Melissa Whitney

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 11-16-21 ITEM NO. RA 15

From:

Chris Hufford

Sent:

Wednesday, November 10, 2021 12:00 PM

To:

COB_mail

Subject:

P21SP00001 Cortaro 57 Specific Plan and Comprehensive Plan Amendment

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

RE: P21SP00001 Cortaro 57 Specific Plan and Comprehensive Plan Amendment

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 development project.

I am concerned about how it will affect the water table which my street/house need for life.

I am concerned about the number of saguaros that will be killed or transplanted.

I am concerned about the increased traffic on Cortaro and the noise it will include.

I do not feel that there is any need to change zoning to allow retail space. We have no need of additional stores in this area. Everything we need is very close at Thornydale or across the highway.

It isn't fair the we invested in our forever home by buying land and building a home with the knowledge that zoning prohibits retail in the area, then to learn that the rules can be changed, and the environment we chose will be changed radically.

Please reject this project as it doesn't fulfil a community need and negatively impacts your constituents.

Thank you, Chris & Cherie Hufford 8701 N Burke Dr Tucson, AZ 85742

AN IOZIMOI GEPCOLK OF RU $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{L})$

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

Randy & Sena Kreger 4570 W Lord Redman Loop Tucson, AZ 85741-4040

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

MIN TO ZIMICE OF THE TIME

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed: May K Good

Address: 4571 W Sun Dust st

Tucson, Az 85741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

18510 N. Camas Way

AN IOZIMOZOPICOLKIETNI)

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

4872 W. Willow Word Way

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be DENIED.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a single lobbyist carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face any of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... rich.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers distrust about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... That means NO.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

Eligibeth IW. Marapius 4553 W. LORD RED MAN LOOK PLOSON AZ. 85741-4042

10/29/21

الفائل أأناء المعتب الملافريون أروا بالإسارين

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

8101 N. Streamside Ave

Tucson, Az 85741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

8501 No. 5 Now drop Drive

IN 10 21 MOZEM PROCESS TO THE NEW YORK OF THE PARTY OF TH

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

Maeghan Fasciola

TUCKON #2, 85741

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

4856 W WILLOW VISIA C+

WW 1021M0226FC CLKOF HD

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Y894 W. Willow Ridge Pl.

Tucson, AZ. 85741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

4470. W. PLANTATION ST.

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

Jacon Pink Pear Way

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,
Signed:

Address:

NH75 W HONGRERRYWY

TIOSON AZ 85741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

4300 w Plantation St Lucson BZ 85741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

35741

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Peter + Gabrula Del Costi 1548 W LOED REDMAN LA

Address:

TOCSUM, AZ 85741

MIN 10-21 PMCR-CB FC G K GF HD

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No"…. *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Rafcol montaga

Address:

Turson HZ 85741

Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Please vote no on the Cortaro 15 LLC rezoning of 57.5 acres.

I am most concerned at the additional traffic that is development will be adding to the area. From the plan,

"At full build-out (estimated at 3-5 years), the proposed Specific Plan is projected to add a total of approximately 4,722 weekday vehicle trips per day (VTPD) to the transportation system, with 505 trips occurring during the AM peak hour and 340 trips during the PM peak hour. These trips are effectively split 50% (2,361) being incoming trips and 50% (2,361) being outgoing trips."

Camino de Oeste, what is now a fairly quiet dead end road will become a major connection to I-10 at Ina adding an undue burden to all who live along it. Imagine having an additional 2000 to 4000 cars driving by your backyard every day.

Understanding what they are going to build, the right turn only at Cortaro will only encourage people to use Camino de Oeste. The intersection of Camino de Oeste and Magee/Pima Farms, just below this development is an off kilter intersection.



It will become a dangerous intersection by adding so many more vehicles to the equation. The traffic study did not need to look at this, and I believe did not look at the impact on Camino de Oeste by connecting it to Cortaro.

I believe the focus was on Cortaro not truly understanding the increased amount of use of Camino de Oeste. I am someone who travels the roads and understands how and why people would be using Camino de Oeste instead of Cortaro. I have a difficult time imagining what it will be like with the increased traffic that connecting Camino de Oeste to Cortaro will cause.

Along with that, this development is going to destroy a unique desert area used by many people, including myself. When the new developments come in, they strip all the land, bulldozing it to just dirt. All natural fauna is gone, all wildlife is gone. Yes, a few of the trees and cacti may be saved but that is

different than having an open desert area. I grew up in the Tucson area. The desert is important and isn't really something that we should have to travel outside the metropolitan area to see.

I don't hold much hope that the "people" have much say in this, it seems that developers always win, but I would like you to know the desert area that you are willing to have destroyed for the sake of new houses, it is an area that is important to the residents that live around it. It is important to Pima County not to have all land developed. It is important to our environment and out world.



Thank you,

Terri Sloane

8032 N. Highcountry Ave.

Tucson, AZ 85741

IN 1021MO223 FY CLKUF BI

Pima County Board of Supervisors c/o Clerk of the Board 130 W. Congress, 1st Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke*, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

8440 N. Camas Way Tucson, AZ 85742

November 7, 2021

8451 N. Burke Dr.

Tucson, AZ 85742

Pima County Board of Supervisors

c/o Clerk of the Board

130 W Congress, 1st Floor

Tucson, AZ 85701

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

We object to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project and ask that you deny the approval of this Project.

Our primary concerns are inclusion of commercial properties; noise and traffic congestion; potential for increased crime; and depletion of the water table.

Sincerely,

For the Fenn Revocable Trust:

John A Fenn

Rebecca B Fenn

NOV 10°ZIPNOZCES PCCAK GF PID

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke*, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed: Signed:

Address: 8471 N SHUDRER DE

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Address:

Toren : Caitlin Wikher

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. *The citizens of Pima County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted AGAINST approval of this project.*

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,

Signed:

Signed:

Sandra G., Thompkins

Address: 4544 W. LORD Redman LOOP

Tucson A2

8574/

10/29/21

Honorable Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I OBJECT to the Cortaro 57 (P21SP00001) Project. It is my wish that approval for this project be **DENIED**.

A month ago, the Planning and Zoning Board met to discuss the Cortaro 57 project. The citizens of Pimā County spoke, with conviction and with a unified voice, and demonstrated unprecedented opposition. The P&Z wisely voted **AGAINST** approval of this project.

So why is this matter being voted upon *again*? Am I to believe that in Pima County, the wishes of a *single lobbyist* carries more weight than the concerns of the tax-paying owners of SIX HUNDRED affected/neighboring properties?

This lobbyist and his clients have no demonstrable *personal* investment in my community, and they will NEVER have to face *any* of the deleterious consequences so eloquently communicated in the recent P&Z meeting.

The Cortaro 57 project can be summarized this way: We get the unwelcome insertion (and subsequent proliferation) of commercial properties, the destabilizing inclusion of rental properties, the additional noise and traffic congestion, the depletion of the water table, and the destruction of an irreplaceable wildlife corridor. *Projects International* and their clients get... *rich*.

As a textbook case of a well-connected, selfish, special interest working in open defiance of the expressed wishes of the public, this situation represents everything that taxpayers *distrust* about the relationship between government and lobbyists.

A "NO" vote on Cortaro 57 will work to restore our trust, because it will reassert that Board Members represent the citizens—not lobbyists/special interests—of their districts, and it acknowledges that when homeowners amass at a Planning and Zoning Meeting to declare "No".... *That means NO*.

Respectfully,
Signed:

Address:

8210 Equator Loops Tucson, AZ 85741