523
Write-In Totals	61	61
Not Assigned	61	61
Total Votes Cast	584	584
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	205	205
Contest Totals	789	789

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	467	467
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	310	310
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	782	782
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	789	789

Precinct 113

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	351	351
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	402	402
Total Votes Cast	753	753
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	789	789

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	426	426
NO	341	341
Total Votes Cast	767	767
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	789	789

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 114

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,166	
Ballots Cast - Total	91	91
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	7.80%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	54	54
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	37	37
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	91	91
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	91	91

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	58	58
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	61	61
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	91	91

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	54	54
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	37	37
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	91	91
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	91	91

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 114

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	43	43
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	47	47
Total Votes Cast	90	90
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	91	91

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	0	0
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	53	53
NO	37	37
Total Votes Cast	90	90
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	91	91

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 116

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	6,289	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,988	1,988
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.61%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,107	1,107
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	824	824
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,933	1,933
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	55	55
Contest Totals	1,988	1,988

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,244	1,244
Write-In Totals	85	85
Not Assigned	85	85
Total Votes Cast	1,329	1,329
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	659	659
Contest Totals	1,988	1,988

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,091	1,091
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	830	830
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,925	1,925
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	62	62
Contest Totals	1,988	1,988

Precinct 116

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	993	993
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	927	927
Total Votes Cast	1,920	1,920
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	68	68
Contest Totals	1,988	1,988

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	1,054	1,054
NO	859	859
Total Votes Cast	1,913	1,913
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	74	74
Contest Totals	1,988	1,988

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 117

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,637	
Ballots Cast - Total	890	890
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	33.75%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	581	581
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	290	290
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	871	871
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	890	890

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	629	629
Write-In Totals	43	43
Not Assigned	43	43
Total Votes Cast	672	672
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	218	218
Contest Totals	890	890

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	572	572
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	304	304
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	876	876
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	890	890

Precinct 117

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	467	467
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	398	398
Total Votes Cast	865	865
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	890	890

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	518	518
NO	349	349
Total Votes Cast	867	867
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	890	890

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 118

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,909	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,301	1,301
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.72%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	845	845
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	424	424
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,270	1,270
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,301	1,301

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	907	907
Write-In Totals	27	27
Not Assigned	27	27
Total Votes Cast	934	934
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	367	367
Contest Totals	1,301	1,301

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	819	819
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	441	441
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,261	1,261
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	1,301	1,301

Precinct 118

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	708	708
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	538	538
Total Votes Cast	1,246	1,246
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	55	55
Contest Totals	1,301	1,301

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	816	816
NO	435	435
Total Votes Cast	1,251	1,251
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	50	50
Contest Totals	1,301	1,301

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 119

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,355	
Ballots Cast - Total	775	775
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	32.91%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	461	461
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	291	291
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	755	755
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	775	775

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	510	510
Write-In Totals	40	40
Not Assigned	40	40
Total Votes Cast	550	550
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	225	225
Contest Totals	775	775

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	453	453
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	304	304
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	758	758
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	775	775

Precinct 119

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	369	369
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	366	366
Total Votes Cast	735	735
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	775	775

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	414	414
NO	330	330
Total Votes Cast	744	744
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	775	775

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 120

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,227	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,062	1,062
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.91%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	656	656
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	394	394
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,050	1,050
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	1,062	1,062

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	728	728
Write-In Totals	59	59
Not Assigned	59	59
Total Votes Cast	787	787
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	275	275
Contest Totals	1,062	1,062

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	651	651
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	394	394
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,045	1,045
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	1,062	1,062

Precinct 120

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	530	530
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	490	490
Total Votes Cast	1,020	1,020
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	1,062	1,062

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	583	583
NO	445	445
Total Votes Cast	1,028	1,028
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	1,062	1,062

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 121

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	921	
Ballots Cast - Total	486	486
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	52.77%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	255	255
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	223	223
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	478	478
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	486	486

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	286	286
Write-In Totals	22	22
Not Assigned	22	22
Total Votes Cast	308	308
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	178	178
Contest Totals	486	486

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	254	254
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	224	224
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	478	478
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	486	486

Precinct 121

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	243	243
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	238	238
Total Votes Cast	481	481
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	486	486

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	271	271
NO	200	200
Total Votes Cast	471	471
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	486	486

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 122

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,325	
Ballots Cast - Total	714	714
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	30.71%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	382	382
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	314	314
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	696	696
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	714	714

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	420	420
Write-In Totals	33	33
Not Assigned	33	33
Total Votes Cast	453	453
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	261	261
Contest Totals	714	714

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	377	377
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	317	317
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	695	695
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	714	714

Precinct 122

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	293	293
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	391	391
Total Votes Cast	684	684
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	714	714

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	351	351
NO	324	324
Total Votes Cast	675	675
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	39	39
Contest Totals	714	714

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 123

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,781	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,228	1,228
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	25.68%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	724	724
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	489	489
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,213	1,213
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	1,228	1,228

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	843	843
Write-In Totals	73	73
Not Assigned	73	73
Total Votes Cast	916	916
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	312	312
Contest Totals	1,228	1,228

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	727	727
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	482	482
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,212	1,212
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	1,228	1,228

Precinct 123

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	573	573
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	624	624
Total Votes Cast	1,197	1,197
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,228	1,228

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	646	646
NO	545	545
Total Votes Cast	1,191	1,191
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,228	1,228

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 124

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,730	
Ballots Cast - Total	521	521
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.12%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	256	256
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	253	253
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	509	509
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	521	521

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	299	299
Write-In Totals	22	22
Not Assigned	22	22
Total Votes Cast	321	321
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	200	200
Contest Totals	521	521

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	255	255
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	252	252
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	507	507
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	521	521

Precinct 124

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	200	200
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	301	301
Total Votes Cast	501	501
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	521	521

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	234	234
NO	265	265
Total Votes Cast	499	499
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	521	521

Precinct 125

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,379	
Ballots Cast - Total	991	991
Ballots Cast - Blank	4	4
Voter Turnout - Total	41.66%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	608	608
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	379	379
Total Votes Cast	987	987
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	991	991

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 126

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,692	
Ballots Cast - Total	791	791
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.75%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	332	332
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	366	366
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	698	698
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	714	714

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	390	390
Write-In Totals	25	25
Not Assigned	25	25
Total Votes Cast	415	415
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	299	299
Contest Totals	714	714

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	297	297
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	396	396
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	693	693
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	714	714

Precinct 126

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	381	381
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	394	394
Total Votes Cast	775	775
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	791	791

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	283	283
NO	404	404
Total Votes Cast	687	687
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	714	714

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 128

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,403	
Ballots Cast - Total	962	962
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.03%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	518	518
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	424	424
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	942	942
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	962	962

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	586	586
Write-In Totals	54	54
Not Assigned	54	54
Total Votes Cast	640	640
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	322	322
Contest Totals	962	962

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	515	515
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	422	422
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	937	937
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	962	962

Precinct 128

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	472	472
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	467	467
Total Votes Cast	939	939
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	962	962

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	505	505
NO	429	429
Total Votes Cast	934	934
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	28	28
Contest Totals	962	962

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 129

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,378	
Ballots Cast - Total	727	727
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	30.57%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	450	450
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	260	260
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	713	713
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	727	727

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	488	488
Write-In Totals	33	33
Not Assigned	33	33
Total Votes Cast	521	521
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	206	206
Contest Totals	727	727

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	439	439
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	273	273
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	712	712
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	727	727

Precinct 129

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	376	376
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	330	330
Total Votes Cast	706	706
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	727	727

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	407	407
NO	297	297
Total Votes Cast	704	704
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	727	727

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 130

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,057	
Ballots Cast - Total	707	707
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	34.37%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	356	356
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	340	340
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	696	696
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	707	707

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	404	404
Write-In Totals	39	39
Not Assigned	39	39
Total Votes Cast	443	443
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	264	264
Contest Totals	707	707

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	355	355
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	340	340
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	696	696
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	707	707

Precinct 130

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	301	301
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	384	384
Total Votes Cast	685	685
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	707	707

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	341	341
NO	341	341
Total Votes Cast	682	682
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	707	707

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 131

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,284	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,166	1,166
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	51.05%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	593	593
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	544	544
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,137	1,137
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	1,159	1,159

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	654	654
Write-In Totals	58	58
Not Assigned	58	58
Total Votes Cast	712	712
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	447	447
Contest Totals	1,159	1,159

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	587	587
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	546	546
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,136	1,136
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	1,159	1,159

Precinct 131

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	511	511
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	623	623
Total Votes Cast	1,134	1,134
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	1,166	1,166

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	592	592
NO	540	540
Total Votes Cast	1,132	1,132
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	1,159	1,159

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 132

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,483	
Ballots Cast - Total	463	463
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	31.22%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	237	237
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	219	219
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	457	457
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	463	463

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	282	282
Write-In Totals	38	38
Not Assigned	38	38
Total Votes Cast	320	320
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	143	143
Contest Totals	463	463

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	236	236
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	221	221
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	457	457
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	463	463

Precinct 132

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	186	186
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	265	265
Total Votes Cast	451	451
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	463	463

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	224	224
NO	228	228
Total Votes Cast	452	452
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	463	463

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 133

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,087	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,257	1,257
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	30.76%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	696	696
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	526	526
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,226	1,226
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	801	801
Write-In Totals	67	67
Not Assigned	67	67
Total Votes Cast	868	868
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	389	389
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	693	693
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	524	524
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	1,222	1,222
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257

Precinct 133

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	550	550
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	679	679
Total Votes Cast	1,229	1,229
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	28	28
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	632	632
NO	590	590
Total Votes Cast	1,222	1,222
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	1,257	1,257

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 143

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,054	
Ballots Cast - Total	372	372
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.29%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	340	340
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	25	25
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	366	366
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	372	372

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	343	343
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	345	345
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	372	372

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	336	336
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	28	28
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	365	365
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	372	372

Precinct 143

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	279	279
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	84	84
Total Votes Cast	363	363
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	372	372

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	296	296
NO	62	62
Total Votes Cast	358	358
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	372	372

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 144

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,563	
Ballots Cast - Total	931	931
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	36.32%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	657	657
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	232	232
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	893	893
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	909	909

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	703	703
Write-In Totals	36	36
Not Assigned	36	36
Total Votes Cast	739	739
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	170	170
Contest Totals	909	909

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	660	660
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	228	228
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	889	889
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	909	909

Precinct 144

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	551	551
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	345	345
Total Votes Cast	896	896
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	931	931

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	552	552
NO	319	319
Total Votes Cast	871	871
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	909	909

Precinct 150

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	760	
Ballots Cast - Total	108	108
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	14.21%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	68	68
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	40	40
Total Votes Cast	108	108
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	108	108

Precinct 151

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,130	
Ballots Cast - Total	585	585
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	51.77%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	327	327
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	257	257
Total Votes Cast	584	584
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	585	585

Precinct 152

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	983	
Ballots Cast - Total	161	161
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.38%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	82	82
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	79	79
Total Votes Cast	161	161
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	161	161

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 153

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,886	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,266	1,266
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.58%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	692	692
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	282	282
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	976	976
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	993	993

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	732	732
Write-In Totals	39	39
Not Assigned	39	39
Total Votes Cast	771	771
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	222	222
Contest Totals	993	993

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	686	686
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	278	278
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	964	964
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	993	993

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 153

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	718	718
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	496	496
Total Votes Cast	1,214	1,214
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	1,250	1,250

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	6	6
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	10	10
Total Votes Cast	16	16
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	16	16

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	617	617
NO	335	335
Total Votes Cast	952	952
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	993	993

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 154

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,380	
Ballots Cast - Total	396	396
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.64%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	297	297
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	92	92
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	392	392
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	396	396

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	323	323
Write-In Totals	16	16
Not Assigned	16	16
Total Votes Cast	339	339
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	57	57
Contest Totals	396	396

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	296	296
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	90	90
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	386	386
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	396	396

Precinct 154

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	247	247
NO	88	88
Total Votes Cast	335	335
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	61	61
Contest Totals	396	396

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 155

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,092	
Ballots Cast - Total	211	211
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	10.09%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Precinct 155

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	120	120
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	91	91
Total Votes Cast	211	211
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	211	211

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 156

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,054	
Ballots Cast - Total	426	426
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.42%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	218	218
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	202	202
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	421	421
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	426	426

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	254	254
Write-In Totals	14	14
Not Assigned	14	14
Total Votes Cast	268	268
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	158	158
Contest Totals	426	426

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	215	215
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	205	205
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	420	420
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	426	426

Precinct 156

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	164	164
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	247	247
Total Votes Cast	411	411
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	426	426

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	200	200
NO	209	209
Total Votes Cast	409	409
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	426	426

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 157

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,411	
Ballots Cast - Total	627	627
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	18.38%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	469	469
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	144	144
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	613	613
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	627	627

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	500	500
Write-In Totals	17	17
Not Assigned	17	17
Total Votes Cast	517	517
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	110	110
Contest Totals	627	627

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	470	470
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	144	144
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	614	614
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	627	627

Precinct 157

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	350	350
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	255	255
Total Votes Cast	605	605
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	627	627

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	367	367
NO	240	240
Total Votes Cast	607	607
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	627	627

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 159

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,683	
Ballots Cast - Total	787	787
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	16.81%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	581	581
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	181	181
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	763	763
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	787	787

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	644	644
Write-In Totals	23	23
Not Assigned	23	23
Total Votes Cast	667	667
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	120	120
Contest Totals	787	787

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	582	582
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	181	181
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	765	765
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	787	787

Precinct 159

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	461	461
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	299	299
Total Votes Cast	760	760
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	787	787

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	480	480
NO	274	274
Total Votes Cast	754	754
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	787	787

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 160

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,951	
Ballots Cast - Total	340	340
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.43%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	281	281
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	51	51
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	332	332
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	340	340

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	305	305
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	306	306
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	34	34
Contest Totals	340	340

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	291	291
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	42	42
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	333	333
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	340	340

Precinct 160

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	239	239
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	90	90
Total Votes Cast	329	329
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	340	340

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	240	240
NO	88	88
Total Votes Cast	328	328
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	340	340

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 164

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,495	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,526	1,526
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.66%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,185	1,185
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	323	323
Write-In Totals	9	9
Not Assigned	9	9
Total Votes Cast	1,517	1,517
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	1,526	1,526

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,210	1,210
Write-In Totals	24	24
Not Assigned	24	24
Total Votes Cast	1,234	1,234
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	292	292
Contest Totals	1,526	1,526

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,134	1,134
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	340	340
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,478	1,478
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	48	48
Contest Totals	1,526	1,526

Precinct 164

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	1,043	1,043
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	438	438
Total Votes Cast	1,481	1,481
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	1,526	1,526

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	1,035	1,035
NO	422	422
Total Votes Cast	1,457	1,457
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	69	69
Contest Totals	1,526	1,526

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 166

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	5,579	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,379	1,379
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	24.72%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,123	1,123
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	240	240
Write-In Totals	13	13
Not Assigned	13	13
Total Votes Cast	1,376	1,376
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	1,379	1,379

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,158	1,158
Write-In Totals	33	33
Not Assigned	33	33
Total Votes Cast	1,191	1,191
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	188	188
Contest Totals	1,379	1,379

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,108	1,108
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	232	232
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,342	1,342
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	1,379	1,379

Precinct 166

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	157	157
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	43	43
Total Votes Cast	200	200
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	209	209

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	938	938
NO	251	251
Total Votes Cast	1,189	1,189
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	190	190
Contest Totals	1,379	1,379

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 167

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,725	
Ballots Cast - Total	693	693
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	40.17%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	583	583
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	104	104
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	690	690
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	693	693

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	568	568
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	575	575
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	118	118
Contest Totals	693	693

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	548	548
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	114	114
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	662	662
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	693	693

Precinct 167

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	474	474
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	177	177
Total Votes Cast	651	651
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	42	42
Contest Totals	693	693

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	519	519
NO	139	139
Total Votes Cast	658	658
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	693	693

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 170

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,155	
Ballots Cast - Total	706	706
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	32.76%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	137	137
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	35	35
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	172	172
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	173	173

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	142	142
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	147	147
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	173	173

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	134	134
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	33	33
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	167	167
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	173	173

Precinct 170

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	459	459
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	241	241
Total Votes Cast	700	700
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	706	706

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	136	136
NO	28	28
Total Votes Cast	164	164
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	173	173

Precinct 171

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,480	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,042	1,042
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	42.02%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	554	554
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	488	488
Total Votes Cast	1,042	1,042
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	1,042	1,042

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 174

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,665	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,674	1,674
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.88%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	995	995
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	654	654
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,650	1,650
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	1,674	1,674

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,070	1,070
Write-In Totals	64	64
Not Assigned	64	64
Total Votes Cast	1,134	1,134
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	540	540
Contest Totals	1,674	1,674

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	974	974
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	668	668
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,642	1,642
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	1,674	1,674

Precinct 174

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	827	827
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	799	799
Total Votes Cast	1,626	1,626
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	48	48
Contest Totals	1,674	1,674

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	938	938
NO	687	687
Total Votes Cast	1,625	1,625
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	49	49
Contest Totals	1,674	1,674

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 176

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,885	
Ballots Cast - Total	567	567
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	30.08%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	237	237
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	329	329
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	566	566
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	567	567

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	292	292
Write-In Totals	55	55
Not Assigned	55	55
Total Votes Cast	347	347
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	220	220
Contest Totals	567	567

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	233	233
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	329	329
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	563	563
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	567	567

Precinct 176

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	239	239
NO	279	279
Total Votes Cast	518	518
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	49	49
Contest Totals	567	567

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 177

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4,108	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,188	1,188
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	28.92%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	612	612
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	543	543
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	1,159	1,159
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	1,188	1,188

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	720	720
Write-In Totals	73	73
Not Assigned	73	73
Total Votes Cast	793	793
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	395	395
Contest Totals	1,188	1,188

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	621	621
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	539	539
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,163	1,163
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	25	25
Contest Totals	1,188	1,188

Precinct 177

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	493	493
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	666	666
Total Votes Cast	1,159	1,159
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	29	29
Contest Totals	1,188	1,188

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	555	555
NO	606	606
Total Votes Cast	1,161	1,161
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	27	27
Contest Totals	1,188	1,188

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 178

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,826	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,094	1,094
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	38.71%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	142	142
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	86	86
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	228	228
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	236	236

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	155	155
Write-In Totals	12	12
Not Assigned	12	12
Total Votes Cast	167	167
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	69	69
Contest Totals	236	236

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	138	138
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	91	91
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	229	229
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	236	236

Precinct 178

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	475	475
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	611	611
Total Votes Cast	1,086	1,086
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	1,094	1,094

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	140	140
NO	89	89
Total Votes Cast	229	229
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	236	236

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 180

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,436	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,336	1,336
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	38.88%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	701	701
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	615	615
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,319	1,319
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	1,336	1,336

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	799	799
Write-In Totals	69	69
Not Assigned	69	69
Total Votes Cast	868	868
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	467	467
Contest Totals	1,336	1,336

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	687	687
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	629	629
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	1,319	1,319
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	1,336	1,336

Precinct 180

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	583	583
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	722	722
Total Votes Cast	1,305	1,305
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,336	1,336

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	661	661
NO	644	644
Total Votes Cast	1,305	1,305
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,336	1,336

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 181

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,591	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,585	1,585
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.14%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	781	781
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	754	754
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	1,540	1,540
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	45	45
Contest Totals	1,585	1,585

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	870	870
Write-In Totals	80	80
Not Assigned	80	80
Total Votes Cast	950	950
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	635	635
Contest Totals	1,585	1,585

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	762	762
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	772	772
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	1,541	1,541
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	44	44
Contest Totals	1,585	1,585

Precinct 181

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	654	654
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	883	883
Total Votes Cast	1,537	1,537
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	48	48
Contest Totals	1,585	1,585

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	738	738
NO	784	784
Total Votes Cast	1,522	1,522
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	63	63
Contest Totals	1,585	1,585

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 182

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,913	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,441	1,441
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.83%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	756	756
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	661	661
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	1,423	1,423
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	1,441	1,441

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	864	864
Write-In Totals	76	76
Not Assigned	76	76
Total Votes Cast	940	940
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	501	501
Contest Totals	1,441	1,441

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	737	737
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	680	680
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,418	1,418
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	1,441	1,441

Precinct 182

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	629	629
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	774	774
Total Votes Cast	1,403	1,403
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,441	1,441

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	724	724
NO	681	681
Total Votes Cast	1,405	1,405
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	36	36
Contest Totals	1,441	1,441

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 183

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,609	
Ballots Cast - Total	646	646
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	40.15%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	312	312
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	326	326
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	638	638
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	646	646

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	363	363
Write-In Totals	42	42
Not Assigned	42	42
Total Votes Cast	405	405
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	241	241
Contest Totals	646	646

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	304	304
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	333	333
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	637	637
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	9	9
Contest Totals	646	646

Precinct 183

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	264	264
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	368	368
Total Votes Cast	632	632
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	646	646

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	313	313
NO	321	321
Total Votes Cast	634	634
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	12	12
Contest Totals	646	646

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 186

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	342	
Ballots Cast - Total	154	154
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	45.03%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	11	11
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	8	8
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	19	19
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	19	19

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	11	11
Write-In Totals	4	4
Not Assigned	4	4
Total Votes Cast	15	15
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	19	19

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	9	9
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	10	10
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	19	19
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	19	19

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 186

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	0	0
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	68	68
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	86	86
Total Votes Cast	154	154
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	154	154

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	13	13
NO	5	5
Total Votes Cast	18	18
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	19	19

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 189

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,710	
Ballots Cast - Total	605	605
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	35.38%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	449	449
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	136	136
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	587	587
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	605	605

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	465	465
Write-In Totals	14	14
Not Assigned	14	14
Total Votes Cast	479	479
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	126	126
Contest Totals	605	605

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	440	440
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	154	154
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	597	597
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	8	8
Contest Totals	605	605

Precinct 189

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	386	386
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	191	191
Total Votes Cast	577	577
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	28	28
Contest Totals	605	605

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	416	416
NO	158	158
Total Votes Cast	574	574
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	605	605

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 190

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,870	
Ballots Cast - Total	319	319
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.06%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	213	213
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	55	55
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	268	268
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	275	275

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	229	229
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	236	236
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	39	39
Contest Totals	275	275

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	218	218
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	51	51
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	269	269
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	275	275

Precinct 190

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	204	204
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	98	98
Total Votes Cast	302	302
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	319	319

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	179	179
NO	79	79
Total Votes Cast	258	258
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	275	275

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 192

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,262	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,287	1,287
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	39.45%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	675	675
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	578	578
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	1,255	1,255
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	32	32
Contest Totals	1,287	1,287

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	755	755
Write-In Totals	80	80
Not Assigned	80	80
Total Votes Cast	835	835
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	452	452
Contest Totals	1,287	1,287

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	670	670
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	584	584
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,254	1,254
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	1,287	1,287

Precinct 192

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	582	582
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	672	672
Total Votes Cast	1,254	1,254
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	1,287	1,287

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	646	646
NO	604	604
Total Votes Cast	1,250	1,250
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	37	37
Contest Totals	1,287	1,287

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 197

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,845	
Ballots Cast - Total	983	983
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.55%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	514	514
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	449	449
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	964	964
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	983	983

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	617	617
Write-In Totals	54	54
Not Assigned	54	54
Total Votes Cast	671	671
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	312	312
Contest Totals	983	983

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	513	513
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	450	450
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	964	964
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	18	18
Contest Totals	983	983

Precinct 197

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	393	393
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	550	550
Total Votes Cast	943	943
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	983	983

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	469	469
NO	486	486
Total Votes Cast	955	955
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	28	28
Contest Totals	983	983

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 199

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	115	
Ballots Cast - Total	68	68
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	59.13%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	24	24
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	44	44
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	68	68
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	68	68

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	23	23
Write-In Totals	7	7
Not Assigned	7	7
Total Votes Cast	30	30
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	38	38
Contest Totals	68	68

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	21	21
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	46	46
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	67	67
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	68	68

Precinct 199

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	18	18
NO	43	43
Total Votes Cast	61	61
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	68	68

Precinct 203

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,859	
Ballots Cast - Total	368	368
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.80%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	179	179
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	189	189
Total Votes Cast	368	368
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	368	368

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 210

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	256	
Ballots Cast - Total	83	83
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	32.42%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	56	56
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	27	27
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	83	83
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	83	83

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	62	62
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	63	63
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	20	20
Contest Totals	83	83

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	57	57
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	23	23
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	80	80
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	83	83

Precinct 210

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	55	55
NO	22	22
Total Votes Cast	77	77
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	83	83

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 214

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,868	
Ballots Cast - Total	965	965
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	33.65%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	27	27
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	15	15
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	42	42
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	42	42

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	31	31
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	32	32
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	42	42

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	28	28
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	14	14
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	42	42
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	42	42

Precinct 214

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	548	548
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	413	413
Total Votes Cast	961	961
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	965	965

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	26	26
NO	15	15
Total Votes Cast	41	41
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	42	42

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 217

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,118	
Ballots Cast - Total	425	425
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.07%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	235	235
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	188	188
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	424	424
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	425	425

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	279	279
Write-In Totals	31	31
Not Assigned	31	31
Total Votes Cast	310	310
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	115	115
Contest Totals	425	425

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	241	241
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	182	182
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	423	423
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	425	425

Precinct 217

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	262	262
NO	128	128
Total Votes Cast	390	390
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	35	35
Contest Totals	425	425

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 218

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	5,911	
Ballots Cast - Total	2,031	2,031
Ballots Cast - Blank	4	4
Voter Turnout - Total	34.36%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	1,147	1,147
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	854	854
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	2,004	2,004
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	2,031	2,031

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	1,277	1,277
Write-In Totals	126	126
Not Assigned	126	126
Total Votes Cast	1,403	1,403
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	628	628
Contest Totals	2,031	2,031

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1,150	1,150
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	849	849
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	2,001	2,001
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	30	30
Contest Totals	2,031	2,031

Precinct 218

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	1,143	1,143
NO	672	672
Total Votes Cast	1,815	1,815
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	216	216
Contest Totals	2,031	2,031

Precinct 219

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,827	
Ballots Cast - Total	673	673
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	23.81%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	376	376
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	296	296
Total Votes Cast	672	672
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	673	673

Precinct 220

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	3,202	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,453	1,453
Ballots Cast - Blank	6	6
Voter Turnout - Total	45.38%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	643	643
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	804	804
Total Votes Cast	1,447	1,447
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	1,453	1,453

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 224

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	5,160	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,361	1,361
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	26.38%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	648	648
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	704	704
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,352	1,352
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	1,361	1,361

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	779	779
Write-In Totals	112	112
Not Assigned	112	112
Total Votes Cast	891	891
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	469	469
Contest Totals	1,361	1,361

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	654	654
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	698	698
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	1,353	1,353
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	1,361	1,361

Precinct 224

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	652	652
NO	596	596
Total Votes Cast	1,248	1,248
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	113	113
Contest Totals	1,361	1,361

Precinct 225

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,455	
Ballots Cast - Total	402	402
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	27.63%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	214	214
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	188	188
Total Votes Cast	402	402
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	402	402

Precinct 228

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	863	
Ballots Cast - Total	408	408
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.28%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	184	184
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	224	224
Total Votes Cast	408	408
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	408	408

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 230

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	227	
Ballots Cast - Total	101	101
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	44.49%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	62	62
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	37	37
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	100	100
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	101	101

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	81	81
Write-In Totals	3	3
Not Assigned	3	3
Total Votes Cast	84	84
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	101	101

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	73	73
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	25	25
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	99	99
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	101	101

Precinct 230

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	65	65
NO	23	23
Total Votes Cast	88	88
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	13	13
Contest Totals	101	101

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 231

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	207	
Ballots Cast - Total	36	36
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	17.39%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Precinct 231

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	11	11
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	25	25
Total Votes Cast	36	36
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	36	36

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 232

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	5,605	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,072	1,072
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	19.13%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	669	669
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	379	379
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,048	1,048
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	24	24
Contest Totals	1,072	1,072

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	751	751
Write-In Totals	52	52
Not Assigned	52	52
Total Votes Cast	803	803
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	269	269
Contest Totals	1,072	1,072

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	664	664
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	382	382
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	1,046	1,046
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	26	26
Contest Totals	1,072	1,072

Precinct 232

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	562	562
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	479	479
Total Votes Cast	1,041	1,041
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	31	31
Contest Totals	1,072	1,072

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	612	612
NO	420	420
Total Votes Cast	1,032	1,032
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	40	40
Contest Totals	1,072	1,072

Precinct 233

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,586	
Ballots Cast - Total	611	611
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	23.63%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	295	295
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	314	314
Total Votes Cast	609	609
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	611	611

Precinct 234

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,354	
Ballots Cast - Total	590	590
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	25.06%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	286	286
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	303	303
Total Votes Cast	589	589
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	590	590

Precinct 235

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	5,106	
Ballots Cast - Total	888	888
Ballots Cast - Blank	2	2
Voter Turnout - Total	17.39%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	495	495
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	391	391
Total Votes Cast	886	886
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	888	888

Precinct 236

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,258	
Ballots Cast - Total	170	170
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.51%	

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	127	127
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	43	43
Total Votes Cast	170	170
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	170	170

Precinct 237

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	245	
Ballots Cast - Total	113	113
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	46.12%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	60	60
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	53	53
Total Votes Cast	113	113
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	113	113

Precinct 238

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	34	
Ballots Cast - Total	17	17
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	50.00%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	10	10
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	7	7
Total Votes Cast	17	17
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	17	17

Precinct 239

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	4	
Ballots Cast - Total	4	4
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	100.00%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	3	3
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	3	3
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	4	4

Precinct 241

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,859	
Ballots Cast - Total	813	813
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	43.73%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	412	412
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	401	401
Total Votes Cast	813	813
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	813	813

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 243

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	19	
Ballots Cast - Total	9	9
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	47.37%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	4	4
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	5	5
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	9	9
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	9	9

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	4	4
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	4	4
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	9	9

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	1	1
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	8	8
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	9	9
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	9	9

Precinct 243

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	4	4
NO	5	5
Total Votes Cast	9	9
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	9	9

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 244

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	941	
Ballots Cast - Total	124	124
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	13.18%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	100	100
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	23	23
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	123	123
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	124	124

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	103	103
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	108	108
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	16	16
Contest Totals	124	124

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	99	99
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	24	24
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	123	123
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	124	124

Precinct 244

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	68	68
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	51	51
Total Votes Cast	119	119
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	124	124

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	77	77
NO	40	40
Total Votes Cast	117	117
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	124	124

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 245

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,851	
Ballots Cast - Total	622	622
Ballots Cast - Blank	1	1
Voter Turnout - Total	33.60%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	540	540
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	71	71
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	612	612
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	622	622

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	555	555
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	560	560
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	62	62
Contest Totals	622	622

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	537	537
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	71	71
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	608	608
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	14	14
Contest Totals	622	622

Precinct 245

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	448	448
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	141	141
Total Votes Cast	589	589
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	622	622

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	425	425
NO	151	151
Total Votes Cast	576	576
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	46	46
Contest Totals	622	622

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 246

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,156	
Ballots Cast - Total	751	751
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.83%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	651	651
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	78	78
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	729	729
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	751	751

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	681	681
Write-In Totals	10	10
Not Assigned	10	10
Total Votes Cast	691	691
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	60	60
Contest Totals	751	751

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	657	657
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	75	75
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	732	732
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	751	751

Precinct 246

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	567	567
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	151	151
Total Votes Cast	718	718
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	751	751

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	582	582
NO	128	128
Total Votes Cast	710	710
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	41	41
Contest Totals	751	751

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 247

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	484	
Ballots Cast - Total	110	110
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.73%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	89	89
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	14	14
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	103	103
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	110	110

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	95	95
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	95	95
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	15	15
Contest Totals	110	110

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	88	88
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	15	15
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	104	104
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	110	110

Precinct 247

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	79	79
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	24	24
Total Votes Cast	103	103
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	7	7
Contest Totals	110	110

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	82	82
NO	24	24
Total Votes Cast	106	106
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	110	110

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 248

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	946	
Ballots Cast - Total	212	212
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.41%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	171	171
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	39	39
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	211	211
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	212	212

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	185	185
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	191	191
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	212	212

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	175	175
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	35	35
Write-In Totals	2	2
Not Assigned	2	2
Total Votes Cast	212	212
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	212	212

Precinct 248

PROPOSITION 416 - Sunnyside Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	150	150
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	56	56
Total Votes Cast	206	206
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	212	212

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	145	145
NO	62	62
Total Votes Cast	207	207
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	212	212

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 254

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	756	
Ballots Cast - Total	259	259
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	34.26%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	193	193
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	63	63
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	256	256
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	259	259

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	195	195
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	201	201
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	58	58
Contest Totals	259	259

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	184	184
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	64	64
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	248	248
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	10	10
Contest Totals	259	259

Precinct 254

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	164	164
NO	62	62
Total Votes Cast	226	226
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	33	33
Contest Totals	259	259

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 260

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	0	
Ballots Cast - Total	0	0
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	0.00%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	0	0
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	0	0
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	0	0
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Precinct 260

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	0	0
NO	0	0
Total Votes Cast	0	0
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	0	0

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 262

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,679	
Ballots Cast - Total	1,014	1,014
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	37.85%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	554	554
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	440	440
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	995	995
Overvotes	2	2
Undervotes	17	17
Contest Totals	1,014	1,014

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	603	603
Write-In Totals	59	59
Not Assigned	59	59
Total Votes Cast	662	662
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	352	352
Contest Totals	1,014	1,014

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	546	546
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	446	446
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	992	992
Overvotes	1	1
Undervotes	21	21
Contest Totals	1,014	1,014

Precinct 262

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	443	443
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	548	548
Total Votes Cast	991	991
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	1,014	1,014

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	513	513
NO	479	479
Total Votes Cast	992	992
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	22	22
Contest Totals	1,014	1,014

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 264

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	842	
Ballots Cast - Total	187	187
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	22.21%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	100	100
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	84	84
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	184	184
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	187	187

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	112	112
Write-In Totals	10	10
Not Assigned	10	10
Total Votes Cast	122	122
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	65	65
Contest Totals	187	187

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	99	99
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	82	82
Write-In Totals	1	1
Not Assigned	1	1
Total Votes Cast	182	182
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	187	187

Precinct 264

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	68	68
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	108	108
Total Votes Cast	176	176
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	187	187

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	84	84
NO	92	92
Total Votes Cast	176	176
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	11	11
Contest Totals	187	187

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 265

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	218	
Ballots Cast - Total	79	79
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	36.24%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	55	55
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	21	21
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	76	76
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	79	79

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	54	54
Write-In Totals	6	6
Not Assigned	6	6
Total Votes Cast	60	60
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	19	19
Contest Totals	79	79

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	50	50
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	25	25
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	75	75
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	79	79

Precinct 265

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	38	38
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	36	36
Total Votes Cast	74	74
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	5	5
Contest Totals	79	79

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	39	39
NO	34	34
Total Votes Cast	73	73
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	6	6
Contest Totals	79	79

Precinct 267

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	43	
Ballots Cast - Total	8	8
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.60%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	6	6
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	2	2
Total Votes Cast	8	8
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	8	8

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 269

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	238	
Ballots Cast - Total	61	61
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	25.63%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	33	33
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	28	28
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	61	61
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	61	61

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	33	33
Write-In Totals	5	5
Not Assigned	5	5
Total Votes Cast	38	38
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	23	23
Contest Totals	61	61

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	32	32
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	28	28
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	60	60
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	61	61

Precinct 269

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	33	33
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	25	25
Total Votes Cast	58	58
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	3	3
Contest Totals	61	61

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	24	24
NO	33	33
Total Votes Cast	57	57
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	4	4
Contest Totals	61	61

Precinct 271

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	895	
Ballots Cast - Total	144	144
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	16.09%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	78	78
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	66	66
Total Votes Cast	144	144
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	144	144

Precinct 272

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	1,665	
Ballots Cast - Total	301	301
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	18.08%	

PROPOSITION 414 - Tucson Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BUDGET INCREASE, YES / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, SÍ	170	170
BUDGET INCREASE, NO / AUMENTO AL PRESUPUESTO, NO	131	131
Total Votes Cast	301	301
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	301	301

Precinct 274

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	134	
Ballots Cast - Total	48	48
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	35.82%	

PROPOSITION 415 - Flowing Wells Unified School District
Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BOND APPROVAL, YES / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, SÍ	17	17
BOND APPROVAL, NO / APROBACIÓN DE BONOS, NO	31	31
Total Votes Cast	48	48
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	0	0
Contest Totals	48	48

Statement of Votes Cast
2025 Consolidated Election
November 4, 2025

OFFICIAL RESULTS
County of Pima, State of Arizona

Precinct 275

Statistics	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
Registered Voters - Total	2,572	
Ballots Cast - Total	536	536
Ballots Cast - Blank	0	0
Voter Turnout - Total	20.84%	

Council Member, Ward 3 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
DAHL, KEVIN (DEM)	302	302
WITTENBRAKER, JANET "JL" (REP)	232	232
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	534	534
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	2	2
Contest Totals	536	536

Council Member, Ward 5 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
BARAJAS, SELINA (DEM)	351	351
Write-In Totals	28	28
Not Assigned	28	28
Total Votes Cast	379	379
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	157	157
Contest Totals	536	536

Council Member, Ward 6 City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
SCHUBERT, MIRANDA (DEM)	305	305
TOLKOFF, JAY (REP)	230	230
Write-In Totals	0	0
Not Assigned	0	0
Total Votes Cast	535	535
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	1	1
Contest Totals	536	536

Precinct 275

PROPOSITION 417 - City of Tucson

Vote For 1

	TOTAL	ALL MAIL
YES / SÍ	273	273
NO	212	212
Total Votes Cast	485	485
Overvotes	0	0
Undervotes	51	51
Contest Totals	536	536