From:Carla BlackwellSent:Tuesday, March 24, 2015 3:09 PMTo:Jonathan Crowe; Janet EmelSubject:FW: Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 02:56 PM Submission Notification

From: notification@pima.gov [mailto:notification@pima.gov] Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2015 2:57 PM To: Carla Blackwell Subject: Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 02:56 PM Submission Notification

Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 02:56 PM was submitted by Guest on 3/24/2015 2:56:34 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Value

First Name Robert

Name

Last Name Martin

Email r.martin@smithmartinautobody.com

Address 3747 S. palo Verde

City Tucson

State AZ

Zipcode 85713

Message Subject Pima County Comprehensive Plan

I own & operate Smith-Martin Autobody located at 3747 S. Palo Verde (NE corner of Ajo & Palo Verde). I have grave concerns regarding the proposed increase of 'right-of-way'. My building does not have the set-backs that later construction(s) in our area enjoy. Any increase to the right of way on the east side of Palo Verde (from Ajo north to the Overpass) would pose a major safety concern for both my customers & my employees. I would suggest re-looking at the west side of Palo Verde for this increase in right-of-way. The newer constructions on that side of the road have much greater set-backs with which to work. Thanks You, Rob Martin Smith-Martin Autobody

Response requested No

Referred Page http://webcms.pima.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=35831

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jonathan Crowe Monday, March 16, 2015 4:07 PM

Andrada Road Major Street plans

Hello Mr. Ewer,

Regarding the notification you received, Pima County is proposing to designate Andrada Road as a "major street" with a "future" right of way of 150 feet. Andrada Road currently has 120 feet of right of way in front of your property, so if and when the county ever decides to widen Andrada Road to 4 lanes, it would probably look to expand the right of way 30 feet to the north, on state land, where it is vacant.

If the current proposal is approved, the change in future right of way would mean that the county would require new houses or development along Andrada Road to be set back from the centerline of Andrada Road by 105 feet. This wouldn't affect existing houses at all, but it would apply to a new house/garage/structure. The county has no plans to widen Andrada Road in the next 20 years, but this plan change is intended to reserve land for the future in the event that Andrada Road is widened. Let me know if you have any further questions and thanks for inquiring.

Jonathan Crowe, AICP Principal Planner Pima County DOT 201 N. Stone Ave, 5th Fl Tucson, AZ 85701 520-724-6383 Jonathan.Crowe@pima.gov

From: Janet Emel Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 3:08 PM To: Jonathan Crowe Subject: FW: Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-16 02:48 PM Submission Notification

Hi Jonathan, I am forwarding you a customer's questions that came through the website. The customer would like to get a response. Thanks.

Janet Emel - Senior Planner Pima County Development Services Department Planning Division (520) 724-9000

Help us plan Pima County's future. Join the conversation at www.pimaprospers.com

From: notification@pima.gov [mailto:notification@pima.gov] Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 2:49 PM To: Janet Emel Subject: Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-16 02:48 PM Submission Notification Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-16 02:48 PM was submitted by Guest on 3/16/2015 2:48:36 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name

Value

First Name Paul Last Name Ewer

Email

Address 9900 E Andrada Rd

City Vail

State AZ

Zipcode 85641

Message Subject Case # Co14-14-02

Comment What is the purpose of the New Major Route from West of Wilmot down Andrada road to Wentworth Rd? What does 150' ROW mean?

Response requested Yes

Referred Page http://webcms.pima.gov/government/pima_prospers/

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Subject:	

Jonathan Crowe Tuesday, March 24, 2015 11:40 AM

Tanque Verde Loop Road

Hello Ms. Wustner,

Thank you for contacting Pima County. Pima County has no plans to widen Tanque Verde Loop Road in the future, nor to build a bridge over the wash, nor to cut down any trees. Tanque Verde Loop Road is indeed a beautiful and scenic road. Pima County is simply proposing to designate this road as a major street because it functions today as a major street. There is already 100 feet of county roadway right-of-way adjacent to your house, so even if the county ever did decide to put in bike lanes, or a walking path, or even a turn lane, there would be no need to acquire any additional property from you or your immediate neighbors to the north and south of your property. But as I said, the county has no plans for any changes to this roadway. We are simply updating our Major Streets Plan.

Please feel free to call me if you have questions or want to discuss further. Thank-you.

Jonathan Crowe, AICP Principal Planner Pima County DOT 201 N. Stone Ave, 5th Fl Tucson, AZ 85701 520-724-6383 Jonathan.Crowe@pima.gov

Begin forwarded message:

From: <<u>notification@pima.gov</u>> Date: March 24, 2015, 10:11:55 AM MST To: <<u>arlan.colton@pima.gov</u>> Subject: Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 10:11 AM Submission Notification Reply-To: <

Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 10:11 AM was submitted by Guest on 3/24/2015 10:11:55 AM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

1

Name

Value

First Name Mary

Last Name Wustner

Email

Address 1841 N Placita Del Lenador City Tucson State AZ

Zipcode 85749

Message Subject Major Streets & Scenic Route Plan Case # co14-14-02

I am writing in concern of your proposed increased setbacks for Tanque Verde Loop Road- Case # co14-14-02, Major Streets & Scenic Route Plan. I along with the majority the neighborhood are extremely concerned that the County would consider such a drastic change to one of the few remaining rural, scenic roads in the Northeast area of Tucson. Long considered an idyllic and tree lined, Riparian location, people come from all over t world to bird watch, ride horses and enjoy the very unique and tranquil location. To mak

Comment such a drastic change by widening the road by such a large amount, would require the elimination of trees in a Riparian area and drastically effect the overall atmosphere. Clearly the people proposing this measure have never spent time at the location or appreciated the very uniqueness and beauty it contributes in Tucson. As we continue to grow our County we understand it is important to look to growth opportunities to suppor our infrastructure, however eliminating some of our rare Tucson locales should be prevented. Lets find a way to preserve some of Tucson's distinctive areas.

Response requested Yes

Referred Page <u>http://webcms.pima.gov/cms/one.aspx?pageId=35831</u>

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jonathan Crowe Tuesday, March 24, 2015 11:55 AM

Los Reales Rd

Hello Ms. Whitaker,

Thank you for contacting Pima County. Despite what was shown on the map you received, Pima County has no plans to widen Los Reales Road in the future. In fact, Pima County does not believe this roadway would ever need to be widened to 4 lanes and should remain 2 lanes wide for the foreseeable future. The current Los Reales Road right of way is currently 150 feet wide. We believe that only 90 feet of right of way would be sufficient for any future changes to Los Reales Road, if they ever occur. This means that no properties adjacent to Los Reales Road would be affected, including yours. One of your neighbors also recommended to me that the speed limit should be reduced, so I've requested our traffic engineers to conduct a speed study and I would expect that they will likely recommend lowing the speed limit.

Please feel free to call me if you have questions or want to discuss further. Thank-you.

Jonathan Crowe, AICP Principal Planner Pima County DOT 201 N. Stone Ave, 5th Fl Tucson, AZ 85701 520-724-6383 Jonathan.Crowe@pima.gov

Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-22 07:51 PM was submitted by Guest on 3/22/2015 7:51:06 PM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name

Value

First Name Cindy Last Name Whitaker

Email

Address 2661 W. Los Reales Rd.

City Tucson

State AZ

Zipcode 85746

Message Subject Comments on major streets and scenic routes plan

These comments are in reference to Case Number: C014-14-02, Case Name: Major Streets and Scenic Route Plan Update, Tax Numbers: Multiple. I was recently notified that **Comment** the county has proposed changes, if approved, to the major street right-of-way on W. Los Reales Rd. I have lived on Los Reales for over 35 years, and although I am not opposed to change, the proposed changes will affect my ability to get in and out of my driveway

safely. The speed limit is 45 mph and it is often dangerous trying to turn into my property now as it is. With the proposed changes, it appears the new alignment will be right against my property line, making an already dangerous situation even more concerning. There is roughly 15 to 20 feet from my property line to the roadway. I am a 63 year old disabled woman and I have invested significant amounts of money putting in a culvert and having it asphalted due to erosion from rain. If these changes are approved, what plans do you have for diverting the rain? I am concerned my property will become flooded without a plan to handle this flow of water. The last widening project took 3 feet of that asphalt already; of which I said nothing about. I have also invested in electric gates that I purposely had inset into my property so that I could pull in without the rear of my vehicle being in the lane of traffic. With this proposal, I am concerned I will no longer be able to stay out of that lane while in my driveway. There is probably 50-80 feet of clear land on the north side of Los Reales that I believe would more than satisfy your proposal needs. In addition, the properties on the north side of Los Reales, from Westover to Hildreth, actually have driveways that exit onto those side streets so they will not be effected by the change as drastically as the south side. We have no option but to enter and exit our property off of Los Reales. Should this proposal be approved, can I expect to be reimbursed for the culvert and asphalt? As well, if necessary, will I be compensated for having to move my electric gates as it will definitely be a safety issue. Another concern would be the volume of traffic you will be inviting, not to mention the noise of this additional traffic. The amount of traffic is already heavy and many people love to speed down this stretch of road as it is not monitored very closely by law enforcement since it is such a short distance between Mission and Cardinal. Thank you for your time and consideration of this issue.

Response requested Yes

Referred Page <u>https://webcms.pima.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=42392</u>

From: Sent: To: Subject: Jonathan Crowe Tuesday, March 24, 2015 12:40 PM

Sanders Road

Hello Mr. Savory,

Thank you for contacting Pima County. As described in the letter you received, the county is proposing to designate Sanders Road as a major street from Orange Grove Road to Manville Road with a future roadway right of way of 80 feet. This proposal is simply to plan for future development along Sanders Road and allow for planning if any improvements on Sanders Road occur in the future. The county has no current plans to widen Sanders Road or to acquire additional right of way. The proposal, if approved, means that the setback for any future buildings along this section of Sanders Road would be 70 feet from the roadway centerline. This would affect any future building that you plan to do on the eastern edge of your property closer to Sanders Road.

You've raised a number of questions in your email that are too lengthy and complex to respond to by email and are better discussed by phone. I encourage you to call me and we can discuss each question individually.

Thank-you.

Jonathan Crowe, AICP Principal Planner Pima County DOT 201 N. Stone Ave, 5th Fi Tucson, AZ 85701 520-724-6383 Jonathan.Crowe@pima.gov

Pima Prospers Feedback Form 2015-03-24 11:14 AM was submitted by Guest on 3/24/2015 11:14:39 AM (GMT-07:00) US/Arizona

Name

Value

First Name mark

Last Name savory

Email

Address 6105 n. sanders rd.

City tucson

State AZ

Zipcode 85743

Message Subject major streets & scenic routes plan

case number: CO14-14-002P/Z property: 213121730 New major route on Sanders Rd. two miles long. Where is the rest of the plan for joining into other major routes, Picture Rocks **Comment** and Twin Peaks? What happens going south of Manville into the Brawley wash area and the National Park and Monument boundaries? Where is Interstate 11 (Interstate 10 / Tucson bypass) in relation to our major route upgrade on Sanders Rd.? Why is the CAP

canal right of way (ROW) not being used as originally planned for in the planning phase of the CAP canal? Where is the old divided parkway plan now? The county had the opportunity to use Sanders Rd. as the underground route for the CAP canal and leaving a major roadway at that time over the underground pipe. This would have cost the taxpayers a lot less to do then than what your plans are now. ROW doubled on our east edge of property, moving road closer to our site built home. Pima County approved our site plan and septic plan in 1980. House could not be moved farther away from road due to setback limits on two washes running through property from Sanders Rd. to west end of property. We have a variance on the north side of our house to the wash of 12 feet instead of the 25 foot requirement then. Value of our property is lower due to amount of water runoff through our yard, unable to build or grow much on the west half of parcel. Property has a permanent devaluation due to loss of original planned usage and over 8" sand deposited in last couple of years. The last major road improvement on Sanders Rd. was paving from Rudasill Rd. to Orange Grove Rd. The affect from the paving was immediate the next time it rained. Our property is now the focal point of several washes south of us, rerouted by the paving work to our main wash. The dips south of us where part of the original Sanders Rd. prior to paving is now deleted. All of these deleted dips moved all of the flow to our main wash. The county has done nothing since to alleviate this problem other than decreased property values. The new paving was removed in front of our house in the first year after paving. The dip was dug deeper to remove the backup in our street in our front yard; we only need four more inches of water before the east part of our yard starts eroding away. The small wash we started with in 1980 has doubled in width and depth, what plans would the county have for future road development on our street? We will loose more property on the east side of our house and more deposits on the west end of our property. Our back door is 12 feet from this larger wash, anymore flow will move the wash closer to our house and make it inhabitable.

Response requested Yes

Referred Page http://webcms.pima.gov/cms/One.aspx?portalId=169&pageId=35831



1 E. Broadway Boulevard, Suite 401, Tucson AZ 85701 Phone: (520) 770-9410 Fax: (520) 620-6981

RTAmobility.com

March 17, 2015

Ms. Carla Blackwell, Deputy Director Pima County Development Services Department 201 N. Stone Avenue, 2nd Floor Tucson, AZ 85701-1215

Subject: Pima County Major Streets and Scenic Routes Plan (MSSRP) Update

Dear Ms. Blackwell,

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the MSSRP update, which will be presented to the Planning and Zoning Commission and Board of Supervisors in the coming weeks. We have reviewed the document and generally support the recommendations being made, however we request that the proposal to reduce the width of First Avenue, Orange Grove Road to Ina Road, from 150 feet to 90 feet be withdrawn.

The RTA Plan, approved by voters in 2006, included the widening of this reach of First Avenue from its existing three lane configuration to a "four lane arterial roadway, bike lanes and sidewalks". The current roadway prism varies from 70 feet in width to 150 feet in width. Given the width of the improvements and topography along th alignment, we believe that the 150 foot right of way width is necessary and the proposed reduction is inconsistent with the voter approved project.

If you have questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at 495-1481.

Sincerely,

James R. DeGrood Deputy Director

cc: Farhad Moghimi, Executive Director Priscilla Cornelio, Director, Pima County Department of Transportation

Subject: FW: MSSR Changes

Importance:

High

From: Priscilla Cornelio Sent: Monday, March 16, 2015 12:21 PM To: DeGrood, James Cc: Robert Young; Ana Olivares Subject: MSSR Changes Importance: High

Thank you for your inquiry about our proposed revisions to the Major Streets and Scenic Routes Plan. You are correct that we are proposing a reduction of ROW on First Avenue north of Orange Grove. This is in response to the fact that this section of road is currently carrying 14,000 vpd, and since it is in a built up area and we anticipate little to no traffic increase, widening to four lanes may not be necessary. An improved three lane section may work fine, thereby saving the RTA some money. However, even if the four lane section is built, it could be accommodated in the existing 150 foot ROW.

It is important to note that the changes to the MS&SR Plan, and specifically the reductions in ROW, affect only the setbacks on the adjacent property, not the ROW itself. Existing ROW would only be abandoned on request of an adjacent property owner, and even then it would still be the county's option whether to proceed with the abandonment. Based on past experience we do not expect a significant number of requests for abandonment of strips of ROW. Any requests we do receive will be evaluated individually and ROW abandoned only if there is no impact to the transportation system. The map changes will affect setbacks on future development and the width of ROW acquired through future dedications.

Priscilla S. Cornelio, P.E. Director Pima County Department of Transportation 201 N. Stone Avenue 4th floor Tucson AZ 85701-1207 520-724-6410 Priscilla.Cornelio@pima.gov

From: James DeGrood [Sent: Friday, March 13, 2015 1:59 PM To: Priscilla Cornelio Subject: MSSR Changes

Hi Priscilla,

I was copied the proposed MSSRP changes that are proposed to go to the Planning and Zoning Commission on the 25th, and noted that First Avenue from Orange Grove to Ina is being reduced from 150' to 90'. Is this width adequate for you to build RTA #13? Also, why are roadways having their MSSRP widths reduced, when the County already has 100% of the larger right of way dedicated? Some of these roads, such as Skyline Dr have established trails along the edge of the right of way being recommended for reduction.

1