ARIZONA

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM REPORT

Requested Board Meeting Date: August 17, 2020

Title: Roadway Development Impact Fee - Final Fee Study, Phase-in Schedule, Ordinance

Introduction/Background:

The County Roadway Development Impact Fee ordinance must be updated due to changes in A.R.S. § 11-1102. On July 7, 2020, the Board of Supervisors (BOS) held a public hearing on the draft Fee Study and on February 18, 2020, the BOS approved the required Land Use Assumptions Report and Infrastructure Improvements Plan. The final step in the process is approval of the Final Fee Study, Phase-in Schedule, and adoption of the new Impact Fee Ordinance.

Discussion:

Following the required 30-day waiting period, the Final Fee Study is presented for BOS consideration, along with the Phase-in Fee Schedule and new Roadway Development Impact Fee Ordinance. The new ordinance replaces the existing ordinance in its entirety and incorporates the required changes per statute. Changes include the requirement to develop land use assumptions, an infrastructure improvements plan, and a fee study, each of which must be approved separately before new fees can be adopted; fees and studies must be updated at least every 5 years; fees must be spent within 10 years; refunds are authorized under certain circumstances; assessed fees may not be increased for 24 months for phased projects; and a biennial audit is now required. If adopted, the fees would become effective January 1, 2021 at which time most fees would be reduced for 6 months due to economic uncertainty regarding the COVID-19 pandemic.

Conclusion:

The Final Fee Study, Phase-in schedule, and ordinance are presented for Board action.

Recommendation:

Staff recommends approval of the Fee Study, Phase-In schedule, and new Impact Fee Ordinance.

Fiscal Impact:

Approval will allow impact fees to continue to be collected for the next 10 years, estimated to be \$90.8 million, to help fund roadway capital needs.

Board of Supervisor District: 1 2 3 4 5 MI Department: Transportation Telephone: 724-6410 Contact: Jonathan Crowe, Principal Planner Department Director Signature/Date: January Date: 7/31/2020 County Administrator Signature/Date: 7/31/2020 County Administrator Signature/Date: 7/31/2020

ORDINANCE 2020-

AN ORDINANCE OF THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA, RELATING TO CAPITAL IMPROVEMENTS FUNDING AND DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEES; REPEALING AND REPLACING TITLE 19 OF THE PIMA COUNTY CODE IN ITS ENTIRETY; ADOPTING A NEW IMPACT FEE ORDINANCE. (All Districts)

THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA FINDS THAT:

- 1. On February 27, 1996, the Pima County Board of Supervisors approved and adopted the Pima County Roadway Impact Fee Ordinance Number 1996-09, and codified as Title 19 of the Pima County Code, which established a roadway development impact fee. The ordinance was subsequently amended by ordinances 1996-73, 1997-23, 2003-40, 2006-25, 2009-02, 2009-94, 2009-95, 2014-24, and resolutions 2013-76 and 2014-48.
- 2. Arizona Revised Statutes § 11-1102 requires Pima County to update and revise the development impact fee ordinance prior to January 1, 2021 in order to continue collecting impact fees.
- 3. On February 18, 2020 the Board of Supervisors approved the required Land Use Assumptions report and Infrastructure Improvements Plan and on July 7, 2020 the Board of Supervisors held a public hearing on the draft Fee Study.

BE IT ORDAINED BY THE BOARD OF SUPERVISORS OF PIMA COUNTY, ARIZONA,

Section 1. The Pima County Code is amended by repealing Title 19 in its entirety, except that the former development fee schedule will remain on file with Pima County and remains in effect to the extent necessary to implement Section 19.03.010 of new Title 19, Adjustments to Fees. Former Title 19 is replaced with a new Title 19, to read as follows:

Title 19

ROADWAY DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE

Chapter 19.01 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections:

- 19.01.010 Title.19.01.020 Legislative Intent and Purpose.19.01.030 Definitions.19.01.040 Applicability.
- 19.01.050 Authority.
- 19.01.060 Administration.

Chapter 19.02 - PROCEDURES FOR ADOPTION AND UPDATES

Sections:

- 19.02.010 Ordinance.
- 19.02.020 Infrastructure Improvements Plan.
- 19.02.030 Adoption or Amendment Procedures.
- 19.02.040 Updating Procedures.

Chapter 19.03 - ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Sections:

- 19.03.010 Adjustments to Fees.
- 19.03.020 Fee Credits and Credit Agreements.
- 19.03.030 Development Agreements.
- 19.03.040 Fee Waivers.
- 19.03.050 Appeals.
- 19.03.060 Refunds.
- 19.03.070 Oversight and Reporting.
- 19.03.080 Intergovernmental Agreements.

Chapter 19.01 - GENERAL PROVISIONS

Sections:

19.01.010 Title.

19.01.020 Legislative Intent and Purpose.

19.01.030 Definitions.

19.01.040 Applicability.

19.01.050 Authority.

19.01.060 Administration.

19.01.010 Title.

This chapter shall be known as the "Pima County Roadway Development Impact Fee Ordinance".

19.01.020 Legislative Intent and Purpose.

- A. This chapter is adopted for the purpose of promoting the health, safety and general welfare of the residents of Pima County by:
 - 1. Requiring new development to pay its proportionate share of the costs incurred by Pima County associated with providing necessary public services to new development.
 - 2. Setting forth standards and procedures for creating and assessing development impact fees consistent with the requirements of A.R.S. § 11-1102, including requirements that on or before January 1, 2021, the County replace its development impact fee program with one pursuant to the requirements of A.R.S. § 11-1102.
 - 3. Setting forth procedures for administering the development impact fee program, including mandatory offsets, credits, and refunds of development impact fees. All development impact fee assessments, offsets, credits, or refunds should be administered in accordance with the provisions of this chapter.
- B. This chapter shall not affect the County's zoning authority or its authority to adopt or amend its general plan, provided that planning and zoning activities may require amendments to the development impact fees provided in Chapter 19.02.

19.01.030 Definitions.

When used in this chapter, the terms below shall have the following meanings unless the context indicates otherwise. Singular terms shall include their plural. Other terms used in this chapter shall have the definitions set forth in Title 18 (Zoning) of the Pima County Code.

- 1. Assisted living/congregate care: A residential land use that includes any complex that provides centralized amenities (i.e. meals, assistance, care) and/or some level of medical services or medical care for any number of residents on a continuing basis. This includes nursing homes, group homes, and other similar uses. See ITE land use category 253.
- 2. Attached residential/multi-family: A residential land use that includes any apartment or townhome development, regardless of unit or building size. See ITE land use category 220.
- 3. Building permit: The permit required for construction as determined pursuant to Title 15 (Buildings and Construction) of the Pima County Code. For the purposes of this chapter, the term "building permit" shall not include a permit required for reconstruction of a structure if the reconstruction does not reflect a change in the number of EDUs applicable to the development. If the reconstruction increases the number of EDUs applicable to the development, the development fee for roadways shall be based upon the amount of the increase. For purposes of this chapter, the term "building permit" shall include the permit or approval required from Pima County for the placement and occupancy of a manufactured home as defined in Section 18.03.020(M)(1) of the Pima County Code, multi-sectional manufactured home as defined in Section 18.03.020(M)(2) of the Pima County Code or factory-built building (modular) as defined in Section 18.03.020(F)(1) of the Pima County Code.
- 4. Capital facility: An asset having a useful life of three or more years that is a component of the necessary public services provided by the County. A capital facility may include any associated purchase of real property, architectural and engineering services leading to the design and construction of facilities, improvements to existing facilities, and associated financing and professional services. Whenever used herein, "infrastructure" shall have the same meaning as "capital facilities."
- 5. *Charter/private school*: A land use that is an institution of learning offering education for children, including some or all of the grades from kindergarten through twelfth grade. See ITE land use categories 534, 536, and 537.
- 6. *County*: Pima County, Arizona.
- 7. *Credit*: A reduction in an assessed impact fee resulting from developer contributions to, payments for, construction of, or dedications for capital facilities included in an infrastructure improvements plan (or as otherwise permitted by this chapter).
- 8. *Credit Agreement*: A written agreement between the County and a developer or land owner that allocates credits to the development per section 19.03.020 of this chapter. A credit agreement may be included as part of a development agreement as detailed in section 19.03.030 of this chapter.
- 9. *Development agreement*: An agreement prepared in accordance with A.R.S. § 11-1101 and section 19.03.030 of this chapter, which may include provisions regarding impact fee credits for a development and/or reimbursements to a developer for providing capital facilities included in the infrastructure implementation plan.
- 10. *Development*: As defined in A.R.S. § 11-1102(V)(2), the subdivision of land; the construction, reconstruction, conversion, structural alteration, relocation or

- enlargement of any structure that adds or increases the number of service units; any use or extension of the use of land that increases the number of service units.
- 11. *Dwelling unit*: A house, apartment, mobile home or trailer, group of rooms, or single room occupied as a separate living quarters or, if vacant, intended for occupancy as separate living quarters.
- 12. Equivalent demand unit (EDU): A standardized measure of demand that a particular land use type places on each category of necessary public service, in relation to the demand placed on the same necessary public service by a detached single-family dwelling unit. The EDU is calculated by using data specific to the service area in which the facility will be located and pursuant to generally accepted engineering or planning standards for a particular category of necessary public services or facility expansions.
- 13. *Fee study*: A written report that identified the methodology for calculating the among of each development impact fee, explains the relationship between the development impact fee and the plan-based cost per EDU, and which meets other requirements set forth in A.R.S. § 11-1102.
- 14. *General office*: A land use category that includes all offices except medical-dental-veterinary offices. See ITE land use category 710.
- 15. *High-traffic retail/services*: A land use category that includes any development which attracts higher traffic volumes than other general retail and service developments, including but not limited to fast food restaurants, coffee shops, gas stations, convenience stores, combination gas station/convenience stores, and other similar high traffic generators. See ITE land use categories 853, 930, and 934.
- 16. Hospital/clinic: A land use category that includes any hospital or clinic, including veterinary hospitals and clinics, for the diagnosis, care, observation, and/or treatment of two or more unrelated persons (or animals) suffering from illness, injury, or deformity or for other professional care. Clinics often have lab facilities, pharmacies, and a wider range of services than a typical medical office. The term "hospital" and "clinic" shall not be construed to include the office of a physician or practitioner. See ITE land use categories 610 and 620.
- 17. *Hotel/motel*: A land use category that includes temporary lodging facilities such as hotels, motels, and recreational vehicle parks. See ITE land use categories 310 and 320.
- 18. *Industrial*: A land use category that includes any light, medium, or heavy industrial use as well as manufacturing uses, warehouses, and self-storage facilities (i.e. miniwarehouses). See ITE land use categories 110, 130, 140, 150, and 151.
- 19. *Infrastructure improvements plan (IIP)*: A document or series of documents that meet the requirements set forth in A.R.S. § 11-1102(F), and that is adopted pursuant to Section 19.08 herein.
- 20. *ITE land use categories*: Land use categories found in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Manual* (10th Edition, 2017).
- 21. *Land Use assumptions (LUA)*: Projections of changes in land use, densities, intensities, and population for a service area over a period of at least ten years, upon which an IIP is based.

- 22. Level of service: A quantitative and/or qualitative measure of a necessary public service that is defined in terms of the relationship between service capacity and service demand, accessibility, comfort or convenience of use, or other similar measures or combinations of measures. Level of service may be measured differently for different categories of necessary public services or different subcategories within one public service category as identified in the IIP.
- 23. *Medical/dental/vet office*: A land use category that includes any medical, dental, or veterinarian office. See ITE land use category 720.
- 24. *Mobile home park*: A land use category that includes any mobile home for which a building permit is required, either within a mobile home park or as a stand-alone. See ITE land use category 240.
- 25. *Necessary public service*: As defined in A.R.S. § 11-1102(V)(7)(c), any street facilities located in the service area that have a life expectancy of three or more years and that are owned and operated by or on behalf of the County. Street facilities include arterial or collector streets or roads that have been designated on an officially adopted plan of the County, traffic signals and rights-of-way and improvements thereon.
- 26. *Public school*: A public or institutional land use that is an institution of learning offering education for all children, including some or all of the grades from kindergarten through twelfth grade. See ITE land use categories 520 and 530.
- 27. *Qualified professional*: As defined in A.R.S. § 11-1102(V)(8), a professional engineer, surveyor, financial analyst, or planner providing services within the scope of the person's license, education, or experience.
- 28. *Recreational*: A land use category that includes athletic clubs, health or fitness clubs, racquet or tennis clubs, and other similar uses. See ITE land use category 492 and related categories.
- 29. *Retail*: A land use category that consists of shopping facilities including, but not limited to, big box stores, grocery stores, home improvement stores or superstores, factory outlets, discount clubs or superstores, nurseries, automobile sales, and other general commercial or retail facilities. See ITE land use categories 820 and 823.
- 30. *Senior housing*: A residential land use category which is age-restricted, including single family detached homes and attached or multi-family units. See ITE land use category 251.
- 31. *Service area*: As defined in A.R.S. § 11-1102 (V)(9), any specified area within the boundaries of a county in which development will be served by necessary public services or facility expansions and within which a substantial nexus exists between the necessary public services or facility expansions and the development being served as prescribed in the IIP.
- 32. Service unit (see EDU): As defined in A.R.S. § 11-1102 (V)(10), a standardized measure of consumption, use, generation or discharge attributable to an individual unit of development calculated using data specific to the service area in which the facility will be located and pursuant to generally accepted engineering or planning standards for a particular category of necessary public services or facility expansions.

- 33. *Services*: A land use category that includes any development that provides a service, including but not limited to restaurants, auto-repair centers, car washes, day cares, and other similar facilities. See ITE land use categories 932 and 942.s
- 34. *Single family detached residential*: A residential land use category that includes any single family detached home or housing development that is not age-restricted. Mobile homes are not included. See ITE land use category 210.
- 35. *Substantial nexus*: A substantial nexus exists where the demand for necessary public services that will be generated by an EDU can be reasonably quantified in terms of the burden it will impose on an existing facility, the need it will create for new or expanded facilities, or the benefit to the development from those facilities.

19.01.040 Applicability.

This chapter applies to all new development within any impact fee service area for Pima County as defined in the adopted IIP.

19.01.050 Authority.

- A. The County may assess and collect a development impact fee for costs associated with providing necessary public services to a development, including the costs of infrastructure, improvements, real property, engineering and architectural services, financing and professional services required for the preparation or revision of a development fee pursuant to this chapter, including the relevant portion of the Infrastructure Improvements Plan (IIP). Development impact fees shall be subject to the following requirements:
 - 1. The County shall develop and adopt a fee report that evaluates and defines the development impact fees to be charged in each service area based on the IIP and the plan-based cost per EDU calculated pursuant to Section 19.02.020.
 - 2. Development impact fees shall be assessed for all new residential or non-residential development including but not limited to retail, office, industrial, and school developments. The County may assess different fees for specific categories of development based on the actual burdens and costs that are associated with providing necessary public services to that category of development. No development impact fee shall exceed the plan-based cost per EDU for any category of development.
 - 3. No development impact fees shall be charged, or credits issued, for any facility that does not fall within one of the categories of necessary public services for which development impact fees may be assessed as identified in Section 19.02.020.
 - 4. Costs for necessary public services made necessary by new development shall be based on the same level of service provided to existing development in the same

- service area. Development impact fees may not be used to provide a higher level of service to existing development or to meet current safety, efficiency, environmental, or other regulatory standards to the extent that these are applied to existing facilities that are serving existing development.
- 5. Development impact fees may not be used to pay the County's administrative, maintenance, or other operating costs. A separate fee per Section 19.01.060(E) will be charged for these purposes.
- 6. Projected interest charges and other finance costs may be included in determining the amount of the development fees only if the monies are used for the payment of principal and interest on the portion of the bonds, notes, or other obligations issued to finance construction of necessary public services or facility expansions identified in the IIP.
- 7. Except for any fees included on interim fee schedules, all development impact fees charged by the County must be included in a fee schedule prepared pursuant to this chapter and included in the fee study.
- 8. All development impact fees shall meet the requirements of A.R.S. § 11-1102.
- B. The fee study shall summarize the costs of facilities necessary to serve new development on a per EDU basis as defined and calculated in the IIP, including all required offsets, and shall recommend a development impact fee structure for adoption by the County. The actual impact fees to be assessed shall be disclosed and adopted in the form of impact fee schedules.

19.01.060 Administration.

- A. The county administrator or persons or departments designated by the county administrator shall administer the development impact fee program.
- B. Impact fees shall be accounted for in separated funds that clearly identify the service area in which the fee was assessed.
- C. Impact fees and any earned interest shall be spent to provide necessary roadway improvements in the same service area for which they were collected, including costs to finance those facilities and other costs authorized by this chapter and included in an approved IIP.
- D. Impact fees collected after December 31, 2020 shall be spent as authorized by this chapter within ten years of the date in which they were collected.

- E. A non-refundable \$75 administrative charge is due when impact fees are paid. The administrative charge is not an impact fee, and cannot be reduced by any credits.
- F. Impact fees shall be assessed and paid either (1) when the building permit is issued or (2) at a later time if specified in a development agreement previously approved by the Board of Supervisors, as outlined in Section 19.03.030.
- G. Any action to collect impact fees shall be commenced within 2 years after the obligation to pay the fees accrues.

Chapter 19.02 - PROCEDURES FOR ADOPTION AND UPDATES

Sections:

- 19.02.010 Ordinance.
- 19.02.020 Infrastructure Improvements Plan.
- 19.02.030 Adoption or Amendment Procedures.
- 19.02.040 Updating Procedures.

19.02.010 Ordinance.

- A. This chapter shall provide the framework for adoption and implementation of the impact fee program, as well as provide the adopted fee schedules for the assessment of impact fees.
- B. Prior to assessment of a new or modified impact fee, the County shall prepare land use assumptions (LUA) for all service areas within which impact fees will be charged, an infrastructure improvements plan (IIP) and fee study.

19.02.020 Infrastructure Improvements Plan.

- A. The County shall prepare an infrastructure improvements plan (IIP) that establishes the needed roadway capital improvements and is based on the LUA. The IIP shall:
 - 1. Define and provide a map of all service areas within which the County will provide the necessary public services for which development impact fees will be charged. Each service area shall be defined in a manner that demonstrates a substantial nexus between the roadway facilities to be provided in the service area and the development to be served by those facilities.
 - 2. Identify and describe the LUA upon which the IIP is based for each service area.
 - 3. Analyze and identify the existing roadway level of service provided by the County to existing development.

- 4. Identify the roadway level of service to be provided by the County based on the relevant LUA and any established County roadway standards or policies related to required levels of service.
- 5. Identify the existing capacity of the roadway facilities in each service area, the utilization of those facilities by existing development, and the available excess capacity of those facilities to serve new development including any existing or planned commitments or agreements for the usage of said capacity.
- 6. Estimate the total number of future EDUs within each service area based on the County's LUA and projected new development in each service area.
- 7. Provide a summary table describing the level of service by relating the required roadway facilities to EDUs in each service area and identifying the applicable EDU factor associated with each category of development.
- 8. Analyze and identify the projected utilization of any available excess capacity on the existing roadway facilities and all new or expanded facilities that will be required to provide and maintain the planned level of service in each service area as a result of the new projected EDUs in that service area for a period not to exceed ten years.
- 9. Estimate the total cost of any available excess capacity and/or new or expanded capital facilities that will be required to serve new EDUs, including cost of land acquisition, improvements, engineering and architectural services, studies leading to design, design, construction, financing, and administrative costs. Total costs should not include costs for on-going operation and maintenance of facilities, nor for the replacement of facilities to the extent that replacement is needed to serve existing development.
- 10. Forecast the revenues from taxes, fees, assessments, or other sources that will be available to fund the new or expanded facilities identified in the IIP, which shall include estimated state-shared revenue, highway user revenue, federal revenue, and property taxes.

11. Calculate required offsets as follows:

- a. From the forecasted revenues, identify those sources of revenue that are attributable to new development and will contribute to paying for the capital costs of necessary public services.
- b. For each source and amount of revenue identified, calculate the relative contribution of each category of development to paying for the capital costs of necessary public services in each service area.

- c. Based on the relative contributions, calculate the total offset to be provided to each category of development in each service area.
- d. Convert the total offset to be provided to each category of development in each service area into an offset amount per EDU by dividing the total offset for each category of development by the number of EDUs associated with that category of development.
- 12. Calculate the plan-based cost per EDU by determining the cost per EDU to provide the necessary public services for the overall County.
- B. The County may reserve capacity in an IIP to serve one or more planned future developments, including capacity reserved through a development agreement. All reservations of existing capacity must be disclosed in the IIP at the time it is adopted.

19.02.030 Adoption or Amendment Procedures

- A. The County shall adopt or amend the LUA and IIP as follows:
 - 1. The County shall conduct a public hearing on the draft IIP and LUA or amendments at least 30 days before approving or disapproving the IIP. Notice of the hearing shall be posted on the County's website, along with the draft IIP, LUA, and any other documents used in preparation, a minimum of 60 days prior to the hearing.
 - 2. The County shall approve or disapprove the LUA and IIP or amendment within 60 days after the public hearing and at least 30 days before the public hearing on the fee study.
 - 3. The County may update the IIP and/or LUA without a public hearing if the proposed changes will not increase the level of service or cause an increase in development fees by more than 5%. The county shall provide notice of the amendment at least 30 days prior to adoption and post the proposed amendments to its website.
- B. The County shall adopt or amend the impact fee study as follows:
 - 1. The County shall conduct a public hearing on the impact fee study at least 30 days after the adoption or approval of the IIP and at least 30 days before the scheduled date of adoption of the fees. The County must give at least 30 days' advance notice of intention to assess new or increased impact fees.
 - 2. The County shall approve or disapprove the impact fee study within 60 days after the public hearing.

3. Adopted or amended fees shall become effective no sooner than 90 days after adoption.

19.02.040 Updating Procedures

- A. The County shall update the LUA, IIP and impact fee study at least every five years from the most recent IIP adoption date. The County shall evaluate the current LUA and, if it determines that changes to the LUA, IIP, or fee study are needed, the procedures and public notice requirements of Section 19.02.020 shall apply.
- B. If after evaluating the current LUA the County determines that no changes to the LUA, IIP, or fee study are needed, the County may publish notice of the determination which includes the following:
 - 1. A statement that the County has determined no change to the LUA, IIP, or fee study is necessary.
 - 2. A description and map of the service area(s) in which an update has been determined to be unnecessary.
 - 3. A statement that by a specified date which is at least 60 days after the publication of the first notice, a person may request to the County in writing that the County update the LUA, IIP, or the fee study.
 - 4. A statement identifying the person or entity to whom the written request for an update should be sent.

Chapter 19.03 - ADMINISTRATIVE PROVISIONS

Sections:

19.03.010	Adjustments to Fees.
19.03.020	Fee Credits and Credit Agreements.
19.03.030	Development Agreements.
19.03.040	Fee Waivers.
19.03.050	Appeals.
19.03.060	Refunds.
19.03.070	Oversight and Reporting.
19.03.080	Intergovernmental Agreements.

19.03.010 Adjustments to Fees

Impact fees shall be assessed according to the adopted fee schedule provided in Appendix A of this chapter, subject to any phased-in schedule approved by the Board of Supervisors, and further subject to the following adjustments:

A. Impact fee rates in effect when the first building permit is issued (for a residential development) or when the final permit is issued (for a nonresidential development) shall be applied to all subsequent permits within the development for a period of 24 months unless subsequent changes are made to the approved plans that would increase the number of service units.

If the number of service units does increase, the applicable increased rate shall be applied to the additional service units. The 24 month period may not be extended by a renewal of or amendment to the approved site plan or final subdivision plat. On request, the County shall provide a written statement of the applicable impact fee rate. If the County reduces the impact fee rate after final approval of the plan or plat, the reduced fee rate shall apply to subsequently issued permits.

- B. Development impact fees shall not be assessed under the following conditions:
 - 1. If development impact fees were already paid for a development and the permit that triggered the collection of the development impact fees has not expired or been voided, no new fee shall be assessed. If the permit has expired or is voided, the fees due shall be the difference between any fees paid and those due at the time of reissue or renewal.
 - 2. If a single-family residence is replacing the same that was built or has been in existence prior to the first impact fee ordinance (February 26, 1996 or the date applicable to the adoption of impact fees for that previously designated benefit area), no impact fee shall be assessed. The burden is placed on the applicant to provide sufficient documentation to prove year of construction or establishment.

- C. If the building permit is for an expansion of an existing land use for a property that has previously paid an impact fee, the impact fee shall be assessed only for the area of expansion. If the applicant cannot prove that any fees have been paid, the fees due shall be for the entire building subject to the fee.
- D. If a developer seeks a new building permit for a different type of land use than the existing land use type with a higher fee rate, and the applicant can prove that a fee has been paid for the previous use, the assessed fee shall be the difference between the higher fee and the current fee for the existing development. If the applicant cannot prove that any fees have previously been paid, no reduction in fees shall be given. If the change is to a land use type with a lower rate than the existing use, no refund or reimbursement shall be issued.
- E. A developer may request an alternative fee calculation based on their projection that the actual burdens and costs associated with providing necessary public services to that development will differ substantially from the County's projected costs or will be substantially less than the amount projected to be paid by development fees. The County Administrator or designee shall review the request and shall make a determination as to the fee to be assessed. The assessed fee shall have a substantial nexus to the burdens and costs associated with the necessary public services or facility expansions. The fee determination is appealable to the Board of Supervisors.

19.03.020 Fee Credits and Credit Agreements

- A. Developers are entitled to credits towards the payment of impact fees if the developer dedicates, constructs, or otherwise provides an eligible offsite improvement for which the fee is assessed, subject to the following:
 - 1. The improvements must be identified in an adopted IIP and fee report as a facility for which an impact fee was assessed. If not included in the IIP, the County must have required as a condition of approval the provision of the improvements that will substitute for or otherwise reduce the need for other similar facilities in the IIP. The County shall amend the IIP to include the subject replacement facility and delete the facility that will be replaced.
 - 2. The improvements must be located within the same service area as the development.
 - 3. Credits shall not be available for any facility provided by a developer if the cost of the facility will be repaid to the developer by the County through another agreement or mechanism.
 - 4. The credit amount shall be the lessor of either the projected cost of the eligible facility identified in the IIP or the actual costs incurred by the developer in

- providing the eligible facility. A credit amount shall not exceed the total sum of assessed impact fees.
- 5. It is the responsibility of the developer to request impact fee credits, which may be part of a development agreement.
- B. Credits shall only be issued pursuant to a credit agreement, subject to the following:
 - 1. The developer requesting the credit agreement shall provide all information requested by the County to determine the value of the credit to be applied.
 - 2. The developer shall submit an application for a credit agreement within one year of the date on which ownership or control of facility passes to the County.
 - 3. The developer shall submit a draft credit agreement to the County for review in the form provided by the County. The draft agreement shall include, at a minimum, the following:
 - a. A legal description and map showing the location of the development for which the credits are being applied as well as the facility that has been or will be provided.
 - b. An estimate of the total EDUs that will be developed within the submitted development.
 - c. The physical attributes and related cost of the facility to be provided.
 - d. Documentation showing the date of acceptance by the County if the facility has already been provided.
 - e. The total amount of credits to be applied within the development and the calculations used to develop the total amount of the credits.
 - f. The credits to be applied to each EDU within the development.
 - 4. If the developer sells or relinquishes part of all of a development that it owns or controls before the execution of a credit agreement or development agreement, credits resulting from the eligible facility will only be allocated to the development if the entity legally assigns such rights and responsibilities to its successor.

- 5. If multiple entities jointly provide an eligible facility, all entities must enter into a single credit agreement with the County, and any request for credit allocation within the subject development must be made jointly.
- 6. Credits may only be reallocated from or within a subject development with the County's approval of an amendment to an executed credit agreement if the entity that executed the original agreement or its legal successor and the entity that currently controls the subject development are parties to the request for reallocation and the reallocation proposal does not change the value of any credits already issued.
- 7. The County's determination of the credits to be allocated is final.
- 8. Upon execution of the credit agreement, credits shall be deemed allocated to the development.
- 9. Any amendment to a previously approved credit agreement must be initiated within two years of the County's final acceptance of the eligible facility for which the amendment is requested.
- 10. Any credit agreement approved as part of a development agreement shall be amended in accordance with the terms of the development agreement and Section 19.03.030.
- 11. Credits shall only be issued when the eligible facility from which the credits were derived has been accepted by the County or when adequate security for the completion of the facility has been provided in accordance with all terms of an executed development agreement.
- 12. Where credits have been issued, an impact fee due shall be reduced by the credits stated in or calculated from the executed credit agreement. Where credits have not yet been issued, the gross impact fee shall be paid in full, and a refund of the credits shall be due when the development demonstrates compliance with subparagraph 19.03.020(B)(11).
- 13. Once issued, credits may not be rescinded or reallocated to another permit or parcel except that credits may be released for reuse on the same development if a building permit for which the credits were issues has expired or been voided and is otherwise eligible for a refund.

19.03.030 Development Agreements

A. Development agreements containing provisions regarding development impact fees, development impact fee credits, or disbursement of revenues from development impact fee accounts shall comply with the following:

- 1. A development agreement is required to authorize any of the following:
 - a. To issue credits before the County's acceptance of an eligible facility.
 - b. To reimburse the developer of an eligible facility using funds from development impact fee accounts.
 - c. To allocate different credit amounts per EDU to different parcels within a development.
 - d. For a single family residential dwelling unit, to allow development impact fees to be paid at a later time than the issuance of a building permit as outlined in subparagraph 19.01.060(F).
- 2. All development agreements shall be prepared and executed in accordance with A.R.S. § 11-1101 and any applicable requirements of the County code.
- 3. A development agreement may authorize the issuance of credits before County acceptance of an eligible facility if the development agreement specifically states the form and value of the security (i.e., bond, letter of credit, etc.) to be provided to the County before the issuance of any credits. The County shall determine the acceptable form and value of the security to be provided.
- 4. If the credits are not to be allocated evenly, the development agreement must specify how credits will be allocated amongst different parcels on a per-EDU basis.
- 5. Funds reimbursed to developers from development impact fee accounts must be utilized in accordance with applicable law and standard procedures for the use of County funds in construction or acquisition of capital facilities.
- 6. A development agreement may provide for the deferral of payment of development impact fees for a residential development provided that a development impact fee may not be paid later than 15 days after the issuance of the certificate of occupancy for that dwelling unit. The development agreement shall provide for the value of any deferred development impact fees to be supported by appropriate security.
- 7. Nothing in this section obligates the County to enter into any development agreement or to authorize any type of credit agreement or reimbursement plan permitted by this section.

19.03.040 Fee Waivers

A. If the County waives development impact fees for any development for any reason, the County shall reimburse the appropriate development impact fee account for the amount that was waived.

19.03.050 Appeals

- A. A development impact fee determination by the County may be appealed in accordance with the following procedures:
 - 1. An appeal shall be limited to disputes regarding the calculation of the development impact fees for a specific development or permit and calculation of EDUs for the development.
 - 2. An appeal shall be initiated in a format prescribed by the County.
 - 3. The County shall act upon the appeal within 30 calendar days of the filing of the appeal, and shall notify the applicant of its decision in writing.
 - 4. The applicant may appeal the decision to the Board of Supervisors within 14 calendar days of the County's decision.
 - 5. The Board of Supervisors shall hear and act upon the appeal within 45 calendar days of receipt of the appeal, and shall notify the applicant of its decision in writing.
 - 6. The Board's decision regarding the appeal is final.
 - 7. Building permits may be issued during the appeal process if the applicant pays the full impact fee calculated by the County as of the time of the appeal or provides the County with financial assurances in a form acceptable to the County. Upon final decision of the appeal, the fee shall be adjusted, and a refund paid if warranted. If the appeal is denied, and the applicant has provided the County with financial assurances, the applicant shall deliver the full amount of the impact fee to the County within 10 days of the Board's final decision on the appeal. If the applicant fails to deliver the full amount of the fees, the County may draw upon the financial assurance instruments as necessary to recover the full amount of the impact fees due from the applicant.

19.03.060 Refunds

A. A refund or partial refund will be paid to any current owner of property within the County who submits a written request to the County and demonstrates that:

- 1. The permit that triggered the collection of the development impact fee has expired or been voided before the commencement of the development for which the permit was issued and the development impact fees have not be expended or pledged for the repayment of financing or debt; or
- 2. The owner of the property or its predecessor paid a development impact fee and one of the following conditions exits:
 - a. The facility designed to serve the property has been constructed, has the capacity to serve the property and any development for which there is reserved capacity, and the service which was to be provided by that facility has not been provided to the property from that facility or from any other infrastructure.
 - b. After collecting the fee to construct a facility the County fails to complete construction of the facility within the time period identified in the IIP, as it may be amended, and the corresponding service is otherwise unavailable to the property from that facility or any other infrastructure.
 - c. Any part of a development impact fee is not spent within 10 years of the County's receipt of the development impact fee.
 - d. The development impact fee was calculated and collected for the construction of all or part of a specific facility serving the property and the actual construction costs for the facility are less than the estimated costs by 10% or more. In such an event, the current owner of the property is entitled to a refund for the difference between the amount of the development impact fee charged for and attributable to such construction costs and the amount the development impact fee would have been if the actual construction cost had been included in the fee report. The refund shall only relate to construction costs and shall not include any related design, administrative, or other costs.
- B. A refund of a development impact fee shall include any interest actually earned on the refunded portion of the fee by the County from the date of collection to the date of refund. All refunds shall be paid to the record owner of the property at the time the refund is issued.
- C. If a development impact fee was paid by a government entity, any refund shall be paid to that governmental entity.

19.03.070 Oversight and Reporting

A. The County shall file an annual report accounting for the collection and use of the fees for each service area and shall post the report on its website within 90 days of the end of each fiscal year. The report shall include the following:

- 1. The amount assessed by the county for each type of development fee.
- 2. The balance of each fund maintained for each type of development fee assessed at of the beginning and end of the fiscal year.
- 3. The amount of interest or other earnings on the monies in each fund as of the end of the fiscal year.
- 4. The amount of development impact fees used to repay:
 - a. Bonds issued by the County to pay the cost of a project in the IIP, including the amount needed to repay debt service and the time frames in which the debt service will be repaid.
 - b. Monies advanced by the County from funds other than impact fees to pay the cost of any project in the IIP, including the funding source and the terms under which the monies will be repaid to the County.
- 5. The amount of impact fees spent on and the physical location of each project.
- 6. The amount of development fees monies spent for each purpose other than a necessary public service or facility expansion that is the subject of a development fees assessment.
- B. The County shall provide a biennial, certified audit of the land use assumptions, infrastructure improvements plan, and development impact fees, which shall be conducted by qualified professional(s) who are not employees or officials of the county and who did not prepare the IIP. The audit shall review the progress of the IIP, collections and expenditures for each project in the IIP, and evaluate any inequities in implementing the IIP or imposing the impact fees. The County shall post the findings of the audit on the website and conduct a public hearing within 60 days of public notice.

19.03.080 Intergovernmental Agreements

A. Pursuant to A.R.S. § 11-1103, the County may enter into an intergovernmental agreement to accept or disburse development impact fees for construction of a project contained in the IIP, including an agreement with a city or special taxing district for the joint establishment of a needs assessment, the adoption of an IIP, and the imposition, collection and disbursement of development impact fees to implement a joint plan for development.

Appendix A: Roadway Development Impact Fee Schedule

Land Use Category	Unit	EDUs	Raw Fee	RTA Credit	Final Fee
Residential					
Single Family Detached	Dwell. Unit	1.0	\$8,592	\$69	\$8,523
Attached Residential/Multi-Family	Dwell. Unit	0.6	\$4,860	\$33	\$4,827
Senior Housing	Dwell. Unit	0.3	\$2,604	\$69	\$2,535
Assisted Living/Congregate Care	Dwell. Unit	0.2	\$1,562	\$17	\$1,545
Mobile Home Park	Dwell. Unit	0.5	\$3,992	\$27	\$3,965
Commercial/Retail					
Hotel/Motel	Rooms	0.5	\$2,290	\$21	\$2,269
Retail	1000 sf	1.2	\$5,472	\$30	\$5,442
Services	1000 sf	3.2	\$14,665	\$30	\$14,635
High-Traffic Retail/Services	1000 sf	5.9	\$27,406	\$41	\$27,365
Industrial	1000 sf	0.3	\$1,348	\$41	\$1,307
Hospital/Clinic	1000 sf	1.4	\$6,533	\$85	\$6,448
Recreational	1000 sf	2.6	\$12,882	\$39	\$12,843
Office					
General Office	1000 sf	1.0	\$4,635	\$41	\$4,594
Medical/Dental/Vet Office	1000 sf	3.0	\$13,826	\$41	\$13,785
Public Schools	1000 sf	0.5	\$2,540	\$44	\$2,496
Charter/Private Schools	1000 sf	1.1	\$5,648	\$44	\$5,604

^{1.} Raw fees are the development fees before RTA credits are applied.

^{2.} RTA credits were calculated based on estimated construction costs. Details of the calculations are in the IIP.

^{3.} Recommended fees are the raw fees after applying the RTA credits.

Section 2. This Ordinance is effective of	on January 1, 2021.
PASSED AND ADOPTED by the Boar August, 2020.	rd of Supervisors, Pima County, Arizona, this 17th day of
	Chairman, Pima County Board of Supervisors
ATTEST:	
Clerk of the Board	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
Fell de	
Deputy County Attorney	

Pima County, Arizona Impact Fee Update

Street Facilities

Development Fee Study

Final Public Report

Prepared by

Prepared for





333 East Wetmore Road, Suite 450
Tucson, AZ 85705

201 North Stone Avenue Tucson, AZ 85701

August 17, 2020

Pima County Board of Supervisors

Ally Miller - District 1

Ramon Valadez – District 2

Sharon Bronson - District 3

Steve Christy - District 4

Betty Villegas – District 5

Key Staff

Ana Olivares, P.E., Transportation Director
Kathryn Skinner, P.E., Transportation Deputy Director
Yves Khawam, PhD, Assistant County Administrator for Public Works
Jonathan Crowe, Principal Planner

Project Consultants

Psomas
333 East Wetmore Road, Suite 450
Tucson, AZ 85705
520-292-2300
Prime Consultant – All Tasks

Curtis Lueck & Associates 5640 West Four Barrel Court Tucson, AZ 85743

Advisor – All Tasks

TABLE OF CONTENTS

1. Introduction	1
2. DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE CATEGORIES	3
3. STREET FACILITIES AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE	TO DEVELOPMENT4
4. DEVELOPMENT FEES FOR STREET FACLITIES	9
4.1. TRAVEL DEMAND CALCULATION	9
4.2. FEE CALCULATION	9
APPENDIX	
LIST OF PREPARERS	
FEE CALCULATION	
UPDATED EDU TABLE	
LIST OF E	EXHIBITS
Exhibit 1. Street Facilities Benefit Areas	
Exhibit 2. Total Roadway Needs and Cost Attribut	
Exhibit 3. Necessary Streets Facilities	
Exhibit 4: Recommended Maximum Roadway Dev	/elopment Fee11

1. INTRODUCTION

The Street Facilities Development Impact Fee in unincorporated Pima County is assessed for new developments to offset some of the infrastructure costs associated with growth. The County currently charges development impact fees for street facilities. To continue assessing and collecting fees, the County must update its program to comply with the new state statute ARS §11-1102. The update of the Street Facilities Development Impact Fee program includes preparation of new development impact fee studies, project lists, fee schedules, and county ordinance.

The statute prescribes in detail development fee assessment procedures and programs and limits the types of "necessary public services" which the fees can fund. A county must develop two preliminary products prior to calculating the fees for each service category: a set of land use assumptions and an infrastructure improvements plan (IIP). These documents were presented for public hearing on January 14, 2020 and adopted by the County Board of Supervisors on February 18, 2020.

The Land Use Assumptions Report and Street Facilities Infrastructure Improvements Plan (Street Facilities IIP) define seven service areas for street improvements, shown in Exhibit 1.

This Development Fee Report defines land use categories subject to the fee and identifies the maximum recommended fees to be collected to fund the Street Facilities IIP.

NORTH NORTHWEST REDINGTON RD NORTHEAST CENTRAL WEST SOUTHEAST SOUTH

Exhibit 1. Street Facilities Benefit Areas

2. DEVELOPMENT IMPACT FEE CATEGORIES

Roadway development impact fees are assessed based on a development's size and type. Pima County defines eight major land use categories: residential, commercial/retail, industrial, hospital/clinic, recreational, office, public schools, and charter/private schools with subcategories for residential, retail/services, and office (Exhibit 2). Category definitions are based on commonly used land use descriptions in the Institute of Transportation Engineers' *Trip Generation Manual* (ITE).

Exhibit 2. Land Use Categories and Descriptions

Category	Description	ITE Code
Single Family Residential (SFR)	Single family homes not age-restricted, not mobile homes	210
Multi-Family Residential	Apartments and townhomes	220
Senior Housing (Residential)	Age-restricted housing, single family detached and attached/multi-family units	251
Assisted Living/ Congregate Care	Nursing homes, group homes, and housing with centralized amenities and/or some level of medical services or medical care	253
Mobile Home Park	Mobile home and mobile home parks	240
Hotel/Motel	Hotels, motels, recreational vehicle parks, temporary lodging	310,320
Retail	General commercial/retail including grocery, big box, home improvement/superstores, factory outlets, discount clubs, nurseries, automobile sales, pharmacies ¹	820, 823
Services	Restaurants, auto repair centers, car washes, day cares, banks ²	932, 942
High-Traffic Retail/Services	Fast food, coffee shops, gas stations, convenience stores and other similar high traffic generators	853, 930, 934
Industrial	All industrial uses, manufacturing, warehouses, and self-storage facilities (i.e. mini-warehouses)	110, 130, 140, 150, 151
Hospital/Clinic	Hospitals, clinics, labs, pharmacies, veterinary hospitals/clinics	610, 620
Recreational	Athletic, health, fitness, racquet, tennis clubs	492
General Office	All non-medical/dental/veterinarian offices	710
Medical/Dental/ Vet Office	Medical, dental, and veterinarian offices	720
Public Schools	Public schools, all grades	520, 530
Charter/Private Schools	Charter/private schools, all grades	534, 536, 537

¹ The IIP listed pharmacies in the High-Traffic Retail/Services category

² The IIP listed banks in the High-Traffic Retail/Services category

3. STREET FACILITIES AND COSTS ATTRIBUTABLE TO DEVELOPMENT

The Streets Facilities IIP included a list titled "Necessary Streets Facilities" (Exhibit 2) of 28 projects to be partially funded with impact fees between 2020 and 2029. That exhibit, also included in the following pages as Exhibit 4, includes projects in each of the seven service (or benefit) areas, the total cost of improvements, and the cost attributable to new development. A summary of the IIP projects by service area and amount attributable to development is shown below in Exhibit 3. The total cost of improvements is \$201,947,891. Of that total, a little less than half, or \$93,911,982, is attributable to new development. The remainder is not attributable to new development and will be funded through other sources such as RTA, federal or local funds.

Exhibit 3. Total Roadway Needs and Cost Attributable to Development

Service Area	otal Roadway eds 2020-2029	 st Attributable to Development	% of total
Central	\$ 20,758,289	\$ 11,309,791	54%
Southeast	\$ 68,354,736	\$ 25,344,769	37%
North	\$ 42,721,694	\$ 16,725,793	39%
Northeast	\$ 26,078,238	\$ 8,514,045	33%
Northwest	\$ 8,600,000	\$ 3,594,341	42%
South	\$ 11,415,304	\$ 5,383,991	47%
West	\$ 24,019,630	\$ 23,039,251	96%
TOTAL	\$ 201,947,891	\$ 93,911,982	47%

The amount attributable to new development varies by service area depending on how much new growth is anticipated relative to total roadway needs. For example, nearly all (96%) of the projects in the West service area will be funded by new growth because that area is anticipated to grow substantially during the next 10 years and will require new capacity. The other service areas are projected to have less growth relative to existing roadway capacity needs; therefore, the percentage of the project cost which is attributable to new development is lower (between 33% and 54%). Overall, the total percent of roadway capacity needs in the IIP attributable to new development is 47%. Additional detail about the development of the total costs and the cost attributable to development can be found in the Street Facilities IIP.

Exhibit 4. Necessary Streets Facilities

Service Area	Project No.	Project	Lim	nits	Project Description	# of Lanes	Length /Units	Total Cost	% Used by Development	Cost Attributable to Development
	1	Valencia Road	0.9 mi east of Kolb Road	0.8 mi west of Old Vail Road	Widening	6	0.7	\$12,600,000	25%	\$3,151,503
	2	Swan Road/Los Reales Road	N/A	N/A	Intersection Improvements	N/A	1.0	\$2,000,000	100%	\$2,000,000
CENTRAL	3	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	17	\$733,771	100%	\$733,771
	4	Country Club Road	I-10	Valencia Road	ROW Purchase	N/A	N/A	\$5,424,518	100%	\$5,424,518
				ea Total	\$20,758,289	N/A	\$11,309,791			
	5	Houghton Road	0.2 mi south of Golf Links Road	Escalante Road	Widening	6	0.8	\$14,400,000	29%	\$4,162,206
\ST	6	Valencia Road	Houghton Road	Old Spanish Trail	New Construction	2	2.6	\$16,000,000	41%	\$6,559,309
SOUTHEAST	7	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	2	\$86,326	100%	\$86,326
5	8	Houghton Road	I-10	Andrada Polytech	Widening	4	2.9	\$34,800,000	33%	\$11,468,518
	9	Colossal Cave Road - 2 Locations	Mary Ann Cleveland Way	Camino Loma Alta	Turn Lanes/ Intersection Improvements	N/A	2	\$3,068,410	100%	\$3,068,410
				S	\$68,354,736	N/A	\$25,344,769			

Exhibit 4 (cont'd). Necessary Street Facilities

Service Area	Project No.	Project	Limits		Project Description	# of Lanes	Length /Units	Total Cost	% Used by Development	Cost Attributable to Development
	10	Silverbell Road	Sunset Road Benjamen Road	Sunset Dunes Abington Road	Widening	3	2.0	\$18,000,000	49%	\$6,400,000
	11	Orange Grove Road	La Cañada Drive	Oracle Rd	Widening	4	0.9	\$10,800,000	43%	\$4,680,931
NORTH	12	Sunset Road	I-10	River Road	New Construction	3	0.3	\$11,381,500	70%	\$3,104,669
Z	13	Linda Vista Road - 3 Locations	Hartman Road	Camino de Oeste	Turn Lanes	N/A	3	\$900,000	100%	\$900,000
	14	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	38	\$1,640,194	100%	\$1,640,194
				a Total	\$42,721,694	N/A	\$16,725,793			
	15	1st Avenue	Orange Grove Road	Ina Road	Widening	4	4 1.0 \$6,556,000		6%	\$361,622
	16	Houghton Road	Speedway Boulevard	Drachman Street	Widening	4	0.3	\$9,000,000	13%	\$1,173,691
ST	17	Houghton Road/ Catalina Highway	N/A	N/A	Intersection Improvements	N/A	1	\$2,000,000	100%	\$2,000,000
NORTHEAST	18	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	26	\$1,122,238	100%	\$1,122,238
Ž	19	Tanque Verde Road/Soldier Trail	N/A	N/A	Intersection Improvements	N/A	1	\$2,000,000	100%	\$2,000,000
	20	Orange Grove Road	1st Avenue	Camino de Michael	Widening	4	0.45	\$5,400,000	34%	\$1,856,494
				N	\$26,078,238	N/A	\$8,514,045			

Exhibit 4 (cont'd). Necessary Street Facilities

Service Area	Project No.	Project	Lim	nits	Project Description	# of Lanes	Length /Units	Total Cost	% Used by Development	Cost Attributable to Development
WEST	21	Sandario Road/Picture Rocks Road	N/A	N/A	Intersection Improvements	N/A	1	\$2,000,000	100%	\$2,000,000
NORTHWEST	22	Twin Peaks Road	Twin Peaks Road	Saguaro Highlands	Widening	4	0.55	\$6,600,000	35%	\$1,594,341
					North Serv	ice Are	a Total	\$8,600,000	N/A	\$3,594,341
Н	23	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	8	\$345,304	100%	\$345,304
SOUTH	24	Andrada Road	West Access for Hook M	1 mile west of Houghton	New Construction	2	1.8	\$11,070,000	46%	\$5,038,687
					South Serv	ice Are	a Total	\$11,415,304	N/A	\$5,383,991
	25	ITS Improvements	N/A	N/A	Signal Coordination/ Timing	N/A	10	\$431,630	100%	\$431,630
WEST	26	Valencia Road	Mission Road	1/4 mi W of Cardinal Ave	Widening	6	1.0	\$18,000,000	100%	\$18,000,000
M	27	Camino Verde/Valencia Road	N/A	N/A	Intersection Improvements	N/A	1	\$500,000	100%	\$500,000
	28	Irvington Road	Sunset Boulevard	Ajo Way	New Construction	2	0.8	\$5,088,000	81%	\$4,107,621
					West Serv	ice Are	a Total	\$24,019,630	N/A	\$23,039,251
						•	TOTALS	\$201,947,891	TOTAL ATT. TO DEVELOPMENT	\$93,911,982

4. DEVELOPMENT FEES FOR STREET FACILITIES

Roadway development impact fees are based on the cost to provide roadway capacity for new development. The Infrastructure Improvements Plan (IIP) identified the roadway improvements that will be needed as a result of anticipated development over the next ten years. The IIP also identified the travel demand and the equivalent demand per unit (EDU) for each land use type. The methodology for calculating travel demand, impact fees, and EDU is described in more detail below.

4.1. TRAVEL DEMAND CALCULATION

Several factors are used to calculate fees, including the amount of traffic generated by a residential unit or non-residential development (trip generation), the percent of primary trips, the distance traveled on the roadway network (impact/consumption), and the cost to provide the roadway capacity needed to serve that development/land use. The explanation of these variables and associated references can be found in the Appendix. The travel demand for a single family residential (SFR) unit is shown in the calculation below:

Vehicle-Miles Traveled per Dwelling Unit

Trips per Dwelling Unit (ITE Trip Generation) = 0.99 trips in peak hour

Trip Length (US Census, N.H.T.S.) = 10.7 miles

Vehicle-Miles Traveled (VMT) in peak hour = 0.99 x 10.7 miles = 10.6 miles

Travel in Unincorporated Pima County = 50% x 10.6 miles = 5.3 miles

Travel on Arterial Roads Only = 80% x 5.3 miles = 4.2 miles

4.2. FEE CALCULATION

The impact fee for a single family dwelling unit is calculated by multiplying the number of vehicle miles travelled (VMT) as calculated above by the cost to construct one unit of roadway capacity (one lane mile). The roadway unit cost is calculated by dividing the cost per lane mile of newly constructed roadway (\$3.0M) by the hourly vehicle capacity per lane mile (960 vehicles per hour). Adjustments are made to account for non-residential and multi-purpose trips and to allow credits for taxes paid toward those roadway improvements included in the Regional Transportation Authority (RTA) plan. A summary of the fee calculation for one SFR unit is shown below:

Single Family Residential Fee Calculation

Cost per lane mile of capacity = \$3,000,000

Capacity per lane mile (peak hour) = 960 vehicles per hour (vph)

Cost per vehicle miles travelled (\$3,000,000/960vph) = \$3,125/vph

Base fee (4.2 miles x \$3,125) = \$13,218

Residential Factor (accounts for non-residential trips) = 65%

Raw fee (base fee x residential factor) = \$8,592

Fee credits for RTA taxed paid = \$69

Net Fee per residential unit (raw fee – RTA credit) = \$8,523

The term "Equivalent Demand per Unit (EDU)" is a measure of demand for street infrastructure crated by a typical single family residence (SFR). The average VMT created by one SFR on the arterial network is considered to be one EDU. The demand for roadway improvements for other land uses is the ratio of its demand compared to that of an SFR, expressed in EDUs. The EDUs were established in the IIP; however, two minor changes have been made to the retail and high-traffic retail/services categories as indicated in Exhibit 2. The updated EDU table is included in the Appendix, and the changes are noted. It was estimated that due to the nature of County retail, a higher percentage of trips are pass-by than what was originally assumed. For high-traffic retail/services, the removal of drive-thru banks and pharmacies with drive-thrus resulted in a change in the average trip generation for the overall category.

Non-residential fees (as well as residential fees for non-SFR units) are calculated in the same manner as SFR fees, starting with the base fee calculated in the SFR fee calculation (4.2 VMT x \$3,125 cost for one lane mile for one VMT) and then multiplying that product by the applicable EDU. The example below is for retail development, and one retail unit is 1,000 square feet.

Retail Fee Calculation (per 1,000 sq. ft.)

Base fee (4.2 miles x \$3,125) = \$13,218

Equivalent Demand per Unit (per 1,000 sq. ft.) = 1.2

Factored Base Fee (base fee x EDU) = \$15,636

Non-Residential Factor (65% are residential trips) = 35%

Raw fee (base fee x non-residential factor) = \$5,472

Fee credits for RTA taxes paid = \$30

Net Fee per Retail Unit (raw fee – RTA credit) = \$5,442

Other fees are calculated similarly and are summarized in Exhibit 4, which defines the recommended maximum base fee for each land use. The fees are calculated in proportion to the relative EDU factors and RTA credits, which are detailed in the IIP. The fees are assessed per number of dwelling units for residential uses, per room for hotels, and per 1,000 square feet of gross building area for all other non-residential uses.

Exhibit 4: Recommended Maximum Roadway Development Fee

Land Use Category	Unit	EDUs	Raw Fee*	RTA Credit**	Recommended Fee***	
Residential		•				
Single Family Detached	Dwell. Unit	1.0	\$ 8,592	\$ 69	\$ 8,523	
Attached Residential/Multi- Family	Dwell. Unit	0.6	\$ 4,860	\$ 33	\$ 4,827	
Senior Housing	Dwell. Unit	0.3	\$ 2,604	\$ 69	\$ 2,535	
Assisted Living/Congregate Care	Dwell. Unit	0.2	\$ 1,562	\$ 17	\$ 1,545	
Mobile Home Park	Dwell. Unit	0.5	\$ 3,992	\$ 27	\$ 3,965	
Commercial/Retail						
Hotel/Motel	Rooms	0.5	\$ 2,290	\$ 21	\$ 2,269	
Retail	1000 sf	1.2	\$ 5,472	\$ 30	\$ 5,442	
Services	1000 sf	3.2	\$ 14,665	\$ 30	\$ 14,635	
High-Traffic Retail/Services	1000 sf	5.9	\$ 27,406	\$ 41	\$ 27,365	
Industrial	1000 sf	0.3	\$ 1,348	\$ 41	\$ 1,307	
Hospital/Clinic	1000 sf	1.4	\$ 6,533	\$ 85	\$ 6,448	
Recreational	1000 sf	2.6	\$ 12,882	\$ 39	\$ 12,843	
Office						
General Office	1000 sf	1.0	\$ 4,635	\$ 41	\$ 4,594	
Medical/Dental/Vet Office	1000 sf	3.0	\$ 13,826	\$ 41	\$ 13,785	
Public Schools	1000 sf	0.5	\$ 2,540	\$ 44	\$ 2,496	
Charter/Private Schools	1000 sf	1.1	\$ 5,648	\$ 44	\$ 5,604	

^{*}Raw fees are the development fees before RTA credits are applied.

^{**} RTA credits were calculated based on estimated construction costs. Details of the calculations are in the IIP.

^{***}Recommended fees are the raw fees after applying the RTA credits

APPENDIX

- List of Preparers
- Fee Calculation
- Updated EDU Table

List of Preparers

Staff Participants

Ana Olivares, P.E., Transportation Director
Kathryn Skinner, P.E., Transportation Deputy Director
Yves Khawam, PhD, Assistant County Administrator for Public Works
Jonathan Crowe, Principal Planner

Psomas

Alejandro Angel, PhD, P.E., PTOE, RSP, ENV SP Darlene Danehy Yellowhair, P.E., PTOE, RSP, ENV SP

Curtis Lueck & Associates

Curtis C. Lueck, P.E., Ph.D.

Fee Calculation

	Type of Calculation	Proposed	Data Source/Calculation
1	Number of Trips per DU in Peak Hr	0.99	ITE Trip Generation Manual, 10th Edition
2	Trip Length	10.7	2017 NHTS - Table 5b. Use "Original" 2017 values to account for changes in methodology https://nhts.ornl.gov/assets/2017_nhts_summary_travel_trends.pdf
3	Peak Hr VMT/DU	10.6	=1*2
Ū	% travel in Unincorporated PC	50%	Estimated based on location of trip generators and destinations within the County and adjacent jurisdictions
5	% of travel on Arterials	80%	AASHTO
6	% of travel on Unincorporated PC Arterials	40%	=4*5
7	Peak Hr VMT/DU in PC Arterials	4.2	=3*6
8	Cost per Ln-Mi	\$ 3,000,000	IIP Appendix
9	Peak Hr Capacity/Ln	960	=15,390 (Daily capacity veh/day)*.11 (% travel in peak hour)*55% (% travel in peak direction)
10	\$/VMT	3,125	=8/9
11	Base Fee	\$ 13,218	=7*10
12	Residential/Non Residential Factor	65%	Factor used to ensure trips are not double counted (since each trip has two ends). Standard adjustment would be 50/50 splits between residential and non-residential, but because non-residential include a significant percentage of non-primary (i.e. pass-by) trips, a higher percentage of trip ends are associated with residential uses.
13	Raw Fee (Residential)	\$ 8,592	=11*12
14	Credits/offsets (RTA)*	\$ 69	Exhibit 10 in IIP
15	Credits/offsets (HURF)	\$ -	The County uses HURF monies for maintenance. Therefore, no credit is given because Impact Fees cannot go to maintenance (and therefore, there is no double-charging).
			F
16	Net Fee (Residential)	\$ 8,523	=13-14-15

^{*}Calculated RTA credit for single family residential - credit varies by use

Updated EDU Table

Land Use Category	Unit	% Primary Trips	Peak Hour Rate per Unit	Average Trip Length (mi)	% Travel within Unincorporated PC	% Travel on Arterials	% Travel Demand on PC Arterial Network	Vehicle Miles of Travel Demand per Unit - Peak Hour	Representative ITE Category	Proposed EDUs
Residential										
Single Family Detached	Dwelling Unit			10.7	50%	80%	40%	4.2	210	1.0
Attached Residential/Multi-Family	Dwelling Unit	100%	0.56	10.7	50%	80%	40%	2.4	220	0.6
Senior Housing	Dwelling Unit	100%	0.30	10.7	50%	80%	40%	1.3	251	0.3
Assisted Living/Congregate Care	Dwelling Unit	100%	0.18	10.7	50%	80%	40%	0.8	253	0.2
Mobile Home Park	Dwelling Unit	100%	0.46	10.7	50%	80%	40%	2.0	240	0.5
Commercial/Retail										
Hotel/Motel	Rooms	100%		10.7	50%	80%	40%	2.1	310, 320	0.5
Retail	1000 sf	52%	3.05	7.9	50%	80%	40%	5.0	820, 823	1.2
Services	1000 sf	66%	6.44	7.9	50%	80%	40%	13.4	932, 942	3.2
High-Traffic Retail/Services	1000 sf	23%	34.54	7.9	50%	80%	40%	25.1	853, 930, 934	5.9
Industrial	1000 sf	70%	0.41	10.7	50%	80%	40%	1.2	110, 130, 140, 150, 151	0.3
Hospital/Clinic	1000 sf	60%	2.33	10.7	50%	80%	40%	6.0	610, 630	1.4
nospital/Cillic	1000 \$1	00%	2.33	10.7	30%	80%	40%		010, 050	1.4
Recreational	1000 sf	75%	3.45	11.4	50%	80%	40%	11.8	492	2.8
Office										
General Office	1000 sf	75%	1.16	12.2	50%	80%	40%	4.2	710	1.0
Medical/Dental/Vet Office	1000 sf	75%	3.46	12.2	50%	80%	40%	12.7	720	3.0
Dublic Cab and	1000 of	250/	5 4 7	4.5	F00/	000/	400/	2.2	F20 F20	0.5
Public Schools Charter (Private Schools	1000 sf	25%	5.17	4.5	50%	80%	40%	2.3	520, 530	0.5
Charter/Private Schools	1000 sf	25%	7.39	7.0	50%	80%	40%	5.2	534, 536, 537	1.2

Roadway Development Impact Fees, Phase-In Schedule, August 17, 2020

	Land Use Category (New)	Current Fee Rate 2020	Phase 1 Jan 2021 - June 2021	Phase 2 July 2021 - June 2022	Phase 3 July 2022 - June 2023	Phase 4 July 2023 - June 2024
Residential	Single Family Detached	\$6,613	\$6,613	\$6,818	\$7,671	\$8,523
	Attached Residential/Multi-Family	\$4,960	\$4,960	\$4,916	\$4,871	\$4,827
	Senior Housing	\$4,332	\$3,704	\$3,314	\$2,924	\$2,535
	Assisted Living/Nursing/Congregate Care	\$905	\$323	\$731	\$1,138	\$1,545
	Mobile Home Park	\$608	\$608	\$1,727	\$2,846	\$3,965
Retail	Hotel/Motel	\$1,638	\$1,638	\$1,849	\$2,059	\$2,269
	Retail	\$5,171	\$2,936	\$3,771	\$4,607	\$5,442
	Services	\$6,908	\$4,602	\$7,946	\$11,290	\$14,635
	High-Traffic Retail and Services	\$18,148	\$5,901	\$13,056	\$20,210	\$27,365
Other	General Office	\$2,197	\$2,197	\$2,996	\$3,795	\$4,594
	Medical/Dental/Vet Office	\$5,252	\$5,252	\$8,096	\$10,941	\$13,785
	Industrial	\$1,980	\$898	\$1,034	\$1,170	\$1,307
	Hospital/Clinic	\$4,706	\$1,657	\$3,254	\$4,851	\$6,448
	Recreational	\$12,198	\$3,954	\$6,917	\$9,880	\$12,843
	Public Schools	\$0	\$2,496	\$2,496	\$2,496	\$2,496
	Charter/Private Schools	\$0	\$5,604	\$5,604	\$5,604	\$5,604