BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM SUMMARY

Requested Board Meeting Date: April 15, 2014

ITEM SUMMARY, JUSTIFICATION and/or SPECIAL CONSIDERATIONS:

Amendment # 10 Contract # CT-PO-11021149-P, AECOM Technical Services, Inc., to provide <u>Architectural Services – Downtown Court Complex.</u> This Amendment adds additional design services and increases funding in the amount of \$176,100.00 to provide payment for these additional services. <u>Funding source</u>: Certificates of Participation. <u>Administering Department:</u> Facilities Management.

Effective Date:

April 15, 2014

Termination Date:

June 30, 2015

Original Contract Amount:

\$7,730,000.00

06-30-

Previous Amendment(s):

\$10,549,890.00

\$18,455.590.00

Previous Contract Amount:

\$18,279,890.00

innex : Fenewal:

03-01-2015

This Amount this Amendment:

\$176,100.00

(erm

06-30-2015

Revised Contract Amount:

\$18,455,990.00

Project Manager:

Carter Volle, Facilities Management

Contract Officer:

Melissa Hala'ufia, 724-8586

Procurement Department

Vendor is using a Social Security Number: No

Please return to Harry Lewis.

CLERK OF THE BOARD USE ONLY: BOS MTG.

ITEM No.

Ver. 13 Vendor. 1 Pgs. 8 To: COB- 4.2-14

Agenda 4-15-14

(1)

Procure Dept 03/28*14 PM01:20

PIMA COUNTY FACILITIES MANAGEMENT DEPARTMENT

PROJECT:

Architectural Services - Pima County

Downtown Court Complex

CONSULTANT:

AECOM Technical Services, Inc.

2325 E. Camelback Road, Suite 200

Phoenix, AZ 85016

CONTRACT NO.:

CT - PO- 11021149-P

AMENDMENT NO.: Ten(10)

FUNDING:

Certificates of Participation

CONTRACT TERM: 08/15/05 to 08/14/10

TERMINATION PRIOR AMENDMENT: 06/30/2015

TERMINATION THIS AMENDMENT: 06/30/15

ORIGINAL CONTRACT AMOUNT:

PRIOR AMENDMENT(S):

\$ 7,730,000.00

on all

and

this

CONTRACT

correspondence

NO.CT-PO-11021149-

This number must appear

AMENDMENT NO.

documents pertaining

invoices,

contract.

\$ 10,549,890.00

AMOUNT THIS AMENDMENT:

176,100.00

REVISED CONTRACT AMOUNT:

\$ 18,455,990.00

CONTRACT AMENDMENT

WHEREAS, COUNTY and CONSULTANT have entered into the Contract referenced above; and

WHEREAS. COUNTY has requested modifications to the scope of service including additional design services related to the Tenant Improvements and to the Parking Garage/Retail portions of the work; and

WHEREAS, CONSULTANT has agreed to provide these additional services at a cost acceptable to COUNTY; and

WHEREAS, COUNTY and CONSULTANT have agreed to add these additional services and increase funding to provide payment for said services.

NOW, THEREFORE, it is agreed as follows:

- 1. CHANGE the following paragraphs of ARTICLE III COMPENSTATION AND PAYMENT as follows:
 - a. FROM: "In consideration of the services specified in this Contract, the COUNTY agrees to pay CONSULTANT in a Total Amount Not to Exceed \$18,279,890.00." This amount includes stipulated fees for ... ":
 - "In consideration of the services specified in this Contract, the COUNTY agrees to pay CONSULTANT in a Total Amount Not to Exceed \$18,455,990.00. This amount includes stipulated fees for...":
 - b. FROM: "Tier Two Services a sum Not to Exceed \$16,614,078.00 reflecting a \$530,161.00 increase due to the due to the addition of the following services as defined in Appendix "C-7" (8 pages) to the existing Tier Two Services previously added by Amendment:
 - Phase 1 CA and Phase 2 Task 1 Design Phase Services, not to exceed \$58,885.00, and
 - Design Phase Services-Tenant Improvements Task 2 (Design for new tenants) not to exceed \$301,738.00, and
 - Construction Phase Services- Tenant Improvements, not to exceed \$42,228.00, and
 - Design Phase Services- Parking Garage/Retail Lower Level, SD, DD, CD, GMP and CA not to exceed \$127,310.00"
 - "Tier Two Services a sum Not to Exceed \$16,790,178.00 which reflects an increase of \$115.500.00 TO: to 'Tenant Improvements Task 2' and an increase of \$60,600.00 to 'Parking Garage/Retail' as defined in Appendix "C-8" (6 pages) which is hereby added and incorporated to the Contract.

This Amendment shall be effective on April 15, 2014.

All other provisions of the Contract, not specifically changed by this Amendment, shall remain in effect and be binding upon the parties.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, the parties have affixed their signatures to this Amendment on the dates written below.

HENPY PITTLER, PRINCIPAL

APPROVED:	CONSULTANT
Chair, Board of Supervisors	Signature HENRY PITTUER
Date	Name and Title (Please Print)
ATTEST:	3/27/14 Date
•	
Clerk of the Board	,
Date	
APPROVED AS TO FORM:	
Deputy County Attorney	•
HAL GILBREATH Name (Please Print)	
26.1.	and the second second

APPENDIX "C-8" (6 pages)

AECOM 999 Town and Country Road, Orange, CA 92868 1744.567.2400 F 714.567.2729 www.aecom.com



March 12, 2014

Mr. Carter Volle Pima County Facilities Management 150 W. Congress, 5th Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

Project:

Downtown Courthouse Complex

AECOM Project No.:

60175655

Subject:

Additional Services Request for Court Tower T.I. and Parking/Retail Changes

(Revised)

Dear Mr. Volle.

AECOM Technical Services, Inc., dba AECOM, is pleased to present this fee proposal for the Court Tower Tenant Improvement and Parking/Retail changes. Included herein is a summary description of the background of the project, the scope of the client requested changes and revisions, and the cost impact of the actions.

Item 1.

BACKGROUND: This project was originally intended to be designed and developed in two different packages; the core and shell of the main courthouse tower, and the build out of the tenant improvements by floor. Each floor would have essentially been similar to other floors because the Courts were occupying the entire building including the low voltage and electrical system infrastructure. Due to various circumstances, the function of the court floors changed, substituting county departments and their elected officials to occupy the court floors. The design of the low voltage and electrical infrastructure of the building therefore had to be changed due to the different requirements of the individual departments.

SCOPE: The scopes of work associated with the redesign of the Court Tower Tenant Improvements, the Lower Level, First Floor, Fourth Floor and Fifth Floor T.I. drawings are listed below. The proposed fees are identified in the attached fee matrix.

Additional Services for revisions to 2nd, 4th, 5th floor:

The following Architectural Supplemental Instructions (ASIs) were required due to changes after the original design was already completed or significantly underway;

ASI 132 – Level 2 Revisions; Electrical, Data, Audio Visual, Information Technology (IT) and Telecommunications. Affected drawings include Level 2 Furniture Plan, 2E012, 2E102A and B, ESK-36, 2AV010 and 12, 2AV531, 2T102A and B.

ASI 135 - Level 4 Transaction Window. Affected drawings include ASK - 53.

ASI 139 - Elevator 11 Security Partition; new requirement. Affected drawings include ASK - 56.

ASI 140 - Level 4 and 5 Power and Data Revisions; redesign. Affected drawings include Level 4 and 5 Furniture Plans; 2E104A and B, 2E105A and B, 2T105A and B

ASI 143 — Revisions to Level 2 Pro Se Area; multiple revisions and coordination with subs. Affected disciplines include architecture, electrical, tel/data, mechanical.

ASI 148 - Power, Data and AV Level 2; revisions to original design. Affected disciplines include architecture, electrical, tel/data.

ASI 153 - Barricade west end of Council Street; additional design. Affected disciplines include architecture, civil, landscape.

ASI 154 - Fence Panel and Gate at Central Plant; additional design. Affected disciplines include architecture, civil, landscape:

ASI 155 - Delete millwork, sink and plumbing at Jury Staging Rm. Affected disciplines include architecture, mechanical.

Modifications and Design Changes to Lower Level IT Room

The Lower Level IT/Server Room has been redesigned. The county departments did not agree to the original design and have their own requirements for each of their respective areas. This includes primarily the Data/Telecommunications discipline but also affects the mechanical, and electrical disciplines redesigning and resizing the mechanical equipment and the electrical service that was originally intended for that area. The drawings are in progress.

IMPACT TO AECOM: The redesign effort of the Lower Level/IT Server Room has caused the delay of the architectural Tenant Improvement drawings and the commensurate drawings from the mechanical, electrical and plumbing disciplines which use the architectural drawings as their background. The delay in completing the drawings in turn delays the construction manager from moving forward with construction on the lower level. The construction manager estimates that the schedule delay for the completion of the courthouse tower is approximately 60 days. The costs of this effort for AECOM and its consultants is \$115,500.

Item 2.

Parking Structure and Retail Redesign

BACKGROUND: The Parking Garage was intended to start construction and be completed concurrently with the completion of the courthouse tower. Due to a higher construction bid than anticipated, additional time to value engineer the project was required which extended the completion schedule. This additional services request defines the impact of these changes.

AECOM completed the 100% Design Development documents per contract. Sundt Construction, the Construction Manager at Risk, elected to submit the 100% DD package for bid and found the cost estimate was in excess of the County's allotted budget. Sundt entered a Value Engineering Phase to reduce the budget and required the drawings to be adjusted accordingly. This delayed the start of the construction document phase.

SCOPE: The original contract intended for the Parking Structure and the Court Tower to be completed at the same time allowing use of AECOM's Construction Administrator to work on both buildings simultaneously. With the delay of the start of construction of the parking structure, the additional construction administration time for the parking structure must be added back into the fee. Further, Walker Parking Consultants, the structural engineer for the parking structure has requested additional services for the reconfiguration of the elevator shafts and the requirement to raise the parking floors one foot.

Landscaping and Construction Administration

The landscaping consultant Kimley-Horn must complete the owner requested and Value Engineering suggestions related to planting, irrigation, hardscape, material selection, specifications and GMP review at the 95% and 100% submittals of construction documents.

This work will include any construction administration not covered in previous fee proposals and will cover additional RFIs and punch-lists associated with the completion of the courthouse tower and parking garage.

IMPACT TO AECOM: The issuance of bid documents at the 100% Design Document submission by Sundt Construction and the subsequent influx of questions, caused a delay in moving forward into the construction document phase, and subsequently delaying the approval from the County Board of Supervisors. AECOM never anticipated the bidding taking place until at least 50% construction documents were completed. Therefore, additional hours were spent on the parking garage in order for Sundt to meet their Board submission date even though Pima County was clear in their direction that the court tenant improvements were the priority. The disruption of the design flow created inefficiencies in the project team and significant additional effort by AECOM and its consultants. The cost of this effort is \$60,600.

Refer to the attached Fee Proposal Breakdown for detailed information on the fee proposal. This Additional Service Request will come to a total of \$176,100.

If you have any questions or wish to discuss this, please feel free to call John Van Whervin, Project Manager at (714) 305-7041 or me at (951) 213-1412.

Yours sincerely **AECOM Design**

John Van Whervin Project Manager

Henry Pittner

Principal. West Region Justice

Operations Manager



PIMA COURTS FEE PROPOSAL - Complete work for TIs and Parking Garage/Retail

By: John Van Whervin Date: 2/25/2014

DESIGN PHASE SERVICES. Tenant Improvements Task 2 (Design for New Tenants)	
Additional Fee Requested - 2nd, 4th and 5th floor revisions, and Lower Level IT Rm revisions	\$ 415,500
TOTAL ADDITIONAL SERVICES REQUEST - Tenant Improvements	\$ 115,500
DESIGN PHASE SERVICES - Parking Garage/Retail, CD, GMP and CA	
Additional Fee Requested (Landscaping and Parking Structure redesign)	\$ 60,600
TOTAL ADDITIONAL SERVICES REQUEST - Parking/Retail	\$ 60,600
TOTAL ADDITIONAL SERVICES REQUEST - ALL SERVICES	\$ 176,100
	Rounded \$ 176,100



PIMA COURTS FEE PROPOSAL - Complete work for TIs and Parking Garage/Retail

By: John Van Whervin

Date: 2/25/2014

Construction	Documents	- Tis for Low	er Level. 2n	nd. 4th and	5th Floor changes

Category	% Time	Weeks	Hours	C	L Rate	i.	Billing Rate	:	Gost	Comments
1 Project Manager				\$	55.00	\$	159	\$		John Van Whervin
2 Deputy Project Manager/PA	e egg , 15 til njegette g av , 20 v , 21	W., W. and W. (1)	44	\$	44.00	\$	127	\$	5,604	Sheila Cook
3 On-Site Construction Administrator			4	\$	43.00	\$	124	\$	498	Mike Hall
4 Interiors Project Manager	Course Control of the	1. St	12	\$	60.00	\$	174	\$	2,084	Gianluigi Protano
5 Interiors Designer #1			84	\$	35.00	\$	101	\$	8,510	Varies
6 Telecom Engineer	, 77 (77 - 722)		146	\$	68.00	\$	197	\$	28,737	Billy Vanderbur
7 Electronic Security Engineer	y no. p compre		0	\$	62.00	\$	179	\$		Doug Milby
8 Electronic Security Designer			0	\$	35,00	\$	101	\$	AT-	Justin Martin
9 QA/QC Architects	0.0%	O	0	\$	58.00	\$	168	\$	**************************************	Various (average)
10 QA/QC Engineers (multiple disciplines)	0.0%	O	0	\$	62.00	\$	179	\$	and a	Various (average)
			290				,	\$	45,433	

Rounded AECOM PHASE 2 CONST DOCS ADDITIONAL SERVICES TOTAL FTE 0.19 156.66 Average Billing Rate Direct Costs 45,400

TOTAL AECOM	TASK 2 ADDITIONAL SERVICES	'e

CONSULTANT ADDITIONAL BASIC SERVICES		
GLHN (per attached proposal)	•	
5th Floor Revisions		
TOTAL GLHN DESIGN PHASE SERVICES	,	

,			Rounded
SUPPLEMENTAL SERVICES	•		
SUBTOTAL OF CONSULTANTS			
AECOM Consultant Markup Per Contract (10%)		•	
TOTAL TASK 2 (2nd, 4th and 5th FLOOR FEE)			
alestica distinuis interesti de la proposició de la constanció de la constanció de la constanció de la constanción	n dani (1819/2015 depeta de place de 1816/40	edinistamen protein terresen er röntin	Rounded

		Comments		
\$	63,710		 	- 111111
\$ 1.73	63,710)		
	63 700			

63,700 6,369

115,500

60,600

Rounded

End Appendix "C-8"

PIMA COURTS FEE PROPOSAL - Complete work for TIs and Parking Garage/Retail

By: John Van Whervin Date: 2/25/2014

DESIGN PHASE SERVICES - Parking Garage/Retail, CD, GMP and CA 🛝

% Time	Weeks	Hours	DL Rat	e	Billing Rate	١.	Cost	Comments
		0	\$ 62	2 \$	180	\$	-	John Van Whervin
		0	\$ 4	\$ \$	127	\$		Sheila Cook
		240	\$ 43	3 \$	124	\$		Mike Hall
		40	\$ 38	3 \$	110	\$	4,400	Olga Kotha
		280			,	\$	34,271	
				R	ounded	5	34,300	
	FJE	0.18		\$	122.40	Ave	rage Billing R	ate
						\$, -
						\$	34,300	
					<u>.</u> "			-
								Commence of the commence of th
					,		- mayesanos	Comments
						9		See Proposal
					ravitud at draf	P	desired in the contract of the	
				ır	ounded	D.	12,000	P.
					~.	-	40.000	1.
'n	,				-	555	1905 A 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	
							12,000	
			,			\$	23 950	1
				P	ounded		The same of the sa	
				17/	Carrage as	W. III	強を確定は一次に対し、	*
	ń	FTE	0 240 40 280 FTE 0.18	0 \$ 62 0 \$ 44 240 \$ 43 40 \$ 38 280 FTE 0.18	0 \$ 62 \$ 0 \$ 44 \$ 240 \$ 43 \$ 40 \$ 38 \$ 280 FTE 0.18	0 \$ 62 \$ 180 0 \$ 44 \$ 127 240 \$ 43 \$ 124 40 \$ 38 \$ 110 280 Rounded FTE 0.18 \$ 122.40	0 \$ 62 \$ 180 \$ 0 \$ 44 \$ 127 \$ 240 \$ 43 \$ 124 \$ 40 \$ 38 \$ 110 \$ 280 Rounded \$ FTE 0.18 \$ 122.40 Ave \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$	0 \$ 62 \$ 180 \$ - 0 \$ 44 \$ 127 \$ - 240 \$ 43 \$ 124 \$ 29,872 40 \$ 38 \$ 110 \$ 4,400 \$ 280