

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:11 a.m. on Tuesday, February 17, 2015. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Richard Elías, Vice Chair
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair
Ally Miller, Member
Ray Carroll, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Robin Brigode, Clerk of the Board
James Ogden, Sergeant at Arms

1. INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Reverend Lee Milligan, Casas Adobes Congregational Church of Christ.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. PAUSE 4 PAWS

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION

4. Presentation of a proclamation to Betsy Bolding, proclaiming the day of Sunday, March 1, 2015 to be: "BETSY BOLDING DAY"

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Chair Bronson made the presentation.

5. Presentation of a proclamation to declare Pima County a Zero Tolerance Human Trafficking Zone.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Miller made the presentation to Congresswoman Martha McSally; Chris Nanos, Chief Deputy Pima County Sheriff; Captain David Azuelo, Tucson Police Department; Ameila Cramer, Chief Deputy Pima County Attorney; Alan Goodwin and Kellie Johnson, Deputy County

Attorneys; Jerry Peyton, Sold No More; Beth Jacobs, Willow Way; Charlotte Peper, Our Family Services/New Beginnings Program; and Adriana Chairez.

6. **PERSONAL POINT OF PRIVILEGE**

Supervisor Carroll remembered Alfena “Alfie” Norville and spoke about her contributions and achievements in the community.

7. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

The following speakers addressed the Board in support of the Bonds:

- Bonnie Kampa, Tucson Symphony Orchestra
- Barbara Levy
- Bruce Dusenberry
- Tanya Miller, Tucson Symphony Orchestra
- Dane Woll, YMCA of Southern Arizona
- Lynne Wood Dusenberry, Arizona Theatre Company
- Jessica Andrews, Arizona Theatre Company
- Damion Alexander, Arizona Bicycle Center
- Sue Hopf, Reid Park Zoo
- Shelley Duncan
- Autumn Rentmeester, Children’s Museum Tucson
- Karla Van Drunen Littooy, TCC Today

They offered the following comments:

- Renovations were vital and needed for the Orchestra Hall and Temple of Music and Art building.
- The needs of the community have grown and delay of the bond would cost Pima County more in the long run.
- Purchase of the vacant land by Davis Monthan Air Force Base and securing the future of Davis Monthan in Pima County were important.
- Improvements were needed to downtown community theatres and cultural areas.
- Pledges were made to help with fundraising efforts.
- Bicycling in Pima County was a major factor in tourism.
- Parks and recreational areas were an investment in Pima County’s children and families.
- Let the voters decide on the bond at the 2015 elections.

John Becerra, Pima Chapter Chair, Service Employees International Union (SEIU), spoke in opposition to the proposed changes in medical insurance rates and the Nicotine/Tobacco-Free Healthy Workforce Initiative.

Jim Owen expressed concern about the Nicotine/Tobacco-Free Healthy Workforce Initiative and the hiring process in Pima County if the initiative passed.

Maya Castillo, President, SEIU Local 48 Arizona, objected to the increase in medical insurance premium rates and the Nicotine/Tobacco-Free Healthy Workforce Initiative penalties. She spoke regarding the lowered employee morale, confusion regarding the changes to the health care benefits and surcharges to employees who smoke.

8. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 10:09 a.m.

9. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:32 a.m. All members were present.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

10. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding potential resolution of liabilities related to the termination of Select Development as the contractor on the Magee Road improvement project and the procurement of a replacement contractor through Select's surety, Employers Mutual Casualty Company.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, recommended approval of the negotiated tender agreement once finalized and as substantially equivalent to that discussed in Executive Session.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the recommendation.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

11. **Leman Academy of Excellence Project**

RESOLUTION NO. 2015 - 7, of the Board of Supervisors of Pima County, Arizona, approving the proceedings of the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima regarding the issuance of its not to exceed \$20,000,000.00 education facility revenue bonds (Leman Academy of Excellence Project, 7548 North Silverbell Road and 7750, 7770, 7780 and 7790 North Schisler Drive, Marana, AZ), Series 2015 and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

RECORDER

12. Ratification

The Board of Supervisors on January 20 and February 3, 2015, continued the following:

Pursuant to Resolution No. 1993-200, ratification of the Document Storage and Retrieval Fund for the months of September, October and November, 2014.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

13. Hearing - Appeal of Review Officer's Decision

The Board of Supervisors on December 16, 2014, continued the following:

Pursuant to Board of Supervisor's Policy D 22.10, Ray and Elaine Spindler appeal the decision of the Review Officer regarding the classification of Tax Parcel No. 304-28-5240 to Legal Class 3 for Tax Year 2014.

Tom Burke, Review Officer and Finance and Risk Management Director, recommended the appeal be denied on the basis that the appellant had not registered to vote, did not have an Arizona driver's license or vehicle registration at the address claimed as primary residence.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Miller and carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and uphold the decision of the Review Officer.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

14. Hearing - Extension of Premises/Patio Permit

06100228, Scott A. Busse, Territorial, 3727 S. Palo Verde Road, Tucson, Temporary Extension of Premises for March 7, 14 and 21, 2015.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried unanimously by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the permit and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

15. Hearing - Extension of Premises/Patio Permit

06100203, Randy D. Nations, Hot Rods Old Vail, 10500 E. Old Vail Road, Tucson, Temporary Extension of Premises for March 5, 14 and 28, 2015.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried unanimously by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the permit and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

16. Hearing - Type III Conditional Use

P21-14-023, FENSTER RANCH SCHOOL - E. OCOTILLO DRIVE

Request of Sabino Recovery Group, L.L.C., on property located at 8505 E. Ocotillo Dr., in the SR Zone, for a conditional use permit for a Residential Substance Abuse Diagnostic and Treatment Facility, in accordance with Section 18.17.030 of the Pima County Zoning Code as a Type III conditional use permit. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 9-0 (Commissioner Bain was absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL WITH CONDITIONS. (District 1)

Standard Conditions

1. Minimum site size: ten (10) acres.
2. Minimum setback: one hundred feet (100') from any property boundary.
3. Maximum lot coverage by structures: fifteen percent (15%).
4. Maximum number of patient rooms: seventy-five (75).

Special Conditions

1. This conditional use permit approval is for a residential substance abuse diagnostic and treatment facility only, together with all of its customary related activities (food service, administration, etc.). No other non-residential or commercial uses other than the above are authorized or implied. The existing rental single-family residences at the southwest corner of the property will not be part of the facility nor any of its operations or activities.
2. The maximum resident/patient population on-site at any given time is limited to fifty-five (55) individuals.
3. The facility's resident/patient population and its operations will be in substantial conformance with the submitted application package, same being specifically titled, "Sabino Recovery Group, LLC, Pima County Conditional Use Permit Application, Property: 8505 E. Ocotillo Drive".
4. This conditional use permit approval is subject to, and dependent upon, the operator securing all applicable state-required licenses for the facility.
5. Given that the project will utilize the existing structures on the property and proposes no expansion of same or any new buildings, a full Development Plan is not required. However, in lieu of same, the applicant shall submit a detailed Site Plan, containing all necessary calculations for parking, loading, etc. and a designation of the specific uses of each building (e.g. patient/resident rooms, meeting rooms, administration, cafeteria, etc.). The Site Plan shall be submitted directly to the Deputy Chief Zoning Inspector, who will review it, provide comments, and work with the applicant to finalize the Site Plan as a document of record for this conditional use permit request.
6. In conjunction with the above Site Plan submittal, the applicant shall submit a traffic statement that is prepared, signed and sealed by a registered traffic engineer, which: 1) shall document the anticipated traffic volume and characteristics of the proposed facility at full staffing and full resident/patient occupancy; and 2) shall compare its traffic characteristics to those of the prior Fenster School when it was operating at its full capacity of one hundred fifty (150) students.

7. In accordance with Zoning Code requirements attendant to designated public trails, the property owner shall construct and dedicate a 15-foot easement for Trail #45 (Sabino Creek) through the subject property, as shown on the Pima Regional Trail System Master Plan. This easement may be sited within the 100-foot setback area (on assessors parcel 114-09-0020) or in an alternative location as agreed upon by the property owner and by the staff of Pima County Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation.
8. The easement described in Item #7 above shall be labeled as a "Public Non-Motorized Trail Easement" and shall be shown on the Site Plan of record described in Item #5 above. A legal description for the easement and an accompanying location map shall be provided by the applicant in conjunction with the Site Plan. The easement verbiage will include, but not limited to, a description of the material to be used for the pathways and the responsible parties for the construction and maintenance the easement.
9. In accordance with Title 16.36.090 (Subdivisions & Development) of the Pima County Floodplain Management Ordinance, and due to the magnitude of its 100-year flow volume, the Sabino Creek regulatory floodplain and floodway shall be dedicated in fee to the Pima County Regional Flood Control District or, at a minimum, a public easement shall be granted for same. This dedication or easement shall be delineated on the Site Plan of record described in Item #5 above.
10. The applicant is also advised that some of the on-site structures (e.g. those within the erosion hazard setback of Sabino Creek) may not be in compliance with the current Floodplain Management Ordinance (FMO), but are currently classified as legal non-conforming uses. Further improvements or modifications to legal non-conforming uses may be limited until such time that they are brought into full compliance with the FMO.
11. The eastern most property retains all rights to develop under SR Zoning permitted uses. Those improvements or development are subject to all standard Pima County procedures for submittal, staff review, approval and permitting.

Will Pew, representing Sabino Recovery Group, clarified that the application was for a leasehold of the two western parcels, not including the flood plain and that they would be using existing facilities on those two parcels. He requested the conditional use permit only apply to the leasehold.

The following speakers addressed the Board:

- Andrew Turley
- Dr. James Rau
- Charles Stirton
- Mary Lee Call, representing neighbors
- Norie Nelson, President of the Hidden Valley Homeowners Association

They offered the following comments

- Worry over potential drug trafficking that could flow into the neighborhood and be dangerous to children.
- Proximity of the two schools in the area.
- Requested that conditions be applied to the permit.
- Expressed concern over the lack of security for residents of the neighborhood as well as the patients.
- Requested provisions for the type of people allowed at the facility and a barrier to be placed between the facility and the neighborhood.
- Support was given for Sabino Recovery with the conditions that would restrict the type of patients and enhance security measures.

Jack and Nancy O'Donnell, Clinical Directors, stated that the application to the State Board of Behavioral Health outlined the conditions that were of concern regarding the types of patients that would be allowed at the facility.

Supervisor Miller questioned security measures and the difference between this facility and one such as Sierra Tucson, and the potential for young offenders.

Mr. O'Donnell responded that Sierra Tucson had the highest psychiatric license available and that the behavioral health models were completely different.

Tom Drzazgowski, Zoning Inspection Supervisor, explained that a charter or public school would be an allowable use on the property but any type of court ordered or mandated school for children may not be.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, questioned the applicability of the conditional use permit to the entire acreage and indicated a change of that nature would require the matter to be renoticed.

Jim Portner, Hearing Administrator, stated the current conditions addressed the concerns that had been raised and provided greater enforcement regarding patient types and security. He recommended adding condition No. 11, which stated that existing SR development rights on the eastern most parcel remain in place for future development and be subject to all standard submittal and review approvals.

Mr. Pew stated that as a leasehold property, the applicants could not agree to condition Nos. 7, 8 and 9 without consent of the landowner.

Chair Bronson explained that the Board could approve as presented with condition Nos 7, 8 and 9, or continue the matter and ask that it be renoticed.

Mr. Portner offered that the Conditional Use Permit be approved as presented to allow staff to meet with the applicant and other parties and if need be, bring any amendments to the conditional use permit back to the Board for approval.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve P21-14-023, subject to standard and special conditions as approved by the Planning and Zoning Commission, the conditions of the Hearing Administrator, and with the addition of Condition No. 11 as follows: The eastern most property retains all rights to develop under SR Zoning permitted uses. Those improvements or development are subject to all standard Pima County procedures for submittal, staff review, approval and permitting.

17. Hearing - Specific Plan (Rezoning)

Co23-14-01, SISTERS OF THE IMMACULATE HEART OF MARY SPECIFIC PLAN (REZONING)

Request of Sisters of the Immaculate Heart of Mary, represented by Tucson Land and Cattle Co., for a rezoning of approximately 63 acres from SR (Suburban Ranch zoning) to SP (Sisters of the Immaculate Heart of Mary Continuing Care Retirement Community Specific Plan) on a property located east of N. Sabino

Canyon Road, approximately one-quarter mile north of E. River Road in Section 29, T13S, R15E. The proposed specific plan (rezoning) conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Co7-00-20 and Co7-08-03. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 9-0 (Commissioner Bain was absent) to recommend APPROVAL WITH STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS (TO BE INCORPORATED INTO THE SPECIFIC PLAN DOCUMENT). Staff recommends APPROVAL WITH STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS (TO BE INCORPORATED INTO THE SPECIFIC PLAN DOCUMENT). (District 1)

1. Not more than 60 days after the Board of Supervisors approves the specific plan, the owner(s) / developer(s) shall submit to the Planning Director the specific plan document, including the following conditions and any necessary revisions of the specific plan document reflecting the final actions of the Board of Supervisors, and the specific plan text and exhibits in an electronic and written format acceptable to the Planning Division.
2. The property owner(s) / developer(s) shall:
 - A. Submit a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies;
 - B. Record the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies;
 - C. Provide development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies; and
 - D. Submit a title report (current within 60 days) to Development Services evidencing ownership of the property prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedications.
3. In the event of a conflict between two or more requirements in this specific plan, or conflicts between the requirements of this specific plan and another Pima County regulation not listed in Section 18.90.050(B)(3), the more restrictive requirement shall apply.
4. This specific plan shall adhere to all applicable Pima County regulations that are not explicitly addressed within this specific plan. The specific plan's development regulations shall be interpreted to implement the specific plan or relevant Pima County regulations.
5. Adherence to the specific plan document as approved at the Board of Supervisor's public hearing.
6. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
7. The property owner shall execute and record the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(I)."
8. The Pima County Regional Flood Control District (District) recommends the following conditions:
 - A. First flush retention (retention of the first ½ inch of rainfall) shall be provided for all newly disturbed and impervious surfaces. This requirement shall be made a condition of the Site Construction Permit.
 - B. The area within the floodplain and erosion hazard setback of the regulatory watercourse shall be included in a private open space easement, except at utility, pedestrian and vehicular crossings.
 - C. Any required riparian habitat mitigation area should be located adjacent to this area and may be located in the easement.
 - D. The final design of the improvements shall meet District requirements for detention and retention.
 - E. A Final Integrated Water Management Plan consisting of Water Conservation Measures identified by the applicant in the Preliminary Integrated Water Management Plan shall be submitted to the District for review and approval at the time of development.
 - F. Drainage improvements that collect runoff from the new development including water harvesting to satisfy the Final Integrated Water Management Plan (FIWMP) requirements may be included in the open space easement, where feasible.
9. The Pima County Department of Transportation (DOT) recommends the following conditions:
 - A. A Transportation Impact Study for the entire specific plan area shall be submitted for approval by DOT prior to approval of the first development plan for the specific plan site. The study shall be updated with the submittal of each phase of development.

- B. Access onto Sabino Canyon Road will be limited to the two existing access points as shown in the specific plan approved at the public hearing. The northern access point will be a right-in / right-out only access.
10. The Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department (PCRWRD) recommends the following conditions:
- A. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall not construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the specific plan (rezoning) area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner(s) / developer(s) to that effect.
 - B. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall obtain written documentation from the PCRWRD that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and / or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner(s) / developer(s) shall enter into a written agreement addressing the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the PCRWRD.
 - C. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall time all new development within the specific plan (rezoning) area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall connect all development within the specific plan (rezoning) area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the PCRWRD in its capacity response letter and as specified by PCRWRD at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the specific plan (rezoning) area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - F. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
11. The Cultural Resources and Historic Preservation Division requests further documentation of existing historic buildings and structures to assess potential development impacts to cultural resources. An historic architect or historic archaeologist shall complete a cultural resources assessment of the standing structures and land use features such as the Stations of the Cross trail, which may be interpreted as a Traditional Cultural Place. The completion of Historic Property Inventory Forms may be included as part of the assessment of historic cultural resources. Consultation with the State Historic Preservation Office may also be required.
12. Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s) / developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the specific plan site and Pima County may enforce this condition against the property owner. The owner(s) / developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.

Mark Holden, Principal Planner, reported that staff had received one letter in support and eight in opposition. He said that the property was located outside the Maeveen Marie Behan Conservation Lands System.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co23-14-01, subject to standard and special conditions which were to be incorporated into the specific plan document.

18. Hearing - Rezoning Time Extension

Co9-09-01, VAIL UPRR SOUTH, L.L.C., ET AL. - ROCKET ROAD REZONING

Request of Vail UPRR South, L.L.C., represented by the Lenihan Company, for a five-year time extension for the above-referenced rezoning of approximately 158 acres from RH (Rural Homestead Zone) to CR-5 (Multiple Residence Zone). The subject site was rezoned in January 2010 and the rezoning expired on January 19, 2015. The property is located on the eastern terminus of Rocket Road, approximately 1,000 feet west of Old Vail Road. Staff recommends APPROVAL OF A FIVE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION WITH STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 4)

1. Submittal of a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
2. Recording of a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.
3. Recording of the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
4. Provision of development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
5. Prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedication, a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property shall be submitted to the Development Services Department, Document Services.
6. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
7. Transportation conditions:
 - A. Offsite improvements to Colossal Cave Road shall be provided by the property owner/developer, as determined necessary by the Department of Transportation.
 - B. The property owner/developer shall provide for and construct paved, legal access from the east boundary of the site to Colossal Cave Road (Segment 1, as shown on Exhibit II.K.2 of the site analysis), or other means of paved legal access, as approved by the Department of Transportation. The roadway shall be designed as a 90-foot wide major collector, with allowance for future widening. The property owner/developer shall construct a minimum of two travel lanes and two bike lanes, shoulders and an ADA acceptable pedestrian/bicycle path on one side of the roadway. The property owner/developer may be required to continue the pedestrian access south to Acacia Elementary if no pedestrian access exists at the time of improvement plan review.
 - C. The property owner/developer shall provide for and construct a major collector within the site, between the eastern property boundary and the easternmost road within Block 2 (Segment 2, as shown on Exhibit II.K.2 of the site analysis). This roadway shall be designed as a 90-foot wide major collector, with allowance for future widening. The property owner/developer shall construct a minimum of two travel lanes, one center turn lane and two bike lanes, with curb and sidewalk or path on one side.
 - D. The property owner/developer shall provide for and construct a residential collector within the site, between the west property boundary and the easternmost street within Block 2 (Segment 3, as shown on Exhibit II.K.2 of the site analysis). This roadway shall be designed as a 90-foot wide major collector, with allowance for future widening. The property owner/developer shall construct a minimum of two travel lanes and two bike lanes, with curb and sidewalk or path on one side.
 - E. The property owner/developer shall provide for and construct onsite turnaround for the interior collector until such time that a through connection is provided, as required by the Department of Transportation.
 - F. Through access shall be provided to the west and south of the rezoning by the owner/developer.
 - G. Written certification from the City of Tucson stating satisfactory compliance with all its requirements shall be submitted to the Pima County Development Review Section prior to the approval of the final plat.
8. Flood Control conditions:
 - A. The property owner/developer shall provide all necessary on-site and off-site drainage related improvements at no cost to Pima County that are needed as a result of the proposed development of the subject property. The location, design and construction of said improvements shall be subject to the approval of the Flood Control District.
 - B. Trail and drainage channels must be separate.
 - C. A riparian mitigation plan shall be required for development in designated riparian areas.

- D. The property owner/developer shall submit a water conservation plan in conjunction with the tentative plat. Development Services and Regional Flood Control District must approve the water conservation plan prior to approval of the final plat. The plan shall include indoor and outdoor conservation measures and, if turf areas are allowed, indicate the maximum allowed turf area for each individual lot. The maximum turf area shall be included in the subdivision's recorded CC&Rs.
 - E. Low Intensity Development (LID) water harvesting shall be incorporated into landscaping, paving, and parking lot designs to encourage use of storm water to irrigate exterior areas and conserve use of groundwater.
 - F. The property owner/developer shall submit a signed letter of intent to serve from the Vail Water Company with the submittal of the tentative plat.
9. ~~Wastewater Management~~ Wastewater Reclamation conditions:
- A. The property owner/developer shall not construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner/developer to that effect.
 - B. The property owner/developer shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, sewer improvement plan or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner/developer shall have the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department.
 - C. The property owner/developer shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The property owner/developer shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department in its capacity response letter and as specified by the Development Services Department at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - E. The property owner/developer shall fund, design, and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - F. The property owner/developer shall design and construct the off-site and on-site sewers to accommodate flow-through from any properties adjacent and up-gradient to the rezoning area that do not have adequate access to Pima County's public sewer system, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - G. The property owner/developer shall also design and construct any necessary off-site sewers to accommodate the anticipated wastewater flow from any properties down-gradient from the rezoning area that can reasonably be served by those sewers, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - H. The property owner/developer shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
10. Environmental Quality condition:
- A. The property owner/developer must connect to the public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by ~~Wastewater Management~~ Wastewater Reclamation at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan or request for building permit. On-site wastewater disposal shall not be allowed.
 - B. Prior to the commencement of construction of any grading, land clearing, or earthmoving of more than one (1) acre, any road construction of more than fifty (50) feet, or any trenching of more than three hundred (300) feet, an Air Quality Activity Permit shall be obtained.
11. Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation conditions:
- A. The property owner/developer shall provide a five-foot paved pedestrian path within the proposed 90-foot right-of-way along the northern boundary of the project to its intersection with Colossal Cave Road.
 - B. This project shall provide 871 square feet of recreation area per lot. The final determination of recreation areas and elements required shall be determined with a Recreation Area Plan (RAP), which shall be submitted and approved prior to the approval of the tentative plat. A RAP shall be

- submitted for the overall trail system and for each subdivision block. Each block shall meet the recreation requirements as stated in section 18.69.090 and the Recreation Area Design Manual.
12. Environmental Planning conditions:
- A. Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner. Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.
- B. ~~The following shall be incorporated into the recorded Private Subdivision Covenants, Conditions, and Restrictions (CC&Rs) that govern the Homeowners' Associations (HOAs) and the actions of private property owners within the Subdivision:~~
~~Maintenance of Common Area by Association: The HOA shall be responsible for the removal of buffelgrass from Common Areas.~~
~~Maintenance of Lots by Owners: Lot Owners shall keep private lots free of buffelgrass.~~
~~Maintenance of Lots by Owners: Lot Owners shall keep private lots free of invasive non-native plant species including but not limited to those listed below.~~
- | | |
|---|---|
| Invasive Non-Native Plant Species Subject to Control: | |
| Ailanthus altissima | Tree of Heaven |
| Alhagi pseudalhagi | Camelthorn |
| Arundo donax | Giant reed |
| Brassica tournefortii | Sahara mustard |
| Bromus rubens | Red brome |
| Bromus tectorum | Cheatgrass |
| Centaurea melitensis | Malta starthistle |
| Centaurea solstitialis | Yellow starthistle |
| Cortaderia spp. | Pampas grass |
| Cynodon dactylon | Bermuda grass (excluding sod hybrid) |
| Digitaria spp. | Crabgrass |
| Elaeagnus angustifolia | Russian olive |
| Eragrostis spp. | Lovegrass (excluding E. intermedia, plains lovegrass) |
| Melinis repens | Natal grass |
| Mesembryanthemum spp. | Iceplant |
| Peganum harmala | African rue |
| Pennisetum ciliare | Buffelgrass |
| Pennisetum setaceum | Fountain grass |
| Rhus lancea | African sumac |
| Salsola spp. | Russian thistle |
| Schismus arabicus | Arabian grass |
| Schismus barbatus | Mediterranean grass |
| Sorghum halepense | Johnson grass |
| Tamarix spp. | Tamarisk |
13. Noise abatement conditions:
- A. Residences in the first row of lots along the northern boundary of the site shall be built to have an exterior building envelope sound Transmission Class such that the interior noise levels that are due to exterior noise shall not exceed 45 dBA.
- B. Walls constructed along the northern boundary of the site, in proximity to the access road, shall be at least six feet in height.
14. ~~The property owner/developer shall execute and record a document acceptable to the Pima County Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation Department indicating that the owner/developer shall contribute to the affordable housing trust fund as adopted by the Pima County Board of Supervisors on December 13, 2005, before a certificate of compliance is issued.~~
- 14.45. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner(s)/developer(s) shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
- 15.46. The property owner shall execute and record the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner to any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(I)."

16.47. Adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing.

17.48. The property owner/developer shall coordinate development of the property with Kinder-Morgan and shall adhere to Kinder-Morgan's design and construction guidelines as they apply to the area of the Kinder-Morgan easement(s) along the northern portion of the property.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve Co9-09-01 for a five year time extension with standard and special conditions, and to remove Condition No. 12.B.

19. Hearing - Rezoning Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2015 - 5, Co9-14-07, J. DeGrazia Company, L.L.C. - N. Harrison Road Rezoning. Owner: J. DeGrazia Company, L.L.C. (District 1)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

20. Opposition to Predator Hunts

RESOLUTION NO. 2015 - 8, of the Board of Supervisors, opposing Predator Masters hunts in Pima County. (District 5)

Chair Bronson thanked all of the people in attendance that supported the resolution.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías and seconded by Supervisor Valadez to adopt the Resolution. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

21. HUMAN RESOURCES

A. Pima County Nicotine/Tobacco-Free Healthy Workforce Initiative

The Board of Supervisors on December 16, 2014 and February 10, 2015, continued the following:

Staff recommends selection of one of the following options for Fiscal Year 2015/16:

Option 1 - Approval of the tobacco hiring policy requiring all job applicants to certify they have been nicotine/tobacco-free for the previous 12 months in order to be considered for employment, as well as a biweekly medical insurance surcharge of 30 percent of the Employee-only premium amount for tobacco users.

Option 2 - Approval of only the tobacco hiring policy.

Option 3 - Approval of only the tobacco surcharge.

B. Medical Insurance for County Employees - Fiscal Year 2015/16

The Board of Supervisors on February 10, 2015, continued the following:

Staff recommends approval of the medical rates for FY 15/16, along with the continuation of the current County Health Savings Account funding strategy and Healthy Lifestyle Premium Discounts.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that the Nicotine/Tobacco-Free Healthy Workforce Initiative and the Medical Insurance discussions should be taken together as they were related. He reported on the recommendations for the Initiative, the benefits and savings of transitioning to an HDHP from a PPO Plan and added that consideration should be made to the imposition of a surcharge for employees that smoke at \$30.00 a month, which was approximately half of what was allowed by the Affordable Care Act (ACA), and to increase the surcharge \$10.00 a month over the next three months. He further explained that based on the ACA, employees who enrolled and completed a smoking cessation program would not be subject to a surcharge.

Supervisor Miller questioned the plans to increase non-smoker medical insurance rates for employees in the next five years, who would be paying for the cessation programs, would employees participate in the programs on their own time and how would the determination be made regarding who smoked.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that rates were established on a year to year basis and the County attempted to keep costs as stable and low as possible for as long as possible. He stated that the plan was to transition out of the PPO by FY 2016-17 and to offer a second HDHP.

Alan Bulzomi, Human Resources Director, explained there were 12 smoking cessation programs currently available with more coming soon, that most of the programs were through Aetna and covered under our insurance, that in the community there were other free programs and that employees would need to complete the program on their own time. He added that the County would use the honesty system and allow the employee to declare if they smoked when they signed up for benefits.

Supervisor Miller asked if the Union had been included in discussions on the policy.

Mr. Bulzomi explained that HIBWAC (Health Insurance Benefit Wellness Advisory Committee) had been provided the recommendations and had provided comments.

Supervisor Valadez questioned whether from an employee standpoint there would be a cost impact for participating in the HDHP if currently in the PPO and stated that health savings accounts (HSA) would be at zero beginning July 1 for those who transitioned. He suggested funding the HSA for the transitioning employees in the amount of \$500.

Mr. Huckelberry stated it would be better financially for employees to participate in the HDHP plan. Mr. Bulzomi added that through random reporting by Aetna, no situation had been found that substantiated that the HDHP would cost more for the employee.

Mr. Huckelberry stated the HSA accounts could be funded on July 1 which would advance the County's contribution to day one rather than per pay period.

Supervisor Valadez asked what the County had experienced as the highest utilization for employee health care costs and if other companies or agencies had been successful with their smoke free employee policies.

Mr. Huckelberry responded that it was tobacco users and the diseases that typically accompanied tobacco use that resulted in an approximate impact of \$13.4 million per year.

Francisco Garcia, Health Department Director, explained that the estimate had not taken into account the effects of second hand smoke. He added the insurance industry identified conditions that were attributable to tobacco use and that half of the claims during the past year that were in excess of \$100,000 were due to those conditions. He explained that there were currently eight healthcare employers with smoke free hiring policies in Arizona and that many other employers with programs that have implemented surcharges.

Supervisor Elías expressed his concern regarding enforcement of the proposed policy and the training that would be provided to staff regarding that enforcement. He noted that the practice of not hiring smokers infringed on people's right to work. He requested the union representative be allowed to address the Board regarding the HIBWAC meeting.

Maya Castillo, SEIU, President, Local 48 Arizona, stated the issue was raised at the HIBWAC meeting less than a month ago. She said since it had been reported at that meeting that there was no crisis in the health trust fund and the employees were healthy in the insurance book of business, she took exception to rating the insurance plans separately and imposing unreasonable surcharges on a healthy employee population.

Supervisor Elías stated the tobacco hiring proposal and the tobacco surcharge should be rejected and that cessation programs be aggressively pursued to allow employees time to quit smoking.

Mr. Huckelberry explained the position of the health trust fund and the loan repayment plan back to the General Fund that had provided the opportunity for the County to be self-insured.

Supervisor Valadez added that he took issue with the fact that two-thirds of employees were paying for the one-third that smoke.

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez and seconded by Chair Bronson to approve Option 3 as presented by the County Administrator imposing a tobacco surcharge; and to remove all enforcement provisions and refer the matter to the Health Insurance Benefit Wellness Advisory Committee to make recommendations regarding enforcement for future Board consideration. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Carroll questioned the legality and the history of imposing a tobacco surcharge.

Chair Bronson stated she would like to require employees that smoke to attend and complete a smoking cessation program.

Supervisor Elías offered an amendment to the motion that employees that smoke be required to attend and complete a smoking cessation program of their choice. The amendment was accepted.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1 with Supervisor Carroll voting “Nay.”

It was then moved by Supervisor Valadez and seconded by Supervisor Miller to:

1) approve the medical insurance rates for fiscal year 2015-16 as follows:

PPO Biweekly Rates	
Employee (Ee) Only	\$60.00
Ee + Spouse	\$138.00
Ee + Child(ren)	\$135.00
Ee + Family	\$197.00
HDHP Biweekly Rates	
Ee Only	\$23.67
Ee + Spouse	\$36.11
Ee + Child(ren)	\$35.18
Ee + Family	\$51.41

- 2) direct staff to implement a strategy to fund employees \$500 in their HSA for those transitioning from the PPO to the HDHP and that those funds be available on July 1, and;
- 3) require all departments to allow their employees to attend informational sessions regarding their healthcare choices on paid time.

Mr. Bulzomi stated that in order to avoid enforcement, employees currently enrolled in a PPO could be mandated to attend informational meetings prior to open enrollment and that the sessions would be conducted at various locations throughout the County.

Supervisor Elías questioned whether the tobacco surcharge was off the table.

Supervisor Valadez stated that the surcharge had been approved in the prior motion as Option 3, however the mandate that employees attend and complete a smoking cessation program removes the imposition of the surcharge.

Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

PROCUREMENT

22. Quarterly Contracts Report - 4th Quarter 2014

The Board of Supervisors on February 5, 2015, continued the following:

Pursuant to Pima County Code, Section 11.08.010, staff submits the quarterly report on contracts awarded from October 1, 2014 through December 31, 2014.

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

23. Negotiated Settlement Agreement

C.H.H. Tucson Partnership, L.P. v. Pima County and El Conquistador M.A.H. II, L.L.C. v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Consolidated Case Nos. TX2010-001421, TX2011-000379, TX2011-000380 and TX2013-000155, pursuant to the authority given by the Board in executive session on January 13, 2015, a settlement agreement was negotiated at the full cash values of \$15,037,500.00 for tax year 2011 and \$19,000,000.00 for tax years 2012 and 2013, with the 2013 settlement full cash values not rolling over to tax year 2014 and each party bearing its own attorney and all other fees, costs and expenses, subject to the terms of a stipulated judgment to be submitted to the Court.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION

24. RESOLUTION NO. 2015 - 9, of the Board of Supervisors, setting aside 2004 General Obligation Affordable Housing Bond Program Funds in the amount not to exceed \$769,518.00 for the West End Station Affordable Housing Development.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

COMMUNITY SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

25. Jose Gabriel Loyola, d.b.a. Loyola Associates, to provide technical assistance for the transition from the Workforce Investment Act to the Workforce Innovation and Opportunities Act, USDOL and ADES Funds, contract amount \$48,000.00 (CT-CS-15-338)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Miller voted “Nay”, to approve the item.

OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND HOMELAND SECURITY

26. Tucson Airport Authority, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Pima County Wildfire Mitigation Project, extend contract term to 2/22/16 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-OEM-13-134)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

27. Arizona Supreme Court and Pima County Superior Court, Amendment No. 1, to provide for educational and professional development services to the Pima Accommodation School District, extend contract term to 6/30/16 and amend contractual language, Federal Education Grant Fund, contract amount \$102,616.00 revenue (CTN-SS-14-122)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONSENT CALENDAR

28. **Approval of the Consent Calendar**

Upon the request of Supervisor Miller to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 5 and 6 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION

Procurement

5. Award

Low Bid, Award of Contract, Solicitation No.166397, in the amount of \$808,000.00 to the lowest responsive bidder, K E & G Construction, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), for the construction of the Nogales Highway/Old Nogales Highway Intersection Reconstruction Project. The contract term is 18 months with the ability to extend the term for contract completion. Construction is to be complete within 90 working days from Notice to Proceed. Funding Source: DOT 53 (53.5%), RTA (44%), Tucson Water (1.5%) and HURF (1%) Funds. Administering Department: Transportation.

Supervisor Miller requested clarification and reconciliation on the difference in the expense for this contract with what had been allocated for the project and what work had been completed to date.

Priscilla Cornelio, Transportation Director, stated that the project involved the relocation of the roadway and drainage improvement and that right-of-ways and water relocations had been completed. She added this contract would be for intersection construction.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, stated that the 1997 estimates had been revised to reflect current expenses and that the small expenditure of HURF Funds were commonly used as a capital contribution for capital highway construction.

It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Valadez, and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

6. Award

Low Bid: Award of Contract, Requisition No. 15-112, for network equipment and services to the contractors in the amounts listed below. Contracts are for a one-year term and include four one-year renewal periods. The award includes the authority for the Procurement Department to execute renewals without further action by the Board of Supervisors provided that the contract amounts do not exceed the contract award amounts. Funding Source: General (70%) and Non-bond Capital Project (30%) Funds. Administering Department: Information Technology.

Contractor (HQ Location)/Annual Amount

Insight Public Sector, Inc. (Tempe, AZ)/\$1,500,545.00

World Wide Technologies, Inc. (Maryland Heights, MO)/\$1,500,545.00

Supervisor Miller requested a reconciliation between the bid amounts and the award amount. She also questioned the status of the amendments to the contract offered by one of the bidders.

George Widugiris, Procurement Director, responded that the amendments to the contract language had been addressed and would be provided.

Anthony Cassella, Information Technology Deputy Director, stated the bid information would be reviewed to provide the information requested.

It was then moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue this item to the Board of Supervisors Meeting of March 3, 2015.

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation

1. Family Housing Resources, Inc., Amendment No. 4, to provide for the NSP2 Consortium Funding Agreement and extend contract term to 12/31/15, no cost (CT-CD-12-353)
2. Habitat for Humanity Tucson, Inc., Amendment No. 6, to provide for the NSP2 Consortium Funding Agreement and extend contract term to 2/28/16, no cost (CT-CD-12-342)
3. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 4, to provide for the NSP2 Consortium Funding Agreement and extend contract term to 2/28/16, no cost (CT-CD-12-352)

Health

4. Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Arizona Medical Marijuana Initiative - Proposition 203 Project, contract amount \$75,000.00 revenue (CTN-HD-14-164)

Procurement

5. **Award**
Low Bid, Award of Contract, Solicitation No.166397 (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
6. **Award**
Low Bid: Award of Contract, Requisition No. 15-112 (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Real Property

7. Agua Linda Water Assn., to provide a license for right-of-way encroachment on Avenida La Vallita and Camino Namara for a private waterline, contract amount \$1,625.00 revenue/25 year term (CTN-PW-15-97)
8. Catalina Radio Control Modelers, Inc., to provide a license to encroach on the Ina Road Wastewater Outlying Facilities for the purpose of operating radio controlled model airplanes, contract amount \$2,500.00 revenue/25 year term (CTN-PW-15-99)

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2002-273

9. Virginia C. Murphy, Steven M. Gootter Foundation, The Westin La Paloma, 3800 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, March 7, 2015.
10. Kirk D. Wallendorf, Alisa's Angels Foundation, The Westin La Paloma, 3800 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, March 29, 2015.
11. John A. Sharpton, Southwest Community Center, 5950 S. Cardinal Avenue, Tucson, February 15, 2015.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

12. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

Nathan Thomas Wade \$54.00; Steiner Education Group \$3,000.00; Arcadia Gardens \$600.00; Robert Mayer \$75.00; Central Pet Tucson, L.L.C. \$403.00; Exhaust Works on Broadway, L.L.C. \$911.04; Todd A. Lewis \$135.00; Nathan Thomas Wade \$54.00; Kay Willard \$400.00

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

13. Minutes: January 13 and 20, 2015

* * *

29. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:59 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK