

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 9-7-21

ITEM NO. PA 9

Melissa Manriquez

From: Jovan Vercel Jr <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 5:01 PM
To: COB_mail; Rex Scott; District1; Matt Heinz; DIST2; Sharon Bronson; District3; Steve Christy; District4; Adelita Grijalva; District5
Subject: Ant Proposed Vaccine Mandate
Attachments: Dr Peter McCullough PMC 20210824_COVIDECHO_McCullough Presentation Pathophysiologic Rationale for Early Treatment of COVID.pdf

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Jovan Vercel Jr

12220 E. Tanque Verde Rd

Tucson, AZ 85749

[REDACTED] [REDACTED]

September 3, 2021

To: Pima County Board of Supervisors

RE: COVID Vaccine mandates for Healthcare Workers, or ANYONE else.

Please allow this communication to serve as notice to the Board of Supervisors that:

1. There is safe and effective treatment of COVID without having to us experimental or investigational vaccines (see attached study pgs. 1-46)

2. The vaccines DO NOT WORK as intended, and have HUGE risks. The "VAERS" system shows, as a nation (excluding the Covid vaccines), we give about 281 million vaccines per year with about 72 different vaccines. Historically "VAERS" reports an average of 158 deaths/year from those 72 vaccines (281 million doses) thru 2020. Now enter the COVID vaccines. Those COVID Vaccines alone have caused 12,366 deaths from

December 2020 thru July 30, 2021. That is 100 TIMES ALL other vaccines combined. (see attached study pages 47-63)

Welcome! MNI Great Lakes ECHO

We will be recording this TeleECHO Clinic for educational and quality improvement purposes only. Project ECHO collects and utilizes administrative data related to ECHO programs, which allows Project ECHO to measure, analyze, and report on the movement's reach, and is limited to authorized personnel at the hub.

CME accreditation information: The content of this activity does not relate to any product of a commercial interest as defined by the ACCME, therefore, neither the planners nor the faculty have relevant financial relationships to disclose.

Statement of Accreditation:

MNI Great Lakes ECHO is accredited by the Michigan State Medical Society to provide continuing medical education for clinicians.

AMA Credit Designation Statement:

MNI Great Lakes ECHO designates this live activity for a maximum of 1 *AMA PRA Category 1 Credit*™. Physicians should only claim the credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.

Nurses:

The ACCME is approved by the board of nurses as an acceptable provider of continuing education for renewal of license.

For all other members of the Healthcare Team:

A certificate of attendance will be provided to all other health care professionals requesting credits in accordance with state licensing boards, specialty societies, or other professional associations.



DISCLOSURES

-none



Pathophysiologic Basis and Clinical Rationale for Early Ambulatory Treatment of COVID-19 and Update on Vaccine Safety and Efficacy

Peter A. McCullough, MD, MPH

Professor of Medicine, Texas Christian University and the University of North Texas Health Sciences Center School of Medicine
Chief Medical Advisor, Truth for Health Foundation
President, Cardiorenal Society of America
Editor-in-Chief, *Cardiorenal Medicine*
Editor-in-Chief, *Reviews in Cardiovascular Medicine*
Senior Associate Editor, *American Journal of Cardiology*
Tagline: <https://americaoutloud.com/the-mccullough-report/>

Opinions expressed represent those of the speaker and not those of any academic institution or affiliated organization

Outline

- SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19)
- Pillars of pandemic response
- Role of early ambulatory treatment
 - Anti-spike protein antibody infusions
 - Hydroxychloroquine
 - Ivermectin
 - Favipiravir
 - Corticosteroids
 - Colchicine
 - Anticoagulants
- Early sequenced multidrug therapy
- COVID-19 vaccine safety and efficacy
- Conclusions

Outline

- SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19)

Therapeutic Response

Intracellular anti-infectives/antiviral antibodies

Corticosteroids/immunomodulators

Antiplatelet agents/anticoagulants

Untreated Mortality Risk

Fever
Sore Throat
Fatigue
Body Aches
Nasal Stuffiness
Loss of smell/taste
Anorexia
Nausea
Diarrhea

Chest Heaviness/Pain
Dyspnea
Desaturation
Systemic Thromboembolism

Cough
Difficulty Breathing

Thrombosis

Embolitic Stroke/Myocardial Infarction/DVT/
Pulmonary Embolism

Cytokine Injury

-COVID-19 Pneumonia

Viral Proliferation

-Viral Malaise

SARS-CoV-2 ↑ D-dimer
Nasal PCR+ ↑ Hs-CRP
Oral PCR/Ag+ ↓ Lymphocytes

McCullough PA Innovative
Early Sequenced Multidrug
Therapy for SARS-CoV-2
(COVID-19) Infection to Reduce
Hospitalization and Death,
presented in part at Scilnov,
COVID-19 Drug and Diagnostic
Developments, Nov 2, 18th Annual
WCIRDC 2020 Dec 3,
DOI:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.264
This is an open access article
under the CC BY 4.0 license
(<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>). adapted for Int J Med
Sci Clin Inv 2020, ID 2898 Open
Access Publication ISSN: 2348-
991X I 2454-9576

Day 0 Symptom Onset

7 days

14 days

21 days

30 days

Ambulatory Phase




Hospitalization Phase

Death

Outline

- Pillars of pandemic response

Four Pillars of Pandemic Response



Contagion Control
"Stop the Spread"

Early Home
Treatment

Late-Stage
Treatment
In-Hospital

Vaccination
"Herd Immunity"

"↓ Hospitalizations/Death"

"Safety Net for Survival"

*Correspondence: petermccullough@gmail.com (Peter A. McCullough)
DOI:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.264

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Volume 21, Number 4, 2020

SARS-CoV-2 infection and the COVID-19 pandemic: a call to action for therapy and interventions to resolve the crisis of hospitalization, death, and handle the aftermath




Fig. 1. Relative benefit of reduction in COVID-19 hospitalization for individual and population interventions taken as part of the pandemic response.


DOI:10.31083/

This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Submitted: 23 February 2021 Revised: 24 February 2021 Accepted: 30 March 2021 Published:

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
 - Anti-spike protein antibody infusions



June 25, 2021 The Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) has identified that the combined frequencies of the SARS-CoV-2 P.1/Gamma variant (first identified in Brazil) and the B.1.351/Beta variant (first identified in South Africa) throughout the United States now exceed 11% and are trending upward (<https://www.cdc.gov/coronavirus/2019-ncov/cases-updates/variant-proportions.html>). Results from in vitro assays that are used to assess the susceptibility of viral variants to particular monoclonal antibodies suggest that bamlanivimab and etesevimab administered together are not active against either the P.1 or B.1.351 variants. These assays use "pseudotyped virus-like particles" that help determine likely susceptibility of the live SARS-CoV-2 variant viruses.

Figure 1. Schematic depiction of the potential mechanism of mAbs in COVID-19 infection. Reprinted with permission from reference [4]. Abbreviations: ACE2, angiotensin-converting enzyme 2; COVID-19, coronavirus disease 2019; mAb, monoclonal antibody; RBD, receptor binding domain; S, spike; SARS-CoV-2, severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2. Reproduction permission was obtained from Rights Link. Taylor, P.C., Adams, A.C., Hufford, M.M. et al. (2021). <https://doi.org/10.1038/s41577-021-00542-x>

Clinical Infectious Diseases® 2021;XX(X):1-7

© The Author(s) 2021. Published by Oxford University Press for the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved. For permissions, e-mail: permissions@oxfordjournals.org
<https://doi.org/10.1093/cid/ciab579>

ORIGINAL ARTICLE

REGN-COV2, a Neutralizing Antibody Cocktail, in Outpatients with Covid-19

D.M. Weinreich, S. Sivapalasingam, T. Norton, S. Ali, H. Gao, R. Bhore, B.J. Musser, Y. Soo, D. Rofail, J. Im, C. Perry, C. Pan, R. Hosain, A. Mahmood, J.D. Davis, K.C. Turner, A.T. Hooper, J.D. Hamilton, A. Baum, C.A. Kyratsous, Y. Kim, A. Cook, W. Kampman, A. Kohli, Y. Sachdeva, X. Graber, B. Kowal, T. DiCioccio, N. Stahl, L. Lipsich, N. Braunstein, G. Herman, and G.D. Yancopoulos, for the Trial Investigators*

DOI: 10.1056/NEJMoa2035002

Copyright © 2020 Massachusetts Medical Society.

Table 2. Key Virologic and Clinical End Points.*

End Point	REGN-COV2			Placebo
	2.4 g	8.0 g	Combined	
At least one Covid-19–related, medically attended visit within 29 days¶				
Full analysis set				
No. of patients	92	90	182	93
Patients with ≥1 visit within 29 days — no. (%)	3 (3)	3 (3)	6 (3)	6 (6)
Difference vs. placebo — percentage points	–3	–3	–3	
95% CI	–18 to 11	–18 to 11	–16 to 9	

Neutralizing Monoclonal Antibody Treatment Reduces Hospitalization for Mild and Moderate Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19): A Real-World Experience

John Paul Verderese,¹ Maria Stepanova,¹ Brian Lam,¹ Andrei Racila,¹ Andrej Kolacevski,² David Allen,³ Erin Hodson,¹ Bahareh Aslani-Amoli,¹ Michael Homeyer,¹ Sarah Stanmyre,¹ Helen Stevens,¹ Stephanie Garofalo,² Linda Henry,¹ Chapy Venkatesan,¹ Lynn H. Gerber,^{1,2,4} Steve J. Motew,⁴ J. Stephen Jones,⁴ and Zobair M. Younossi^{1,2,4}

¹Inova Medicine, Inova Health System, Falls Church, Virginia, USA; ²Inova Office of Research, Inova Health System, Falls Church, Virginia, USA; ³Department of Pharmacy, Inova Fairfax Medical Campus, Falls Church, Virginia, USA; and ⁴Betty and Guy Beatty Center for Integrated Research, Inova Health System, Falls Church, Virginia, USA






Figure 3. Distribution of hospitalization rates based on the number of days between the first onset of symptoms and NmAb infusion ($n = 358$). Abbreviation: NmAb, neutralizing monoclonal antibody.

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
 - Hydroxychloroquine



<https://c19hcq.com/>

HCQ FOR COVID-19

259 TRIALS, 4,137 SCIENTISTS, 385,342 PATIENTS

66% IMPROVEMENT IN 26 EARLY TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.34 [0.24-0.49]

75% IMPROVEMENT IN 11 EARLY TREATMENT MORTALITY RESULTS RR 0.25 [0.16-0.40]

46% IMPROVEMENT IN 6 EARLY TREATMENT RCT RESULTS RR 0.54 [0.33-0.86]

22% IMPROVEMENT IN 177 LATE TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.78 [0.73-0.84]


23% IMPROVEMENT IN 40 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS RR 0.77 [0.64-0.93]

SUMMARY OF RESULTS REPORTED IN HCQ STUDIES FOR COVID-19. 06/23/21. HCQMETA.COM

Database of all HCQ COVID-19 studies. 311 studies, 229 peer reviewed, 259 comparing treatment and control groups. Submit updates/corrections below. HCQ is not effective when used very late with high dosages over a long period (RECOVERY/SOLIDARITY), effectiveness improves with earlier usage and improved dosing. Early treatment consistently shows positive effects. Negative evaluations typically ignore treatment time, often focusing on a subset of late stage studies. *In Vitro* evidence made some believe that therapeutic levels would not be attained, however that was incorrect, e.g. see [Ruiz].

HCQ COVID-19 Outpatient Observational Studies

Author	N	Age Mean/Median	Active Rx	Referent	Primary Outcome	Findings 1	Findings 2	Findings 3
Sulaiman https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.09.20184143 ;	7892	~50	HCQ x 5 days	Standard of Care zinc sulphate 60 mg x 5 days, clotrizine 10 mg qd 10 days	HOSP	Adj HOSP HCQ+SC HR=0.57 (0.47 - 0.69) <0.001	Adj Mortality 0.36 (0.16 - 0.8) p=0.012	30% LTFU
Ip https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.08.20.20178772 ;	1274	56	HCQ In Claims Database	Matched Propensity Cohort N=1067	HOSP	Adj HOSP OR=0.53 (0.29- 0.95) p=0.038	Overall HOSP rate=30%	Unknown dose+duration
Fonseca https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101906	717	51	HCQ x 5 days PRED x 5 days AZM x 5 days IVM x 2 days	No Rx	HOSP	Adj HOSP HCQ Alone HR=0.40 (0.21-0.75) .0042	Adj HOSP HCQ+PRED HR=0.40 (0.21-0.75) .0042	Adj HOSP PRED Alone HR=0.51 (0.26- 0.99) .049
Barbosa Esper NCT04348474	636	63	HCQ x 7 days AZM x 5 days	No Rx	HOSP	HCQ Crude RR=0.36 (0.15-0.87), p=0.02	Graded risk reduction for early start	
Lagier Travel Medicine and Infectious Disease 36 (2020) 101791	398	>60	HCQ+AZM > 3 days	No HCQ or AZM	Overall Mortality 0.9% (Day- Hospital+Inpat ient)	HCQ+AZM Mortality HR=0.41 (0.17-0.99) p=0.048 Matched Outpatient Pairs	HCQ+AZM Death, hospitalization , ICU transfer HR= 0.30 (0.22-0.42) p<.0001	



N=28,759
COVID-19 early outpatients 25% treated
HCQ 200 bid x 5 days vs watchful waiting
Primary endpoint urgent visit or hospitalization
↓ 30% hospitalization, ↓ 60% death (p<0.001)

Accepted Date:

Please cite this article as: M. Mokhtari, M. Mohraz, M. Mehdi Gouya, H. Namdari Tabar, K. Tayeri, S. Aghamohamadi, Z. Rajabpoor, M. Karami, A. Raeisi, H. Rahmani, H. Khalili, Clinical outcomes of patients with mild COVID-19 following treatment with hydroxychloroquine in an outpatient setting, *International Immunopharmacology* (2021), doi: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.intimp.2021.107636>

HCQ COVID-19 Efficacy in Outpatient RCTs

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.09.30.20204693>; this version posted September 30, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

It is made available under a CC-BY-ND 4.0 International license.




Figure 1

HCQ Neutral Inpatient RCTs

Author, Group	N, Groups, Duration	SX Day	PLB	Blind	Biased 1° Endpoint	Changed 1° Endpoint	Drug Acct	Admin Halted	Trial Mortality	Comments	COI	Author(s) Vaccine Promotion
RECOVERY HCQ N Engl J Med 2020; 383:2030-2040	1561 HCQ 3155 CON 10-days or to DC	9	No	No	No, mortality	No	No	Yes	26% at 28 days	10% not PCR+	Yes	Gates Foundation
WHO SOLIDARITY December 2, 2020 DOI: 10.1056/NEJMo a2023184	947 HCQ 906 CON 10 days	~7+	No	No	No, mortality	No	Yes	Yes	11.1% at 28 days	No benefits for HCQ, remdesivir, lopinavir, Interferon	Yes	WHO Vaccine Initiatives ACT-Accelerator
NIH ORCHID JAMA. 2020;324(21):2165-2176.	242 HCQ 237 PLB 5-days	5	Yes	Yes	Yes, COVID-19 Scale Score	No	No	No, planned for 510, DSMB halted for futility	10.5% at 28 days	>80% of endpoints clinician determined	Yes	NIH Joint Ownership MODERNA Vaccine
Cavalcanti COALITION-1 Brazil N Engl J Med 2020; 383:2041-2052	221 HCQ 217 HCQ+AZM 227 CON 7-days	7	No	No	Yes, 7-level ordinal scale	Yes	No	Yes, before Interim analyses, planned for 630	2.7% In-hospital	24.2% Not PCR+	No	No
Dubee HYCOVID France medRxiv 2020.10.19.20214940; doi: https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.10.19.20214940	124 HCQ 123 PLB 8-days	5	Yes	Yes	No, intubation or death	No	No	Yes for "pandemic slowdown" planned for 1300	17% at 28 days	1.2% Not PCR+, had +CT	No	No

Effect of Hydroxychloroquine on Clinical Status at 14 Days in Hospitalized Patients With COVID-19

A Randomized Clinical Trial

Table 2. Outcomes, Systematically Collected Safety Events, and Serious Adverse Events

Outcome	Hydroxychloroquine (n = 242)	Placebo (n = 237)	Unadjusted absolute difference (95% CI) ^a	Adjusted odds ratio or odds ratio (95% CI) ^b
Primary outcome				
COVID Outcomes Scale score at 14 d, median (IQR) ^c	6 (4 to 7)	6 (4 to 7)	0 ^d	1.02 (0.73 to 1.42)
Secondary outcomes				
COVID Outcomes Scale score, median (IQR) ^c				
At 2 d	4 (3 to 5)	4 (3 to 5)	0 ^d	1.28 (0.90 to 1.81)
At 7 d	5 (4 to 7)	6 (3 to 6)	-1 (-2 to 0)	1.16 (0.84 to 1.61)
At 28 d	6 (6 to 7)	6 (6 to 7)	0 (-1 to 1)	0.97 (0.69 to 1.38)
All-cause, all-location death, No. (%)				
	n = 241	n = 236		
At 14 d	18 (7.5)	14 (5.9)	1.5 (-2.9 to 6.0)	1.56 (0.68 to 3.57)
At 28 d	25 (10.4)	25 (10.6)	-0.2 (-5.7 to 5.3)	1.07 (0.54 to 2.09)
Time to recovery in days, median (IQR)	5 (1 to 14)	6 (1 to 15)	-1 (-3 to 1)	0.97 (0.69 to 1.35)
Composite of death or ECMO through 28 d, No./total No. (%)	29/241 (12.0)	28/236 (11.9)	0.2 (-5.6 to 6.0)	1.13 (0.60 to 2.14)
Support-free days through day 28, median (IQR)				
Hospital-free days	21 (11 to 24)	20 (10 to 24)	1 (-1 to 3)	1.17 (0.85 to 1.61)
Oxygen-free days	21 (0 to 27)	20 (0 to 27)	1 (-2 to 4)	0.96 (0.68 to 1.34)
ICU-free days	28 (21 to 28)	28 (18 to 28)	0 (0 to 0)	1.26 (0.84 to 1.88)
Ventilator-free days	28 (28 to 28)	28 (28 to 28)	0 ^d	1.26 (0.76 to 2.08)
Vasopressor-free days	28 (28 to 28)	28 (28 to 28)	0 ^d	1.03 (0.61 to 1.72)
Systematically collected safety events, No. (%) ^e				
Cytopenia ^f	92 (38.0)	87 (36.7)	1.3 (-7.4 to 10.0)	1.06 (0.73 to 1.53)
AST or ALT ≥2 times upper limit of normal	50 (20.7)	65 (27.4)	-6.8 (-14.4 to 0.9)	0.69 (0.45 to 1.05)
Cardiac arrest treated with CPR ^g	10 (4.1)	4 (1.7)	2.5 (-0.8 to 5.6)	2.51 (0.78 to 8.12)
Symptomatic hypoglycemia ^h	10 (4.1)	8 (3.4)	0.8 (-2.8 to 4.3)	1.23 (0.48 to 3.18)
Ventricular tachyarrhythmia ⁱ	5 (2.1)	6 (2.5)	-0.5 (-3.4 to 2.4)	0.81 (0.24 to 2.70)
Seizure	1 (0.4)	0	0.4 (-1.0 to 1.8)	
Patients with ≥1 SAEs reported ^j	14 (5.8)	11 (4.6)	1.1 (-3.0 to 5.2)	1.26 (0.56 to 2.84)

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
 - Ivermectin





The FDA-approved drug ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 *in vitro*

Leon Caly^a, Julian D. Druce^a, Mike G. Catton^a, David A. Jans^b, Kylie M. Wagstaff^{a, *}

^a Victorian Infectious Diseases Reference Laboratory, Royal Melbourne Hospital, At the Peter Doherty Institute for Infection and Immunity, Victoria, 3000, Australia

^b Biomedicine Discovery Institute, Monash University, Clayton, Vic, 3960, Australia



(caption on next page)

<https://c19ivermectin.com/>

IVERMECTIN FOR COVID-19

60 TRIALS, 549 SCIENTISTS, 18,931 PATIENTS

31 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS

85% IMPROVEMENT IN 14 PROPHYLAXIS TRIALS RR 0.15 [0.09-0.25]

76% IMPROVEMENT IN 25 EARLY TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.24 [0.14-0.41]

46% IMPROVEMENT IN 21 LATE TREATMENT TRIALS RR 0.54 [0.41-0.71]

70% IMPROVEMENT IN 22 MORTALITY RESULTS RR 0.30 [0.19-0.47]

64% IMPROVEMENT IN 31 RANDOMIZED CONTROLLED TRIALS RR 0.36 [0.26-0.51]

SUMMARY OF RESULTS REPORTED IN IVERMECTIN TRIALS FOR COVID-19. 06/23/21. IVMMETA.COM

Database of all ivermectin COVID-19 studies. 99 studies, 60 peer reviewed, 60 with results comparing treatment and control groups. Submit updates/corrections below.
FLCCC provides treatment recommendations.

Review of the Emerging Evidence Demonstrating the Efficacy of Ivermectin in the Prophylaxis and Treatment of COVID-19

Pierre Kory, MD^{1*}, G. Umberto Meduri, MD^{2†}, Jose Iglesias, DO³, Joseph Varon, MD⁴, Keith Berkowitz, MD⁵, Howard Kornfeld, MD⁶, Eivind Vinjevoll, MD⁷, Scott Mitchell, MBChB⁸, Fred Wagshul, MD⁹, Paul E. Marik, MD¹⁰

Figure 6. Meta-analysis of mortality outcomes from controlled trials of ivermectin treatment in COVID-19




Figure 6 legend: OBS: Observational study, RCT: Randomized Controlled Trial. Symbols: Squares: indicate treatment effect of an individual study. Large diamond: reflect summary of study design immediately above. Small diamond: sum effect of all trial designs. Size of each symbol correlates with the size of the confidence interval around the point estimate of treatment effect with larger sizes indicating a more precise confidence interval.

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
- Favipiravir



Favipiravir and the Need for Early Ambulatory Treatment of SARS-CoV-2 Infection (COVID-19)



Peter A. McCullough^{a,b,c}

^aBaylor University Medical Center, Dallas, Texas, USA

^bBaylor Heart and Vascular Institute, Dallas, Texas, USA

^cBaylor Jack and Jane Hamilton Heart and Vascular Hospital, Dallas, Texas, USA

ABSTRACT It is becoming increasingly clear that severe acute respiratory syndrome coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), like most human viral infections, will require multiple drugs in combination to treat COVID-19 illness. In this issue of the Journal, Doi and colleagues describe successful treatment of patients with early COVID-19 with favipiravir, an oral polymerase inhibitor, to rapidly and substantially clear SARS-CoV-2 from nasal secretions irrespective if it was started relatively early or later within the first week of infection. These data support the concept that favipiravir could be paired with at least one more off-target antiviral agent (doxycycline, azithromycin, or ivermectin) followed by corticosteroids and antithrombotics to prevent COVID-19



Japan, Russia, Saudi Arabia, Thailand, Kenya, 4 India states approved oral favipiravir for mild-moderate COVID-19 in guidelines. The Japanese Association for Infectious Diseases indicate 3600 mg (1800 mg BID) on day 1 and 1600 mg (800 mg BID) from day 2 onwards, for up to 14 days (http://www.sukl.cz/file/92991_1_1/2020).

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
- Corticosteroids

Association Between Administration of Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19


A Meta-analysis

The WHO Rapid Evidence Appraisal for COVID-19 Therapies (REACT) Working Group

Research Original Investigation

Association Between Systemic Corticosteroids and Mortality Among Critically Ill Patients With COVID-19

Figure 2. Association Between Corticosteroids and 28-Day All-Cause Mortality in Each Trial, Overall, and According to Corticosteroid Drug



Outpatient prednisone reported in
 Szente Fonseca SN, de Queiroz Sousa A, Wolkoff AG, et al. Risk of hospitalization for Covid-19
 outpatients treated with various drug regimens in Brazil: Comparative analysis. *Travel Med Infect Dis*.
 2020;38:101906. doi:10.1016/j.tmaid.2020.101906

Inhaled budesonide in the treatment of early COVID-19 (STOIC): a phase 2, open-label, randomised controlled trial



Sanjay Ramakrishnan*, Dan V Nicolau Jr*, Beverly Langford, Mahdi Mahdi, Helen Jeffers, Christine Mwasuku, Karolina Krassowska, Robin Fox, Ian Binnian, Victoria Glover, Stephen Bright, Christopher Butler, Jennifer L Cane, Andreas Halner, Philippa C Matthews, Louise E Donnelly, Jodie L Simpson, Jonathan R Baker, Nabil T Faday, Stefan Peterson, Thomas Bengtsson, Peter J Barnes, Richard E K Russell, Mona Bafadhel

STOIC Trial N=139

COVID-19 early outpatients

Inhaled budesonide vs usual care

800 mcg bid x 14 days

Primary endpoint urgent visit or hospitalization

↓87% primary endpoint (p=0.004)




Figure 1: Trial profile

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
- Colchicine

Colchicine for community-treated patients with COVID-19
(COLCORONA): a phase 3, randomised, double-blinded,



Planned sample N=6000

Global N=4488, early outpatients

Colchicine vs Placebo

0.5 (0.6) mg bid x 3 days then 0.5 qd x 30 d

N=4159 PCR+

↓25% Hospitalization or Death, p=0.04

↓44% Death, p=NS

Outline

- Role of early ambulatory treatment
- Anticoagulants

Title: Intermediate-dose anticoagulation, aspirin, and in-hospital mortality in COVID-19: a propensity score-matched analysis

N=2785

		Cumulative incidence of in-hospital death		
		HR for death	CI	P value
Intermediate-dose anticoagulation (compared to prophylactic-dose)		0.518	0.308-0.872	0.013
In-hospital aspirin		0.311	0.153-0.634	0.001
Home antiplatelet agent use prior to hospitalization		2.663	1.335-5.313	0.006
Age > 60 years		3.269	1.694-6.310	< 0.001
Male sex		2.255	1.283-3.963	0.005
Obesity		2.096	1.217-3.608	0.008
Cardiovascular disease		1.588	0.886-2.846	0.12
African-American		0.674	0.392-1.160	0.15
DDmax		1.050	1.021-1.080	< 0.001
RI on admission	Quartile 1	10.842	4.148-28.341	< 0.001
	Quartile 2	6.518	2.394-17.751	< 0.001

Table 3. Multivariable analysis of in-hospital death in the propensity-score matched anticoagulation cohort. Multivariable regression analysis was performed among propensity score-matched patients within the anticoagulation cohort to examine the association of in-hospital death with covariates. Cumulative incidence of in-hospital death was evaluated in a competing risks model with hospital discharge, and hazard ratios (HR) for in-hospital death were reported. For the maximum D-dimer level during hospitalization (DDmax), the hazard ratio represents the effect of an increase of one fibrinogen equivalent unit. Abbreviations: CI, 95% confidence interval; DDmax, maximum D-dimer level during hospitalization; HR, hazard ratio; RI, Rothman Index.

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.01.12.21249577>; this version posted January 15, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 International license.

Notation of prior abstract presentation: This work was presented at an Oral Session at the 62nd Annual Meeting of the American Society of Hematology in December 2020 and was highlighted afterwards at a "Best of ASH" session by the Hemostasis and Thrombosis Research Society.

**Early initiation of prophylactic anticoagulation for prevention of COVID-19 mortality:
a nationwide cohort study of hospitalized patients in the United States**

Christopher T Rentsch, PhD^{1,2,*}; Joshua A Beckman, MD^{3*}; Laurie Tomlinson, PhD¹; Walid F Gellad, MD^{4,5,6}; Charles Alcorn, BS⁷; Farah Kidwai-Khan, MS^{2,8}; Melissa Skanderson, MSW²; Evan Brittain, MD⁹; Joseph T King, Jr, MD, MSCE^{2,10}; Yuk-Lam Ho, MPH¹¹; Svetlana Eden, PhD¹²; Suman Kundu, DSc¹³; Michael F Lann, MS⁷; Robert A Greevy, Jr, PhD¹⁴; P. Michael Ho, MD, PhD¹⁵; Paul A Heidenreich, MD^{16,17}; Daniel A Jacobson, PhD¹⁸; Ian J Douglas, PhD¹; Janet P Tate, ScD^{2,8}; Stephen JW Evans, MSc¹; David Atkins, MD¹⁹; Amy C Justice, MD, PhD^{2,8,20†}; Matthew S Freiberg, MD^{13,21†}

**These authors contributed equally to this work; †Joint principal investigators*

&corresponding: christopher.rentsch@lshtm.ac.uk; @DarthCTR

Table 2. Absolute and relative risks associated with exposure to prophylactic doses of anticoagulation in the first 24 hours of hospitalization

Outcome	N	No. events	Unweighted	IPT-weighted	
			HR (95% CI)	Cumulative incidence (95% CI)	HR (95% CI)
30-day mortality					
Exposed	3627	513	0.85 (0.69-1.05)	14.3 (13.1-15.5)	0.73 (0.66-0.81)
Unexposed	670	109	ref	18.7 (15.1-22.9)	ref
Inpatient mortality					
Exposed	3627	418	0.82 (0.66-1.03)	11.7 (10.7-12.8)	0.69 (0.61-0.77)
Unexposed	670	92	ref	16.4 (13.0-20.5)	ref
Initiate therapeutic anticoagulation					
Exposed	3627	573	1.14 (0.91-1.42)	15.6 (14.4-16.8)	0.81 (0.73-0.90)
Unexposed	670	92	ref	18.8 (15.2-23.1)	ref

Abbreviations: PY, person-years; HR, hazard ratio; CI, confidence interval; IPT, inverse probability of treatment

medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2020.12.09.20246579>; this version posted December 11, 2020. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity.

Outline

- Early sequenced multidrug therapy

Published online: December 30, 2020



IMR Press

Review

Multifaceted highly targeted sequential multidrug treatment of early ambulatory high-risk SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19)


Peter A. McCullough^{1,*}, Paul E. Alexander², Robin Armstrong³, Cristian Arvinte⁴, Alan F. Bain⁵, Richard P. Bartlett⁶, Robert L. Berkowitz⁷, Andrew C. Berry⁸, Thomas J. Borody⁹, Joseph H. Brewer¹⁰, Adam M. Brufsky¹¹, Teryn Clarke¹², Roland Derwand¹³, Alieta Eck¹⁴, John Eck¹⁴, Richard A. Eisner¹⁵, George C. Fareed¹⁶, Angelina Farella¹⁷, Silvia N. S. Fonseca¹⁸, Charles E. Geyer, Jr.¹⁹, Russell S. Gonnering²⁰, Karladine E. Graves²¹, Kenneth B. V. Gross²², Sabine Hazan²³, Kristin S. Held²⁴, H. Thomas High²⁵, Stella Immanuel²⁶, Michael M. Jacobs²⁷, Joseph A. Ladapo²⁸, Lionel H. Lee²⁹, John Littell³⁰, Ivette Lozano³¹, Harpal S. Mangat³², Ben Marble³³, John E. McKinnon³⁴, Lee D. Merritt³⁵, Jane M. Orient³⁶, Ramin Oskoui³⁷, Donald C. Pompan³⁸, Brian C. Procter³⁹, Chad Prodromos⁴⁰, Juliana Cepelowicz Rajter⁴¹, Jean-Jacques Rajter⁴¹, C. Venkata S. Ram⁴², Salete S. Rios⁴³, Harvey A. Risch⁴⁴, Michael J. A. Robb⁴⁵, Molly Rutherford⁴⁶, Martin Scholz⁴⁷, Marilyn M. Singleton⁴⁸, James A. Tumlin⁴⁹, Brian M. Tyson⁵⁰, Richard G. Urso⁵¹, Kelly Victory⁵², Elizabeth Lee Vliet⁵³, Craig M. Wax⁵⁴, Alexandre G. Wolkoff⁵⁵, Vicki Wooll⁵⁶ and Vladimir Zelenko⁵⁷

*Correspondence: petermccullough@gmail.com (Peter A. McCullough)

DOI:10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.264


This is an open access article under the CC BY 4.0 license (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).

Volume 21, Number 4, 2020



Early Ambulatory Multidrug Therapy Reduces Hospitalization and Death in High-Risk Patients with SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19)

Brian Procter¹, Casey Ross¹, Vanessa Pickard¹, Erica Smith¹, Courtney Hanson¹, and Peter A. McCullough²



Procter BC, Ross C, Pickard V, Smith E, Hanson C, McCullough PA. Clinical outcomes after early ambulatory multidrug therapy for high-risk SARS-CoV-2 (COVID-19) infection. Rev Cardiovasc Med. 2020 Dec 30;21(4):611-614. doi: 10.31083/j.rcm.2020.04.260. PMID: 33388006.

Permanent link to preprint on Authorea:
<https://doi.org/10.22541/au.161000355.54720791/v1>



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Medical Hypotheses

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/mh



Early multidrug treatment of SARS-CoV-2 infection (COVID-19) and reduced mortality among nursing home (or outpatient/ambulatory) residents

Paul E. Alexander^{a,*}, Robin Armstrong^b, George Fareed^c, John Lotus^d, Ramin Oskoui^e, Chad Prodromos^d, Harvey A. Risch^f, Howard C. Tenenbaum^g, Craig M. Wax^h, Parvez Daraⁱ, Peter A. McCullough^j, Kulvinder K. Gill^k





Fig. 1. Relative risk reduction in mortality risk in nursing home COVID patients using early prehospital combined and sequenced multi-drug treatment (SMDT).

<https://doi.org/10.1016/j.mh.2021.110622>
 Received 6 February 2021; Received in revised form 25 May 2021; Accepted 2 June 2021
 Available online 5 June 2021
 0306-9877/© 2021 Published by Elsevier Ltd.




Nov 19 2020



U.S. Senate Committee on Homeland Security & Governmental Affairs

Privacy Policy

Dec 8 2020




Home > Majority Media

Johnson, Paul Letter to NIH Calls for Expediting Review of Early COVID-19 Treatment Options

Friday, December 11, 2020

WASHINGTON – U.S. Sens. Ron Johnson (R-Wis.), chairman of the Senate Homeland Security and Governmental Affairs Committee, and Rand Paul (R-Ky.) sent a letter Thursday to U.S. National Institutes of Health (NIH) Director Francis Collins to request that federal government expedite its review of early COVID-19 treatment options. Current NIH guidelines do not recommend treatment until patients are sick enough to require hospitalization — at which point, treatment is often too late.

The senators wrote, “We believe that Congress and the federal government must respond to the urgency of the present situation. Many Americans are being severely impacted by the devastating consequences of this disease, and public health authorities and pharmaceutical companies have provided few solutions for early treatments. For these reasons, we believe it is urgent that the federal government expedite review of low cost, widely available, and potentially beneficial early combination treatments including anti-infectives (favipiravir, hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin, azithromycin, doxycycline), corticosteroids (dexamethasone, hydrocortisone, prednisone, inhaled steroids), and antiplatelet/antithrombotic (aspirin, low-molecular weight heparin, novel anticoagulants) drugs. Because there are no conclusive randomized trials of any single product in any setting, we request the NIH to expand their review to all study designs, from all countries, in all treatment settings, and consider the evidence in terms of probability of therapeutic gain balanced with safety.”




WELCOME TO THE OFFICIAL WEBSITE FOR


THE TEXAS SENATE



NEWS, INFORMATION AND EVENTS OF THE 87TH LEGISLATIVE SESSION

[Lt. Governor](#) [Senators](#) [Secretary](#) [Committees](#) [News & Media](#) [Visitors](#) [For Kids](#)

Senate Committee Hearings and Events

[Committees](#) · [Hearings and Events](#) · [Committee Archives](#) · [Notice of Assistance](#) · [Legislature Online](#)  · [Committee Assignments \(pdf\)](#)





British
Ivermectin
Recommendation
Development

A Guide to Home-Based COVID Treatment

**Step-By-Step Doctors' Plan
That Could Save Your Life**

Editors: Jane M. Orient, M.D. &
Elizabeth Lee Vliet, M.D.





Outline

- COVID-19 vaccine safety and efficacy

Clinical Concerns

- MOA mRNA or adenoviral DNA is production of the Spike protein
 - Cell, tissue, organ endothelial damage
 - Spike protein circulation (body fluids, donated blood)
- No genotoxicity studies
- No teratogenicity studies
- Concerning biodistribution study (Pfizer, Japan)
- Concerning fertility study (Moderna, EMA)
- No EAC, DSMB, Human Ethics Committee
- No restriction of properly excluded groups from RCTs
 - Pregnant women, women of childbearing potential
 - COVID survivors, previously immune
- No effort to restrict vaccination according to risk for COVID-19 hospitalization and death
- No attempts to present or mitigate risks for public

we wish to




confidence in science and public health.

Emerging COVID-19 Vaccine Mortality Signal by Jan 22, 2021 (~27.1 M)

VAERS COVID REPORTS


All vaccines before 2020
~158 total deaths/yr

Through January 22, 2021




VAERS COVID Vaccine Data
(Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System, USA)
463,456 Reports Through July 9, 2021


Reported Deaths post COVID Vaccine: Total 9,048



Historical PreCOVID ~280M Injections/year:
All ~70 vaccines average expected 16,320 VAERS total reports/yr, ~158 total deaths/yr



Day of Death after COVID-19 Vaccination



Analysis of COVID-19 vaccine death reports from the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) Database

ResearchGate

Interim Results and Analysis

86% of deaths had no other explanation than the vaccine

Mclachlan, Scott & Osman, Magda & Dube, Kudakwashe & Chiketero, Patience & Choi, Yvonne & Fenton, Norman. (2021). Analysis of COVID-19 vaccine death reports from the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) Database Interim Results and Analysis. 10.13140/RG.2.2.26987.26402.




Figure 3: Death by Age Group

Much has been made in the media and academic literature about the need for protection and early vaccination of those aged 65 years and over. We believe this focus is the primary reason that 80% of the post-vaccination decedents reported are in this age group. Almost one-tenth (9%) expired within only 6 hours of their vaccination and 18% died in less than 12 hours. Over one third (36%) did not survive through to the following day.

McLachlan, Scott & Osman, Magda & Dube, Kudakwashe & Chiketero, Patience & Choi, Yvonne & Fenton, Norman. (2021). Analysis of COVID-19 vaccine death reports from the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) Database Interim Results and Analysis. 10.13140/RG.2.2.26987.26402.

https://www.researchgate.net/publication/352837543_Analysis_of_COVID-19_vaccine_death_reports_from_the_Vaccine_Adverse_Events_Reporting_System_VAERS_Database_Interim_Results_and_Analysis



A Report on the U.S. Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System (VAERS) of the COVID-19 Messenger Ribonucleic Acid (mRNA) Biologicals

Jessica Rose, PhD, MSc, BSc

Figure 5.3. Distribution by VAERS ID according to age in individuals who reported immunological adverse events




Figure 6. Distribution according to age in individuals who reported anaphylactic reactions




Table 3. Percentages of individuals reporting AEs following 24- and 48-hour periods

	AE within 24 hrs (% of cases)	AE within 48 hrs (% of cases)
Death	13	44
Hospital	15	47
ER	18	47

Table 4. Percentages of individuals experiencing AEs within 24- and 48-hour periods

	AE within 24 hrs (% of cases)	AE within 48 hrs (% of cases)
Cardiovascular	13	44
Neurological	15	47
Immunological	18	47

Analysis suggests that the vaccines are likely the cause of reported deaths, spontaneous abortions and anaphylactic reactions in addition to cardiovascular, neurological and immunological AEs. Based on the precautionary principle, since there is currently no precedent for predictability with regards to long-term effects from mRNA injections, extreme care should be taken when making a decision to participate in this experiment. mRNA platforms

URGENT PRELIMINARY REPORT OF YELLOW CARD DATA ON VACCINES ADVERSE EVENTS REPORTED IN THE UK

CONCLUSION:

"An immediate halt to the vaccination programme is required whilst a full and independent safety analysis is undertaken to investigate the full extent of the harms."

Dr Tess Lawrie



“

"I would, therefore, like to draw your attention to the high number of covid-19 vaccine-attributed deaths and ADRs that have been reported via the Yellow Card system between the 4th January 2021 and the 26th May 2021. In total, 1,253 deaths and 888,196 ADRs (256,224 individual reports) were reported during this period.

The nature and variety of ADRs reported to the Yellow Card System are consistent with the potential pathologies described in this paper and supported by other recent scientific papers on vaccine-induced harms, which are mediated through the vaccine spike protein product (2,3). It is now apparent that these products in the blood stream are toxic to humans."

”

Tess (MBBCh, DFRSH, PhD), as director of E-BMC Ltd, is committed to improving the quality of healthcare through rigorous research. Her range of research expertise, based on research experience in both developing and developed countries, uniquely positions her to evaluate and design research for a variety of healthcare settings. Tess is a frequent member of technical teams responsible for developing international guidelines. Her peer-reviewed publications have received in excess of 3000 citations and her ResearchGate score is among the top 5% of ResearchGate members. This report is supported by EbMC Squared CIC.

The MHRA now has more than enough evidence on the Yellow Card system to declare the COVID-19 vaccines unsafe for use in humans. Preparation should be made to scale up humanitarian efforts to assist those harmed by the COVID-19 vaccines and to anticipate and ameliorate medium to longer term effects. As the mechanism for harms from the vaccines appears to be similar to COVID-19 itself, this includes engaging with numerous international doctors and scientists with expertise in successfully treating COVID-19.

TRANSFORMATIVE HEALTH JUSTICE

FULL REPORT AVAILABLE: WWW.E-BMC.CO.UK



TRANSFORMATIVE HEALTH JUSTICE SUPPORTS THE CALL MADE FOR
A DECLARATION, AND HUMANITARIAN EFFORTS TO SUPPORT VICTIMS


Vaccines Fail with Loss of Efficacy and Resistant Strains of SARS-CoV-2

Israel Confirmed Cases, July 4th - July 31st				
Age Group	Cases Fully Vaccinated	Cases Unvaccinated	Percent of Cases Fully Vaccinated	Percentage of Population Fully Vaccinated
20-29	2689	795	77.2%	71.9%
30-39	3176	881	78.3%	77.4%
40-49	3303	635	83.9%	80.9%
50-59	2200	359	86.0%	84.4%
60-69	2200	187	92.2%	86.9%
70-79	1384	100	93.3%	92.8%
80-89	540	61	89.9%	91.2%
90+	142	20	87.7%	89.7%
Total	Total	Total	Average	Average
20-90+	15634	3038	86.0%	84.4%
Source 01 : https://data.gov.il/dataset/covid-19/resource/9b623a64-f7df-4d0c-9f57-09bd99a88880				
Source 02 : https://datadashboard.health.gov.il/COVID-19/general				

Outbreak of SARS-CoV-2 Infections, Including COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Infections, Associated with Large Public Gatherings — Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021

Catherine M. Brown, DVM¹; Johanna Vostok, MPH¹; Hillary Johnson, MHS¹; Meagan Burns, MPH¹; Radhika Gharpure, DVM²; Samira Sami, DrPH³; Rebecca T. Sabo, MPH^{1,2}; Noemi Hall, PhD³; Anne Foreman, PhD³; Petra L. Schubert, MPH¹; Glen R. Gallagher PhD⁴; Timea Fink⁴; Lawrence C. Madoff, MD¹; Stacey B. Gabriel, PhD³; Bronwyn MacInnis, PhD³; Daniel J. Park, PhD³; Katherine J. Siddle, PhD³; Vaini Harik, MS⁴; Deidre Arvidson, MSN⁴; Taylor Brock-Fisher, MSc³; Molly Dunn, DVM¹; Amanda Kearns³; A. Scott Laney, PhD²

FIGURE 1. SARS-CoV-2 Infections (N = 469) associated with large public gatherings, by date of specimen collection and vaccination status* — Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021



Comparison of two highly-effective mRNA vaccines for COVID-19 during periods of Alpha and Delta variant prevalence

Arjun Puranik¹⁺, Patrick J. Lenehan¹⁺, Eli Silvert¹, Michiel J.M. Niesen¹, Juan Corchado-Garcia¹, John C. O'Horo², Abinash Virk², Melanie D. Swift², John Halamka², Andrew D. Badley², A.J. Venkatakrisnan¹, Venky Soundararajan¹

¹ Inference, Cambridge, Massachusetts 02139, USA

² Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota 55902, USA

which either the Alpha or Delta variant was highly prevalent. We defined cohorts of vaccinated and unvaccinated individuals from Minnesota (n = 25,589 each) matched on age, sex, race, history of prior SARS-CoV-2 PCR testing, and date of full vaccination. Both vaccines were highly effective during this study period against SARS-CoV-2 infection (mRNA-1273: 86%, 95%CI: 81-90.6%; BNT162b2: 76%, 95%CI: 69-81%) and COVID-19 associated hospitalization (mRNA-1273: 91.6%, 95% CI: 81-97%; BNT162b2: 85%, 95% CI: 73-93%). However, in July, the effectiveness against infection was considerably lower for mRNA-1273 (76%, 95% CI: 58-87%) with an even more pronounced reduction in effectiveness for BNT162b2 (42%, 95% CI: 13-62%). Notably, the Delta variant prevalence in Minnesota increased from 0.7% in May to over 70% in July whereas the Alpha variant prevalence decreased from 85% to 13% over the same time period.

COVID-19 Vaccine Breakthrough Case Investigation and Reporting



As of July 26, 2021, more than 163 million people in the United States had been fully vaccinated against COVID-19.


During the same time, CDC received reports from 49 U.S. states and territories of 6,587 patients with COVID-19 vaccine breakthrough infection who were hospitalized or died.

Hospitalized or fatal vaccine breakthrough cases reported to CDC	6,587	
Female	3,193	(48%)
People aged ≥65 years	4,868	(74%)
Asymptomatic infections	1,219	(19%)
Hospitalizations*	6,239	(95%)
Deaths†	1,263	(19%)

Indiscriminate Vaccination is Reducing the Diversity of Strains and Producing Dominant Variants

a Estimating diversity of SARS-CoV-2 genomes using lineage entropy


Lineage entropy for each country (c) and each monthly time point (t)



b The diversity in SARS-CoV-2 lineages is declining across the world




c The diversity in SARS-CoV-2 lineages is declining at a country level



medRxiv preprint doi: <https://doi.org/10.1101/2021.07.01.21259833>; this version posted July 5, 2021. The copyright holder for this preprint (which was not certified by peer review) is the author/funder, who has granted medRxiv a license to display the preprint in perpetuity. It is made available under a CC-BY 4.0 International license.

United States: 4/25/2021 – 8/7/2021

United States: 8/1/2021 – 8/7/2021 NOWCAS



* Enumerated lineages are VOI/VOC or are circulating >1% in at least one HHS region during at least one two week period; remaining lineages are aggregated as "Other".
 ** These data include Nowcast estimates, which are modeled projections that may differ from weighted estimates generated at later dates
 # Sublineages of P.1 and B.1.351 (P.1.1, P.1.2, B.1.351.2, B.1.351.3) are aggregated with the parent lineage and included in parent lineage's proportion. AY.3.1 is aggregated with its parent lineage AY.3.

Outline

- Conclusions

Conclusions

- COVID-19 pandemic is a global disaster
- Pathophysiology is complex—not amenable to single drug
- Despite contagion control efforts, there have been two poor outcomes: hospitalization and death
- The prehospital phase is the time of therapeutic opportunity
- Hospitalization and late treatment form an inadequate safety net with unacceptably high mortality
- Early ambulatory therapy with a sequenced, multi-drug regimen is supported by available sources of evidence and has a positive benefit-to-risk profile
 - Reduce the risk of hospitalization and death
 - More safely temporize to close the crisis with vaccination and natural herd immunity
- COVID-19 genetic vaccines have an unfavorable safety profile and are not clinically effective, thus they cannot be generally supported in clinical practice at this time

Melissa Manriquez

From: Jude Evans <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 5:09 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Meeting Tuesday, Sept 7th, 2021

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Council,

We just found out about this mandate that will be voted on in your Tuesday, Sept 7th, 2021 meeting.

Just like with the first responders as well as hospital employees: EVERYONE NEEDS TO MAKE THEIR OWN DECISION on vaccinations.... IT IS A PROVEN FACT THAT GETTING VACCINATED DOES NOT KEEP YOU FROM SPREADING OR GETTING COVID....

LET PEOPLE LIVE THEIR LIVES and CONDUCT THEIR BUSINESS(S) AS THEY SEE FIT and WORKS FOR THEM.... IF PEOPLE DON'T WANT TO SEEK YOUR SERVICES THEN THAT IS UP TO THEM, NOT FOR YOU OR ANYONE ELSE TO DECIDE....

Thank you,

Jude Evans

09/03/2021 14:04:00
[Signature]

Melissa Manriquez

From: Amy Thomas <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 8:04 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Objection to Vaccination Mandate to Pima County Healthcare Practitioners - please read at September 7th meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I have many concerns about the upcoming decision on making vaccinations mandatory for Pima County licensed practitioners. Firstly, this mandate would significantly reduce the personal rights of Pima residents (also American Citizens) to determine what goes into their own bodies - to include those who are sensitive to the other ingredients within the vaccinations. Secondly, the practitioners are already espousing measures to mitigate the spread of the COVID-19 virus by using PPE and disinfectants. Thirdly, this mandate does not take into account those who have already contracted the virus and already have the antibodies. Please consider NOT making the vaccines mandatory for Pima County Licensed Practitioners.

Sincerely,
Amy Thomas, OD, FCOVD
Arizona Vision Therapy Center
2312 N Rosemont Blvd #103
Tucson, AZ 85712
(520)886-8800
www.azvisiontherapy.com

9/3/2021 8:04 PM
W

This message, together with any attachments, is intended only for the use of the individual to whom it is addressed and may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential, and exempted from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, you are hereby notified that any use, disclosure, dissemination, distribution, or copying of this message, or any attachment, is strictly prohibited. If you have received this message in error, please notify the original sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail, then delete the message.

Melissa Manriquez

From: Susan Bacis <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 8:23 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Mandated Vaccines

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To the Clerk of the Board,

I would like this read at the meeting Tuesday morning.

It doesn't make sense. It is okay for a baby to be murdered in the womb for the rights of a women to have a choice what to do with her body. But we have no choice on what to do with our body with a vaccine. This does not make sense. Ok to murder versus a vaccine?

Susan Bacis

SEP 07 21 AM 09:45 PM CCKF 18
(2)

Melissa Manriquez

From: JULIE SHERMAN <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 8:29 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: for Pima County Board of Supervisors re Mandatory Vaxx

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello Julie,

Please share this with all of the Pima County Board of Supervisors. Thank you.

Hello ladies and gentlemen

This Tuesday Sept 7, 2021, you have before you the opportunity to show great wisdom or great fear in the proposal of the countywide mandatory covid vaxx proposal.

I implore you, on behalf of the diversity of the genetics of every living being DO NOT EVER FORCE MANDATORY COVID VACCINATION in the county.

The wisdom of the living dictates that 1 solution never fits all, it is the beauty of diversity-physically and mentally. That we are brainwashed by fear to even consider a forced campaign on everyone bodes the death of America

For many who already have adverse responses to any vaxx, most facilities claim 'that's alright , it was not anaphylaxis.' What a travesty that the medical field has taken the stance of disinterest; a total failure of those who claim their livelihood in the healing arts

also, please UN-do the dictatorial swabs for the unvaxxed as well. This is a punishment campaign. it needs to stop. Temperature and symptom check and mandatory masking is ENOUGH.

These words are not meant to stimulate belligerence. They are written thus; because you cannot UN-do actions that are illegal, totalitarian and fear based. The science that you follow with the CDC, AMA, WHO etc...I fear you fail to realize; they are not operating under any rules except that to maximize profit and fear.

What will you do when the mis-direction and genocide is exposed and your names are on the forced mandates?

Understand, fear kills. Inactivity kills. Rebreathing carbon dioxide, a waste product, kills.

The human immune system is powerful when you do NOT destroy it. Did you know the Pfizer shot was demonstrated to significantly reduce the fighting ability of the T-cells of the immune system? How can you lie to yourselves that anything related to this Plandemic is honest?

SEP 03 15:40:12 2021

W

What will you do when the mis-direction and genocide is exposed?

What will you do?

So do not do it. This is Arizona. The 48th state of the Union. A land of Cuanderas, natural healing, fierce climate, critical thinking and love.

so, with love, again, please do NOT mandate mandatory VAXX for all healthcare workers or persons in Pima County. It must be personal choice.

If you mandate this what is next? vasectomies for every male over 16 years old? Mandatory abortion for every unmarried pregnant female?

one family 1 house no more one person with multi properties (ok well, i think that's a great idea. houses should be personal homes not unearned income on overpriced rents, but that's just me...& it'd annoy the powerful realtor faction)

See? When does the crazy-control-over-others thinking STOP?

Thank you for your time.

Again Julie, please share this with everyone on the Pima County Board of Supervisors BEFORE the Tues Sept 7, 2021 meeting

Julie

Melissa Manriquez

From: momof blessedfive <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 8:44 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: URGENT: STOP THE MANDATES

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please vote no on the mandate of vaccination for employment.

All should be allowed to make their own decision, whether it be medical or religious exemption, it should be accepted without being scrutinized or discrimination.

There are many valuable employees who are needed in the workforce and should not be given an ultimatum.

These mandates will hurt our community.

VOTE NO ON VACCINE MANDATES.

~

Luz Valencia

SEP 07 21 AM 07:45:10 CLKF M

(R)

Melissa Manriquez

From: notification@pima.gov
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 9:08 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Clerk of the Board Feedback Form 2021-09-03 09:07 PM Submission Notification

Clerk of the Board Feedback Form 2021-09-03 09:07 PM was submitted by Guest on 9/3/2021 9:07:30 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name	Value
First Name	Mary Grace
Last Name	Werner
Email	[REDACTED]
Address	4442 S Golf Estates Drive
City	Green Valley
State	AZ
Zipcode	85622
Phone	[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 09:07 PM CLK OF BD

Message Subject Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers

September 3, 2021 Item #9 Unfinished Business Clerk of the Board; First, we have a vaccine that was approved (Pfizer) by the FDA even though there have been more deaths and injuries with the "vaccine" than any other vaccines in the United States. The US Government Stats claims there have been 13,627 deaths, 2,826,646 injuries, and 1429 fetal deaths as of August 27. All of this has happened in just over 8 months. Of course, you and I both know the VAERS reporting system is broken and even the FDA and CDC admit it so the actual numbers of more than likely much higher. This has been the most censored information in the U.S. right now even though these statistics come directly from official government statistics. How many more cases are not being reported or recovered? The EU database shows 23,252 deaths, 2,189,537 injuries following COVID shots and just remember the EU does not include all European countries. What we are seeing now is just the tip of the iceberg. What will happen months down the line? How many autoimmune disorders, cancers, neurological diseases, and more will show up? We

Comment do not know and there has been no long-term studies yet as the vaccination started in January 2020. Second, we have a variant, delta, that is more infectious but less deadly. Mass vaccination during the pandemic drives the expansion of new variants. It is not that new variants of COVID-19 virus come into existence due to vaccination. It is that those mutated variants resistant to the vaccine are favored. The resistant viruses are still able to infect the vaccinated human host and so spread more prolifically through viral shedding. This is basic virology. According to a July 19 conference made by the chief scientific adviser to the U.K., Sir Patrick Vallance, about 40% of all new COVID cases where people are admitted to the hospital who have already been vaccinated. So why are numbers of ineffectiveness higher in the United Kingdom than they are here in the U.S.? Well, the likelihood is that they aren't. As I am sure you have heard, if not seen for yourself first-hand, there is a growing number of fully vaccinated here that are contracting the virus too. Over 4,000 people were found in the tiny state of New Hampshire alone in recent weeks and in addition, there was a break-out of COVID-19 in

the House of Representatives. This means the CDC and the U.S. government are still largely in the dark, unaware or unwilling to admit just how bad it is. In either case, it does not exactly encourage trust in the novel vaccine. It now appears, the vaccinated carry higher numbers of SARS-CoV-2 in their nasal passages and nasopharynx than non-vaccinated individuals so are more infectious to others. Why would anyone take this shot when they can still carry and get sick with COVID. Now the CDC and FDA are talking about booster shots, at least one so far but what about another 6-8 months later, will another booster and another booster be needed. The booster shot is the same one that did not provide good enough immunity to prevent vaccinated individuals from getting sick with the Delta variant. There is a new strain of concern now, Mu. Will the vaccine protect anyone against this variant or others to follow? Third, the S protein is the toxin portion of the SARS-CoV-2 associated with causing COVID-19. It attaches to any ACE-2 receptor causing serious damage including thrombotic events and other clotting disorders. It can trigger an overwhelming immune response called a cytokine storm resulting in multi-organ damage. Now you want to require people to take a shot (nanoparticle with mRNA that codes for the production of the S protein)? The nanoparticle with the mRNA was supposed to stay in your deltoid muscle and not enter the circulation. Japan's biodistribution study shows the nanoparticles with their mRNA moved beyond the deltoid muscle into the general circulation. This nanoparticle easily enters through cell membranes causing your own cells to make the S protein that the mRNA codes for. So we are now being injected with a toxin, the S protein, which when released from the cell does not only attach to that cell's ACE-2 receptors but gets into the intercellular fluid and the blood stream and attaches to other cells/tissues which have ACE-2 receptors. By the way, you are given a 30 microgram dose of the mRNA nanoparticles in each injection – that is not a small dose. We know the S protein seems to circulate for at least 7+ days in the body. The injection bypasses the innate immune response and IgA protective antibodies so the resulting immune response is already less effective. Neutralizing antibodies do not appear to be that effective for the long term when produced. Fourth, recovering from a COVID-19 infection produces a strong robust immune response with T-Cell memory and thousands of IgG neutralizing antibodies developed against many antigenic determinants of the S protein as well as the viral envelope, capsid, and other proteins. How many naturally immunized people are hospitalized and sick with the Delta variant? So far, to my knowledge none. In the unvaccinated, the common clinical signs and symptoms of an infection with the COVID-19 delta strain is mild, more like a cold or an allergy requiring no treatment other than what you do for a cold. If an individual has more serious symptoms, there is medication that can modify the symptoms and prevent progression of the disease. The medications include hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin (properly dosed by weight of infected individual), zinc, Vitamin D, azithromycin, methylprednisone, monoclonal antibodies, and more as demonstrated in several clinical studies by Dr. Richard Fleming and Dr. Peter McCullough to mention a few. Fifth, elderly patients, nursing home patients, people with significant co-morbidities might choose to be vaccinated as they are more at risk because of age and usually accompanying disorders (diabetes, heart issues, kidney disease, pulmonary disease such as COPD, and obesity. The rest of us can take supplements such as zinc, vitamin D, vitamin B complex, quercetin, and more to keep our immune systems healthy. We can exercise, get a good night's sleep, eat healthy foods, and try to reduce our stress as much as possible. If we feel like we might be having early symptoms of COVID-19, we can take hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin, azithromycin, clindamycin, and others. You can get these from a number of on-line sites since many physicians and pharmacists in the U.S. will not allow them to be used. Wonder why that is? There are a number of scientific studies that show these medications work with little to no side effects. Those people at

greater risk can take hydroxychloroquine and/or ivermectin prophylactically. They can go on at www.americafrontlinedoctors.org to get these medications. There are other sites as well that I can share if anyone is seriously interested. Sixth, if you vaccinate all health care workers, and many of them experience serious consequences after the injections, you will end up being short qualified nurses, doctors, physical therapist, respiratory therapists radiological technologists, and more. Patients will suffer and rightly so should the health care facilities that mandated the vaccination. Will you be held responsible for their healthcare bills as you forced them to take the vaccine or lose their jobs? What if the healthcare workers decide not to get the vaccination and you fire them. Who will you hire to replace them? Will doctors have to function as nurses, nurses as nursing assistants, radiologist perform radiological testing, pathologist attempt to perform laboratory tests? I would not recommend anyone go to any hospital in Pima County if this happens. Whatever happened to my body, my choice? Or is that only for pregnant women who have an unwanted pregnancy? Bottom line, there is no reason to mandate vaccinations for anyone let alone healthcare workers. COVID-19 is not deadly. The newer variants cause mild disease. In fact, why not get the virus, recover using medications mentioned above, and have long-lasting strong resilient and avid antibodies plus T-cell memory for decades. If you have not already figured it out, there are more risks than benefits to receiving a COVID-10 injection. Have you taken the vaccine? Have or will you have your children and grand-children get vaccinated? Would you have your pregnant daughter get the vaccine? Stop this craziness. Respectfully, Mary Grace Werner URGVS member

Response requested Yes

Referred_Page <https://webcms.pima.gov/>

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona

Melissa Manriquez

From: Michelle Mastrangelo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 7:57 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Choice on 9-7-2021

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear representative of the Pima Board of Supervisors,

I am writing to voice my choice that we, residents in Pima county and citizens of the United States of America, that the choice to get the vaccine is a choice for our body and not a decision that is regulated by the government and people of the government that do not know my medical history.

Healthcare workers are essential to the care of the residents here. A decision to mandate the vaccine will render us at risk for necessary and needed health care. It is clear that the healthcare professionals have stated their intentions concerning being forced to get the vaccine. If such a mandate is pushed here, we could very well find ourselves as the people of Colorado, who have empty hospital beds and no medical staff to tend to the sick and needy. I know this as a fact, a member of my own family has needed to drive to the local hospital there for lifesaving IV antibiotics every 6 hours, not because there were no beds, but because there are no staff to attend to her if she were to be admitted.

Support the choice that our constitution gives us to make decisions for our own bodies and the things we want to put in them.

Michelle Mastrangelo
11000 E. Old Spanish Trail
Tucson AZ 85748 [REDACTED]

SEP 07 2021 07:46 PM CCK OF PD



Melissa Manriquez

From: Mary Werner <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 11:33 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Agenda Item #9
Attachments: PCBOS Agenda Item 9 .docx

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

September 4, 2021

Please see the attached letter concerning Agenda # 9 Unfinished Business - Mandating Vaccinations for Healthcare Workers.

Thank you.

Mary Grace Werner, District 4
4442 S Golf Estates Drive
Green Valley, Az 85622
[REDACTED]

SLP 0721940747PC CLK OF ID

(W)

September 3, 2021

Item #9 Unfinished Business

Clerk of the Board;

First, we have a vaccine that was approved (Pfizer) by the FDA even though there have been more deaths and injuries with the “vaccine” than any other vaccines in the United States. The US Government Stats claims there have been 13,627 deaths, 2,826,646 injuries, and 1429 fetal deaths as of August 27. All of this has happened in just over 8 months. Of course, you and I both know the VAERS reporting system is broken and even the FDA and CDC admit it so the actual numbers of more than likely much higher. This has been the most censored information in the U.S. right now even though these statistics come directly from official government statistics. How many more cases are not being reported or recovered? The EU database shows 23,252 deaths, 2,189,537 injuries following COVID shots and just remember the EU does not include all European countries. What we are seeing now is just the tip of the iceberg. What will happen months down the line? How many autoimmune disorders, cancers, neurological diseases, and more will show up? We do not know and there has been no long-term studies yet as the vaccination started in January 2020.

Second, we have a variant, delta, that is more infectious but less deadly. Mass vaccination during the pandemic drives the expansion of new variants. It is not that new variants of COVID-19 virus come into existence due to vaccination. It is that those mutated variants resistant to the vaccine are favored. The resistant viruses are still able to infect the vaccinated human host and so spread more prolifically through viral shedding. This is basic virology. According to a July 19 conference made by the chief scientific adviser to the U.K., Sir Patrick Vallance, about 40% of all new COVID cases where people are admitted to the hospital who have already been vaccinated. So why are numbers of ineffectiveness higher in the United Kingdom than they are here in the U.S.? Well, the likelihood is that they aren't. As I am sure you have heard, if not seen for yourself first-hand, there is a growing number of fully vaccinated here that are contracting the virus too. Over 4,000 people were found in the tiny state of New Hampshire alone in recent weeks and in addition, there was a break-out of COVID-19 in the House of Representatives. This means the CDC and the U.S. government are still largely in the dark, unaware or unwilling to admit just how bad it is. In either case, it does not exactly encourage trust in the novel vaccine. It now appears, the vaccinated carry higher numbers of SARS-CoV-2 in their nasal passages and nasopharynx than non-vaccinated individuals so are more infectious to others. Why would anyone take this shot when they can still carry and get sick with COVID. Now the CDC and FDA are talking about booster shots, at least one so far but what about another 6-8 months later, will another booster and another booster be needed. The booster shot is the same one that did not provide good enough immunity to prevent vaccinated individuals from getting sick with the Delta variant. There is a new strain of concern now, Mu. Will the vaccine protect anyone against this variant or others to follow?

Third, the S protein is the toxin portion of the SARS-CoV-2 associated with causing COVID-19. It attaches to any ACE-2 receptor causing serious damage including thrombotic events and other clotting disorders. It can trigger an overwhelming immune response called a cytokine storm resulting in multi-organ damage. Now you want to require people to take a shot (nanoparticle with mRNA that codes for the production of the S protein)? The nanoparticle with the mRNA was supposed to stay in your deltoid muscle and not enter the circulation. Japan's biodistribution study shows the nanoparticles with their mRNA moved beyond the deltoid muscle into the general circulation. This nanoparticle easily enters

78
ST 1070314204723016

through cell membranes causing your own cells to make the S protein that the mRNA codes for. So we are now being injected with a toxin, the S protein, which when released from the cell does not only attach to that cell's ACE-2 receptors but gets into the intercellular fluid and the blood stream and attaches to other cells/tissues which have ACE-2 receptors. By the way, you are given a 30 microgram dose of the mRNA nanoparticles in each injection – that is not a small dose. We know the S protein seems to circulate for at least 7+ days in the body. The injection bypasses the innate immune response and IgA protective antibodies so the resulting immune response is already less effective. Neutralizing antibodies do not appear to be that effective for the long term when produced.

Fourth, recovering from a COVID-19 infection produces a strong robust immune response with T-Cell memory and thousands of IgG neutralizing antibodies developed against many antigenic determinants of the S protein as well as the viral envelope, capsid, and other proteins. How many naturally immunized people are hospitalized and sick with the Delta variant? So far, to my knowledge none. In the unvaccinated, the common clinical signs and symptoms of an infection with the COVID-19 delta strain is mild, more like a cold or an allergy requiring no treatment other than what you do for a cold. If an individual has more serious symptoms, there is medication that can modify the symptoms and prevent progression of the disease. The medications include hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin (properly dosed by weight of infected individual), zinc, Vitamin D, azithromycin, methylprednisone, monoclonal antibodies, and more as demonstrated in several clinical studies by Dr. Richard Fleming and Dr. Peter McCullough to mention a few.

Fifth, elderly patients, nursing home patients, people with significant co-morbidities might choose to be vaccinated as they are more at risk because of age and usually accompanying disorders (diabetes, heart issues, kidney disease, pulmonary disease such as COPD, and obesity). The rest of us can take supplements such as zinc, vitamin D, vitamin B complex, quercetin, and more to keep our immune systems healthy. We can exercise, get a good night's sleep, eat healthy foods, and try to reduce our stress as much as possible. If we feel like we might be having early symptoms of COVID-19, we can take hydroxychloroquine, ivermectin, azithromycin, clindamycin, and others. You can get these from a number of on-line sites since many physicians and pharmacists in the U.S. will not allow them to be used. Wonder why that is? There are a number of scientific studies that show these medications work with little to no side effects. Those people at greater risk can take hydroxychloroquine and/or ivermectin prophylactically. They can go on at www.americafrontlinedoctors.org to get these medications. There are other sites as well that I can share if anyone is seriously interested.

Sixth, if you vaccinate all health care workers, and many of them experience serious consequences after the injections, you will end up being short qualified nurses, doctors, physical therapist, respiratory therapists radiological technologists, and more. Patients will suffer and rightly so should the health care facilities that mandated the vaccination. Will you be held responsible for their healthcare bills as you forced them to take the vaccine or lose their jobs? What if the healthcare workers decide not to get the vaccination and you fire them. Who will you hire to replace them? Will doctors have to function as nurses, nurses as nursing assistants, radiologist perform radiological testing, pathologist attempt to perform laboratory tests? I would not recommend anyone go to any hospital in Pima County if this happens. Whatever happened to my body, my choice? Or is that only for pregnant women who have an unwanted pregnancy?

Bottom line, there is no reason to mandate vaccinations for anyone let alone healthcare workers. COVID-19 is not deadly. The newer variants cause mild disease. In fact, why not get the virus, recover using medications mentioned above, and have long-lasting strong resilient and avid antibodies plus T-cell memory for decades. If you have not already figured it out, there are more risks than benefits to receiving a COVID-10 injection.

Have you taken the vaccine? Have or will you have your children and grand-children get vaccinated? Would you have your pregnant daughter get the vaccine?

Stop this craziness.

Respectfully,

Mary Grace Werner, CLS (ASCP,ASCLS)
4442S Golf Estates Drive
Green Valley ,AZ 85622
District 4
URGVS member

Melissa Manriquez

From: Lynie Stone <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 12:01 PM
Cc: Lynie Stone
Subject: September 7, 2021 Board Meeting 9am - vaccine mandate topic

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Date: September 3, 2021

To: Tucson, AZ -Pima County Board of Supervisors plus Governor Ducey

Re: Covid-19 Investigational Experimental Vaccine Candidates

September 7, 2021 Board Meeting at 9am

It is a violation of law to require or mandate any compulsory Covid-19 vaccination. All healthcare workers have the legal right to refuse or to take any Covid-19 vaccine. Any other action is contrary to federal law.

Covid-19 Vaccines are Experimental.

Covid-19 vaccines are not approved by the FDA. The Covid-19 vaccines are only approved under an Emergency Use Authorization, for investigational use only (1). Covid- 19 vaccines lack requisite studies and are not an approved medical treatment. The FDA's guidance on emergency use authorization of medical products requires the FDA to "ensure that recipients are informed to the extent practicable given the applicable circumstances ... That they have the option TO ACCEPT or TO REFUSE the EUA product ..." (2)

Title 21, Section 360bbb-3 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the "FD&C Act") vests the Secretary of Health and Human Services with the permissive authority to grant Emergency Use Authorizations ("EUAs") providing that appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed:

1. that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product;
2. of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and
3. **of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product**, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product that are available and their benefits and risks (1).

The right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamental, rooted in the Nuremberg Code of 1947, has been ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and further codified in the United States Code of Federal Regulations. In addition to the United States regarding itself as bound by these provisions, these

principles were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research. It is unlawful to conduct medical research, even in the case of an emergency, unless steps are taken to secure **INFORMED CONSENT** of all participants (3).

The following Emergency Use Authorizations have been issued for Covid-19 vaccinations:

12/11/20 Moderna - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Moderna mRNA COVID-19 vaccine for recipients 16 years of age or older.

12/18/20 Pfizer/BioNTech - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine for recipients 18 years of age or older.

2/27/21 Johnson & Johnson - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine (aka Janssen vaccine) for recipients 18 years of age or older.

Each of the above EUAs was issued in conjunction with a similar Fact Sheet from the FDA. For example, the Janssen fact sheet contains the following notice:

“INFORMATION TO PROVIDE TO VACCINE RECIPIENTS/CAREGIVERS”

As the vaccination provider, you must communicate to the recipient or their caregiver, information consistent with the “Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers” (and provide a copy or direct the individual to the website to obtain the Fact Sheet) prior to the individual receiving the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, including:

- FDA has authorized the emergency use of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, which is not an FDA approved vaccine.
- **The recipient or their caregiver has the option to accept or refuse the Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine.**
- The significant known and potential risks and benefits of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, and the extent to which such risks and benefits are unknown (4).

Clearly, any attempt to force anyone to take a Covid-19 vaccine is a violation of federal law and the conditions under which the Covid-19 vaccine has been authorized for use. The law is clear, investigational experimental medical treatment cannot be mandated.

Businesses are not shielded from liability with mandating experimental agents.

Board of Supervisors are not shielded from the personal liability with mandating experimental agents.

Under the 2005 PREP Act enacted by Congress, pharmaceutical companies that manufacture EUA vaccines are shielded from liability related to injuries and damages caused by their experimental agents.

However, any employer, public school, board of supervisor or any other entity or person who mandates experimental vaccines on any human being is NOT protected from liability for any resulting harm.

While vaccine manufacturers may be shielded from liability, your agency is not protected, and neither are you individually (5).

You are hereby on notice that if you illegally or irresponsibly mandate EUA medical therapies on all healthcare workers and/or government workers, such as the experimental Covid-19 vaccine candidates, we the people have no choice but to take legal action, and you all may be **personally liable** for resulting harm.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to comply with the FD&C Act and the terms of the EUA and its accompanying Fact Sheet, and to advise all Tucson community members of their right to accept or refuse any Covid-19 investigational experimental gene therapy vaccine. Any other course of action is contrary to federal law.

Also The Board of Supervisors took an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States and the 14th Amendment is clearly being attacked and breached of our rights as human beings. Look at Roe v Wade (reproductive rights) and Reed v Reed (gender discrimination). My Body; My Choice and The Supreme Court will uphold our right to choose a vaccine or not. Tucson Community is under attack with our right to choose what we want for our bodies.

You have the power to uphold federal law and the Constitution of the United States.

Thank you for protecting the best interest of the people of Pima County.

Sincerely,

Dr. Lynn Stone

Tucson, AZ 85749

(1) <https://ca.childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/CDE-Superintendent-Letter0from-Childrens-Health-Defense-California-Chapter.pdf>

(2) <https://www.fda.gov/media/97321/download>

(3) 21 CFR § 50.24

(4) www.janssencovid19vaccine.com

(5) <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/under-federal-law-can-your-employer-make-you-get-covid-vaccine/>

--

Love, love and more love: Lynie Stone

1. *Journal of Management Studies*, 1996, 33, 1, 1-15.

②

Melissa Manriquez

From: Kim Rodriguez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 2:27 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: I wish this to be read in the Board Meeting on Tuesday, Sept 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello,

I am a citizen against Mandatory Covid 19 Vaccinations for not only Health Care Worker, but all citizens. There are several studies to published to date that prove that the Covid Vaccines are not only ineffective in stopping Covid, but can have severe side effects, especially for those who are young and healthy. The FDA just added a warning to the COVID 19 vaccines that clearly states that the Pfizer vaccine can cause Heart Issues, among other things. Why would you force a vaccine that can have residual issues on a young and healthy population that is the lowest at risk from Covid?

This mandate is not only UNAMERICAN, it is UNETHICAL and mirrors the inhumane injections that were given in Germany during WWII. It is WRONG for you as PUBLIC SERVANTS to force your Big Pharm agenda on our City. This shot should be voluntary just like the Flu Shot. To force your constituents to put a foreign substance in their body in order to make a living is disgusting and you should be removed from public office for even considering it. It is your responsibility to defend our Constitutional Rights. That is your number one job. **Defend the Constitution** and protect the people! Don't force something on people that cannot be removed. This experimental jab has proven to cause more harm than good. That is not protecting the people. Israel is a prime example of how the vaccine does not work. Look at the numbers.

We are Americans and have the God Given right to choose what we put in our body. You are not God and have no sovereignty over our bodies. MY BODY, MY CHOICE!

Now do the right thing and squash this mandate!

Kim Rodriguez

SEP 04 2021 15:00
[Signature]

Melissa Manriquez

From: Michelle Mastrangelo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 2:46 PM
To: COB_mail; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Adelilta.Grijalva@pima.gov; Steve Christy; District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5
Subject: COVID Mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Date: September 3, 2021

To: Tucson, AZ -Pima County Board of Supervisors plus Governor Ducey

Re: Covid-19 Investigational Experimental Vaccine Candidates

September 7, 2021 Board Meeting at 9am

It is a violation of law to require or mandate any compulsory Covid-19 vaccination. All healthcare workers have the legal right to refuse or to take any Covid-19 vaccine. Any other action is contrary to federal law.

Covid-19 Vaccines are Experimental.

Covid-19 vaccines are not approved by the FDA. The Covid-19 vaccines are only approved under an Emergency Use Authorization, for investigational use only (1). Covid-19 vaccines lack requisite studies and are not an approved medical treatment. The FDA's guidance on emergency use authorization of medical products requires the FDA to "ensure that recipients are informed to the extent practicable given the applicable circumstances ... That they have the option TO ACCEPT or TO REFUSE the EUA product ..." (2)

Title 21, Section 360bbb-3 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the "FD&C Act") vests the Secretary of Health and Human Services with the permissive authority to grant Emergency Use Authorizations ("EUAs") providing that appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed:

1. that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product;
2. of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and
3. **of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product**, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product that are available and their benefits and risks (1).

The right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamental, rooted in the Nuremberg Code of 1947, has been ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and further codified in the United States Code of

SEP 07 21 AM 07:47 PM CCLK OF ID

(1)

Federal Regulations. In addition to the United States regarding itself as bound by these provisions, these principles were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research. It is unlawful to conduct medical research, even in the case of an emergency, unless steps are taken to secure **INFORMED CONSENT** of all participants (3).

The following Emergency Use Authorizations have been issued for Covid-19 vaccinations:

12/11/20 Moderna - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Moderna mRNA COVID-19 vaccine for recipients 16 years of age or older.

12/18/20 Pfizer/BioNTech - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine for recipients 18 years of age or older.

2/27/21 Johnson & Johnson - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine (aka Janssen vaccine) for recipients 18 years of age or older.

Each of the above EUAs was issued in conjunction with a similar Fact Sheet from the FDA. For example, the Janssen fact sheet contains the following notice:

“INFORMATION TO PROVIDE TO VACCINE RECIPIENTS/CAREGIVERS”

As the vaccination provider, you must communicate to the recipient or their caregiver, information consistent with the “Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers” (and provide a copy or direct the individual to the website to obtain the Fact Sheet) prior to the individual receiving the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, including:

- FDA has authorized the emergency use of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, which is not an FDA approved vaccine.
- **The recipient or their caregiver has the option to accept or refuse the Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine.**
- The significant known and potential risks and benefits of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, and the extent to which such risks and benefits are unknown (4).

Clearly, any attempt to force anyone to take a Covid-19 vaccine is a violation of federal law and the conditions under which the Covid-19 vaccine has been authorized for use. The law is clear, investigational experimental medical treatment cannot be mandated.

Businesses are not shielded from liability with mandating experimental agents.

Board of Supervisors are not shielded from the personal liability with mandating experimental agents.

Under the 2005 PREP Act enacted by Congress, pharmaceutical companies that manufacture EUA vaccines are shielded from liability related to injuries and damages caused by their experimental agents.

However, any employer, public school, board of supervisor or any other entity or person who mandates experimental vaccines on any human being is NOT protected from liability for any resulting harm.

While vaccine manufacturers may be shielded from liability, your agency is not protected, and neither are you individually (5).

You are hereby on notice that if you illegally or irresponsibly mandate EUA medical therapies on all healthcare workers and/or government workers, such as the experimental Covid-19 vaccine candidates, we the people have no choice but to take legal action, and you all may be **personally liable** for resulting harm.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to comply with the FD&C Act and the terms of the EUA and its accompanying Fact Sheet, and to advise all Tucson community members of their right to accept or refuse any Covid-19 investigational experimental gene therapy vaccine. Any other course of action is contrary to federal law.

Also The Board of Supervisors took an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States and the 14th Amendment is clearly being attacked and breached of our rights as human beings. Look at Roe v Wade (reproductive rights) and Reed v Reed (gender discrimination). My Body; My Choice and The Supreme Court will uphold our right to choose a vaccine or not. Tucson Community is under attack with our right to choose what we want for our bodies.

You have the power to uphold federal law and the Constitution of the United States.

Thank you for protecting the best interest of the people of Pima County.

Sincerely,

Michelle Mastrangelo

Tucson, AZ 85748

(1) <https://ca.childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/CDE-Superintendent-Letter0from-Childrens-Health-Defense-California-Chapter.pdf>

(2) <https://www.fda.gov/media/97321/download>

(3) 21 CFR § 50.24

(4) www.janssencovid19vaccine.com

(5) <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/under-federal-law-can-your-employer-make-you-get-covid-vaccine/>

Melissa Manriquez

From: S. Fickes <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 7:38 PM
To: COB_mail; District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5
Subject: Public Comments Agenda/Addendum Meeting 09-07-21

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please see my Agenda/Addendum comment below for the Meeting 09-07-21
I am a legal voting resident of Pima County Arizona, Sharon Fickes Contact [REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 07:48 PM CCKC ID

Agenda #9 Mandatory Vaccines

Our Pima County Healthcare Workers licensed by the State of Arizona and their direct staff are recognized as heroes for their service to our citizens during this virus outbreak. They are frontline workers employed because they are skilled and our County needs them continuing their work for our benefit. Lucky is Pima County for having this educated and dedicated workforce. We should be working to encourage them to continue using their skills and minds participating in healthcare decisions. Since they continue to work for our benefit, let's step away from forcing the Board of Supervisors' medical decisions upon their personal decisions. They can make their own choices regarding a vaccine. Step back from this mandate. Vote NO on mandatory vaccines

Melissa Manriquez

From: Susan K <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 10:49 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Message for Sept 7 Meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To Clerk of the Court:

Please read this at the Sept 7 meeting on AZ Mandates for Healthcare workers:

Being residents of Pima County and Americans who value the freedoms our forefathers fought for, demand that vaccine mandates not be held over the heads of our dedicated healthcare workers. This is tyranny that is escalating and will turn us into a dictatorship. Let Freedom reign in Tucson and in America!

Susan and Donald Knittel
13597 E Fire Dancer Rd, Vail, AZ

Susan Apollo Knittel

SEP 07 21 07:48 PM CLK DE 30



Melissa Manriquez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 1:37 PM
To: COB_mail; District1; DIST2; District3; District5
Subject: September 7 Board of Supervisors meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

9. UNFINISHED BUSINESS (8/10/21 and 8/16/21)

Mandating Vaccinations for Healthcare Workers

For those of you with ancestors who served in any war, shame on you for ignoring their sacrifice to insure your liberty and freedom.

Mandating employment restrictions for individuals is little different than the Chinese mandating one child per family. It is particularly unconscionable when the science regarding these "quasi vaccines" is wildly debated. As reported by the Associated Press the CDC itself has not estimated what percentage of hospitalizations and deaths are in fully vaccinated people citing limitations in the data. News reports across the country indicate up to 40% of health care workers choose NOT to be vaccinated. Covid is not a crises of the unvaccinated, vaccinated people are also participating.

It appears there is no infrastructure in place to protect free citizens from the wielders of power who want to impose their opinions across the board. Let adults be responsible for their own lives - No mask mandate!

Gail Ault, Pima County resident
[REDACTED]

09-07-2021 07:45:57 C:\KCE

12

Melissa Manriquez

From: Sarah Price <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 2:07 PM
To: COB_mail; District3; DIST2; District4; District5
Subject: BOS Meeting 9/7/21 - Agenda Item 12

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I'm a registered voter in Pima County and would like to add my concerns and disappoint to the BOS.

You continue to address the mandates which will be illegal as of September 29th. You have no control. If you decide to go ahead with you mandates on vaccine, who will pay with the law suits ahead. As I said before (last month), our healthcare workers have been our dedicated employees and our hero's. And now you are punishing them with loss of the jobs because they have made the decision on what the feel is right for them.. not only illegal action but against State laws.

So stop this continuing effort to drive these mandates down our throats. Month after month of your discusting games. Get in with things needed in Pima County.

NO MANDATES!!!

Sarah Price
URGVs

Sent from my iPhone

09/05/2021 2:07 PM

Melissa Manriquez

From: Jeanne Bjorn <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 2:14 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Health Freedom - Shot Mandates in Pima County

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) submitted written testimony objecting to “the proposal to violate the fundamental human rights of all citizens associated with healthcare by forcing them to take an injection without voluntary informed consent.”

AAPS notes that all the COVID-19 injections are experimental, and that studies are not scheduled for completion before the end of 2022. The only FDA-approved product, which is generally unavailable here, is Comirnaty made by BioNTech in Mainz, Germany. The manufacturer is required to conduct post-marketing studies of adverse effects including myocarditis, with a 5-year follow-up.

The Pfizer, Moderna, and Johnson & Johnson (Janssen) vaccines are only available under an Emergency Use Authorization (EUA), AAPS notes, and are supposed to be fully voluntary.

Many workers have had COVID-19 and are thus already immune, and the majority are at low risk of a poor outcome if they are infected. They may therefore judge that the risks of the vaccine outweigh any benefit, AAPS states. Also, the vaccine may not prevent transmission.

“Patients in Pima County are already reporting difficulty in accessing medical care of any kind,” AAPS reports. “If personnel are diminished because of declining to accept the COVID product or because of vaccine-related disability or death, tremendous preventable death and suffering will occur.”

The Association of American Physicians and Surgeons has represented physicians in all specialties since 1943. Its motto is omnia pro aegroto, everything for the patient.

At the very least, please allow these workers to opt for an antibody test that shows their immunity levels.

Jeanne Bjorn

Attuned - Reclaiming Our Emotional Intelligence
Purchase it at Amazon.com

"When the idle poor become the idle rich, you'll never know who is who or who is which. Won't it be rich!" Yip Harburg

5107015420452015
BX

Melissa Manriquez

From: Sarah Price <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 2:25 PM
To: COB_mail; District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5
Subject: BOS Mtg. 9/7/21 - Agenda 10

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Registered voter in Pima County

Here you are again trying to force this vaccine on our healthcare workers. I'm tired of thinking you had made decision and now you are back to same issue. I will keep my comments simple and to the point.

Healthcare workers have given the last year and a half of their lives during COVID-19. They are our hero's.

Do not punish them by putting illegal mandates on them.

We will have a crisis in healthcare, and it will your fault.

We will have lawsuits.....I do not want to use our \$\$ in Pima County in that way.

I personally will lose a wonderful doctor.

Remember, it should be their choice and right to make their decisions.

Lastly the mandate will be illegal on September 29.

I'm very tired of your games.

Sarah Price
URGV5

Sent from my iPhone

SEP 07 21 AM 07:49 UTC KCFB
BH

Melissa Manriquez

From: Sue Ann Christenson <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 2:33 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: No Mandated Vaccinations!

SEP 07 21 07:49 PC CLK OF BD

[Handwritten signature]

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors,

The risk that is being proposed to mandate Pima County Healthcare workers to be injected with a shot that has yet to be proven safe is irresponsible and unethical.

While the EUA falls short of efficacy standards by years, the idea of any government agency or body pushing it through is unconscionable.

Forcing employees to make a choice for their job, family's security and livelihood by making it a condition of employment is out of line for this Pima County Board of Supervisors.

There is much evidence already of people who have had "vaccination injury" or deaths from taking this shot even on the CDC's own reporting systems of VAERS. While there is a disclaimer to the accuracy, I ask you, why all of a sudden the people who have reported deaths or injuries tallying nearly 55,000 superceding ALL previous 30 years of reporting, isn't enough to cause you to pause before creating such an outrageous mandate!

While the pharmaceutical companies have no liability for a EUA and are stalling for loop holes for an approval from the FDA, the action the Board is proposing puts Pima County cupable and in the position of years of lawsuits instead of abiding by the Oath to protect Pima County citizens. Do you want to bankrupt our County?

When the Association for American Physicians and Surgeons asks you to reject a COVID-19 "Vaccine" mandate, is this not caution enough to listen to the collective of well educated doctors? What is your motivation to continue this insanity? Power? Fear? Ego? It is a time to use common sense and courage to truly "lead" instead of keeping in step with any particular "party line."

Rights are individual in this country; discriminating against healthy people, who work in the field, is all more reason to trust their judgements of being "vaccinated" or not!

I, for one, will not vote for anyone who mandates this vaccination; I believe in the Constitution and the rights our forefathers wrote to protect every individual.

I urge you to NOT mandate a ramrodded shot that fails to qualify legally as a vaccination nor be produced by standard efficacy methods. It does not cure nor stop the spread of COVID-19. It only "reduces the symptoms" as stated by the CDC. You are putting many people at risk for something that the majority of people survive (elderly 95% and under 70 99%). Stop the fear and use your Common Sense!

Hopefully,

Sue Ann Christenson

I ask you what is your motivation to ignor respectable

Melissa Manriquez

From: Kristyn Berry <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 2:55 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Comment for Public Record - Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers, September 7, 2021

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To the Pima County Board of Supervisors (the "Board"):

It has come to my attention that the Board is considering passing a resolution mandating vaccination for health professional licensed in Arizona. I am submitting my comments regarding this matter and ask that it be read during the meeting this Tuesday September 7, 2021 and put into public record.

I do not support vaccine mandates based upon the following:

1. There is a movement within our country to focus on cultural diversity, equity and inclusion and to embrace individuals unique backgrounds and beliefs. It is a complete misalignment and contradiction to promote supporting these movements and then pass legislation that medically discriminates against individuals because they do not believe in the same medical decisions as another and make a different choice. Vaccine mandates are medical discrimination plain and simple and do not support a culture of diversity, equity and inclusivity.
2. Each individual is unique and complex and there is not a "one size fits all" approach to health and wellness. To mandate an injection is good for everyone is irresponsible and frankly not within the Board's professional scope of practice. This decision should be made by each individual in discussion with their trusted personal healthcare advisors and in educating themselves to make the decisions that is in his/her best interest. A "healthy individual" creates a "healthy society".
3. Healthcare workers (and citizens) have the right and freedom to make healthcare decisions that are best for them. The healthier our frontline workers, the better able they are to serve our community. It is an insult that the Board believes it "knows better" than the frontline workers directly dealing with health related matters.
4. At the heart of health and wellness is informed consent which honors and respects the individual's right to make decisions best for them. Mandating, coercing and threatening citizens livelihoods by forcing them to take an injection violates this fundamental concept in providing health services.
5. There are already letters submitted that discuss the inconsistencies in the science being reported regarding these injections and their effectiveness, the lack of discussion and debates regarding their use (which is a cause for concern) and the unknown long term effects. It would be a better use of the Board's time to focus on educating our citizens on how to support their natural immune system and what they can do to make themselves healthier and more resilient regardless of what virus comes their way.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these comments.

In appreciation,

Kristyn Berry
District 1

2132 E. Tabular Place
Oro Valley, Az. 85755
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 07:49 PC CLK DE 13

Melissa Manriquez

From: Lynn McKinney <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 4:56 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Fwd: Letter opposing investigational experimental gene therapy vaccines

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Date: September 3, 2021

To: Tucson, AZ - Board of Supervisors

Re: Covid-19 Investigational Experimental Vaccine Candidates

SEP 07 21 07:49 PM CLKCF ID

It is a violation of law to require or mandate any compulsory Covid-19 vaccination. All healthcare workers have the legal right to refuse or to take any Covid-19 vaccine. Any other action is contrary to federal law.

Covid-19 Vaccines are Experimental.

Covid-19 vaccines are not approved by the FDA. The Covid-19 vaccines are only approved under an Emergency Use Authorization, for investigational use only (1). Covid-19 vaccines lack requisite studies and are not an approved medical treatment. The FDA's guidance on emergency use authorization of medical products requires the FDA to "ensure that recipients are informed to the extent practicable given the applicable circumstances ... That they have the option TO ACCEPT or TO REFUSE the EUA product ..." (2)

Title 21, Section 360bbb-3 of the Federal Food, Drug, and Cosmetic Act (the "FD&C Act") vests the Secretary of Health and Human Services with the permissive authority to grant Emergency Use Authorizations ("EUAs") providing that appropriate conditions designed to ensure that individuals to whom the product is administered are informed:

1. that the Secretary has authorized the emergency use of the product;

2. of the significant known and potential benefits and risks of such use and of the extent to which such benefits and risks are unknown; and

3. **of the option to accept or refuse administration of the product**, of the consequences, if any, of refusing administration of the product that are available and their benefits and risks (1).

The right to avoid the imposition of human experimentation is fundamental, rooted in the Nuremberg Code of 1947, has been ratified by the 1964 Declaration of Helsinki, and further codified in the United States Code of Federal Regulations. In addition to the United States regarding itself as bound by these provisions, these principles were adopted by the FDA in its regulations requiring the informed consent of human subjects for medical research. It is unlawful to conduct medical research, even in the case of an emergency, unless steps are taken to secure **INFORMED CONSENT** of all participants (3).

The following Emergency Use Authorizations have been issued for Covid-19 vaccinations:

12/11/20 Moderna - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Moderna mRNA COVID-19 vaccine for recipients 16 years of age or older.

12/18/20 Pfizer/BioNTech - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Pfizer/BioNTech mRNA vaccine for recipients 18 years of age or older.

2/27/21 Johnson & Johnson - FDA issued an EUA for emergency use of the Johnson & Johnson COVID-19 vaccine (aka Janssen vaccine) for recipients 18 years of age or older.

Each of the above EUAs was issued in conjunction with a similar Fact Sheet from the FDA. For example, the Janssen fact sheet contains the following notice:

“INFORMATION TO PROVIDE TO VACCINE RECIPIENTS/CAREGIVERS”

As the vaccination provider, you must communicate to the recipient or their caregiver, information consistent with the “Fact Sheet for Recipients and Caregivers” (and provide a copy or direct the individual to the website to obtain the Fact Sheet) prior to the individual receiving the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, including:

- FDA has authorized the emergency use of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, which is not an FDA approved vaccine.

- **The recipient or their caregiver has the option to accept or refuse the Janssen COVID-19 Vaccine.**
- The significant known and potential risks and benefits of the Janssen Covid-19 Vaccine, and the extent to which such risks and benefits are unknown (4).

Clearly, any attempt to force anyone to take a Covid-19 vaccine is a violation of federal law and the conditions under which the Covid-19 vaccine has been authorized for use. The law is clear, investigational experimental medical treatment cannot be mandated.

Businesses are not shielded from liability with mandating experimental agents.

Board of Supervisors are not shielded from the personal liability with mandating experimental agents.

Under the 2005 PREP Act enacted by Congress, pharmaceutical companies that manufacture EUA vaccines are shielded from liability related to injuries and damages caused by their experimental agents.

However, any employer, public school, board of supervisor or any other entity or person who mandates experimental vaccines on any human being is NOT protected from liability for any resulting harm.

While vaccine manufacturers may be shielded from liability, your agency is not protected, and neither are you individually (5).

You are hereby on notice that if you illegally or irresponsibly mandate EUA medical therapies on all healthcare workers and/or government workers, such as the experimental Covid-19 vaccine candidates, we the people have no choice but to take legal action, and you all may be **personally liable** for resulting harm.

We urge the Board of Supervisors to comply with the FD&C Act and the terms of the EUA and its accompanying Fact Sheet, and to advise all Tucson community members of their right to accept or refuse any Covid-19 investigational experimental gene therapy vaccine. Any other course of action is contrary to federal law.

Also The Board of Supervisors took an oath to uphold the Constitution of the United States and the 14th Amendment is clearly being attacked and breached of our rights as human beings. Look at Roe v Wade (reproductive rights) and Reed v Reed (gender discrimination). My Body; My Choice and The Supreme

Court will uphold our right to choose a vaccine or not. Tucson Community is under attack with our right to choose what we want for our bodies.

You have the power to uphold federal law and the Constitution of the United States.

Thank you for protecting the best interest of the people of Pima County.

Sincerely,

Lynn McKinney

Tucson Az 85749

(1) <https://ca.childrenshealthdefense.org/wp-content/uploads/CDE-Superintendent-Letter0from-Childrens-Health-Defense-California-Chapter.pdf>

(2) <https://www.fda.gov/media/97321/download>


(3) 21 CFR § 50.24

(4) www.janssencovid19vaccine.com

(5) <https://childrenshealthdefense.org/defender/under-federal-law-can-your-employer-make-you-get-covid-vaccine/>

--
Love, love and more love: Lynie Stone

--
Love, love and more love: Lynie Stone

--
Lynn McKinney
Holy Cow Tack, Feed & Pet


Melissa Manriquez

From: Caroline Latron <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 7:22 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: SAY NO TO THE MANDATE OF VACCINATION!!!

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

PLEASE REJECT THE MANDATE FOR EVERY HEALTH WORKERS TO BE VACCINATED!!!
SAY NO TO THE MANDATE!!!
SAY NO TO THE MANDATE!!!
THANK YOU

Caroline Latron
[REDACTED]

SEP072107495100.KLF

Melissa Manriquez

From: Maria E Yust <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Sunday, September 5, 2021 8:00 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: RE: The covid-19 vaccine mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

I strongly oppose the idea of implementing a covid-19 vaccine mandate. I believe that it is an egregious violation of one's individual rights to determine what goes in your own body, and that this is a blatant violation of our civil liberties. I also believe there is a dangerous precedent for communism attempting to be set here where the benefit of the masses takes priority over the individual, and where the rights and liberties of the individual will begin to deteriorate in favor of the masses. This is a very dangerous road you are attempting to lead our great country down!

Especially, since the vaccine does NOT prevent transmission, I do not see the logic in requiring everyone to take this vaccine with no long term track history to draw from. There are breakthrough infections on the rise which has put into question the efficacy of the vaccine. How will we deal with the reality of virus mutations inevitably coming down the pike which are resistant to the vaccine? Why have alternative treatments been censored? We must ask ourselves these important questions and apply critical thinking to them!

My name is Maria Yust. You can contact me at [REDACTED]. I have plucked excerpts from the following article in order to make some very important points.

<https://www.citizensjournal.us/18-reasons-i-wont-be-getting-a-covid-vaccine/>

There are too many reasons to list which go AGAINST imposing a mandate, but these are some of the main points. Please consider the following:

#1: VACCINE MAKERS ARE IMMUNE FROM LIABILITY

The only industry in the world that bears no liability for injuries or deaths resulting from their products, are vaccine makers.

The covid-vaccine makers are allowed to create a one-size-fits-all product, with no testing on sub-populations (i.e. people with specific health conditions), and yet they are unwilling to accept any responsibility for any adverse events or deaths their products cause.

#2: THE CHECKERED PAST OF THE VACCINE COMPANIES

The four major companies who are making these covid vaccines are/have either:

1. Never brought a vaccine to market before covid (Moderna and Johnson & Johnson).
2. Are serial felons (Pfizer, and Astra Zeneca).

3. Are both (Johnson & Johnson).

Moderna had been trying to “Modernize our RNA” (thus the company name)—for years, but had never successfully brought ANY product to market—how nice for them to get a major cash infusion from the government to keep trying.

In fact, all major vaccine makers (save Moderna) have paid out tens of billions of dollars in damages for other products they brought to market when they knew those products would cause injuries and death—see Vioxx, Bextra, Celebrex, Thalidomide, and Opioids as a few examples.

If drug companies willfully choose to put harmful products in the market, when they can be sued, why would we trust any product where they have NO liability?

In case it hasn't sunk in, let me reiterate...3 of the 4 covid vaccine makers have been sued for products they brought to market even though they knew injuries and deaths would result.

- **Johnson & Johnson** has lost major lawsuits in 1995, 1996, 2001, 2010, 2011, 2016, 2019 (For what it's worth, J&J's vaccine also contains tissues from aborted fetal cells, perhaps a topic for another discussion)
- **Pfizer** has the distinction of the biggest criminal payout in history. They have lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. You can check out their rap sheet here. Maybe that's why they are demanding that countries where they don't have liability protection put up collateral to cover vaccine-injury lawsuits.
- **Astra Zeneca** has similarly lost so many lawsuits it's hard to count. Here's one. Here's another...you get the point. And in case you missed it, the company had their covid vaccine suspended in at least 18 countries over concerns of blood clots, and they completely botched their meeting with the FDA with numbers from their study that didn't match.
- Oh, and apparently **J&J** (whose vaccine *is* approved for “Emergency Use” in the US) and **Astrazenca** (whose vaccine is *not* approved for “Emergency Use” in the US), had a little mix up in their ingredients...in 15 million doses. Oops.

#3: THE UGLY HISTORY OF ATTEMPTS TO MAKE CORONAVIRUS VACCINES

There have been many attempts to make viral vaccines in the past that ended in utter failure, which is why we did not have a coronavirus vaccine in 2020.

In the 1960's, scientists attempted to make an RSV (Respiratory Syncytial Virus) vaccine for infants. In that study, they skipped animal trials because they weren't necessary back then.

In the end, the vaccinated infants got much sicker than the unvaccinated infants when exposed to the virus in nature, with 80% of the vaccinated infants requiring hospitalization, and two of them died.

After 2000, scientists made many attempts to create coronavirus vaccines.

For the past 20 years, all ended in failure because the animals in the clinical trials got very sick and many died, just like the children in the 1960's.

You can read a summary of this history/science here.

Or if you want to read the individual studies you can check out these links:

- In 2004 attempted vaccine produced hepatitis in ferrets
- In 2005 mice and civets became sick and *more* susceptible to coronaviruses after being vaccinated
- In 2012 the ferrets became sick and died. And in this study mice and ferrets developed lung disease.
- In 2016 this study also produce lung disease in mice.

The typical pattern in the studies mentioned above is that the children and the animals produced beautiful antibody responses after being vaccinated.

The manufacturers thought they hit the jackpot.

The problem came when the children and animals were exposed to the wild version of the virus.

When that happened, an unexplained phenomenon called Antibody Dependent Enhancement (ADE) also known as Vaccine Enhanced Disease (VED) occurred where the immune system produced a “cytokine storm” (i.e. overwhelmingly attacked the body), and the children/animals died.

Here's the lingering issue...

The vaccine makers have no data to suggest their rushed vaccines have overcome that problem.

In other words, never before has any attempt to make a coronavirus vaccine been successful, nor has the gene-therapy technology that is mRNA “vaccines” been safely brought to market, but hey, since they had billions of dollars in government funding, I’m sure they figured that out.

#4: THE “DATA GAPS” SUBMITTED TO THE FDA BY THE VACCINE MAKERS

When vaccine makers submitted their papers to the FDA for the Emergency Use Authorization (Note: An EUA is *not* the same as a full FDA approval), among the many “Data Gaps” they reported was that they have nothing in their trials to suggest they overcame that pesky problem of Vaccine Enhanced Disease.

They simply don’t know—i.e. they have no idea if the vaccines they’ve made will also produce the same cytokine storm (and deaths) as previous attempts at such products.

As Joseph Mercola points out...

“Previous attempts to develop an mRNA-based drug using lipid nanoparticles failed and had to be abandoned because when the dose was too low, the drug had no effect, and when dosed too high, the drug became too toxic. An obvious question is: What has changed that now makes this technology safe enough for mass use?”

If that’s not alarming enough, here are other gaps in the data—i.e. *there is no data to suggest safety or efficacy regarding:*

- Anyone younger than age 18 or older than age 55
- Pregnant or lactating mothers
- Auto-immune conditions
- Immunocompromised individuals
- **No data on *transmission* of covid**
- **No data on preventing *mortality* from covid**
- **No data on duration of protection from covid**

Hard to believe right?

In case you think I’m making this up, or want to see the actual documents sent to the FDA by Pfizer and Moderna for their Emergency Use Authorization, you can check out [this](#), or [this](#) respectively. The data gaps can be found starting with page 46 and 48 respectively.

For now let’s turn our eyes to the raw data the vaccine makers used to submit for emergency use authorization.

#5: NO ACCESS TO THE RAW DATA FROM THE TRIALS

Would you like to see the raw data that produced the “90% and 95% effective” claims touted in the news?

Me too...

But they won’t let us see that data.

As pointed out in [the BMJ](#), something about the Pfizer and Moderna efficacy claims smells really funny.

There were “3,410 total cases of suspected, but unconfirmed covid-19 in the overall study population, 1,594 occurred in the vaccine group vs. 1,816 in the placebo group.”

Wait...what?

Did they fail to do science in their scientific study by not verifying a major variable?

Could they not test those “suspected but unconfirmed” cases to find out if they had covid?

Apparently not.

Why not test all 3,410 participants for the sake of accuracy?

Can we only guess they didn’t test because it would mess up their “90-95% effective” claims?

Where’s the FDA?

Would it not be prudent for the FDA, to expect (demand) that the vaccine makers test people who have “covid-like symptoms,” and release their raw data so outside, third-parties could examine how the manufacturers justified the numbers?

I mean it’s only every citizen of the world we’re trying to get to take these experimental products...

Why did the FDA not require that? Isn't that the entire purpose of the FDA anyway?

Good question.

Foxes guarding the hen house?

#6: NO LONG-TERM SAFETY TESTING

Obviously, with products that have only been on the market a few months, we have no long-term safety data.

In other words, we have no idea what this product will do in the body months or years from now—for ANY population.

Given all the risks above (risks that ALL pharmaceutical products have), would it not be prudent to wait to see if the worst-case scenarios have indeed been avoided?

#7: NO INFORMED CONSENT

What most who are taking the vaccine don't know is that because these products are still in clinical trials, anyone who gets the shot is now part of the clinical trial.

They are part of the experiment.

#8: UNDER-REPORTING OF ADVERSE REACTIONS AND DEATH

According to [a study done by Harvard](#) (at the commission of our own government), less than 1% of all adverse reactions to vaccines are actually submitted to the National Vaccine Adverse Events Reports System (VAERS) – read page 6 at the link above.

While the problems with VAERS have not been fixed (as you can read about in [this letter to the CDC](#)), at the time of this writing [VAERS reports](#) over 2,200 deaths from the current covid vaccines, as well as close to 60,000 adverse reactions.

"VAERS data released today showed 50,861 reports of adverse events following COVID vaccines, including 2,249 deaths and 7,726 serious injuries between Dec. 14, 2020 and March 26, 2021."

And those numbers don't include (what is currently) [578 cases of Bell's Palsy](#).

If those numbers are still only 1% of the total adverse reactions (or .8 to 2% of what [this study](#) published recently in the JAMA found), you can do the math, but that equates to somewhere around 110,00 to 220,000 deaths from the vaccines to date, and a ridiculous number of adverse reactions.

Bet you didn't see that on the news.

That death number would currently still be lower than the 424,000 deaths from medical errors that happen every year (which you probably also don't hear about), but we are not even six months into the rollout of these vaccines yet.

If you want a deeper dive into the problems with the VAERS reporting system, you can [check this out](#), or [check this out](#).

But then there's my next point, which could be argued makes these covid vaccines seem pointless...

#9: THE VACCINES DO NOT STOP TRANSMISSION OR INFECTION

Why do you think we're getting all these conflicting messages about needing to practice social distancing and wear masks AFTER we get a vaccine?

The reason is because these vaccines were never designed to stop transmission OR infection.

1. It can't stop us from *spreading* the virus.
2. It can't stop the virus from *infecting* us once we have it.
3. To get the vaccine is to accept all the risk of these experimental products and the best it might do is lower symptoms?

Heck, there are plenty of other things I can do to lower my symptoms that don't involve taking what appears to be a really risky product.

Now for the next logical question:

If we're worried about asymptomatic spreaders, would the vaccine not make it more likely that we are creating asymptomatic spread?

If it indeed reduces symptoms, anyone who gets it might not even know they are sick and thus they are more likely to spread the virus, right?

#10: PEOPLE ARE CATCHING COVID *AFTER* BEING FULLY VACCINATED

Talk about a bummer.

You get vaccinated and you *still* catch covid.

- It's happening in Washington State
- It's happening in New York
- It's happening in Michigan
- It's happening in Hawaii
- It's happening in several other states too.
- It happened to 80% of 35 nuns who got the vaccine in Kentucky. Two of them died by the way.

That was never the point.

If 66% of healthcare workers in L.A. are going to delay or skip the vaccine...maybe they aren't wowed by the rushed science either.

Maybe they are watching the shady way deaths and cases are being reported...

#11: THE OVERALL DEATH RATE FROM COVID

According to the CDC's own numbers, covid has a 99.74% survival rate.

#12: THE BLOATED COVID DEATH NUMBERS

Never before in the history of death certificates has our own government changed how deaths are reported.

Why now, are we reporting everyone who dies *with* covid in their body, as having died *of* covid, rather than the co-morbidities that actually took their life?

Until covid, all coronaviruses (common colds) were never listed as the *primary* cause of death when someone died of heart disease, cancer, diabetes, auto-immune conditions, or any other major co-morbidity.

The *disease* was listed as the cause of death, and a confounding factor like flu or pneumonia was listed on a separate line.

To bloat the number even more, both the W.H.O. and the C.D.C. changed their guidelines such that those who are suspected or probable (but were never confirmed) of having died of covid, are also included in the death numbers.

#13: FAUCI AND SIX OTHERS AT NIAID OWN PATENTS IN THE MODERNA VACCINE

Thanks to the Bayh-Dole Act, government workers are allowed to file patents on any research they do using tax payer funding.

Tony Fauci owns over 1,000 patents (see this video for more details), including patents being used on the Moderna vaccine...*which he approved government funding for*.

In fact, the NIH (which NIAID is part of) claims joint ownership of Moderna's vaccine.

#14: FAUCI IS ON THE HOT SEAT FOR ILLEGAL GAIN-OF-FUNCTION RESEARCH

What is "Gain-of-Function" research?

It's where scientists attempt to make viruses *gain* functions—i.e. make them more transmissible and deadlier.

Sounds at least a touch unethical, right?

How could that possibly be helpful?

Our government agreed, and banned the practice.

So what did the Fauci-led NIAID do?

They pivoted and outsourced the gain-of-function research (in coronaviruses no less) to China—to the tune of a \$600K grant.

You can see more details, including the important timeline of these events in this [fantastically well-researched documentary](#).

#15: THE VIRUS CONTINUES TO MUTATE

Not only does the virus (like all viruses) continue to mutate, but according to world-renowned vaccine developer Geert Vanden Bossche (who you'll meet below if you don't know him) it's mutating about *every 10 hours*.

How in the world are we going to keep creating vaccines to keep up with that level of mutation?

Might that also explain why fully vaccinated people are continuing to catch covid?

Why, given that natural immunity has never ultimately failed humanity, do we suddenly not trust it?

#16: CENSORSHIP...AND THE COMPLETE ABSENCE OF SCIENTIFIC DEBATE

With so much at stake, why are we fed only *one* narrative...shouldn't many perspectives be heard and professionally debated?

WHAT HAS HAPPENED TO SCIENCE?

What has happened to the scientific method of always challenging our assumptions?

What happened to lively debate in this country, or at least in Western society?

Why did anyone who disagrees with the WHO, or the CDC get censored so heavily?

Is the science of public health a religion now, or is science supposed to be about debate?

If someone says "the science is settled" that's how I know I'm dealing with someone who is closed minded.

Maybe I'm weird, but if someone is censored, then I REALLY want to hear what they think.

#17: THE WORLD'S LEADING VACCINOLOGIST IS SOUNDING THE ALARM...

Here is what may be the biggest reason this covid vaccine doesn't make sense to me.

When someone who is very pro-vaccine, who has spent his entire professional career overseeing the development of vaccines, is shouting from the mountaintops that we have a major problem, I think the man should be heard.

In case you missed it, and in case you care to watch it, [here is Geert Vanden Bossche](#), explaining:

1. Why the covid vaccine may be putting so much pressure on the virus that we are accelerating it's ability to mutate and become more deadly.
2. Why the covid vaccines may be creating vaccine-resistant viruses (similar to anti-biotic resistant bacteria).
3. Why, because of previous problems with Antibody Dependent Enhancement, we may be looking at a mass casualty event in the next few months/years.



If you want to see/read about a second, and longer, interview with Vanden Bossche, where he was asked some tough questions, you can [check this out](#).

If half of what he says comes true, these vaccines could be the worst invention of all time.

Melissa Manriquez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 10:21 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello. My name is Kathy Hilgendorf and I live in Oro Valley/Pima county. I am a retired nurse. I am writing to express that I am against all mandates for healthcare workers. No One should be forced to get any medical treatment. Patients have always had the right to choose their treatment and so why you forcing people, who were called hero's a year ago, to now lose their job if they refuse to be vaccinated with an experimental medical treatment? And you are willing to lose the staff needed to care for your constituents in our hospitals??? In our first responders??? These workers would be vaccinated IF they felt they needed it.

There is much more science that you need to consider being in your position. Our country is a free country and our Constitution allows freedom of choice. Its their body and their choice. These "vaccines" have not been thoroughly tested, you can't stop taking it like a pill, and the drug companies are not help responsible for any adverse reaction. That is totally opposite of what healthcare was when I was working. IF you truly care for people, you will take the time to actually read the real science and real results of studies that masks do not work and natural immunity is our best defense.

Medical treatment is a choice. Period.

Thank you
Kathy Hilgendorf

Sent from my iPad

SEP 06 2021 10:21 AM

Melissa Manriquez

From: L <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 11:03 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Covid Vaccine Mandate and Disincentives

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To the Board:

I am 100% IN SUPPORT of vaccine mandates. This is not a burden, it is for the health of the public, which you serve. Any valid reason to not get a vaccine has long been disproved and it's time to quit coddling or waiting for people to do the right thing.

Secondly, if the mandate does not pass, then I fully support financial disincentives to those who choose to remain unvaccinated. These could include higher premiums, mask mandates, testing at their cost. Also, if an unvaccinated employee chooses to take something like Ivermectin or hydroxyquinolone in spite of all the valid information, we the taxpayers of Pima County should not be picking up the cost of their medical care. Also, no "Religious" exemptions- being an anti vaxxer or addicted to right wing media is not a religion.

Third, to have a department like the Pima County Sheriff's be only 30% vaccinated is unacceptable. There should be no bonuses and budgets should be reassigned to departments who are meeting and exceeding targets.

Since the County is self-insured, we all have skin in the game at getting the laggards on board or casting them off. I'd be happy to have some fresh ideas and understanding of what serving the public looks like.

Linda Jenson
2677 N Vuelta Vista Antigua
Tucson, AZ 85715
[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPad

SEP 07 21 07:50 PM CDT

Melissa Manriquez

From: Mary Modaff <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 12:49 PM
To: Able Body Health Center
Subject: Dr. Peter McCullough: Full Lecture On COVID-19, Treatment, And Vaccines

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Awesome lecture!

<https://rumble.com/vm3kvi-dr.-peter-mccullough-full-lecture-on-covid-19-treatment-and-vaccines.html>

SEP 07 21 AM 07:50 PCLKCF DD

SM

Melissa Manriquez

From: rastej <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 4:35 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Vaccine mandate/mask mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Over the past 19 months your board (as well as the federal government) has demonstrated a clear lack of understanding of science. To mandate a vaccine is a clear violation of AZ law and of the Nuremberg code.

The only FDA-approved vaccine isn't even on the market yet. Therefore you would be mandating the vaccines which are still under EUA. They are not approved.

Can/will you as a group survive the tidal wave of lawsuits which you will face not only as a governing body but on an individual basis? I think not.

As for the mask mandates - again science is clear. 14 RCTs have been done on mask use and the prevention of the spread of viral respiratory illness. 10 showed they did not prevent the spread (from the WHO website) and 4 showed the results were statistically insignificant. So if you people think you follow science, you had better learn what science really has to say.

Your behavior leaves the public who don't live in fear and can critically think, with a big question. As the saying goes, " follow the money trail".

Shame on you for the damage you have imposed on the very people *you work for*. Do the right thing for your fellow human beings and for yourselves.

And I'm not a Republican. AS if that should matter.

Dr Scott Cochrane

SEP 07 21 AM 07:50 PCDKUF BB

dk

Melissa Manriquez

From: Kelly Watters <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 5:32 PM
To: Julie Castaneda; COB_mail; DIST2
Cc: Kelly Watters
Subject: No "Vaccine" Mandate for Pima County Healthcare Workers

SEP 07 21 09:50 PM CDT-07:00

Handwritten mark

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors and Supervisor Dr. Matt Heinz,

I live and work in Pima County District 2 and I am what you would call a vaccine hesitant person. Since vaccine hesitancy is widely unsupported in the media and any particularly useful information rather is expunged from the media, I feel it is important for me to share with you today my thinking before you consider the mandated vaccination of Pima County health workers who are licensed by the State of Arizona and their direct support staff.

Much of what I may say here is stated far more eloquently by the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons who alerted me to this action. There is currently only one Covid-19 "vaccine" that is approved by FDA and that is confusing itself because of how it is being marketed as Comirnaty, that actual product approved, is not available in the U.S., only the Pfizer BioNTech is and it was extended for Emergency Use Authorization only. These manufacturers do not hold any accountability for vaccine injury or death. The risk of making this choice must be made by healthcare workers and their families, not you! Neither should it ever be a condition of employment. You will be accountable to injury or death from mandated vaccines! The mandate madness is impacting more people than the virus itself.

It seems Arizona and Pima County are understaffed in the area of healthcare workers (see an article written by a traveling nurse who was stationed in Tucson during the winter surge). Requiring a "vaccine" that may result in injury or death may hinder our capable health workers and may cause them to leave their jobs altogether. This would leave our healthcare system more vulnerable than it already is.

Call on your courageous inner intelligence that brought you to serve in public life. Ask yourself, do I truly have the authority to exercise personal agency over other human lives? What is concerning is that this is not a typical vaccine, this is not a generic situation to just react to or to follow party lines. This is a particular unique moment in time that requires purposeful leadership and care.

What is good for us as living beings and our innate immune systems can also begin to address the top 5 ranking needs and concerns of residents of Pima County. People, like other beings, need fresh air, good clean water, quality nutritious food, a connection to the natural environment and a sense of purpose. That is something far more aspirational to work towards, do you agree?

Community Forum #2Ranking of Needs5 Concerns/ Health Needs from Primary Data

According to Pima County Health Department community health forum reporting Community Health Needs Assessment 2018, what needs attention are the following:

1) Behavioral Health (mental illness, substance abuse)

- 2) Chronic Disease (obesity, childhood obesity, diabetes)
- 3) Access to Services
- 4) Built / Natural Environment
- 5) Coordination / Continuity of Care & Services

Respectfully,

Kelly Watters
Resident of District 2

Melissa Manriquez

From: Terra <tangelo1[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:35 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Stop the "vaccine" mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Sept. 6th, 2021

Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Many Pima County residents want to know why voting for this "vaccine" mandate is on the agenda. You already voted down a mandate for County employees.

Our health care providers have worked the last 18 months without a vaccine. Why now do you want to FORCE them to take an experimental gene therapy that has not gone through standard testing for years to determine its safety?

Something is not right.

I am interested to see exact data and studies regarding the safety of the experimental vaccine, including the scientists, virologists, epidemiologists, and geneticists and their credentials prior to your vote. NOT the manufactured and incorrect numbers provided in the memorandum dated September 3, 2021. We have the right to know who and what data you are basing your vote to mandate? You have the obligation to provide all Data to the public prior to your vote.

Here are my questions for the board meeting scheduled on Sept 7, 2021:

How much money is Pima County receiving for mandating/FORCING health care workers to be vaccinated with an experimental gene therapy?

What percentage of health care worker have to be vaccinated in order for Pima County to receive money?

How much money is each Pima County Board member receiving for voting for a "vaccine" mandate for health care workers?

The CDC has stated that vaccinated individuals can still get COVID and transmit COVID, so why are you FORCING health care worker to receive an experimental gene therapy that does NOT work?

Respectfully,

Terra Radliff (Pima County resident)

Melissa Manriquez

From: Benjamin Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:46 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Mandatory Vaccines For Pima County Health Care Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello Pima County Board Members,

I ask you to please read ARS 36-184 which reads in part:

"This article does not authorize a county health department or any of its officers or representatives to impose on any person any mode of treatment against that person's will, or any examination inconsistent with the creed or tenets of any religious denomination of which the person is an adherent, provided that the person complies with sanitary and quarantine laws, rules and regulations."

I sincerely appreciate the work that you do, and understand you must be getting calls and request from both sides of this argument. However, the law is clear; and as a paramedic who works in Pima County, I have the right to be protected under this law and free from imposed treatment.

If members of the board want to impose this mandate, then they must first change the law.

Sincerely,
Ben Williams
285 N Eastern Slope Loop
Tucson AZ
85748

SEP 07 21 07:51 PM CCKP

Melissa Manriquez

From: Pamela Clark-Raines <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 8:14 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Resolution re: vaccination mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am writing to request that this email be read during the September 7th council meeting. This is an issue that transcends the vaccination vs. no vaccination. The passing of this resolution is counter to our constitutional right of freedom of choice. I implore you to honor every Pima County citizen's constitutional right to choose for themselves and vote no to this mandate.

Thank you

Pamela Clark-Raines

Sent from my iPhone

SEP 07 21 07 51 PM C01KCFB



Melissa Manriquez

From: Dan Wann <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 8:35 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: BOS meeting Sept 7 2021

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

It is beyond my comprehension that as a BOS you would vote to mandate an experimental shot upon our county workers that has recorded in the CDC database tens of thousands deaths along with many more adverse effects. This is inhumane. Our animals within Pima County get better treatment than our county workers. How can you vote for such a thing and feel good about yourself afterwards. Every person that would be forced, from your mandate vote, to take the shot and fell sick or died will fall upon your conscience. How can you care for your constituents and force the shot at the same time.

If you vote yes, trusting what your Pima County Doctor tells you, without checking this out for yourself the responsibility of deaths and adverse effects along with the serious impacts to each family is upon you alone.

What's on your conscience;

--
Dan Wann
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 07:51 PM CLK OF DD

Melissa Manriquez

From: Camille Finn <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 8:44 PM
To: District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: No to Vaccine(shot) Mandate for County Health Care people

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Good day or evening! Emailing my opinion with hopes it will be considered in your decision about a possible "Vaccine" mandate for county health care workers. This is a good time to apply the clever line, "my body, my right." An individual gets to make their own decision for their health care. Individuals are smart enough to decide if they need to get this shot that is billed as a vaccine. There is a protocol to survive Covid without getting these shots. Promote alternative or common sense protocols instead of mandating these "vaccines."

When the "vaccines" cause injury to individuals who have taken the vaccines (shot) are you taking responsibility for the injuries? People need to be able to work. Requiring that they take this experimental vaccine (shot) to keep their job, could be classed as a crime against humanity? Young people may still want the opportunity to reproduce. Are the "vaccines (shots) causing people to become infertile or sterile? Are the shots causing neurological disorders? There are a bunch of doctors who state this is happening.

Medical professionals worked with Covid Patients for over a year and now they would be required to take these "vaccines," (shots) that are not even current? The people who took the first 2 "vaccines" (shots), now need a "vaccine" (shot) with every variant, so people who have survived without the shots would be required to take "vaccines" that are not even effective with the "new strains." How does that make sense?

Vaccines contain dead or live viruses. Are you misleading people by calling this a vaccine? If you bully someone into taking the "vaccines" by threatening them with losing their job, is this coercion? Your consideration of my opinion is appreciated. Please do not approve this mandate. Please allow freedom for the great people of Pima County.

Thank you,

Camille Finn
Tucson, Arizona

SEP 07 21 AM 07:51 PM CLK OF SD

Melissa Manriquez

From: F vB <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 9:24 PM
To: COB_mail; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy;
Adelilta.Grijalva@pima.gov
Subject: mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

September 6, 2021

Re: Mandate/ forced Vaccinations for all Pima County Health Workers and direct support staff

Dear Pima Country Board of Supervisors,

Rex Scott; District 1
Matt Heinz; District 2
Sharon Bronson; District 3
Steve Christy; District 4
Adelilta Grijalva; District 5

Please protect and hold inviolate the bodily integrity of all Human beings to maintain their innate choice to receive any medical intervention, experimental or long standing approved.

This Covid jab is very controversial with its synthetic experimental Spike protein process and it is said will not protect recipients from getting infected or spreading/ shedding to others in the community post vaccination. The jab apparently does not protect from the Delta or any other mutating variant. Check the Israeli experiment. VAERS of the CDC reports adverse reactions. There are many adverse reactions listings such as Bells Palsy (PM of Australia recent Diagnosis after 1 jab), paralysis (Eric Clapton), endometrial cancer, rashes, myocarditis, pneumonia and death among others.

To use the force of threat to alter a personal decision is not of this great Republic. Fully informed consent and the God given right to choose and due no harm is the national mantra.

With this experimental EUA not fully approved, everyone is running the risk of problematic reactions. The trials are apparently not over till 2022, so much unknown, it is a scary situation.

Please do not try to force a possible life altering or lethal injection upon dedicated caring people, it will only end in misery and lawsuits. A mandate is not a law. Apparently all of you seem to be assuming the role of a Medical Specialist without a license, which could make you personally civilly liable. Be safe.

Sincerely,
Frederick von Brincken GG, BA, DC
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 07:51 PM CCLK OF PD

Melissa Manriquez

From: Ralph A. Folkerts <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 10:08 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: DO NOT Mandate Vaccines

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

There is ZERO need to mandate COVID-19 vaccines for anyone. The numbers are low with severe outcomes being even lower. With the small numbers of individuals having severe symptoms, there is a treatment.

Ivermectin, no matter what the FDC has said, is a viable treatment, a HUMAN treatment. It turned me around after one dose during a severe case of COVID-19.

Vaccines should be a choice for all Americans. The vaccine does NOT stop the spread. At best it could simply keep the person vaccinated from having severe symptoms. It does nothing to eliminate the spread.

Please do not require anyone in Pima County to be injected with this vaccine. It should be a choice.

Thank you,

Ralph Folkerts
762 W. Grantham St.
Vail, AZ 85641
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 14 07 52 P00 KCF 10

Melissa Manriquez

From: Brooke Jensen <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 11:00 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Nurse advocating against the COVID 19 mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Board of Supervisors,

Thank you for taking the time to read my comment.

I was born at TMC, went to Amphi and Catalina High Schools, went to Pima Community College and then to the University of Arizona. I have been a nurse for seven years and a nurse practitioner for 2.5 years. I have worked at all of the hospitals in town. Tucson, Pima County, is where I grew up, where I'm living, and where I planned on dying.

However, the COVID 19 mandate is threatening my way of life. And not just my life. It's threatening my fellow healthcare workers' lives as well. There are thousands of us who do not wish to receive this vaccine, for instance, my nursing unit. Half of us already took the vaccine while the other half is not consenting. Those who already received the vaccine did it out of fear for losing their job, acted as "guinea pigs" for their families, or thought it was the "cure". Whatever the choice to get the vaccine or not, it has been our own and we respect each other's decision. Our unit has not divided with our current situation nor have we segregated from each other because of our decisions. Our choices, communication, and actions have actually strengthened our unit. And a stronger unit means better quality care for our community.

There are many reasons why myself and other healthcare workers are not consenting to this vaccine. There are religious, medical, personal body autonomy, pro-choice believers and even educational reasons. Whatever the reason, mandating this vaccine is going against our civil liberties. If you encourage the vaccine (just like the flu vaccine), but allow exemptions then there should be no argument. We will continue to wear the personal protective equipment, with or without the vaccine, to protect our patients and ourselves.

My years as a Nurse...

I still remember the fear we had during the Ebola outbreak. I was a new emergency room nurse and actually had my picture taken in the paper putting on a hazmat suit because we were training/preparing should an Ebola case come through our doors. The ER even constructed special rooms for Ebola patients. Thankfully we remained safe.

Years later, I remember being a part of a horrific flu season. We had stretchers full of patients lining the walls of the ER. Hospitals were full and couldn't room anymore patients. Many patients came in with influenza pneumonia and died from sepsis/organ failure.

SEP0721AM0752P00K0F00

AK

COVID pandemic...

Stretchers did line the hallways of the ER. The hospitals were full as well. But not every patient was COVID. I do not recall seeing a positive influenza last year. Must have been few and far between. I did see many COVID pneumonia patients who recovered. Some did not recover because they later became septic and organ failure.

This past year has not been easy for any living being. During the pandemic I witnessed and experienced sadness, death, relief, worry, joy, anger, love, happiness, and birth. From the beginning of COVID, even before we knew what COVID was, until now I was and I am still taking care of COVID patients at the bedside. I was caring for COVID patients when we didn't have personal protective equipment for ourselves and had to reuse N95 masks. Before we understood COVID and how it was transmitted, every day I lived in fear going to work that I would contract COVID or worse transmit it to my loved ones. I did not sit by the sidelines while others quit for "personal" reasons during COVID or many were furloughed. I worked through it all.

I did not contract COVID working with COVID patients. I contracted it from my roommate who was living with me at the time. I was ill for 15 days with persistent fevers, loss of taste and smell, severe fatigue, shortness of breath with exertion, and even experienced the covid rash. Many of my fellow healthcare workers and friends experienced similar symptoms. They either contracted COVID on the job or via the community. For some individuals it was a little tickle in the throat, to flu-like symptoms, to being intubated and needing life support. Majority of my friends recovered easily.

I understand your reason for mandating the vaccine, but I want you to understand each individuals reasoning for not consenting. If you mandate this vaccine you will be losing some amazing healthcare workers. So many of us are excellent at our jobs and love taking care of people in their worst moments and darkest of times. Many of us even bring hope to these individuals and their families.

Please, stop and think of the amazing healthcare individuals you've come across when you or your loved ones have needed help. He or she may not be willing to consent to this mandate as well. Think about them and how you will be affecting their lives! We do not have a choice here. We either get the vaccine or lose our jobs, our way of supporting our families and community.

Our Economy...

This mandate not only is affecting the lives of our healthcare workers, but it will cripple our healthcare system and ECONOMY as well. Healthcare in Tucson is one of our largest financial industries. What will happen if half of the workforce stands strong and says no to the vaccine? The ratio of patients to nurses has worsened since Banner and TMC put out their mandates. There will be worsening burnout, poor care, and more healthcare workers resigning. These hospitals will hire more travel healthcare workers who don't care about our community, provide poor care to our patients, and are paid more than what some providers earn. Please think about what you're doing to OUR community!

The Promise...

I promise you, I and my other core healthcare workers will continue to provide the utmost quality of care to you and the rest of our Tucson community. We were here before the pandemic and we want to continue long after, but with OUR choices respected.

Again, thank you for listening to a local Tucson healthcare worker. I wish I could be at the meeting to express my view in person, but I will be at the hospital taking care of my covid and non-covid patients.

Melissa Manriquez

From: Cynthia Franklin <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 11:35 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Public Comment On Mandatory Vaccines

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am asking that you please defend our rights to choose our own health care and our basic human rights over our own bodies by not mandating vaccines for health care workers or front line workers or our average citizens.

Thank you.

Sincerely,

Cynthia Franklin
East Pima County

SEP 07 21 PM 07:52 PM CUBKFF ID

[Handwritten signature]

Melissa Manriquez

From: Stephanie Van Schoyck ~ Sacred Light Healing <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 2:49 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Constitutional Rights

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I strongly object to being forced to receive the vaccine when it becomes available.

I oppose any government measures that call for coerced or mandated medical interventions, including mandatory coronavirus vaccinations. No pharmaceutical product or procedure should ever be forced. EVER.

I recognize that our officials are charged with safeguarding public health by slowing the spread of infectious disease, but they also took a sworn oath to uphold the civil and constitutional rights of their constituents.

One cannot be sacrificed or exchanged for the other.

Medical mandates have no place in a free society and violate free, prior and informed consent. As such,

I am urging an immediate rejection of all calls for mandatory coronavirus vaccinations.

Without health freedom there is no true freedom.

Stephanie Van Schoyck

9177290752504050



Melissa Manriquez

From: Martha Bloch <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 4:55 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Vaccine Mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Representatives serving on our Board of Supervisors, It is as if a fever has come over our land. I am here to clearheadedly state that which we ALL KNOW within ourselves:
It is WRONG to coerce a Medical Procedure on any individual. Especially using the sustenance of their employment as a cudgel to force them to submit!
Whatever misguided meandering wrong think any 'Public Official' May have succumbed to, subscribed to, this is A FACT. Plain WRONG!
You ALL must seek information outside of the Corporate corrupted media.
You ALL need to familiarize yourselves with our Constitution.
Many people that did choose to be Vaccinated will find this a far too far step for this Board to go.
Stop now! The School Boards will be dealt with. The Election fraud IS being dealt with, PIMACO is next.
So, despite such vast and obvious CORRUPTION this BOS has another, THIS, opportunity to step back from the brink, make a proper course correction and STOP attempting to Rule US! Instead REFOCUS on your actual charge!
Stop profligate spending, your 'my Cousin Vinny' scams and
FIX OUR INFRASTRUCTURE !
Thank you,
Martha L Bloch

Sent from my iPhone

SLF0721#0752PCCLKDFBD

Handwritten signature

Melissa Manriquez

From: Bill Baker <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 5:26 AM
To: COB_mail
Cc: Bill Baker; Jollene Neuman; Janet Baker
Subject: Re : Vaccine Mandate for all Healthcare workers by Pima County

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Members of the board

We have been in business for 30+ years – I've been a Respiratory Therapist for 41 years : Licensed and active, Owner & President of a Home Medical Equipment Co. here in Tucson that serves the Greater southern Arizona area. Pima – Cochise - Graham – Santa Cruz County areas of operation.

We are a provider which remained open since day one of the PHE – without a day lost for any reason. We have provided services to a number of SARS CoV patients during this time and continue to do so to this day. We have had ZERO work related cases of SARS CoV to date – we had one external case – our employee was noted sick, isolated per criteria and returned when fully recovered without issue. We have instituted a program of PPE procedures and processes from day one – in fact we were prepared for this PHE when H1N1 arrived some years back, with much greater effect on our patients – with many more coming to us for services which due to that flu caused the demise of many. Where were the mandates then? ZERO ANYTHING – LIKE IT DIDN'T HAPPEN. We had full isolation gear then.

We have considered the dangers and options with SARS CoV – the vaccine – the alternatives to vaccine in both preventative and mitigation of symptom and effect with US Gov't approved methods Monoclonal Antibodies TREATMENT – this link to the US Gov't informational site on the use and distribution of this therapy for the treatment of SARS CoV – It's very effective – makes the risk of getting SARS CoV with bad outcome even lower than the current +/- 1.0 percent death rate by a 'substantial % amount ; have a read to evaluate. It's available right here in Tucson on a daily basis, paid for by the US Government.

After this noted assessment we have decided that each employee can make their own decision as to 'vaccinate or not – we as the employer will not force this issue' . To date we are about 50/50 which is pretty much the statistic from across the nation – in and out of the "Healthcare workers" and within the numbers of currently working Healthcare workers" – so as a provider company we fall into that same demographic split. This decision to not mandate vaccination is our choice of how we will proceed.

Your choice to mandate vaccination – beyond federal laws – OSHA regulation and effect – beyond the free choice of employees – etc. could have some dramatic effects on the provision of healthcare in this county. CMS, the AHCCCS Programs, Private Insurances of all types.. quite a list of those that would be directly affected by your decision. These facts perhaps something you've not given serious consideration.

The vaccine has proven itself to be much less effective than first thought – the best defense and strongest immunity has been getting the virus and allowing one's own body to build an 'acquired immunity' (Israel studies showing a 17 times greater immunity and vaccination with this type of acquired immunity) – the noted 'monoclonal antibody treatment' so mitigating severe outcome effect that use of the vaccine needs to be reassessed in the opinion of myself, as well as a number of our employees. For this reason – we will not mandate vaccine.

Should you go ahead and decide to implement this law and rule – please advise us as to – who will hold the responsibility for these actions. Who will assume the duties we currently have contracted to the vast number of private insurances, state and county health programs, Medicare, A variety of governmental programs and private individuals. **Will we be banned from providing services with the implementation of this mandate should you so decide to proceed?**

There are some serious implications of this decision you are contemplating – There's no real data or reason to proceed in this direction – the case load of SARS CoV are low and diminishing. There is not evidence that the Healthcare worker in the noted fields you have designated to be mandated as being the source or cause of spread of SARS CoV – to any greater extent than that of the community - these sources and causes of infection could be mitigated with good PPE when in direct contact – which has proven to be the case or the hospitals would be 'infection points' for the general community – as would services like ours which have been serving the community since the onset of the PHE – "This has not been the case"

It's obvious that care – caution – common sense are indeed required – as are level headed decisions ; I would advise the Pima County board of supervisors 'not impose this 'mandate' without fully understanding the downstream effects of what their actions will cause. Once you open this bag and allow this genie out of the bag – you may find the care you or your family and those of the community significantly impaired by the decisions you make. Once we're not allowed to practice due to the effect of this ill-advised mandate – we are closed – a capacity lost. A capacity of very few providers in this noted area of operations previously detailed providing for the entire community. The downstream effect to a vast number of health programs – impaired – or outright cancelled. I would suggest that the fall out of such decision would bring to reality pressures from all sides of this equation on the county and it's supervisors like nothing the county and it's governing body has ever seen.

Best Regards

Bill Baker RRT
Registered Respiratory Therapist (41 years)
President (31 years)
RxO2 Oxygen & Medical Equipment Supply Co. Inc.

Mobile Phone [REDACTED]

Melissa Manriquez

From: Karen W <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 7:16 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Vaccine mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please vote against vaccine mandates for all Healthcare workers. We deserve to be able to choose what goes into our bodies as much as any other person. It is a Constitutional right not to be coerced into taking a shot which doesn't even prevent infection. So many people I know who had the shots have still gotten infected and several with bad reactions and/or death from the shots. This is not something to take lightly. I urge you to vote against all vaccine mandates.

Thank you,
Karen Wigtil

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

SEP 07 21 AM 07:52 PC CLK OF BD

Melissa Manriquez

From: Lynne Mason <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 7:54 AM
To: District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5; Julie Castaneda; COB_mail
Subject: Mandatory Health Care Workers "Vaccination"

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Board of Directors.

I have been a NURSE for 45 years and have NEVER been so pressured to put something into my body that has not undergone security and scrutiny of scientific research as this "So-Called Vaccine".

First of all it does NOT meet the criteria of a vaccine that produces immunization that all Real Vaccines produce. It took YEARS of study and research just to get the ChickenPox Vaccine studied thoroughly for human consumption. Why when so many are having serious side effects and dying according to the VAERS.Com would I desire this not only for myself but my fellow humans and to do potentially HARM to them. The CDC and WHO have given so much MIXED Misinformation over the last 18 month and so the trustworthiness of these agencies are in question. I come from looking at REAL SCIENCE and RESEARCH.

We are in GREAT need of compassionate health care workers in this time of life and if these "Experimental Vaccines" are mandated for us loyal caregivers to those in health crisis I will be surrendering my longevity nursing career as well as MANY of my colleagues leaving the profession. We have rights with our USA Constitution to have personal HEALTH FREEDOM for what we inject into our bodies that produces long term harm and even death. Until there is more research on Animals and NOT Human Beings that proves safety I will refuse to participate in the experimental injection.

Sincerely,
C. Lynne Mason RN BSN

--
Lynne Mason RN
Wellness Advocate/Hydration Specialist
[REDACTED]

09/07/21 07:53 PM C:\KOF ID

W

Julie Castaneda

From: Kelly Watters <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 5:32 PM
To: Julie Castaneda; COB_mail; DIST2
Cc: Kelly Watters
Subject: No "Vaccine" Mandate for Pima County Healthcare Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Clerk of the Board, Board of Supervisors and Supervisor Dr. Matt Heinz,

I live and work in Pima County District 2 and I am what you would call a vaccine hesitant person. Since vaccine hesitancy is widely unsupported in the media and any particularly useful information rather is expunged from the media, I feel it is important for me to share with you today my thinking before you consider the mandated vaccination of Pima County health workers who are licensed by the State of Arizona and their direct support staff.

Much of what I may say here is stated far more eloquently by the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons who alerted me to this action. There is currently only one Covid-19 "vaccine" that is approved by FDA and that is confusing itself because of how it is being marketed as Comirnaty, that actual product approved, is not available in the U.S., only the Pfizer BioNTech is and it was extended for Emergency Use Authorization only. These manufacturers do not hold any accountability for vaccine injury or death. The risk of making this choice must be made by healthcare workers and their families, not you! Neither should it ever be a condition of employment. You will be accountable to injury or death from mandated vaccines! The mandate madness is impacting more people than the virus itself.

It seems Arizona and Pima County are understaffed in the area of healthcare workers (see an article written by a traveling nurse who was stationed in Tucson during the winter surge). Requiring a "vaccine" that may result in injury or death may hinder our capable health workers and may cause them to leave their jobs altogether. This would leave our healthcare system more vulnerable than it already is.

Call on your courageous inner intelligence that brought you to serve in public life. Ask yourself, do I truly have the authority to exercise personal agency over other human lives? What is concerning is that this is not a typical vaccine, this is not a generic situation to just react to or to follow party lines. This is a particular unique moment in time that requires purposeful leadership and care.

What is good for us as living beings and our innate immune systems can also begin to address the top 5 ranking needs and concerns of residents of Pima County. People, like other beings, need fresh air, good clean water, quality nutritious food, a connection to the natural environment and a sense of purpose. That is something far more aspirational to work towards, do you agree?

Community Forum #2 Ranking of Needs5 Concerns/ Health Needs from Primary Data

According to Pima County Health Department community health forum reporting Community Health Needs Assessment 2018, what needs attention are the following:

1) Behavioral Health (mental illness, substance abuse)

- 2) Chronic Disease (obesity, childhood obesity, diabetes)
- 3) Access to Services
- 4) Built / Natural Environment
- 5) Coordination / Continuity of Care & Services

Respectfully,

Kelly Watters
Resident of District 2

Julie Castaneda

From: Benjamin Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:58 PM
To: Julie Castaneda; Matt Heinz; Rex Scott; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy; Adelita Grijalva
Subject: Pima County Mandatory Vaccines for Health Care Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello Pima County Board Members,

I ask you to please read ARS 36-184 which reads in part:

"This article does not authorize a county health department or any of its officers or representatives to impose on any person any mode of treatment against that person's will, or any examination inconsistent with the creed or tenets of any religious denomination of which the person is an adherent, provided that the person complies with sanitary and quarantine laws, rules and regulations."

I sincerely appreciate the work that you do, and understand you must be getting calls and request from both sides of this argument. However, the law is clear; and as a paramedic who works in Pima County, I have the right to be protected under this law and free from imposed treatment.

If members of the board want to impose this mandate, then they must first change the law.

Sincerely,
Ben Williams
285 N Eastern Slope Loop
Tucson AZ
85748

SEP 07 21 07:44 TC CLK D

Melissa Manriquez

From: PAZ -MD gonzalez <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Saturday, September 4, 2021 4:19 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Submission(meeting 7 September2021)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Pima County Clerk of the Board:

Please find enclosed statement for submission to be read during the meeting scheduled on 7 September 2021 and put into the public record.

When we act from a place of fear we run the risk of making mistakes. Never before has an mRNA vaccine been used. It is unlike any previous vaccine. It was fast tracked, did not go through proper testing and even the recent approval by the FDA was not completed by regular standards. To say the science supports it, is inaccurate as the testing, studies are happening all over the world as we speak, it has become a human experiment.

There are studies that show some concerns, but are they getting the attention they deserve, or quickly discarded because it does not fit a particular agenda? We cannot play politics with human life!

Things are changing rapidly. What is disturbing is that no matter what things show up, such as the vaccine is not as effective as touted, even the CDC agreed, specifically among older adults. But is the answer then a push for more booster shots? They can't even guarantee that those with breakthrough infections will not require hospitalizations, or will survive COVID. The truth is that there has been deaths among vaccinated, and a large percentage of unvaccinated have survived COVID-19, not everyone who gets sick dies, in fact most don't.

A recent study shows vaccinated people may possibly be spreading at higher levels. Then there is the case of mutations, possibly linked to vaccines, and the potential for greater harm on our human family. There is much we don't know and to believe that the vaccine is the cure/the answer is a false sense of security. Science has made mistakes in the past or changed its position, and big pharma has created some medicines that caused harm, even death. Why is there so much trust, when they aren't even willing to accept ANY liability?

Anyone in a position to make decisions that may affect others, I would ask, What if the science later reflects that the vaccine was NOT the best option, but you forced it on a population? Then you will have blood on your hands. **YOU WILL BE LIABLE!!** Choosing to take the vaccine is and should be a personal choice.

Choose to be the light, to guide with clarity and truth, even in times of chaos and confusion.

Vr,

Maria Gonzalez
Pima county resident

May you have peace, healing, blessings and lots of Love♥
Sent from my iPAZ

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 9.7.21

ITEM NO. 2A9

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:17 AM
To: COB_mail
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera
Subject: FW: No Vaccine mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

----- Original message -----

From: hannahmwelch [REDACTED]
Date: 9/3/21 4:12 PM (GMT-07:00)
To: COB_Mail@pima.gov
Subject: No Vaccine mandates

Board of Supervisors,

Please do not infringe on our fourth Amendment that protects us and allows us medical privacy. I have had COVID-19 and do not need any jabs, my immune system is holding up great. I have quarantined responsibly each time exposed to someone positive. I have been respectful and I have tested multiple times to be sure I'm safe before going out or back to work. I have even stayed home with family when they were sick and I did not catch it from them.

I do not know what is in these vaccines and do not care to be forced to take experimental drugs. I have been diagnosed with other health concerns that HIPPA laws still protect. I work in the medical field and I say NO to mandatory jabs for work qualification. The tyranny of this forced communism upon us is unacceptable. Please stop.

Thank you.

Hannah Welch, LMT
[REDACTED]
10226 East Aspen Draw Trail
Tucson, AZ 85747
[REDACTED]

Sent from my T-Mobile 4G LTE Device

SEP 07 21 09:54 PM CCKE:DD

mm

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:17 AM
To: Marianne Marts
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Covid vaccine mandate vote

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear supervisors,

We the people say NO to FORCED vaccinations ! Are you aware that Israel who has the highest rate in the world of number of people vaccinated also has the highest rate of covid infections and death. <https://hamodia.com/2021/08/22/highest-number-serious-cases-4th-covid-wave/>

Are you also aware that many countries now are stopping the mandates and the "vaccine" all together.

We the people will not tolerate this tyranny and rest assured that those who choose to support will be held accountable for it.

I also want to Thanks Steve Christy for his support in this arena.

Remember this is still a constitutional republic and we the people actually own you NOT the other way around.

I look forward to hearing that you all choose to do the right thing and vote NO on any and all vaccine mandates.

All headlines from Epoch times: sept1-3rd

German Employers Not Allowed to Request Workers' Vaccination Status: Labor Minister

UK Vaccines Advisory Body Not Recommending CCP Virus Vaccines to Healthy Children Under 16

Sweden Bans Travelers From Israel, One of the Most Vaccinated Nations

COVID-19 Vaccine Passport Expires 6 Months After 2nd Dose as Booster Shots Mandated: Israeli Officials

Another Lot of Moderna Vaccines Suspended in Japan After 'Black Particles' Found in Vial

And

Reports of Rare Body Inflammation After COVID-19 Vaccinations Being Investigated by EU Watchdog (DO YOU WANT TO BE HELD RESPONSIBLE FOR ADVERSE AFFECTS?)

Karl and Marianne Marts

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:28 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Supervisor Sharon Bronson feedback form (district3@pima.gov) 2021-09-04 05:49 PM Submission Notification

Supervisor Sharon Bronson feedback form (district3@pima.gov) 2021-09-04 05:49 PM was submitted by Guest on 9/4/2021 5:49:34 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name	Value
First Name	Kim
Last Name	Sublette
Email	[REDACTED]
Phone	
Address	
City	
State	AZ
Zipcode	
District_of_Concern	Supervisor District 1 - Rex Scott, Supervisor District 2 - Matt Heinz, Supervisor District 3 - Sharon Bronson, Supervisor District 4 - Steve Christy, Supervisor District 5 - Adelita Grijalva
Department_of_concern	Health Department
Subject_or_Nature_of_Concern	Leave the Healthcare workers alone! We will not be mandated for a vaccine
Comments	<p>Read Email Before Tuesday Meeting! Attention: Please Read Email. We will hold you responsible Pima County Health Department directly for any adverse reactions if you attempt to have our Employers try to mandate this Experimental "SHOT" on Us! It's Our Body Our Choice! Please leave us alone! This is a warning! We will not stop fighting for our FREEDOM. It's not about Red or Blue anymore it's about protecting our God Given Rights! I hope you Pima County Health Board does the right thing and makes us Pima County Healthcare workers proud and gives us the choice to make our own decisions for our body. We know that by not getting this Experimental Shot does not put the lives of others at risk and if you have medical FACTS that differ please explain to us at your meeting what these FACTS are instead of forcing tyrannical demands on us without Facts that back up Science and Health! Clearly, any attempt to force anyone to take a Covid-19 vaccine is a violation of federal law and the conditions under which the Covid-19 vaccine has been authorized for use. The law is clear, experimental medical treatment cannot be mandated. Businesses or Pima COUNTY Health Department are not shielded from liability with experimental agents. Under the 2005 PREP Act enacted by Congress, pharmaceutical companies that manufacture EUA vaccines are shielded from liability related to injuries and damages caused by their experimental</p>

9/07/21 05:55 PM CLK OF B

agents. However, any employer, public school, or any other entity or person who mandates experimental vaccines on any human being is not protected from liability for any resulting harm. Which means we would be filing lawsuits against PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT FOR FORCING OUR EMPLOYER TO MANDATE SUCH TYRANNICAL MEASURES AND HOLD THE PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT RESPONSIBLE FOR ANY DAMAGES OR SIDE EFFECTS THAT MIGHT CONCUR WITH THESE EXPERIMENTAL VACCINES. WHETHER OR NOT THE FDA APPROVES THESE VACCINES IT SHOULD BE A CHOICE TO THE INDIVIDUAL IT IS "MY BODY MY CHOICE"! WE NEED TO KNOW SOMEONE WILL BE HELD ACCOUNTABLE FOR ANY HARM DONE BY TAKING THIS INJECTION! IF YOU WANT TO ENSURE THAT YOU WILL TAKE RESPONSIBILITY FOR EVERY INDIVIDUAL'S LIFE YOU ARE FORCING TO TAKE THIS INJECTION THEN PLEASE MAKE THAT CLEAR AND APPARENT AT YOUR MEETING ON MONDAY. OTHERWISE LEAVE US ALONE AND LET US DECIDE WHAT IS BEST FOR OUR BODY! WE HAVE DONE OUR RESEARCH AND KNOW THE FACTS AND NUMBERS! STOP TRYING TO CONTROL OUR LIVES. While vaccine manufacturers may be shielded from liability, you PIMA COUNTY HEALTH DEPARTMENT is not protected. You are hereby on notice that if you illegally or irresponsibly mandate EUA medical therapies on PIMA COUNTY HEALTHCARE WORKERS, such as the experimental Covid-19 vaccine candidates, I may have no choice but to take legal action, and you may be personally liable for resulting harm. I urge the Pima County Health Department to comply with the FD&C Act and the terms of the EUA and its accompanying Fact Sheet, and to advise all employees of their right to accept or refuse any Covid-19 vaccine. It is "OUR BODY, OUR CHOICE" Any other course of action is contrary to federal law. Thank you for your time. We know you Pima County Health Board will do what YOU already know is the right thing to do and that is to vote against a vaccine mandate for Healthcare Workers! We will not back down! It is Our Body Our Choice. Please Do the right thing at your Tuesday's Board Meeting 9/7/21. Sincerely, Kimberly Sublette

Would_like_a_response No

Referred_Page <https://webcms.pima.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=8164>

Thank you, Pima County, Arizona

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:52 AM
To: Amy Caffarella
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Shot Mandate

SEP 07 2021 08:56 PM C1/K0/F/ED

AM

From: Amy Caffarella <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 1:59 PM
Subject: Shot Mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Sept.6, 2021

Pima County Board of Supervisors,

I understand that tomorrow, Sept. 7th, you are meeting to discuss and possibly vote on "vaccine" mandates for all licensed health care workers. I want to emphatically state that I am against this, for these reasons and more:

- 1) Enforcing vaccine mandates is illegal. There is no legal authority to require anyone to get a vaccine against their will. All persons have the Constitutionally protected and guaranteed right to life and to determine what goes into their body. No CDC guidelines, Osha regulations or health officer order has the authority to suspend these rights.
- 2) These so-called vaccines are not a vaccine at all, but a gene therapy, which contains a poison to humans (polyurethane glycol), as well as aborted fetal tissue.
- 3) There has not been long term testing done to assure that these shots are safe. They have already killed well over the number of people that previous vaccinations have been pulled off the shelves for, not to mention the number of life-altering side effects that have had an enormous impact on people.
- 4) The executives and board members at Pfizer have not taken their own treatment, as is on record. Why would this be if they are so safe?
- 5) The benefits of this shot are proving to not be very effective per the director of the CDC. It's been reported that many who have had these shots are now getting the Covid 19 virus.
- 6) The chances of recovery / surviving the Covid 19 virus are extremely high. 99.5%+ for most people. I would rather get the virus itself rather than put a poisonous liquid into my body that may not work, but could very likely cause serious adverse reactions.

You, as public servants have an obligation to uphold the Constitution and Bill of Rights, and to help insure Life and Liberty to those in your county.

Amy Caffarella RDA (36 years)
3629 W Rudolf Dr.
Tucson, AZ 85741

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:53 AM
To: Shirl LAMONNA
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Vote NO on Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers

From: Shirl LAMONNA <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 2:25 PM
Subject: Vote NO on Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers

SEP 07 21 08:57 PCLKCF DD

aw

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Supervisors,

I stand with the Association of American Physicians and Surgeons (AAPS) in opposing mandatory Covid Gene Therapy for healthcare workers . Many have natural immunity since they worked with Covid during the pandemic. These therapies are failed experimental drugs with limited protection. They are unsafe.

Israel has one of the world's highest daily infection rates despite being the first country to fully vaccinate a majority of its citizens. There are thousands of adverse reactions reported in the VAERS database. As of Aug 20, this includes 13,627 deaths, 5,093 instances of myocarditis/pericarditis, 17,794 permanent disabilities, and 1,671 miscarriages. The long-term effects of these "therapies" are unknown.

I urge you to vote no on vaccine mandates for this dangerous gene therapy that would violate healthcare workers' civil liberties. Please focus on treatment and preventing Covid positive individuals from entering our communities.

Thank you.

Shirley Lamonna
Oro Valley resident

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:48 AM
To: Carrie S Silvers
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Experimental "vaccine" mandate vote 9/7/2021

From: Carrie S Silvers <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 11:34 AM
Subject: Experimental "vaccine" mandate vote 9/7/2021

01-10-2021 11:34 AM
ms

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

September 6, 2021

Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Many of us question the timing of your vote to mandate masks, the experimental "vaccine" and vaccine passports for healthcare workers.

Why now? Why push for mandates? What is your goal?

How much money will the county receive? How much money will each board member receive? This vote is disgusting and ridiculous. What is the percentage of vaccinations required by the county to receive federal and/or state money? These are questions I want answered.

Do you honestly believe that people will risk their lives for \$60.51 per pay period or whatever your disincentives include? Seriously? Not me, not my family.

It's time for the Pima County Board of Supervisors to LISTEN to the residents of Pima County. I would like to hear the answers to the above questions tomorrow prior to your vote.

I am interested to see exact data and studies regarding the safety of the experimental vaccine, including the scientists, epidemiologists, and geneticists and their credentials prior to your vote. NOT the manufactured and incorrect numbers provided in the memorandum dated September 3, 2021. We have the right to know who and what data you are basing your vote to mandate? You have the obligation to provide all Data to the public prior to your vote.

Lastly, will the Pima County Board of Supervisors provide additional medical coverage to those who suffer adverse and long-term effects from this experimental "vaccine" the Pima County Board of Supervisors is mandating? Will the Pima County Board of Supervisors provide life insurance to families of people who die due to adverse effects from the experimental vaccine? I would like this in writing. I am including a document you can complete to ensure the information you base your vote on is true and accurate (see attachment).

I look forward to your response.

Respectfully,

Carrie Silvers RN, MSN
Healthcare Professionals for Freedom of Choice

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:54 AM
To: ROBIN MOTZER
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: written public input to BOS September 7, 2021 meeting

From: ROBIN MOTZER <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 2:28 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: written public input to BOS September 7, 2021 meeting

SLP 07214057 PCDKDFED
MMA

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

NOTE: This message was also sent to the Pima County BOS Clerk.

Dear Board of Supervisors:

Please protect personal liberties: our personal choice whether masks or experimental inoculations are appropriate for us. Our body, our choice.

Therefore, No mandates for masks or inoculations. No taxation without representation was fought and won by our ancestors- mine included.

I uphold the independence in which our Country was founded and must be maintained and ask you to do the following:

1. Uphold personal liberties!
2. NO mandates! No Liability, No Trust (pharmaceutical industry has no liability).
3. No decision making without the residents of Pima County being present either in-person, in-writing, by phone, or any other way. We, the residents, must be represented and heard. We ask that you be successful with upholding freedom, the requirements of your constituents, and protect and preserve our independence from the Corporate-State.

I am Sovereign of heart, mind, body and spirit.

Robin Motzer

Designs For A Better World

Sustainable Tucson Habitat Restoration

NOFA/ Organic Farming Association, Advocate

Rights of Nature, Southern AZ Coordinator

"I sing in harmony with all of nature's voices, Knowing that simplicity and kindness are choices. I connect with the earth in my bare feet, My heart connects with all of life's beat;"
Excerpt from Robin Motzer's original poem, *I AM Nature, We Are Nature*, San Pedro Anthology, Volume I, page 27.

"I AM Loud Hawk, Poet With A Purpose! I speak for nature, non-humans and humans"

On 09/03/2021 5:09 PM GeeGee Larrington <[REDACTED]> wrote:

I'd love to. Are you speaking for 3 minutes or submitting it in writing?

Your previous written comment from the 10th or 16th will be in the agenda in a link under the item. So, it is still there.

GeeGee

....my mission is to be a force for good in the world and better people's lives.

Geraldene Grace Larrington

[REDACTED], best

[REDACTED], text/cell

On Fri, Sep 3, 2021 at 5:05 PM ROBIN MOTZER <[REDACTED]> wrote:
Thanks, GeeGee, for including me. I feel like I was snuck into a club- ha

Are you willing to edit/review mine? I'll ask Melissa, too. (Btw, It is similar, yet different, than the last comment I submitted).

Robin Motzer

Designs For A Better World

Sustainable Tucson Habitat Restoration

NOFA/ Organic Farming Association, Advocate

Rights of Nature, Southern AZ Coordinator

"I sing in harmony with all of nature's voices, Knowing that simplicity and kindness are choices. I connect with the earth in my bare feet, My heart connects with all of life's beat;"
Excerpt from Robin Motzer's original poem, *I AM Nature, We Are Nature*, San Pedro Anthology, Volume I, page 27.

"I AM Loud Hawk, Poet With A Purpose! I speak for nature, non-humans and humans"

On 09/03/2021 4:19 PM GeeGee Larrington <[REDACTED]> wrote:

Hello all,

In talking with the Clerk of the Board there have been only 7 requests for 3 minute speakers for all topics. Only less than 40 minutes left to meet the 5:00 deadline.

However, any written information sent to the Clerk of the Board's email address COB_Mail@pima.gov

will be immediately put into the electronic media that goes to all Supervisors in real time. That means written material can be submitted all week-end even Tuesday although being busy that morning there might be a delay. It's guaranteed to be in the electronic media.

It was a misconception that written emails would be read into the meeting--maybe that was before they had phone-in

The clerk made it clear that having public participation is a courtesy and there is no rule or mandate requiring it.

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:59 AM
To: Janet Leming
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Vaccinations Mandates

09/07/21 09:02 AM CCKF BD
Mu

From: Janet Leming <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 3:38 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: Vaccinations Mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hi,

I want to voice my concern about you guys wanting to mandate all these places. I have family members who can't get the shot for one reason or another. I also have a mentally ill son that I will not make him get it. I have done my research about the vaccinations. It is our choice, our body and we're not going to get some jab that has barely been around for a year. All of you are overstepping your reach and you are going to have a lot more people in crisis because of the stupidity of your plan. There has been more deaths linked to this since it started being administered, then some other vaccines death totals that have been around for over 20 years. Also, Tucson already has about a 60% vaccination rate and the elder population is over 80%. Also, a mandate is not a law. Our city council is over stepping our governor. I can guarantee if this is passed, all of you will be voted out in the next election. If I know all of you, you don't care about all the emails you're going to get bombarded with about this. I pray that you guys all make the right decision cuz not everybody in our community can get the jab. Do not force this on Tucson. Have a good day.

Janet Leming

Melissa Whitney

From: Julie Castaneda
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:59 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: FW: Unscientific and Unethical mandate decision

From: Beth mcgwire <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:49 AM
To: Beth McGwire ([REDACTED]) <[REDACTED]>
Subject: Unscientific and Unethical mandate decision

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

While I would love to come to this meeting today with a long list of facts and evidence to verify how there is no reason for mandating vaccines to stop the spread of a virus the vast majority of society are not at risk from, I realize this bureaucracy is immune to facts. I could present study after study that have shown natural immunity conferring greater virus protection for future viruses. I could present death rates increasing in vaccinated while unvaccinated are not only not getting covid to the point of dying, but their symptoms are less severe. I could show evidence of increasing breakthrough covid cases in those considered fully vaccinated. Well, those considered fully vaccinated with two doses until just recently when the CDC stated they no longer consider an individual fully vaccinated inside of 14 days of the second dose, and now believe people will need to be continually given a booster shot every few months to maintain any level of immunity from a virus that is already disappearing from our community. Vaccine mandates are unscientific and unethical.

We cannot discuss this issue with you from logic and reason because you are being completely illogical and unreasonable in your approach to a seasonal virus, for which we have increasing data and knowledge on how to best treat the symptoms, as well as many therapeutic remedies even for those most severely affected by the symptoms of SARS-coV2. Your own data on your county website verifies our community is managing this virus very well.

You know we are no longer at threat from a virus with unknown risks.

We are not a disease. Quit treating us like we are walking ebola, waiting to attack everyone within a six foot perimeter. You are acting completely contrary to disease and infection control. Everything you have implemented to mitigate this virus has resulted in higher cases and more infections of a wider range of illness, including RSV, pneumonia, and death from increasing vaccinations as reported on the CDC adverse event reporting site.

A vaccine mandate is against facts, evidence, science, and the law. While Arizona law allows for emergency measures to be taken when there is "IMMEDIATE threat of illness or health condition caused by an epidemic that poses substantial risk of a significant number of human fatalities," this local body of bureaucrats has no authority to enact cruel and unusual healthcare mandates against the citizen. Your one-size-fits-none health directive is dividing our community and instilling systemic racism as you neglect and harm many in our community who judge appropriately how to manage their own health. Your mandate will further destroy our local economy because we are not going to simply acquiesce to your tyranny. We are standing against this action so our community will remain, but if you choose a vaccine mandate, many of us will simply leave your

governing authority. Are you prepared for the great exodus of all the healthcare professionals and other business professionals in this community? Stop this madness now.

Sincerely,

Beth McGwire
(Oro Valley)

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:12 AM
To: GeorgeSak
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Please consider this!

From: GeorgeSak <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 6:04 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: Please consider this!

09/07/2021 09:12 AM
ms

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Supervisors Rex Scott, Matt Heinz, Sharon Bronson, Steve Christy, Adelita Grijalva:

This letter is regarding Pima County's consideration to forcibly mandate covid shots in certain fields that you select. This is wrong on so many levels that it is astonishing how it can be up for consideration. You nor I nor anyone has any moral right to force someone else to risk their health and possibly life, for someone else's peace of mind. If government obtains the right to force themselves into someone else's body, then what is next? Ban any and all abortions to save the babies? Health segregation? Expand this to every industry you want? Expand to force children? The precedents and consequences from such an action essentially means the end of the Republic. You will be starting a Political War because if this passes you will pin peoples back against a wall, virtually guaranteeing a long, bitter fight. This is not just about Covid. This is about how much power government can have, power that is and should be purposefully and dutifully checked, limited, and guarded against.

I am from and grew up in Thailand, and I left there in 2000 to move to the USA and in particular Arizona, because the spirit of Freedom was still alive. My husband is from Greece and left also in 2000 for the very same reasons, and to leave his country that was surrendering national sovereignty for a centralized bureaucratic authoritarian state, the EU. Tens of thousands are fleeing California every year and run to Arizona for freedom. You do not see people fleeing Arizona to run to Greece and France and Thailand and Mexico for freedom. So please answer this question: why are many people in power fighting so hard to turn America into the country that so many millions of people are desperate to flee? A healthy people don't want a nanny-state, an over bearing dictatorial authoritarian state that rams dictates down peoples throat. My husband and I had America to run to when our countries became failed states. Where are we all Americans going to run to when America becomes an Authoritarian failed state, with a divided population, politically polarized, with state sponsored medical segregation and discrimination, going to run to?

Government has no justifiable position to force medical or any other treatments against people's will, no matter the reason. No one has, without exception. Because if that happens, there is nothing off limits. Doing so is nothing less than Fascism. I urge you to strongly and resoundingly reject what is being proposed here because it will be the end of Pima County as we knew it, as a successful free place that people would leave their homes behind to come to, and to fight to stay here. We love this place and will lament if its destroyed by a paranoid, overbearing government that does not respect people's sovereignty, their bodies, and their choices.

Respectfully yours,

Tana S. & family. Pima County immigrant resident of 20 years

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:16 AM
To: COB_mail
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera
Subject: FW: VOTE NO - On Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers

SEP 07 2021 09:17:00 AM PST

mm

From: Kristyn Berry <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:09 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: VOTE NO - On Vaccine Mandates for Healthcare Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I submitted this email over the weekend and requested it be included with the public record. I wanted each of you to have a copy of it as well. Thank you for your time. Please read below.

To the Pima County Board of Supervisors (the "Board"):

It has come to my attention that the Board is considering passing a resolution mandating vaccination for healthcare professional licensed in Arizona. I am submitting my comments regarding this matter and ask that if be read during the meeting this Tuesday September 7, 2021 and put into public record.

I do not support vaccine mandates based upon the following:

1. There is a movement within our country to focus on cultural diversity, equity and inclusion and to embrace individuals unique backgrounds and beliefs. It is a complete misalignment and contradiction to promote supporting these movements and then pass legislation that medically discriminates against individuals because they do not believe in the same medical decisions as another and make a different choice. Vaccine mandates are medical discrimination plain and simple and do not support a culture of diversity, equity and inclusivity.
2. Each individual is unique and complex and there is not a "one size fits all" approach to health and wellness. To mandate an injection is good for everyone is irresponsible and frankly not within the Board's professional scope of practice. This decision should be made by each individual in discussion with their trusted personal healthcare advisors and in educating themselves to make the decisions that is in his/her best interest. A "healthy individual" creates a "healthy society".
3. Healthcare workers (and citizens) have the right and freedom to make healthcare decisions that are best for them. The healthier our frontline workers, the better able they are to serve our community. It is an insult that the Board believes it "knows better" than the frontline workers directly dealing with health related matters.
4. At the heart of health and wellness is informed consent which honors and respects the individual's right to make decisions best for them. Mandating, coercing and threatening citizens livelihoods by forcing them to take an injection violates this fundamental concept in providing health services.
5. There are already letters submitted that discuss the inconsistencies in the science being reported regarding these injections and their effectiveness, the lack of discussion and debates regarding their use (which is a cause for concern) and the unknown long term effects. It would be a better use of the Board's time to focus on educating our citizens on how to support their natural immune system and what they can do to make themselves healthier and more resilient regardless of what virus comes their way.

Thank you for your time and consideration of these comments.

In appreciation,

Kristyn Berry
District 1

2132 E. Tabular Place

Oro Valley, Az. 85755

[REDACTED]

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:17 AM
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:31 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To Pima County Board of Supervisors: Supervisor Rex Scott, Matt Heinz, Sharon Bronson, Steve Christy, Vice Chair Adelita Grijalva,

Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers who have served us selflessly with bravery & diligence for the past 18 months.

Kim McAloney, District 4
8791 e Desert Hibiscus Place, 85715
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 09:18 PST CLK/DFD
AW

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:18 AM
To: Terra
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Stop the "vaccine" mandate

SEP 07 21 AM 09:18 PM CLOKED ID
MMA

-----Original Message-----

From: Terra <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:39 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>; Rex Scott <Rex.Scott@pima.gov>; Matt Heinz <Matt.Heinz@pima.gov>; Sharon Bronson <Sharon.Bronson@pima.gov>; Steve Christy <Steve.Christy@pima.gov>; Adelilta.Grijalva@pima.gov
Subject: Stop the "vaccine" mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Sept. 6th, 2021

Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Many Pima County residents want to know why voting for this "vaccine" mandate is on the agenda. You already voted down a mandate for County employees.

Our health care providers have worked the last 18 months without a vaccine. Why now do you want to FORCE them to take an experimental gene therapy that has not gone through standard testing for years to determine its safety?

Something is not right.

I am interested to see exact data and studies regarding the safety of the experimental vaccine, including the scientists, virologists, epidemiologists, and geneticists and their credentials prior to your vote. NOT the manufactured and incorrect numbers provided in the memorandum dated September 3, 2021. We have the right to know who and what data you are basing your vote to mandate? You have the obligation to provide all Data to the public prior to your vote.

Here are my questions for the board meeting scheduled on Sept 7, 2021:

How much money is Pima County receiving for mandating/FORCING health care workers to be vaccinated with an experimental gene therapy?

What percentage of health care worker have to be vaccinated in order for Pima County to receive money?

How much money is each Pima County Board member receiving for voting for a "vaccine" mandate for health care workers?

The CDC has stated that vaccinated individuals can still get COVID and transmit COVID, so why are you FORCING health care worker to receive an experimental gene therapy that does NOT work?

Respectfully,

Terra Radliff (Pima County resident)

Melissa Whitney

From: Aniam Arroyo <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:19 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Stop the mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Respect our Freedom. Israel is 80% vaccinated and still lots of people getting covid.
Put people before emergency money
Aniam Arroyo

Powered by Cricket Wireless
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

Powered by Cricket Wireless
Get [Outlook for Android](#)

09/07/21 09:50:12 AM
an

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:30 AM
To: kathigentle
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Vaccine Mandates

From: kathigentle <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 5:11 AM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; 5@pima.gov
Subject: Vaccine Mandates

017072140300PC CLK P ID
mm


CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link, dropping an attachment.

Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Four questions you are obligated to consider before mandating a COVID-19 "vaccine":

- 1). "Are the shots necessary?" CDC rates of death are less than 1% for ages under 50 years old. (This is not opinion or conjecture but governmental statistics)
- 2) "Are the shots safe?" With VAERS and state agencies reporting deaths and injuries in the thousands the answer is "no". And this is not considering long term physical harm. (This is not opinion or conjecture but government statistics and medical reporting)
- 3) "Are the shots effective". With the growing number of "breakthrough" cases of COVID-19, and evidence that the vaccine is no longer working, the answer is "no, the shot is not effective". See the CDC report:

FIGURE 1. SARS-CoV-2 Infections (N = 689) associated with large public gatherings, by date of specimen collection and vaccination status* — Barnstable County, Massachusetts, July 2021



4). "Can you legally force people to receive any drug against their will?" The legal ramifications of forced compliance may very well place the county and yourselves in legal jeopardy. You, as public servants, have an obligation to your constituents to allow freedom to work, live, thrive, and choose according to the American Constitution and The Bill of Rights.

So many people have already suffered financial loss due to the response of this pandemic. Forcing people to get a vaccine they do not want and that is not effective, would only create more financial burden for not only health care employees but also for health care providers.

Kathleen Gentle
3612 S. Bandin Pl
Tucson AZ 85735

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:34 AM
To: Courtney Davis
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: No to vaccine Mandate for healthcare workers

-----Original Message-----

From: Courtney Davis <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 6:26 AM
To: Rex Scott <Rex.Scott@pima.gov>; District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; district4@puma.gov; District5 <District5@pima.gov>; sharon.brondon@pima.gov; steve.christy@puma.gov; Adelita Grijalva <Adelita.Grijalva@pima.gov>
Subject: No to vaccine Mandate for healthcare workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please vote against vaccine mandates.

Please protect our healthcare freedoms. Please let us have a choice about our healthcare. Please do not create a mandate that makes us choose between our livelihood and what is injected into our bodies. As a healthcare worker I have dedicated my entire life to educating myself and building a small business and a private practice in Tucson. Please protect our freedom. Please uphold our constitution. Please vote to allow me to continue to have the freedom to keep my right to choose what is right for my health and my body. Please do not allow the government to force us to trade our healthcare rights to continue to practice our life work and feed and support our families.

Thank you for all you do to protect our freedoms and uphold our constitutional rights.

Blessings to you,

Dr. Courtney Davis

Sent from my iPhone

SEP 07 2021 15:00:17-07:00
m

Melissa Whitney

From: Anissa Ramirez
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 9:39 AM
To: Jackson, Shawn S - (ssj)
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: written public input to BOS September 7, 2021 meeting

From: Jackson, Shawn S - (ssj) <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 7:34 AM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: written public input to BOS September 7, 2021 meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please vote NO on mask mandates for Pima County. They are not what we want as a community.

Thank you.

shawn jackson,
citizen, taxpayer, and voter
Catalina Foothills

SEP 07 21 10:03 PM CACTI
Catalina

Melissa Whitney

From: Sal Balakrishnan <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 10:01 AM
To: COB_mail; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy; Adelita Grijalva
Subject: Legal and Health Implications of Vaccine Mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear members of the Board:

I am A Chiropractic Physician and health data Researcher in Tucson for the past 23 years and am hoping to speak at your meeting today, though 3 minutes of time allotment is insufficient to convey the enormity of the consequences of the decision you make today. Thank you for placing me on your speaking roster. I will attempt to expound on the subject as best as feasible:

I do not recommend passing a mandate requiring mandatory Covid vaccination for health care workers along legal and scientific grounds since you have been misinformed on the dangers of this untested product. The mainstream media is actively censoring thousands of doctors, scientists and experts in the field of virology, medicine and epidemiology.

CDC Data:

The CDC website admits the following:

1. CDC has not yet isolated a sample of COVID 19 without which you cannot legally create a pharmaceutical product or vaccine.

The PCR test whose Nobel Prize winning scientist Kari Mullis now deceased 3 months before the pandemic emphatically stated to never use his test for medical diagnosis. He called it notoriously unreliable for diagnosis other than lab research only.

The test was modified for covid by Dr. Droesten in Germany using computer modelling and approved without scientific peer review. It must be noted that he had no isolated sample of covid nor any detailed data as per the CDC's own admission.

2. CDC admits You can test positive if you have a cold, flu or other infection and to check with your doctor. This is never done and no gene sequencing to confirm the data is being done. How can this be accomplished when, according to the CDC's own admission no isolated sample of COVID exists? Dr. Roger Hodgkinson eminent doctor/researcher states the PCR test can be 95% false positive.

The CDC recently invalidated the PCR test and recommends another means of testing. Portugal and many countries have outlawed the PCR test. Dr. Mullis said sample dilution over 19-25 fold (Cycle Threshold) gives very high false positive data. CDC recommended a cycle threshold initially of 40-45

3. According to the CDC VAERS (Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System) after receiving the COVID Vaccine since middle August 2021:

Deaths:13, 627

2.7 million injuries

17,800 Permanent disabilities

74,369 ER visits

55,821 hospitalizations.

The CDC admits VAERS data only comprise around 1-10% of actual events putting the conservative death count at at least 200,000 or more deaths and that's conservative.

The swine flu vaccine in the 70's was discontinued after 53 deaths were caused after receiving it. The deaths and injuries noted above since the covid vaccine was administered in such a short period greatly exceed those caused by a total of 70 vaccines administered over 30 years!

Newly emerged data and internal Pfizer documents reveal vaccine recipients are shedding MRNA spike proteins causing serious health effects on those who are unvaccinated and in the proximity of the vaccinated for a period of time. This fact has not been disclosed to the public in general.

The latest Massachusetts study reveals 80% or more covid related hospitalizations are from vaccinated individuals.

Teens are 7.5 times more likely to die from the vaccine than adults, 15 times more likely to be disabled, 44 times more likely to be hospitalized compared to all 70 vaccines received in 2021. Alarming reports of cardiac damage in teens have surfaced prompting the FDA /CDC to issue an alert on the subject.

4. The CDC does not report deaths that occur within 14 days of receiving the vaccine.

5. The CDC admits 96% of covid cases are inaccurate; only 4% of the total cases were valid.

6. CDC data states the survival rate for adults with Covid aged 70 is at least 99.7% and rapidly approaches 100% as age decreases. The majority of Covid deaths from the remaining 4% of actual cases were over 70-75 years old and had at least 2-3 comorbidities. Many died (80%) from damage inflicted by the ventilator itself.

Vaccine Facts:

The patent application for Moderna and Pfizer list the product as a GENE THERAPY product not a vaccine thereby invalidating vaccine liability protection and possibly result in violation of Federal Law since the public has been lied to. The mechanism of action is completely different from a traditional vaccine. You are altering genetic function without express permission by the recipient.

The vaccine literature insert which is not being provided to the public explicitly states the product does not provide immunity nor prevent you from acquiring Covid or transmitting it to others. it only MAY help with symptoms only. It also cautions against taking it if you are pregnant, have allergies or any autoimmune conditions.

The latest Israeli study confirms natural immunity is at least 8 times as effective and confers life long immunity without side effects.

A typical vaccine takes 5-7 years at a minimum to approve after exhaustive animal testing and phase 3 clinical trials and data compilation are accomplished. In this instance emergency authorization (not approval) was granted in about 2 months with no animal testing. All animals immediately died upon receiving the vaccine so animal trials were halted.

The Pfizer product was only granted an extension of its emergency authorization and was not granted approval. Since we are the test subjects while phase 3 clinical trial data are being gathered and compiled till at least the end of 2023. We are being used as experimental test subjects. This fact is largely unknown by the public. FDA approval was granted for a related but different product called Comirnaty which will not be produced for several years. This approval was granted without any testing.

Legal implications and violations:

1. Federal law states a vaccine is only approved when safer alternatives do not exist. The media is actively censoring data and reports by renowned experts about the remarkable success (almost 95-100%) of existing drugs, primarily Ivermectin and Hydroxychloroquine when combined with Zinc, Vit C, Vit D.

These drugs are extremely cheap, have a remarkable safety record of 40-60 years and have been documented in thousands of peer reviewed studies. CDC and FDA are actively suppressing their use by warning pharmacies and doctors. Many have lost their licenses. This is a violation of Federal law.

2. Attorney Thomas Renz representing 10,000 front line doctors uncovered CDC documents stating 45,000 deaths occurred within 3 days of receiving the Covis shot and is suing the CC among others. Similar lawsuits have been filed worldwide that are not being covered by the media.

3. Please be advised that a mandate is not Law. The Supreme court has ruled you cannot convert a Constitutional natural right into a criminal offense. The Constitution and Amendments are based on common Law not Statutory law under any circumstances. This fact is largely unknown by the public. Late SCOTUS justice Scalia ruled States cannot be compelled to follow Federal Law if they choose not to in the landmark case "Mack Vs U.S. forest Service." Safford, AZ

4. People have a natural right to submit a religious or philosophical exemption contrary to what the public is being told.

5. Denying employment/threatening termination, access to shopping for food/supplies and travel constitutes coercion under the Nuremberg Code and a violation of Common Law natural rights.

Finally, especially in light of the data and evidence presented above, Mandating vaccines for health care workers and the public alike places the board in a potential role of medical specialists or doctors. This can create an enormous civil and/or criminal liability which I strongly advise against.

I am providing links to information on the topic above and hope you review them before making this crucial decision. They are but a few among the thousands with critical information the public is slowly being made aware of despite censorship attempts by the mainstream media.

<https://rumble.com/vlzihf-the-story-of-ivermectin-and-covid-19.html>

<https://miningawareness.wordpress.com/2021/08/26/pfizer-covid-vaccine-still-under-emergency-use-authorization-eua-not-fully-approved-right-to-refuse-eua-product-under-us-law-apparently-no-right-to-mandate-eua-vaccine/>

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=QAHi3lX3oGM&t=1052s>

<https://www.globalresearch.ca/where-autopsies-people-dying-post-covid-vaccine/5754497>

<https://theexpose.uk/2021/02/05/stop-pcr-test/>

https://catherinedwards.life/aiovg_videos/a-manufactured-illusion-dr-david-martin-with-reiner-fuellmich-9-7-21/

Best wishes and Regards,

Sal Balakrishnan, D.C.

Melissa Whitney

From: Kellie Folkerts <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 10:13 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Vax mandates

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please uphold a person's right to choose. Stop the madness and do not impose vax mandates.

Kellie Folkerts

--

Kellie Folkerts

09/07/21 10:10:10 PST CLK/D ID

me

Melissa Whitney

From: Julie Castaneda
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 10:43 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: FW: Pima County Mandatory Vaccines for Health Care Workers

From: Benjamin Williams <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:58 PM
To: Julie Castaneda <julie.castaneda@pima.gov>; Matt Heinz <Matt.Heinz@pima.gov>; Rex Scott <Rex.Scott@pima.gov>; Sharon Bronson <Sharon.Bronson@pima.gov>; Steve Christy <Steve.Christy@pima.gov>; Adelita Grijalva <Adelita.Grijalva@pima.gov>
Subject: Pima County Mandatory Vaccines for Health Care Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello Pima County Board Members,

I ask you to please read ARS 36-184 which reads in part:

"This article does not authorize a county health department or any of its officers or representatives to impose on any person any mode of treatment against that person's will, or any examination inconsistent with the creed or tenets of any religious denomination of which the person is an adherent, provided that the person complies with sanitary and quarantine laws, rules and regulations."

I sincerely appreciate the work that you do, and understand you must be getting calls and request from both sides of this argument. However, the law is clear; and as a paramedic who works in Pima County, I have the right to be protected under this law and free from imposed treatment.

If members of the board want to impose this mandate, then they must first change the law.

Sincerely,
Ben Williams
285 N Eastern Slope Loop
Tucson AZ
85748

SEP 07 2021 10:43 AM
COB_MAIL

Melissa Whitney

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 11:09 AM
To: Anissa Ramirez
Cc: Maria Klucarova; Jennifer Cabrera; COB_mail
Subject: RE: Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers

From: [REDACTED] <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Monday, September 6, 2021 7:31 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>; DIST2 <DIST.2@pima.gov>; District3 <District.3@pima.gov>; District4 <District4@pima.gov>; District5 <District5@pima.gov>
Subject: Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To Pima County Board of Supervisors: Supervisor Rex Scott, Matt Heinz, Sharon Bronson, Steve Christy, Vice Chair Adelita Grijalva,

Please do not mandate vaccines upon our Healthcare Workers who have served us selflessly with bravery & diligence for the past 18 months.

Kim McAloney, District 4
8791 e Desert Hibiscus Place, 85715
[REDACTED]

SEP 07 21 AM 11:09 PST CLK:ID
mm

DATE 9-7-21

ITEM NO. 12A9

Melissa Whitney

From: Bryonne Luhtala <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 12:17 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Health care worker vaccine mandate

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To whom it may concern,

I am writing to oppose the COVID-19 vaccine mandate for Pima county healthcare workers and in favor of healthcare worker autonomy and right to choose what they put in their bodies.

Sincerely,

Bryonne Luhtala, Registered Dental Hygienist

[REDACTED]

Sent from my iPhone

RECEIVED
SEP 10 2021
12:17 PM
ms

Melissa Whitney

From: Dianne Smith <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Friday, September 3, 2021 4:58 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Forced vaccinations

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To the Pima County Board of Supervisors:

I am writing to request you DO NOT dishonor your constituents by voting to force unwanted vaccinations on the healthcare workers of Pima County. This is supposed to be a nation ruled by law and that law is the US Constitution (and by extension the Arizona Constitution)/

If you have the temerity to think you know better than the individual what is best for them, individually, you are sadly mistaken. This is simply a power grab by you and will be remembered at the ballot box.

VOTE NO!

Dianne Smith

Pima County resident

SEP 03 21 04:58 PM CCKOF DD

all

AGENDA MATERIAL

Melissa Whitney

DATE 9.7.21

ITEM NO. RA 9

From: Beth Dohaniuk <[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 2:26 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Agenda for Tonights Board Meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Ms. Castaneda,

We understand that you are the clerk for the Pima County Board of Supervisors, and we are emailing to request that you please communicate with the Board our concern over an agenda item being presented tonight. Will you please read this in the meeting as part of public comment, and share this message with each member of the Board.

It is our understanding that a Covid-19 vaccine mandate is being considered for all healthcare workers across Pima County. We strongly OPPOSE this consideration, and ask that the Covid-19 vaccine NOT be mandated for all healthcare workers. There is no reason to mandate this for all healthcare workers when all people at least age 16 and up who wish to receive the vaccine for their mental health and physical protection have had the opportunity to choose to do so. Per our most recent check of the data for people under the age of 16, at most .005 of Covid related deaths are in this age group, which makes it unnecessary to force a healthcare worker to receive an unwanted vaccine to provide care for this age group. The risks of the vaccine for one person DO NOT outweigh the potential benefits for another. All people, including patients AND healthcare workers, have the right to choose to accept or decline any medical intervention, including vaccination. Please uphold this basic human right and DO NOT mandate Covid-19 vaccination for all healthcare workers.

Thank you,

Beth and Jeff Dohaniuk
[REDACTED]

970721W03057COKER DD
Cm

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 9/7/21 ITEM NO. RA 9/11/21
12

Melissa Whitney

From: jeanne hughes [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, September 7, 2021 8:33 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Public Comment for BOS 09.07.2021 Agenda Items 9, 11, 12, Addendum Items 4, 7, 11

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please attach these comments to the citizen input for the September 7, 2021 Board of Supervisors meeting for Agenda Items 9, 11, 12 and Addendum Items 4, 7, 11. Thank you.

To the Supervisors:

Once again, you are discussing and voting on Covid mandates that you have previously discussed and voted down or continued ad nauseam.

Rather than commenting individually on each item, I offer a few reasons why you must vote NO on all of the above mentioned Covid Agenda Items:

1. These are against the law: mask mandates, vaccine mandates, vaccine passports and discrimination based on vaccine status.
2. Medical decisions are to be made by a patient in consultation with **their** personal medical advisors, not by Pima County government.
3. Mandates, incentives, disincentives will continue to degrade morale of employees and exacerbate staffing shortages, particularly among the healthcare workers and first responders we depend upon.
4. If Pima County is not mandating all their own employees to be vaccinated (rather, just new hires and promotions) it is hypocritical (at the very least) to mandate vaccinations for healthcare workers who are not Pima County employees.
5. Last Friday it was reported that Dr. Garcia, Pima County Chief Medical Officer, in reference to Covid cases, said:
 - * "I'm increasingly feeling like we're either plateauing, perhaps even starting to go down."
 - * "most students aren't contracting Covid from classroom exposure."
6. Pima County taxpayers cannot afford to pay for the defense and settlement of legal cases that will arise as a result of these mandates.
7. Listen to your constituents! The vast majority of citizen input posted to the agendas over the past month are **against** all mandates.

Thank you.

J Hughes

Begin forwarded message:

From: jeanne hughes <[REDACTED]>
Date: August 15, 2021 at 8:47:20 PM MST
To: COB_Mail@pima.gov
Subject: Mask Resolution and Vaccine Policy Agenda #10, Addendum #1 #4,7,8,9

Please see that this comment is added to the citizen input for the August 16, 2021 BOS meeting regarding Agenda Item #10, Addendum #1 Items #4,7,8,9.

Thank you.

Supervisor Heinz says:

“INCREDIBLE - YOU PEOPLE!!”

“People watching the Board of Supervisors meeting last week, when my colleagues voted down most of the COVID mitigation efforts I brought forward, noticed my frustration for the lack of action taken to stop the spread of the Delta variant in our community.”

I say to Supervisor Heinz -

I was one of those people watching. You may call it frustration, we call it childish overreaction when you didn't get your way. If I were your mother, I would be ashamed and embarrassed by your “taking my marbles and going home” reaction! Is that the way you react when a patient doesn't respond to your treatment as you had hoped? YIKES! Your comments and behavior were certainly less than professional.

You may be a doctor, but you are not the doctor for everyone in Pima County.

Requiring medical procedures for anyone is usurping their rights. They have had the opportunity to be vaccinated and have chosen not to be vaccinated. What about My Body, My Choice? Or does that only apply to abortion, when only one of the involved bodies gets to make the choice, and the other one gets murdered?

We cannot risk losing healthcare workers and first responders due to an illegal mandate. You are making the heroes into the villains! By driving these dedicated public servants away, you are putting all of us in Pima County in danger when there are not enough providers to render aid and care. You said you took an oath to do no harm, so please reflect on that before voting and doing harm to citizens of Pima County!

Disincentives for people who do not get vaccinated are only going to result in more disruption, understaffing and increased morale issues. I have spoken with current TMC employees as well as area firefighters and police who disagree with the mandated vaccines. Some of them have natural immunity from being on the front lines, at least one of them is planning to file for a religious exemption. Do you also get to play God in deciding whether that employee's religious belief is a “sincerely held religious belief?” Who sets that criteria? A religious belief is between the individual and their God. You and government have no status in that relationship and have no way of judging the sincerity, no right to judge.

Stop the overreach! Vote NO on all COVID mandates - no vaccine mandates, no masks mandates in schools.

Pima County Legally Registered Voter