

33 N Stone Ave., 11th Floor Tucson, AZ 85701 (520) 724-2702 district2@pima.gov

Pima County Board of Supervisors

To: Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board

From: Dr. Matt Heinz, Supervisor, District 2

Date: September 30, 2021

RE: BOS Agenda 10/05/21: Vaccine Mandate for All Pima County Employees

Please add this item to the Addendum Agenda for 10/05/21. Thank you.

Board of Supervisors:

Discussion/Direction/Action: Directing the County Administrator and County staff to propose a workable COVID-19 Vaccine Mandate, ensuring that all Pima County Employees who do not receive a medical or religious beliefs exemption are fully vaccinated by November 30, 2021. The mandate language should include the Administration's recommended consequences for non-compliance, which may include re-assignment to a non-public facing position, weekly or bi-weekly testing requirements, suspension without pay until vaccinated, or termination – with the dual goals of keeping our workforce and the public safe while maintaining the level of County services our constituents have come to expect. The proposed mandate shall be placed on the 10/19/21 Board of Supervisors meeting agenda for discussion and action.

Please see enclosed:

- County Administrator's Recommendation to the Board on this topic in his Memo dated August 10, 2021, which was never voted on by the Board, a substitute motion from Supervisor Scott being voted on and passed instead; and
- County Administrator's Memo to the Board dated September 27, 2021, indicating that vaccination rates in certain departments, including the Sheriff's Dept, Constables, Justice Court Green Valley, Treasurer's Office, Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation, and Wireless Integrated Network all remain below 70 percent. The Sheriff's Department was listed as having achieved only a 48.4% vaccination rate as of 9/27, which is unacceptable.
- cc: C.H. Huckelberry, Pima County Administrator Jan Lesher, Chief Deputy County Administrator

Dr. Francisco Garcia, Chief Medical Officer and Deputy County Administrator, Health and Community Services Dr. Theresa Cullen, Director, Pima County Health Department Cathy Bohland, Director, Human Resources



Board of Supervisors Memorandum

August 10, 2021

Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccinations for County Employees

Introduction:

The subject of requiring County employee's to be vaccinated has been discussed previously with the Board. A wait and see attitude was adopted in the past. However, given that:

- 1. we are now more than 18 months into the present pandemic,
- 2. vaccination supply is widely available and proven to be safe and efficacious,
- 3. there are several options with regard to what vaccine to take,
- 4. vaccination rates are generally static and the latest variant of COVID-19, the Delta variant is highly infectious,
- 5. COVID-19 cases are on the rise, and hospitalization and deaths related to COVID-19 are increasing it is apparent we should no longer take a wait and see approach.

A number of public sector employers in other states have now required employees to be vaccinated, including public employees. In Arizona, the largest healthcare institution, Banner Health, has required vaccination of their employees. It is likely other healthcare providers will follow suit in the near future. It is now appropriate to require all Pima County employees to be vaccinated.

Vaccinating our employees not only protects the employee, but also the public. Many Pima County departments and agencies are involved in the delivery of direct services to the public, increasing the publics risk to COVID-19 exposure if employees are unvaccinated. Vaccination will minimize the risk of employees acquiring COVID-19 infection from an unvaccinated employee or our employees who are unvaccinated transmitting COVID-19 to the public.

I am enclosing a report from Cathy Bohland, our Human Resources Director, on inquires I made with regard to requiring vaccinations for County employees. Her report is attached and discusses the processing of exemptions, the likely number of exemptions the County would have based on the size of our workforce and COVID-19 related medical expenses to date given we self-insure medical services for our employees.

The Honorable Chair and Members, Pima County Board of Supervisors Re: Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccinations for County Employees August 10, 2021 Page 2

Recommendation:

Based on a previous discussion in the memorandum dated July 29, 2021 from the Human Resources Director this is part of the recommendation <u>I would ask the Board to require</u> <u>COVID-19 vaccinations for our employees as a condition to continued employment with Pima</u> <u>County</u>. There are a number of effective dates that could be selected. Banner Health has selected November 1, other entities or agencies have adopted a date before that time frame. It is up to the Board to select an effective date though, given the recent acceleration of COVID-19 transmission within Pima County I would suggest that employees have at least their first immunization no later than October 1, 2021.

Sincerely,

C. Duhelban

C.H. Huckelberry County Administrator

CHH/sp - July 30, 2021

Attachment

 c: Jan Lesher, Chief Deputy County Administrator
Francisco García, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator & Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services
Terry Cullen, MD, MS, Public Health Director, Health Department
Cathy Bohland, Director, Human Resources



To: C.H. Huckelberry County Administrator

Date: July 29, 2021 From: Cathy Bohland Director Human Resources

Via: Jan Lesher, Chief Deputy County Administrator

Re: Response to Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination for Employees with Medical and Religious Exemptions Memorandum Dated July 22, 2021

Many employers are determining whether to mandate vaccinations in the workplace. This measure was first implemented in April 2021, in the health care field as evidenced by Houston Methodist, an academic medical center in Texas and now locally by Banner Health. This decision is now being implemented by public employers as evidenced by New York City which will require all city workers to either get vaccinated or test weekly for the virus, and California, which has a similar policy for state employees and health care workers. Below is additional information to consider when making this critical decision.

Processing Exemptions

The U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) has issued guidance indicating employers can require employees to get vaccinated and block them from entering the workplace if they refuse. Along with this mandate comes the employee's ability to request an exemption due to either a medical disability or a sincerely held religious belief. The employee would be required to complete paperwork identifying the reason for the exemption request and, in some cases, provide supporting documentation for review by Human Resources. The requesting employee, employee's Department and Human Resources would then need to engage in the interactive process (which requires an individualized assessment) to identify possible reasonable accommodations that exist which could include providing a separate office or workspace, additional personal protective equipment, telecommuting, or regular COVID-19 testing, if it does not pose an undue hardship on the County. Generally, insurers are required to cover the cost of testing without cost sharing - deductibles, copayments, co-insurance - when testing is ordered by a medical provider for diagnostic purposes: however, return to work program screenings do not have to be paid by a health plan. Therefore, where a reasonable accommodation of regular COVID-19 testing is the identified reasonable accommodation selected, the County would likely be required to pay this ongoing expense. The average cost for a COVID-19 PCR test is estimated to be approximately \$85.00 to \$95.00 each.

Number of Exemptions

Currently, we have approximately 6,800 employees. Of those, we know for certain that 2,046 employees have been vaccinated as they have requested an "I'm Vaccinated" badge and been verified in the vaccination database maintained by the State or via direct review of their vaccination card by the Human Resources Nurse Liaison. Obtaining the "I'm Vaccinated" badge is voluntary; therefore, we could have a higher number of employees that are vaccinated. Assuming we have the remainder of

C.H. Huckelberry, County Administrator

Re: Response to Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination for Employees with Medical and Religious Exemptions Dated July 22, 2021

July 29, 2021 Page 2 of 4

4,800 employees who require vaccination, it is difficult to ascertain the number of exemption requests that we will receive; however, their requests may not only include medical and religious exemptions, but personal and ethical exemptions as well. Meaning, while the personal and ethical exemptions will not qualify for an accommodation, each exemption request must be reviewed on its own to determine its nature and would require the review of any necessary follow-up information to ensure an accurate understanding of the nature of the request.

In determining the number of exemptions Human Resources can expect to receive, we can expect an equal or greater number of objections to the vaccine than we received from wearing a mask or face covering as a mask is significantly less intrusive. A review of data tracked by Houston Methodist, which mandated vaccines for 33,500 employees/credentialed private-practice staff, they received 393 exemptions and 332 deferral requests, for a total of 2% combined.¹ Following this trend and applying 2% to our 6,800 employee population, we could easily expect at the minimum, at least 136 requests for exemptions. However, reviewing data concerning vaccine hesitancy provided by the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, the estimated hesitancy² for obtaining vaccines in Pima County is 13.71% with an estimated 10.32% identified as "strongly hesitant."³ Using the "strongly hesitant" estimate of 10.32% of our 6,800 employees, identifies the maximum number of employees who may be opposed to vaccination as 702. Therefore, a projected number of requested exemptions can range anywhere from 136 to 702.

Because it is an individualized assessment with paper documentation required for both requests and denials/approvals, interactions with employees and their Departments, and regular reviews in the event the employee's circumstances or the Department's business needs change, this will be a time-consuming process. Currently, Human Resources has one Human Resources Nurse Liaison dedicated to COVID-19 efforts and we are recruiting for our ADA Coordinator position which is currently vacant.

COVID-19 Related Expenses to Date⁴

As of June 30, 2021, the County has 5,900 benefits eligible employees of which 5,130 employees and COBRA individuals are on the Aetna Plan. We have an additional 5,685 dependents on the plan for a total of 10,815 members. Between March 2020 and February 2021, insurers were required to cover the full costs of COVID-19 expenses and not permitted to apply cost sharing to its members, i.e.

¹ How to Develop a COVID-19 Employee Vaccination Policy, July 27, 2021, by Susan M. Miller, Robert A. Phillips, Roberta L. Schwartz, H. Dirk Sostman, Carole Hackett, and Marc L. Boom.

² Hesitant: includes survey responses indicating that they would "probably not" or "definitely not" receive a COVID-19 vaccine when available.

³ Strongly hesitant: includes only survey responses indicating that they would "definitely not" receive a COVID-19 vaccine when available.

⁴ This section relates only to the costs incurred and paid to Aetna and does not reflect any monies incurred or paid to CVS Pharmacy. Current vaccine administration costs billed by CVS Pharmacy to date are \$58,087; however, other costs for additional prescriptions or COVID-19 related costs have not yet been identified.

C.H. Huckelberry, County Administrator

Re: Response to Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination for Employees with Medical and Religious Exemptions Dated July 22, 2021

July 29, 2021 Page 3 of 4

deductibles, coinsurance, copayments, etc. Therefore, during this time period, the Health Care Benefits Trust (HBT) bore all COVID-19 expenses that were identified and coded by the medical provider/facility as COVID-19.⁵

From January 2020 through June 2021, the HBT spent \$3.2 million for COVID-19 related claims that were coded as COVID-19. This was 6.9% of our total medical paid claims of \$46.5 million, and covered 5,308 unique claimants. Of this, 2,965 were employees and 2,343 were dependents. Testing was 20% of the total COVID-19 spend (\$625,851) for 3,989 members, of which 5,476 were viral tests and 1,138 were antibody tests. The total paid for vaccine administration for 1,860 members, during this time period, was \$93,865 with 1,535 members, or 15.40%, fully vaccinated.

A review of the past six months finds that our COVID-19 costs are increasing, with the HBT spending \$1.4 million for claims *incurred and paid* from January 2021, through June 2021. This amount reflects 9.5% of our total medical spend. During this time period, the *actual* cost paid for COVID-19 activity is estimated to be higher due to:

- A 30 to 45-day lag from time of treatment to payment of the claim; and,
- Cost sharing in effect March 2021 payment of deductibles, coinsurance, and copayments by members an additional cost not reflected in the \$1.4 million paid by the HBT.

It is important to also note that while individuals who are unvaccinated, partially vaccinated and elderly are most at risk for the new Delta variant, there is also a risk for medically compromised individuals. Currently 28.2% (3,003) of the Aetna members are identified as "at risk for severe illness" of which 36% are employees, 40% are spouses, and 10% are dependents.

Below is a weekly adjudication of Non-COVID-19 and COVID-19 claims paid from September 6, 2020, through July 25, 2021. Because the report is weekly, it does incorporate a lag; therefore, some claims likely were incurred a month or so prior to the payment.



⁵ The codes used represent the current best efforts to identify likely COVID-19 activity; however, there may be some expenses that were paid and not included as a COVID-19 activity if they were coded as something different.

C.H. Huckelberry, County Administrator

Re: Response to Mandatory COVID-19 Vaccination for Employees with Medical and Religious Exemptions

Dated July 22, 2021 July 29, 2021 Page 4 of 4

Factors to Review When Determining Whether to Mandate the Vaccine

In making the decision of whether or not to mandate vaccinations for employees, the County must weigh the employee's choice in obtaining the vaccination versus the County's obligation to provide a safe workplace. Discussion topics to take into account when making this decision include:

- Employee autonomy;
- Safety of employees, customers we serve, and families of both;
- Individuals currently housed in juvenile and adult detention centers;
- Evaluation of the risk of COVID-19 infection versus the risk of severe adverse reaction of the vaccine;
- Adequate amounts of vaccine supply;
- Cost of providing the vaccination to employees;
- Loss of employees due to vaccines becoming mandatory;
- Maintenance of list identifying vaccinated and unvaccinated employees and ensuring integrity of the data collected due to possible serious consequences for noncompliance;
- Exemption accommodation request deadline (prior to vaccination deadline);
- Imposition of vaccination deadline;
- Consequences of not obtaining the vaccine;
- Consistent handling of exemption requests and sufficient staff to handle these requests;
- Creation of a mandatory vaccination policy;
- Posting requirement of vaccinations in recruitments;
- Date by which newly hired employees must be vaccinated;
- Costs to the Health Benefit Insurance Trust for both the COVID-19 vaccine and COVID-19 testing; and,
- Whether to divert associated costs to the Self-Insurance Fund.

Consequences of Failing to Obtain Vaccination

If vaccines are mandated, employees must be notified of the deadline by which to be vaccinated and of the consequences of failing to become vaccinated. Decisions must be made as to how unvaccinated employees will be handled. Options for those employees that are not vaccinated by the provided deadline and have not been granted a religious or disability-related exemption may include termination from employment or requirement of weekly or biweekly COVID-19 testing. If testing is required, it must be determined what type of test is acceptable, but more importantly, who is paying for the test. Insurers and plans are not responsible for testing for general workplace health and safety or other purposes "not primarily intended" for individualized diagnosis or treatment of COVID-19. Therefore, if weekly or biweekly COVID-19 testing is required for non-vaccinated employees, either the employee or the County must cover the cost for ongoing COVID-19 testing expenses.

Please let me know if you need anything further.



MEMORANDUM

Date: September 27, 2021

To: The Honorable Chair and Members Pima County Board of Supervisors From: C.H. Huckelberry

Re: Employee Vaccinations and COVID-19 Infections

For the week ending September 24, 2021, last Friday, 4,694 employees have been vaccinated, that is 75 percent of those eligible, a slight increase from the last report. Attached is a list of those departments that report COVID-19 vaccinations in descending order from least to most vaccinated. The department with least number of employees vaccinated is also the department with the most number of employees, the Sheriff.

Coincidentally, the COVID-19 infections from last week totaled 13, 8 of which were Corrections Officers or Sheriff employees of those 13 infections, 5 were from vaccinated employees 8 were from non-vaccinated. Total number of infections for employees to date is 966 out of an active employee list of approximately 6,700 which is a 14 percent positivity rate.

CHH/mp

Attachments

 c: Jan Lesher, Chief Deputy County Administrator
Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator for Public Works
Francisco García, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator & Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services
All Departments listed in Attached Report

I'm Vaccinated Employee Percentages As of 9-27-21

Department Name	Active Vaccinated Employees	Active Employees	Active Vaccinated Employees Percentage
Sheriff	660	1363	48
Constables	7	13	54
Justice Court Green Valley	4	7	57
Treasurer	16	25	64
Natural Resources, Parks & Recreation	159	242	66
Wireless Integrated Network	8	12	67
Economic Development & Tourism	10	14	71
Juvenile Court	221	302	73
Transportation	128	174	74
Clerk of the Superior Court	131	178	74
Stadium District-Kino Sports Complex	68	88	77
Recorder	31	40	78
Procurement	22	28	79
Pima Animal Care	85	108	79
Fleet Services	35	44	80
Regional Wastewater Reclamation	302	378	80
Superior Court	504	625	81
Facilities Management	135	165	82
Community & Workforce Development	105	128	82
County Free Library	378	458	83
Justice Courts Tucson	83	100	83
County Attorney	267	318	84
Grants Management & Innovation	32	38	84
Regional Flood Control District	42	49	86
Information Technology	161	186	87
Finance & Risk Management	117	133	88
Assessor	90	102	88
Office of Sustainability and Conservation	15	17	88
Health	267	300	89
Analytics and Data Governance	17	19	89
Justice Court Ajo	9	10	90

I'm Vaccinated Employee Percentages As of 9-27-21

	Active		Active Vaccinated
	Vaccinated	Active	Employees
Department Name	Employees	Employees	Percentage
Real Property Services	9	10	90
Public Defense Services	251	277	91
Elections	10	11	91
Forensic Science Center	37	40	93
Development Services	45	48	94
Environmental Quality	32	34	94
Clerk of the Board	17	18	94
School Superintendent	18	19	95
Board of Supervisors	22	23	96
Human Resources	48	50	96
Behavioral Health	23	23	100
Capital Program Office	6	6	100
Communications Office	29	29	100
Community & Economic Development Administration	5	5	100
County Administrator	15	15	100
Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security	8	8	100
Public Works Administration	2	2	100
Totals:	4686	6282	75

Total Number of Exemptions Granted

0

All employees in Job Classifications:

- 9994 Election Workers
- 1595 Election Aides
- 6214 Recreation Aide
- 6226 Campground Concession Worker
- 6231 Lifeguard
- 6232 Lifeguard WSI
- 6233 Lifeguard Principal
- are not included in this report.