

MEMORANDUM

Date: March 17, 2014

To: The Honorable Chair and Members Pima County Board of Supervisors

From: C.H. Huckelberry County Administrated

Re: Pima Animal Care Center Bond Issue Additional Information

Information has been requested regarding a program summary for the Pima Animal Care Center (PACC) bond question and summary of how the \$22 million is proposed to be spent.

Enclosed is the bond information sheet regarding this project. Our Facilities Management Department developed a program in 2009 that allocates cost by project component. As you can see, the project estimate currently stands at \$22.5 million, which includes inflationary cost estimates and shows the space allocation between remodeling existing facilities and the construction of new facilities. The bond allocation at \$22 million is sufficient to build the new facilities.

If the bond question is successful before actual architectural design is undertaken, Facilities Management and PACC staff will research the most recently constructed animal care facilities throughout the country to determine the most accurate operational model for a new facility. Hence, the original cost model in the 2009 estimate may be altered to meet documented best practices.

I remain confident a \$22 million investment in PACC will develop a new, state-of-the-art facility.

CHH/anc

Attachment

c: Jan Lesher, Deputy County Administrator for Medical & Health Services Lisa Josker, Interim Director, Facilities Management Department Dr. Francisco Garcia, Director, Health Department Kim Janes, Manager, Pima Animal Care Center

Department: Health Department

Date: Updated March 17, 2014

Future Pima County Bond Election Proposed Project

Project Name: Pima County Animal Care Center (PACC) Improvements

Location: PACC Main Facility – 4000 N. Silverbell Road and possibly other satellite locations in Pima County

Scope: The new animal care facility will be constructed in the Greater Tucson Area, which may incorporate improvements to and use of the current structures and may include collaborative facilities with local animal welfare and rescue organizations as needed.

Benefits: Since the original facility was constructed in 1968, standards for animal care have changed significantly, together with public expectations regarding providing nationally accepted humane animal care and animal control functions. The current center has not kept pace with advances in the areas of pet housing, technology, communications, and work place safety. The public expects better conditions for the animals in the shelter and improved conditions conducive to saving as many pets as possible through adoptions and effective collaborations with local and national animal welfare and rescue agencies. A new facility which provides for such collaboration, offers good visibility, and is attractive and convenient for the public will encourage visitors and increase adoptions, rescues and returns to owners. Last year alone, PACC handled 22,000 animals. In a new facility designed to keep animals healthy, we will be able to better rehabilitate sick, injured and behaviorally challenged pets and display all in a more conveniently located, modern, efficient and friendly facility. This will facilitate maximizing the number of pets saved each year by the County and its partners, which will, in turn, encourage community support and pride.

Costs: \$22,000,000

Bond Funding: \$22,000,000

Other Funding: None identified at this time

Fiscal Year Project Start and Finish Date: TBD

Project Management Jurisdiction: Pima County

Future Operating and Maintenance Costs: There will not be an increase in operating and maintenance costs associated with construction of this facility.

Regional Benefits: Building new animal care facilities will provide a state of the art, healthier environment for both animals and staff. Additionally, the new facility will provide updated, proven, attractive and positive environments for the public, resulting in increased adoption rates. Furthermore, new construction of adequate, modern animal sheltering facilities is less expensive than remodeling old buildings and would allow the present site to remain in operation while the new facility is under construction. This would save significant money and allow adoptions and owner recovery of pets to continue during construction, ultimately minimizing the number of animals euthanized.

Supervisor District of Project Location: District 1 – Supervisor Ally Miller

PROJECT NAME:		Pima Animal Care Center / New Facilities ORIGIN DATE: 9/15/2009								
DESCRIPTION:		New building additions and renovations for PACC to include a "New Front Door", Adoptions, Animal Welfare Clinic, Isolation Unit and other buildings to replace modular buildings. Additions and new construction approv23,700 GSF plus 1,000 SF of new enclosed space in existing building and approv8,000 SF of renovation and remodel in existing structure. work will also includec5.21 Acres of site development, landscaping and parking. Plan also includes the possibility of 3 additional kennel buildings to be added as need and								
		funding dictate.			poolining of o ad					
	Formula Deri	ved				Project GSF:	44,40			
	% Percent of Ba	re Construction				Construction Cost Calculation				
	% Percent of To	tal Construction				New Construction GSF Bldgs	23,70			
						Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$243.			
	COSTS:		% Const	% Proj		Direct Const Cost	\$5,759,10			
	Land Acquisition	on				New Const (Rounded)	\$5,760,0			
	A. Right of Way	/ Easement Record	0.0%	0.0%	0	Construction Cost Calculation				
	B. Land Acquis		0.0%	0.0%	0	New Construction GSF / Kennels	11,7			
	Subtotal Lar	nd Acquisition		\$	0	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$195.			
	Construction Cost					Direct Const Cost	\$2,281,5			
	A. New Constru	uction (Buildings)			5,760,000	New Const (Rounded)	\$2,282,0			
	B. New Constru				2,282,000	Bldg Renov GSF	9,00			
	C. Renovation				1,080,000	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$120.0			
	D. Special Fixe				0	Const. Cost	\$1,080,00			
		Iding Removal (2 each)	00.00		40,000	Other Costs (if any)	¢4.000.00			
		ite dev/parking/landscape)	20.0%		1,824,000	Direct Const Cost	\$1,080,0			
	G. Site Utilities		3.0%		100,000	Renovation (Rounded)	\$1,080,0			
	H. Other	Define as Required			0					
i		Hazardous Materials)		\$	0	Total Const \$/SF	\$240			
. !	Consultant Fee			Þ	11,086,000	Total Const \$/SF	\$249.			
	A. Const Mana		0.0%	0.0%	0	Agency CM/CM@Risk Pre-Const S	envices			
	B. Master Plan		0.0%	0.0%	0	Arch/Planner	er vices			
		gineering Fees (A/E)	14.0%	8.4%	1,553,000	A / E / Civil / LS / Interior / LEED				
	D. Water Meter		0.4%	0.2%	40,000	Tucson Water (Meters in place)				
	E. Project Due		5.9%	3.5%	650,000	Survey/Soils/Archiology/Struct/Air E	Balance			
	•	Testing & Inspection Fees	0.3%	0.2%	30,000	Allowance				
		missioning LEED (3rd Party)	1.6%	1.0%	178,000	LEED Commissioning				
	•	Management Services	4.0%	2.4%	444,000	All FM Services Through Project Co	ompletion			
	Subtotal Fee	<u> </u>	•	\$	2,895,000	ů,				
. '	Additional Proj	ect Costs				-				
	A. Furniture, Fi	xtures & Equipment (FF&E)	10.0%	6.0%	1,109,000	Allowance				
	B. Technology/	Data/Telecom/AV/Security	10.0%	6.0%	1,109,000	Allowance				
	C. Other	Define as Required	0.0%	0.0%	0					
	D. Other	Define as Required	0.0%	0.0%	0					
	E. Close-Out C		0.9%	0.5%	100,000	Allowance / Unforeseen closing iter	ns			
	F. Outside Mov	0	0.1%	0.1%	10,000	Allowance				
		rt objects & displays)	1.4%	0.8%	157,000	B.O.S. Mandated (1% of items 2, 3	& 5)			
	H. Advertising	•	0.1%	0.1%	10,000	Allowance				
1	I. Permit Fees		3.2%	1.9%	357,000	Permits and WW Fees				
		ditional Costs		\$	2,852,000	1				
	Owner's Reserv	/e htingency-Design Phase	E 00/	2.00/		Ownerle Bassie O	Contingener			
		ntingency-Design Phase	5.0% 10.0%	3.0% 6.0%	555,000	Owner's Reserve: Overall Total is 15%	Contingency			
I		ner's Reserve	10.0%	6.0%	1,109,000 1,664,000					
	TOTAL PROJE	ECT COST		\$	18,497,000	Total Project \$/SF	\$416.			
	Inflation	aid point of Construction	г	04.004	0.007.000	Inflation: Assumed inflation compounded. 4 year(s) to				
I	A. Inflation to n Subtotal Infl	nid-point of Construction		21.6% \$	3,987,000 3,987,000	compounded. 4 year(s) to				
				•			1			
	TOTAL PROJE	ECT COST w/ INFLATION		\$	22,484,000	Total Project \$/SF	\$506.			
1	Percent Constru	Percent Construction to Total Project Cost			Percentag	Percentage split: Compares Construction to Indirects as				
		ction to Total Project Cost s to Total Project Cost		59.9% 40.1%		e spilt: Compares Construction to Industry s. Total is 100%	unects as			
		Total		40.1%	· ·		porcontage cf			
	Percent of Indira		66.9%	Multiplier: Defines the indirect (soft) costs as a percentage of Construction. *Multiply const cost by this factor equals Total						
		cts to Construction Cost*		00.970		st [(1+%) x CCost = TPCost](less infl				
					Project Cos	$si [(1+\%) \times CCOsi = 1PCOsi](less line)$	allon)			
					Project Cos	Revision Date	9/15/20			

Escalation Schedule							
	Original Total	Driginal Total Project Cost Before Inflation					
	0 Year	No Added Cost of Inflation	0.0%	\$0			
	1 Year	Added Cost of Inflation	5.0%	\$924,850			
	2 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	5.3%	\$971,093			
	3 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	5.5%	\$1,019,647			
##	4 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	5.8%	\$1,070,629			
	5 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	6.1%	\$1,124,161			
	6 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	6.4%	\$1,180,369			
	7 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	6.7%	\$1,239,387			
	8 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	7.0%	\$1,301,357			
	9 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	7.4%	\$1,366,425			
	10 Years	Added Cost of Inflation	7.8%	\$1,434,746			
		•					
Total at 4 year(s) 21.69				\$3,986,219			

NOTES: Land Cost is not included in the construction cost calculation. Land cost is considered an indirect cost. Land cost will generally boost ratio of indirect cost to construction cost. **No Land cost** associated with this project

Owner's Reserve should generally be 15% to 20% for smaller projects and can be reduced to 10% to 15% for larger projects.

Inflation is the effect of time on Total Project Cost. Escalation Schedule compounds the assumed inflation rate on an annual basis only.

Building Cost Estimator: Construction Cost breakdown for Projects with multiple construction types and SF calculator for the various areas involved.

		Construction Cost breakdow	Construction Cost breakdowns		
ects with multiple		Project GSF:	32,700		
or the various	Calculators	Construction Cost Calculation			
	New Bldg GSF	New Const GSF / General Bldgs	5,500		
	7,300 Clinic	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$200.00		
	6,200 Lic/Adopt	Direct Const Cost	\$1,100,000		
	7,300 Clinic 6,200 Lic/Adopt 2,600 Admin	New Const (Rounded)	\$1,100,000		
	2,900 ACO Unit	New Const GSF / Adoption Bldgs	6,200		
	4,700 Isolation Unit	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$250.00		
	0	Direct Const Cost	\$1,550,000		
	23,700 Total	New Const (Rounded)	\$1,550,000		
		New Const GSF / Clinic Bldgs	7,300		
	Kennel GSF	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$270.00		
	3,900 30 kennels	Direct Const Cost	\$1,971,000		
	3,900 30 kennels	New Const (Rounded)	\$1,971,000		
	3,900 30 kennels	New Const GSF / Isolation	4,700		
	0	Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$240.00		
	0	Direct Const Cost	\$1,128,000		
	0	New Const (Rounded)	\$1,128,000		
	11,700 Total	New Const GSF / Kennels	11,700		
		Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$195.00		
		Direct Const Cost	\$2,281,500		
		New Const (Rounded)	\$2,282,000		
		Bldg Renov GSF	9,000		
		Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$120.00		
		Const. Cost	\$1,080,000		
		Other Costs (if any)	\$0		
		Direct Const Cost	\$1,080,000		
		Renovation (Rounded)	\$1,080,000		
		New Construction Average \$/	SF		
Overall Building Cos		Total New Const. w/o Kennels GSF	23,700		
Calculates Average C		Total Const. Cost	\$5,749,000.00		
per SF for all types lis		Est. Bldg \$/GSF	\$243		
Total Cost / Total GSF	- = 1 otal \$/SF	\$/SF All Construction	\$243		