DATE 10:5:21 ITEM NO. Add 14

Danielle Greene

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	JoAnn diFilippd Monday, October 4 COB_mail District1; DIST2; Dis BOS October 5, 202	trict3; District4; Dist	rict5 ent letter to Addendum	No. 14	
CAUTION: This message an Verify the sender's identity	d sender come from outsid before performing any acti	e Pima County. If you on, such as clicking c	ı did not expect this messa ın a link or opening an atta	age, proceed achment.	with caution.
		,	CIMP Duranian Madiga	I Croup Ar	andment #2
COB: Please upload this emit to MA-PO-21-139 in the amo	ail as a comment letter to ount of \$398,750.00; and	Addendum No. 14 place a copy of thi	s email in the official reg	cord.	lendinent #2
To: Board of Supervisors					
On 3/16/21 a Master Agreer to be distributed on a share retrieved on 10/4/21 from Page of the Master Agree Search Resu	d annual award basis to a lima County OnBase syste ement stated, "Health De	select group of fivem). The funding s	e (5) contractors (see be	elow; inforn	nation
Doc CD Doc Dept CD	Doc ID AMS	Amendment No	Vendor Legal Name		Alias DBA
MA PO	210000000000000000139	0	PARADIGM LABORATORIE SIM PREMIER MEDICAL G		
MA PO	2100000000000000000139 2100000000000000000139	0	PHAMATECH, INC.	NOOF LEG	
MA PO	2100000000000000000139	0	VISITHEALTHCARE		DREMIED MEDIC
MA PO	2100000000000000000139 2100000000000000000139	0	PREMIER MEDICAL, INC. PARADIGN LABORATORIE	SILC	PREMIER MEDIC
It appears that also associated with this Master Agreement is a contract (CT 22-03) issued to SJM Premier Medical Group. On 10/5/21, the supervisors are asked to approve amendment #2 to SJM's contract (CT 22-03). The total contract value for SJM will now be: \$150,000 + \$100,000 + \$398,750 = \$648,70 (see below; info retrieved from OnBase on 10/4/21).					
220000000000000000003 1		MIER NEDICAL GROUI MIER NEDICAL GROUI			
I question the funding source provides the following information	ce on this contract plus th			tract reques	
Contract / Award Information Document Type: CT Department Code: HD Contract Number (i.e., 15-123): 22-003 Commencement Date: 07/02/2021 Termination Date: 07/01/2022 Prior Contract Number (Synergen/CMS): N/A					
Dacument Type: CT Department Code: HD Contract Number (i.e., 15-123): 22-003					
Commencement Date: 07/02/2021 Termination Date: 07/01/2022 Prior Contract Number (Synergen/CMS): N/A					
X Expense Amount: \$ 100,000.00					
*Funding Source(s) required: Expenses will initially be charged to the Health Special Rovenue Fund. It is anticipated that many of the expenses will be reimbursed from the American Rescue Plan Act funding					

It appears all expenses for the original contract and two amendments have/are being charged to the Pima County Health Special Revenue Fund with the anticipation that "many" of the expenses will be reimbursed from the American Rescue Plan Act funding. Thus, my question is: have all expenses from the original contract and amendment #1 (\$100K plus \$150K) already been charged to another funding source such as the ARP funding?

My concern is two-fold:

- 1. Can these expenses be posted directly to the \$203 million ARP funding allocation (recently received and approved by the BOS) or some other carry-over federal COVID funding vs. billing to the department and then later creating a journal voucher to move the expenses to a federal funding source? As you are aware, this is a much cleaner process for any audit review; and
- 2. Posting expenses directly to the applicable funding source will help prevent the type of situation experienced by the county last fiscal year when COVID expenses were posted incorrectly (using a Task Order process) and ultimately created a cash flow shortage that had to be corrected. And, to which CHH and Jan Lesher are not made aware of until it is "too late" and then they are tasked with giving staff directives to correct the errors. I don't blame CHH if he gets upset when these situations occur; he's responsible to the Supervisors and, ultimately, the auditors for expenses tracked incorrectly. That aside, I cannot "see" all the processing activities that have taken place with this MA, contracts, and funding sources, therefore, it is up to you Supervisors to ask these questions before approving the contract amendment.

Therefore, I highly recommend the Supervisors:

- 3. Confirm CT 22-03 is a contract associated with Master Agreement 21-139.
- 4. If so, reject this contract and make the necessary corrections to include the correct funding source on both the BOS Agenda Item Report and the Contract documents. Also, it is advisable to note on the CT that it is associated with MA 21-139 so that anyone looking at the contract at a later date will understand this contract is part of a shared annual award with four other possible contractors.
- 5. If not, please explain why this contract is funded outside of the \$33 millions hared annual award Master Agreement.

Pima County received over \$300 million in federal COVID funding and it's essential these expenses be tracked correctly, as incurred, and not as a journal entry "batch-job" moving the expense from the Health Department Special Revenue to a COVID federal funding source. Moving expenses "after the fact" from one funding source to another is a red flag in an audit. If you have any questions, please let me know. Thank you for your attention to this matter.

JoAnn di Filippo, PhD