AGENDA MATERIAL DATE 11-16-21 ITEM NO. PA 15

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed :

Address:

Stonder	
4837 WWIIOW Wind Pl TUCSONAZ 85741	

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,					
Signed :	KARTHIK	CHANDRASE	LARAN	MARE	
	-	N. FQUATOR	LP		
	TUCSON,	AZ - 85741	·		
				na su sente parte de la seconda de la se Seconda de la seconda de la Seconda de la seconda de la	
	and the second			na an an taona an taon	

NIN 1521 MOS AD FC CT (CF B)

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,	\sim 0.127
Signed :	Daniel Valace
Address:	8253 N. MING PEARL WAY
Alter States States	TUSSON AZ
	$\beta \leq 741$
	y forfan de linge esteretes der mygnaacht gegelikter op die stelle stellte en die stellte en die stellte en die

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed :

Address:

cson

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,	
Signed :	
Address:	VAREZ UN WILLOW BLOSSO UN FRACE
	TJCSDV 12 85741
	n en en la seconda de la proposición de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de En estre el Consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la consecuencia de la conse

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

10/29/21

NEW J5-22PMC2-45FY CLK (F H)

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,	en en en en en service and and and and and and and and and the service of the ser
Signed :	Lence M. Romero
Address:	8268 n. Pink Pearl Way Jucsen AZ 85741 Way

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

ente de la completa de la contra entre presente de la completa de la consecuencia de la serie de la consecta d Para e a serie de la consecta de la serie de la cons Para terre de la consecta de las consectas de la consecta de la consecta de la consecta de la consecta de la co

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed :

Address:

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Signed: Benetic Dennisco Address: <u>H5460, SunQuest</u> St. Turson RE 55744	Respectfully,		
Address: <u>H5460, SunQuest</u> St. Turson RE 5344	Signed :		
	Address:	H546 D. SUNQUEST St. TURSON RE 857411	
	n an		

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

. 361

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be DENIED.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a single lobbyist carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... rich.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens-not lobbyists/special interests-of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... That means NO.

Respectfully

Signed : Address:

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

10/29/21

NEW 15721PH0243PC/01K 0F HD

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed :

PLANTAR 2 & STUL

Address:

10/29/21

NTW 1572PROPAGYCOLKOF BD

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,
Signed: JOING ////// @ ARACELI LARCE/
Address: <u>4831WEST WILLOW</u> WIND WAY.
<u>85741</u>

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfu	lly,	2	
Signed :	Janto. Moh	Kurn & Mah	
Address:	1293 N. Rinh Lear	1 Way	
	Juegon, 25 85741	O	
	20 - Andrea Charles and States and States		

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed :

Address:

NEN 15721m0242PC GLK (FH)

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted* **AGAINST** *approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully		
Signed :	Canna	
Address:	4290 W. Gateleeper pr.	
	Nuson, AZ 85741	

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

8327 N. Freshwater Tucson, AZ 85741

Jin Hoyar 806 E. Placita de Roberta

Tucson, AZ 85718

Respectfully,

Signed :

Address:

Attention Clerk of the Board: This letter is intended for distribution to all BOS Members as public comment to the Cortaro 57 item on the November 16 BOS meeting agenda. Please enter it into the public record.

4554 W Lord Redman Loop Tucson, AZ 85741

11-7-21

NRV 15723 PRO245 FC CL K (FF FN)

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W Congress Tucson, AZ 85701

Dear Sirs:

My name is Jeanette Maslowski, and I reside at 4554 W Lord Redman Loop, something I have done for 32 years.

When I picked out a lot from my subdivision, it was because there was an open desert behind my house, something I have enjoyed for years. If I look over my back fence, I can see the ground squirels running around hunting for food, plus all the vegetation.

At the current time, traffic has increased tremendously, and I hear a lot of traffic noise from my yard, which will be much worse if this "so called" development 57 goes through.

There is a school on Cortaro Road, Quail Run where I used to take a friend's daughter to and from school. Just coming out of that parking lot to make a left turn was just about impossible with all the traffic, making me wonder just how bad it will be with more development.

I think this entire development will be a complete nightmare. More

noise, and accidents, leaving us with less vegetation and wildlife to enjoy.

On Camino De Oeste/Pima Farms Road there is another development going on, right at a corner whch is dangerous to drive by. The houses are packed in like sardines, so how much more concrete jungle do we need?

I always enjoyed all the vegetation in Tucson, but there is less and less of it now, which is a shame.

I do hope you will give it some careful consideration before agreeing to this development.

I remain,

Jeanette B. Maslowski