From: Sent: To: Subject: 26clarity < Saturday, September 18, 2021 1:55 PM COB_mail Regarding Item 22 on the agenda on September 21, 2021

AGENDA MATERIAL

Å

EP 2021 MORPH CLK CF ID

ารสืบให้ส่วนให้กล่อยู่ปฏิสาทธิ์ที่ผู้สารให้มีการสาทยังสุสาทิทย์การให้ระบบไปประวัติที่สารสาทธิ์สามารถและ เลื้อให้ ผู้ให้ผู้หรือสอบไปประเทศที่ผู้ให้ผู้สารประเทศที่สุดการให้หรือ เป็นหรือโตกลาก และกล่อยู่ใจให้สิ่งให้มีความไป และ

It is my understanding that Item 22 concerns a possible action by the Board which will reduce the number of Justice of the Piece as well as Constables. It is my position that the Board should take no action to reduce the number of JP's or the number of Constables. These officials are critical to the functioning of their respective districts. I think that retaining the current number of JP's and Constables is in the best interest of the voters of Pima County.

I will be viewing your meeting virtually and in the event of a deviation from my wishes I would like to be advised of how I can act to alter the actions of Board members collectively as in the form of a resolution which does not comport with my position as stated above.

1

Robert Moser 331 E. Paseo Chuparosas, Green Valley, AZ

From:
Sent:
To:
Subject:

Ilene < Saturday, September 18, 2021 8:54 AM COB_mail Item 22, Justice Court and Constable Reprecincting

. The provide standing of the second of the second provide second advectory of the second second

tem 22 of your September 21st meeting agenda is to do away with JP district 5, which services Northeast and Southeast Tucson, Vail, Corona de Tucson, and Southeast Pima County. The numbers that Administrator Huckelberry is using are COVID numbers, where the courts were CLOSED for a few months. The people need this JP district to stay in tact. I would like these comments to be read into the record at the meeting.

1

3. P. 2021 m 08-27 FC 0. K 0F 11) - D6

Ilene Thompson

From:
Sent:
То:
Subject:
Attachments:

GULTUNDINGESON CLUD

ale meter puis a configurant, comparant sin a subtra funne sur a strangent des avec l'antes proprier est a subt Ale après puis la main villanceme summe par la companya de subtra de subtra de la maine subtra fundance sur sub

I have attached a pdf letter to the Clerk of the Board for the September 21, 2021 Agenda regarding Items #15 and #22. I request these comments be included in the agenda addendum packet sent to the Board/Supervisors and, that my letter/comments be verbally read into the recorded record of your virtual meeting.

1

Thank you, Cheryl Caswell Pima County Republican Party 3rd Vice Chairman Legislative District #14 Republican Committee 4th Vice Chairman AZ GOP State Committeemen Elected Precinct Committeemen LD 14 Precinct 11 and a Pima County Taxpayer Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to you today about item #15 and #22 on the September 21, 2021 Agenda, I encourage ALL Supervisors to vote NO on item #15 and, also NO to Option #1 AND Option #2 for Item #22.

Item #15 – Precinct Consolidation: I served Pima County Elections in many different capacities for the 2020 Election.

I was the **"Judge of the Opposite Party"** at Precinct 221 in Vail for the Primary and General Election. I was a Republican Observer with Elections Department Brad Nelson, Mary and Pamela Franklin during election time performing.

duties such as:

- Observing Affidavit envelope opening/Signature Verification in the Recorders Election office
- Observing the <u>Early Ballot Board Room</u> teams preparing ballots for machine tally in Elections Department, Observing <u>Duplicate Ballot teams/cubicles</u> and the proofing of those duplicated ballots with the Early Board Teams,
- Observing the <u>Ballot Tabulation Room from Media/Press room</u>, I was present when the Green Valley Fire District and Continental School District anomaly was found and I learned from a Pima County Elections Employee that our E S & S Machines DO PRINT OVALS ON TEST BALLOTS!

Due to the immense amount of ballots mailed in for the 2020 election, and the COVID mandates and restrictions placed countywide, including judicial changes in election law without passage from our legislators, the Pima County 2020 General Election was a "Mailin" election similar to the City of Tucson "Mail-in" Only Election. Reducing precincts based on a unusual historical "A-typical" election cycle such as 2020, is the opposite of where we should be headed as a community to secure our election system.

Securing Future Elections in Pima County Should Look More Like:

- Increasing the Number of Precincts By Reducing the Number of Voters Per Precinct to 1000 Registered Voters
- More Precincts = More Polling Locations to Encourage Participation In-Person Voting (PEVL/AEVL for Disabled/Military Voters ONLY)
- Promotion of In-Person Voting on Election Day (1 Vote on 1 Specific Day)
- Tabulation of Votes Cast by "General Ballot" on Election Day at Polls By Precinct (NOT "Provisionals/Special Conditions")
- PRELIMINARY Reporting of Precinct Tabulation prior to Change in Chain of Custody of Ballots to Pima County Recorder/Elections Department for Final Tabulation
- Paper Ballots ONLY Proper Writing Instruments Provided / Electronic Machines provide NO Receipt of Vote Cast
- County-wide Canvass of ALL Registered Voters to Address Concerns of Voter Registry Irregularities and Errors, so many voters arriving at the polls had not updated their voting information

In my opinion, increasing the size of precincts by increasing the number of registered voters, will result in fewer polling locations located farther in proximity requiring voters to travel farther to cast a ballot in-person and is DISENFRANCHISEMENT of the Pima County Voting Taxpayers. These are the Elections paid for by the Pima County Taxpayer for the Pima County Voting Taxpayer NOT the Pima County Board of Supervisors or the Pima County Recorder/Elections Department. \$115,000 +/- in Savings is not worth the effort. Stop buying defunct golf courses, flood zone properties and bowling alleys to save \$\$\$ - DO NOT LIMIT THE PEOPLES ABILITY TO VOTE! <u>VOTE NO on Item #15 REDUCING THE NUMBER PRECINCTS in PIMA COUNTY!</u>

Item #22 - Eliminating a Justice of the Peace/Constable District

Administrator Chuck Huckleberry is using statistics affected by COVID mandates and court closures, this lacks "Common Sense" and is **MISLEADING**. Utilizing a "Pandemic" to strategize an advantage to maintain control of the county by "gerrymandering" and packing the courts shows complete disconnect from the public of Pima County and disregard for the citizens of the community. Administrator Huckleberry and other supervisors need to create a structure in which they are protected from the outcome of the poor decisions/regulations they create, which affect the daily lives of citizens, this is nothing more than a protective attempt to provide "Political Cover" for poor policies and bad management.

<u>Vote NO on Item #22 Option #1 and Option #2 – No Changes to Justice of the Peace/Constable Districts should be considered</u> before the completion of Legislative Redistricting and further analysis of Census 2020 data is completed.

Sincerely,

Cheryl Caswell, a Pima County Taxpayer and Elected Pima County Republican Party Precinct Committeemen Pima County Republican Party 3rd Vice Chairman AZ GOP State Committeemen Legislative District #14 GOP 4th Vice Chairman

Melissa Whitney

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Anissa Ramirez Monday, September 20, 2021 8:30 AM Diana Schwartz Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera RE: Option 1

Categories:

Yellow Category

From: Diana Schwartz <

Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2021 12:30 PM

To: District1 <<u>District1@pima.gov</u>>; DIST2 <<u>DIST.2@pima.gov</u>>; District3 <<u>District.3@pima.gov</u>>; District5 <<u>District5@pima.gov</u>>;

Subject: Option 1

le spillet villette verkensellen die het die stellen schenden ist need die stellen er oppen were open in die s Seven die samt also suites setteren insomality ophisterikke lightere aller is ophists aus in the same settere i

21m0042FC CLK OF ID)

Dear Supervisor,

We support Option 1 for JP Maps because it is the only option that meets the criteria for <u>equal representations</u>. Option 1 is the best map. It equalizes population in the districts, is the best map for Constables, it has geographic integrity. It is the only option that conveys fairness to the public and It is also less vulnerable to criticism or legal challenge.

Pima County expects fairness from our Judges in court. We want fair and equal representation in redistricting.

1

Thank you,

Diana and Martin Schwartz 1032 N Eagle Hollow Rd Green Valley AZ 85614

Melissa Whitney

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Anissa Ramirez Monday, September 20, 2021 8:29 AM klobrien@comcast.net Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail RE: 9/21 agenda item #22 68 B)

UP 2021M0042PC CL

Categories:

Yellow Category

From:

Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2021 10:56 AM To: District3 <<u>District.3@pima.gov</u>> Subject: 9/21 agenda item #22

's A february a history of this will want of this country to , durant to an history with so with the address of Ny directive and it is a straight this country with a straight of this states and the straight of the states of

Supervisor Bronson,

Please consider a "no" vote or even dropping item #22 from the upcoming Board meeting. I see no reason to eliminate JP5 at this time. Why not wait until all the congressional re-districting is in place. Chuck Huckleberry in his memo says "data does not support Judge Taylor's assertion of a growing backlog." He does not mention, furthermore I am even wondering if, the data is extrapolated from periods during Covid lockdowns and social distancing mandates? That would certainly skew that data.

Let us beat this Covid crisis before we make changes that may be detrimental to public safety.

Also, I am requesting that my comments be read into the record during the meeting.

I live in the county at:

950 W. Comobabi Dr. Tucson, AZ 85704

sincerely,

Kevin P OBrien, RCDD/NTS

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Anissa Ramirez Monday, September 20, 2021 8:47 AM bobmoserjr@gmail.com Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail RE: Item 22 Agenda meeting on September 21, 2021

From: 26clarity Sent: Saturday, September 18, 2021 2:39 PM To: District3 <<u>District.3@pima.gov</u>> Subject: Item 22 Agenda meeting on September 21, 2021

yer elaktean elle tijd talt opplaatean of ander offerale de de date date bat fil oor hat fil oor hat op geddae Stat filmelte min op beletering gedeel taare at a gaar af hoo dig ar oo gedoaten. Dat at oo dataare

I request that the Board of Supervisors does not reduce the Justice Precincts; especially at this date Justice Precinct 5, servicing NE and SE Tucson, Vail, Corona de Tucson and SE Pima County. Court packing in District 5 is not for the good of all voters in this district nor any other district in Pima County. Chuck Huckleberry's COVID data numbers for his district is not accurate or up to date. Please read my comments into records during the meeting.

1

Thank you. Patricia Moser, 331 E Paseo Chuparosas, Green Valley, AZ