## AGENDA MATERIAL DATE LILIS /22 ITEM NO. RAIZ

## **Melissa Whitney**

From:

Doug Kosloske <d

Sent:

Friday, November 11, 2022 9:31 AM

To:

COB mail

Cc:

District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5

Subject:

Pima County Constables

Agenda Item #11, 12, 19

Unless the city administrator has worked the street as a active law enforcement person she has no idea of the dangers, training, equipment needs and policies implemented to effectively due the job of a constable.

Maybe she should go out and try and serve a subpoena for a civil/criminal court appearance, eviction notice, property seizure etc. The dangers of this service is extremely risky and the salary they receive is very little compared to the risk.

The policies, training, equipment, duties etc should be left up to the Sheriff to research and provide the guidelines for Constables.

The proposal by the city administrator looks like a power grab and not something a non-law enforcement person should be making policies for.

Body cameras are needed. Constables being required to service their county vehicles is INSANE. Training should be left up to the Sheriff and he should oversee the Constables.

Keep the city manager out of these decisions and let law enforcement set the guidelines.

Doug Kosloske Arizona resident in Green Valley 14-22m0951 PCCLKOFED

## **Melissa Whitney**

From:

Sent:

Saturday, November 12, 2022 7:44 PM

To: Subject: District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5; COB\_mail

Board of Supervisors Meeting November 15, 202

Please add the following comments to the addendum for the Tuesday, November 15, 2022 9:00 AM Board of Supervisors' agenda.

Agenda Items #11, 12, and 19 Creating a new classification for Constables Office

We get rid of one constable position (supposedly redistribute his workload) and in Item 19 we need to hire TWO deputies?? What kind of sense does this make?

Gail Ault, Pima County resident