

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in special and regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

FINAL BUDGET HEARING

1. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Final Budget Hearing

Flood Control District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - FC1

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, adopt the Flood Control District Final Budget in the amount of \$18,475,401.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.3235, and adopt Resolution No. 2022 – FC1. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

2. CONTRACT

Pima County Flood Control District and Pima County, to provide an Exchange Agreement: Paradise Falls - Sale 0078 and Special Warranty Deed for mixed-use development on Paradise Falls property adjacent to the Loop, no cost (CTN-RPS-22-183)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

3. **WaterSMART GRANT APPLICATION**

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - FC2, of the Flood Control District Board of Directors approving a Bureau of Reclamation WaterSMART drought resiliency Grant Application for the Massingale Basin - Denny Dunn Park Beneficial Use of Stormwater Project.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott stated that Denny Dunn Park was irrigated with potable water and this project would allow for irrigation with storm water. He asked about the habitat enhancements, any future projects that were similar in nature being considered in the County, and if there were any similar projects proposed by private sector water users.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, responded that the habitat restoration would incorporate green storm water and low-impact development techniques around the park to already existing habitats along water courses. He stated that the Board would be updated on the progress if selected as a recipient. He confirmed that the Public Works Departments were working closely with Grants Management and Innovation on Infrastructure and Jobs Act opportunities, as well as other grant opportunities. He stated that he was unaware of any projects pursued by the private sector.

Supervisor Scott inquired about the use of dry wells to enhance recharge of the aquifer on the property.

Mr. DeBonis explained that dry wells were used for the collection and storage of storm water, which would then be extracted for irrigation.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

4. **CONTRACT**

Rocking K Holdings Ltd. Partnership and Rincon Valley Holdings Ltd. Partnership, to provide for an Agreement to Donate Real Property and Special Warranty Deed, for a portion of Tax Parcel No. 205-81-009A, consisting of 19.875 acres for the Floodprone Land Acquisition Program, located in Section 17, T15S, R16E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, Flood Control Non-Bond Projects Fund, contract amount \$2,100.00 for closing costs (CT-RPS-22-417)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

5. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Improvement District Board met in special session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

1. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

FINAL BUDGET HEARINGS

Improvement District Final Budgets for Fiscal Year 2022/2023, as follows.

If approved, pass and adopt:

Resolution No. 22, in the amount of \$40,000.00 for Hayhook Ranch.

Resolution No. 26, in the amount of \$25,803.00 for Mortimore Addition.

Resolution No. 29, for the following Districts:

Street Lighting Improvement District

CARDINAL ESTATES	\$11,065
CARRIAGE HILLS NO. 1	\$ 7,775
CARRIAGE HILLS NO. 3	\$ 2,131
DESERT STEPPES	\$ 4,664
HERMOSA HILLS ESTATES	\$ 3,597
LAKESIDE NO. 1	\$ 5,906
LITTLETOWN	\$21,377
LONGVIEW ESTATES NO. 1	\$ 8,439
LONGVIEW ESTATES NO. 2	\$ 9,772
MAÑANA GRANDE B	\$ 6,662
MAÑANA GRANDE C	\$10,883
MIDVALE PARK	\$14,201
OAK TREE NO. 1	\$22,746
OAK TREE NO. 2	\$16,893
OAK TREE NO. 3	\$29,531
ORANGE GROVE VALLEY	\$ 6,745
PEACH VALLEY	\$ 3,839
PEPPERTREE	\$ 9,848

ROLLING HILLS	\$21,587
SALIDA DEL SOL	\$13,753

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, approve the Improvement District Final Budgets and adopt the Resolutions. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Library District Board met in special session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

TRUTH IN TAXATION HEARING AND FINAL BUDGET HEARING

1. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Truth in Taxation Hearing

Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-254, conduct a public hearing on proposed expenditures and the District's intent to raise the secondary property taxes over last year's level.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the Truth in Taxation public hearing. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

2. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Final Budget Hearing

Library District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - LD1

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the public hearing, adopt the Library District Final Budget in the amount of \$46,600,637.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.5453, and adopt Resolution No. 2022 – LD1. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

3. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

ROCKING K SOUTH COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Rocking K South Community Facilities District Board met in special session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

1. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

FINAL BUDGET HEARING

Rocking K South Community Facilities District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - RK1

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, adopt the Rocking K South Community Facilities District Final Budget in the amount of \$4,151,842.00, and adopt Resolution No. 2022 - RK1. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

2. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

STADIUM DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Stadium District Board met in special session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

1. The Board of Directors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

FINAL BUDGET HEARING

Stadium District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - SD1

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, adopt the Stadium District Final Budget in the amount of \$8,824,807.00, and adopt Resolution No. 2022 - SD1. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in special and regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
*Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisors Christy and Heinz participated remotely.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

The Land Acknowledgement Statement was delivered by Bob Teso, Mayor, City of South Tucson.

3. PAUSE 4 PAWS

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased animals available for adoption.

4. CALL TO THE PUBLIC

Bill Beard expressed his concerns regarding the upcoming election and staffing of the Elections Department.

Stephanie Kirk spoke in opposition to COVID-19 mask mandates, vaccines and PCR testing.

Anastasia Tsatsakis addressed the Board regarding her concerns with the upcoming election and COVID-19 vaccine requirements for poll workers.

Debra Nugent expressed her opposition to COVID-19 vaccine mandates.

Ana Greif spoke to the Board in regards to data from the comprehensive report for JobPath, Inc.

Paul Parisi addressed the Board about the work done by 4Tucson and stated his opposition to the resolution supporting access to reproductive health care.

* * *

FINAL BUDGET HEARING AND TRUTH IN TAXATION HEARING

5. The Board of Supervisors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Truth in Taxation Hearing

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-17104 and §42-17107, the Board of Supervisors will conduct a public hearing on proposed expenditures and Pima County's intent to raise the primary property taxes over last year's level.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the Truth in Taxation public hearing. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

6. The Board of Supervisors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Final Budget Hearing

Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - 33

Stephanie Kirk spoke to the Board regarding her disapproval of the budget due to mask mandates in libraries and the need for maintenance of the roads.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, adopt the Final Budget in the amount of \$1,932,712,979.00 with an effective tax rate of \$5.0652, and adopt Resolution No. 2022 - 33. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

7. The Board of Supervisors on June 21, 2022, continued the following:

Adopt Debt Service Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2022/2023

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing and adopt the Debt Service Final Budget in the amount of

\$99,266,568.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.3200. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

8. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 9:46 a.m.

9. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:07 a.m. All members were present.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

10. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding the Plaintiff's settlement offer in Marshall Foundation v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2022-000024.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to decline the settlement offer.

11. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a conflict of interest waiver requested by Farhang & Medcoff, P.L.L.C.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to waive the conflict of interest and direct the County Attorney's Office to execute the necessary documents.

12. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding the request by Pima County's outside counsel, Carden Livesay, LTD, for a consent to joint representation in Cox Communications Arizona, L.L.C. v. Maricopa County and Pima County in Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2020-001152.

Supervisors Christy and Heinz abstained from the vote since they were disconnected during executive session.

Chair Bronson asked if there were any caveats if the item was approved.

Lesley Lukach, Deputy County Attorney, responded that there were no caveats and that the County Attorney's Office sought consent to joint representation and direction to execute all necessary documents on behalf of the County.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 3-0 vote, Supervisors Christy and Heinz abstained, to consent to joint representation and direct the County Attorney's Office to execute all necessary documents.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

13. Juneteenth Paid Administrative Leave Day and Contribution to 2023 Celebration

Discussion/Direction/Action: President Abraham Lincoln issued the Emancipation Proclamation, freeing those in the Southern states from indentured servitude, on January 1, 1863. That was in the midst of the Civil War between the Northern and Southern states and those enslaved only learned of their freedom bit by bit after the war ended on April 9, 1865. Word of enslaved people's freedom reached Galveston, Texas, in a state with an estimated 250,000 people in slavery, on June 19, 1865. This became known as Juneteenth and its celebration spread from Galveston across the United States - Juneteenth has been celebrated in Tucson and Pima County for 52 years.

On June 15, 2021, the United States Senate voted unanimously to make Juneteenth an official federal holiday on June 19 of each year - the Friday before when the day is Saturday and the Monday after when the day is Sunday. On June 16, 2021, the U.S. House of Representatives voted 415-14 in favor of following the Senate's lead. President Joe Biden signed the measure into law on June 17, 2021.

Direction to the Administration: Please take the necessary steps to prepare for Board of Supervisors action on a resolution awarding county employee with a paid administrative leave day off to celebrate Juneteenth, on or around June 19 of each year, in a manner similar to that of Cesar Chavez Day. In addition, we propose that Pima County contribute \$10,000 to the local 2023 Juneteenth Celebration. (District 5)

It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to direct staff to prepare a resolution for Board consideration, to award county employees with a paid administrative leave day for the celebration of Juneteenth, on or around June 19th of each year, in a manner similar to that of Cesar Chavez Day and for the contribution of \$10,000.00 to the local 2023 Juneteenth Celebration. No vote was taken at this time.

A substitute motion was made by Supervisor Christy to continue the item until an analysis was received that included all paid holiday dates provided to County employees, the cost effects of the proposed paid administrative leave day, as well as the ability to engage Visit Tucson and Attractions and Tourism on the collaboration of Juneteenth events. He added their involvement would heighten awareness in the community and would allow for them to provide input on appropriate funding. The substitute motion died for lack of a second.

Chair Bronson stated that she supported the item and asked the County Attorney's Office to make sure legally, they could proceed with a paid administrative leave day

for employees due to the Legislature's recent action regarding Juneteenth, that had been signed by Governor Ducey.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote of the original motion, it carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

14. County Administrator's Update

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, provided the following updates:

- She noted that COVID-19 cases had been continually rising in the County.
- She acknowledged the Health Department for their work with the Federal Emergency Management Agency to open up a COVID-19 treatment facility at the East Clinic. She elaborated on the eligibility of some community members for the Test to Treat Program if they tested positive at home.
- She shared that the Clerk of the Board's Office was moving to the 1st Floor of 33 N. Stone and thanked Facilities Management staff for their hard work moving all departments in the Administration East building to other downtown buildings for the asbestos remediation and façade improvements.
- She commented on the partnership between the Health Department and the Libraries on the distribution of gun locks in the community.
- She stated that the Health Department was working with Community and Workforce Development and Kino Service Center, to provide relief for individuals who needed to get out of the heat. She stated that bottled water, sunscreen, masks, and COVID-19 tests were available for individuals who needed them and these resources were also available at libraries, neighborhood centers and the Kino Service Center in Ajo.

ATTRACTIONS AND TOURISM

15. Attractions and Tourism Outside Agency Funding Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2022/2023

Agency/Approved Contract Amount/Program

Arizona Media Arts Center/\$10,000.00/30th Arizona International Film Festival

Arts Foundation for Tucson & Southern Arizona/\$21,811.11/Powering the Future with Imagination

Children's Museum Tucson/\$43,488.89/Museums For All, Discovery Nights and Generation Creation Program

International Sonoran Desert Alliance/\$12,488.89/Wayfinding and Signage for an Emerging Destination

Jazz in January, d.b.a. Tucson Jazz Festival/\$21,488.89/Tucson Jazz Festival 2022-2023

La Frontera Mariachi Conference, Inc./\$14,822.22/Tucson International Mariachi Conference

Perimeter Bicycling Association of America, Inc./\$35,655.56/El Tour de Tucson

Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./\$13,155.56/Amado Chili Cook-Off and Randy Estes Classic Car and Motorcycle Show

Santa Cruz Valley Heritage Alliance, Inc./\$16,488.89/Santa Cruz Valley National Heritage Area

Second Saturdays, Inc./\$13,988.89/2nd Saturdays

Southern Arizona Attractions Alliance, Inc./\$25,000.00/Attractions Economic Development Restart

Southwest Folklife Alliance, Inc./\$29,655.56/Tucson Meet Yourself Folklife Festival

Tucson Botanical Gardens/\$40,488.89/FY22-23 Programs & Exhibits at the Tucson Botanical Gardens
Tucson City of Gastronomy/\$14,822.22/Tucson City of Gastronomy
Tucson Presidio for Historic Preservation/\$28,488.89/Heritage and History Events
GRAND TOTAL: \$341,844.46

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

COMMUNITY AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

16. Outside Agency Advisory Committee Funding Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2022/2023

Community Services Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Amistad y Salud, Clinica Amistad/Community Health & Wellness/\$45,000/\$23,667
Catholic Community Services of Southern AZ, Inc., d.b.a. Community Outreach Program for the Deaf/Reengaging and connecting Deaf, DeafBlind and Elderly Deaf Individuals with resources through supportive partnerships/\$50,000/\$44,017
Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona/Roof Repair and Replacement Program/\$100,000/\$26,233
Direct Center for Independence/Counseling DIRECTIONS/\$25,000/\$18,400
Evolve (formerly RISE)/Evolve Job Training Center/\$40,000/\$17,583
International Rescue Committee, Inc./Job Readiness Training/\$20,000/\$20,000
International Rescue Committee, Inc./Medical Case Management/\$25,000/\$20,000
Jewish Family and Children's Services of Southern Arizona/HoME/\$45,000/\$32,208
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 22-23 (Center)/\$42,668/\$0
Southern Arizona Legal Aid, Inc./Southern Arizona Legal Aid, Inc./\$86,745/\$84,791
YWCA Southern Arizona/YWCA S AZ Women's Counseling Network/\$40,000/\$22,000
Cienega Watershed Partnership/Cienega Watershed Partnership/\$22,900/\$0
Jewish Family and Children's Services of Southern Arizona/Financial Wellness Program/\$70,000/\$15,000
Category Subtotal: \$612,313/\$323,899

Emergency Food & Clothing Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Arivaca Coordinating Council-Human Resource Group, Inc./AHR Food and Clothing/\$60,000/\$52,400
Catholic Community Services, d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center/Emergency Food and Clothing/\$46,957/\$32,081
Community Food Bank, Inc./Branch Resource Centers/\$75,000/\$72,583
Community Food Bank, Inc./Caridad Community Kitchen/\$30,000/\$26,167
Community Food Bank, Inc./Child Nutrition Programs/\$30,000/\$21,583
Community Food Bank, Inc./Emergency Food Assistance Program/\$350,000/\$330,000
Diaper Bank of Southern Arizona/Diapers for Infants, Children, Disabled, and Seniors/\$32,794/\$23,333
IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Clothing Bank/\$36,000/\$29,867
IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Food Bank/\$36,000/\$33,667
Friends of Robles Ranch/Robles Ranch Community Food Bank & My Friends Closet/\$53,895/\$30,000
Sahuarita Food Bank/Sahuarita Food Bank: Emergency Food For Sahuarita and Unincorporated Pima County/\$45,000/\$29,600
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/Food for Life/\$100,000/\$96,167
TMM Family Services, Inc./Community Closet/\$30,000/\$20,800

Sunnyside Unified School District Foundation/For the Barrio From the Barrio/\$75,000/\$0
Category Subtotal: \$1,000,646/\$798,248

Senior Support Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Administration of Resources and Choices/Elder Shelter Coordination & Placement Program/\$39,000/\$36,600
Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc./Quincie Douglas Breakfast/\$85,000/\$31,022
Interfaith Community Services/Special Diet Meals for At Risk Seniors/\$30,000/\$27,600
Interfaith Community Services/Transportation Services for At Risk Seniors/\$30,000/\$26,680
Mobile Meals of Southern Arizona, Inc./Home Delivered, Medically Tailored Meals for Low Income Residents/\$50,000/\$25,127
Southern Arizona Association for the Visually Impaired (SAAVI)/Health and Wellness Program for Blind Seniors/\$20,000/\$19,600
St. Luke's in the Desert Inc., d.b.a. St Luke's Home/Stronger, Longer: Senior Dietary Program/\$38,336/\$22,600
YWCA Southern Arizona/Las Comadritas - Senior Programming at YWCA House of Neighborly Service in the City of South Tucson/\$40,000/\$24,341
Arivaca Coordinating Council-Human Resource Group, Inc./Senior Assistance and Socialization/\$37,000/\$15,000
Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc., d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/SHiM(R) Safety and Health in Motion Fall Prevention/\$18,000/\$0
Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc., d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/Valley Assistance Services Volunteer Coordination and Senior Support program/\$30,000/\$0
Pima Council on Aging/Home Sharing Program/\$100,000/\$0
Category Subtotal: \$517,336/\$228,570

Support Services, Shelter & Domestic Violence Services Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Catholic Community Services, d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center/Case management for homeless families/\$58,110/\$43,740
Interfaith Community Services/Eastside Emergency Financial Assistance/\$30,000/\$19,440
International Rescue Committee, Inc./Housing Support/\$20,000/\$18,400
Old Pueblo Community Services/City County Homeless Work Program/\$25,000/\$20,000
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 22-23 (Emergency Shelter-HFS)/\$43,285/\$37,800
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 22-23 (HYS-CUP)/\$27,539/\$24,600
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Casa Paloma Drop-In Center/\$30,000/\$26,400
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Resource Center: Homelessness Intervention and Prevention Program (HIP)/\$40,000/\$30,200
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Supportive Housing Program/\$40,000/\$35,000
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/SAAF Anti-Violence Project/\$30,000/\$27,000
TMM Family Services, Inc./Case Management for Emergency Housing/\$20,000/\$19,600
Tucson Center for Women and Children, d.b.a. Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse/Comprehensive Domestic Abuse Support Services/\$125,000/\$116,400
Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc., d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/MAP-a- Plan Financial Assistance/Workforce Training Program/\$50,000/\$0
Habitat for Humanity Tucson/Equitable Housing Development/\$70,000/\$0
Pima County Attorney's Office/Adult Diversion Restorative Justice Program/\$60,000/\$0
Category Subtotal: \$668,934/\$418,580

Youth, Young Adults & Family Support Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Arivaca Action Center Incorporated/Arivaca Action Center, Inc./\$17,500/\$17,200
Arizona Youth Partnership/Ajo, Copper Club, Afterschool Program/\$20,025/\$20,017
Arizona's Children Association/Las Familias/\$30,000/\$29,120

Catholic Community Services, d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center/Childcare For Working Poor/\$130,000/\$112,167
 Child & Family Resources, Inc./Pima County Healthy Families/\$47,336/\$38,130
 Girl Scouts of Southern Arizona/Adelante Jovencitas & Foster Care Programs/\$25,000/\$23,083
 Higher Ground a Resource Center/Restart S.M.A.R.T. Initiative/\$374,400/\$25,833
 International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Ajo Las Artes: ABE, GED & Jobs Program/\$45,000/\$45,000
 Jewish Family and Children's Services of Southern Arizona/Project Safe Place (PSP)/\$50,000/\$28,833
 Literacy Connects/Literacy Connects Stories that Soar! Youth Programming/\$50,000/\$41,667
 Make Way for Books/The Story Project/\$35,000/\$19,833
 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/ALLY/\$45,000/\$39,400
 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/EON/\$40,000/\$28,700
 Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Children to Children/\$25,000/\$24,440
 Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Pathways/\$15,000/\$15,000
 Youth On Their Own/YOTO Program (Stipends)/\$91,300/\$81,200
 YWCA Southern Arizona/Pima County Teen Court/\$40,000/\$40,000
 Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc./CPLC Nahui Ollin Wellness Program/\$78,560/\$0
 Green Valley Assistance Services, Inc., d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/Youth and Family Resource Program/\$25,000/\$15,000
 Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./Amado Youth Center Food Program/\$15,000/\$15,000
Category Subtotal: \$1,199,121/\$659,623

General Services Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum/Bufelgrass Education and Coordination/\$54,000/\$46,550
 University of Arizona Mobile Health Program/Mobile Health Program for Medically Underserved Residents/\$64,990/\$45,200
 El Rio Santa Cruz Neighborhood Health Center, Inc./Patient Centered Medical Home Program/\$150,000/\$47,125
 El Rio Santa Cruz Neighborhood Health Center, Inc./Trichloroethylene (TCE) Program/\$163,200/\$163,200
 Metropolitan Education Commission/MEC/\$92,130/\$70,000
 Metropolitan Education Commission/RCAC/\$72,670/\$52,000
 Pima Council on Aging/Family Caregiver Support Program/\$19,600/\$19,600
 Pima Council on Aging/Home Delivered Meals/\$19,680/\$19,680
 Pima Council on Aging/Neighbors Care Alliance/\$58,000/\$58,000
 Pima Council on Aging/Rights & Benefits, Older Adults/\$132,300/\$132,300
 Pima Council on Aging/Senior Companion Program/\$30,000/\$30,000
 Pima County Community Land Trust/Housing Counseling/\$65,000/\$63,000
 Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center, Inc./Support Services for Child Victims/\$73,000/\$72,560
 United Way of Tucson and Southern Arizona/Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program (VITA)/\$30,000/\$28,000
 Community Planning/Reserve Funds/-/\$87,625
Category Subtotal: \$1,024,570/\$934,840

OA SERVICE CATEGORIES TOTAL: \$5,022,920/\$3,363,760

County Departments Managing OA Programs with Other Sources

Agency/Program/Amount Requested*/Amount Recommended*

Desert Survivors, Inc./Desert Survivors, Inc. River Park Maintenance/\$63,760/\$63,760
 Pima Association of Governments/Overall Work Program/\$298,000/\$298,000
Category Subtotal: \$361,760/\$361,760

OA PROGRAM TOTAL: \$5,384,680/\$3,725,520

*Requested and Recommended funding represents year one (FY22/23) of a two year contract, with year 2 funding at an identical level in FY23/24.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item with an amendment to allocate an additional \$20,000.00 to the Friends of Robles Ranch from the General Contingency Fund, to support their operation due to the loss of volunteers from COVID-19 and they provided the only access to services in the rural area. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott asked why \$70,000.00 for the Metropolitan Education Commission (MEC) and \$52,000.00 for the Regional College Access Center was included in the competitive process since their base funding was taken out of the outside agency process.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded she would confirm where the commitment was in the budget and if MEC had been moved to base funding.

Supervisor Heinz commented that the Pima Association of Governments (PAG) administered public dollars and did not provide enough transparency or accountability to the County. He was hopeful that moving forward, PAG would be more accountable to the County and all jurisdictions they represented, and that the County would be able to pull annual dues to allow for a review of PAG's work, to ensure it aligned with the Board's wishes.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

17. Final Plat With Assurances

P22FP00009, Rocking K South Neighborhood 4, Parcel M, Lots 1-164 and Common Areas "A and B". (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

GRANTS MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION

18. Ak-Chin Indian Community Shared Revenue Program Funds

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - 38, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve acceptance of Ak-Chin Indian Community shared revenue program funds and pass through to entities in Pima County designated as grantees by the Ak-Chin Indian Community.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

REAL PROPERTY

19. Sale of Real Property - Lots 14-39

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - 39, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing sale of land held by State under a Treasurer's Deed as Pima County Tax Sale No. TS-0042, Tax Parcel Nos. 136-13-0630 through 136-13-0880.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

TRANSPORTATION

20. Road Pavement and Repair Preservation Program

Staff recommends the Procurement Director be authorized to execute any and all contracts, amendments and change orders to the contracts that are a result of the bid process for the road repair program, provided that the combined not-to-exceed amount of all contracts does not exceed \$66,800,000.00.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

21. Hearing - Liquor License

Job No. 196137, Kevin Arnold Kramber, Tuk Tuk Thai, 6878 E. Sunrise Drive, No. 160, Tucson, Series 12, Restaurant, New License.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

22. Hearing - Permanent Extension of Premises/Patio Permit

011100018430, Andrea Dahlman Lewkowitz, Mt. Lemmon Lodge and Forest Garden, 12833 N. Sabino Canyon Park, Mount Lemmon.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the permit and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

23. **Support for Access to Reproductive Health Care**

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - 40, of the Board of Supervisors, declaring its support for access to reproductive health care, including legal, safe abortion services. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva expressed her support for the resolution and explained that the Supreme Court's decision to overturn Roe v. Wade went against democracy which was the core of the community, how it denied civil rights to the people of the United States and the constitutional guarantee of life, liberty and the pursuit of happiness. She stated that criminalizing abortion needlessly endangered lives of women and menstruators and that denying someone the choice to safely terminate their pregnancy was a form of oppression and stripped women and individuals of their bodily autonomy and perpetuated the cycle of poverty.

Supervisor Scott shared his support for the resolution and for the comments made by Supervisor Grijalva. He asked that frequent updates be provided to the Board regarding how community clinical partners were convened across the County for the purpose of planning, coordination and delivery of legal reproductive care. He hoped the resolution helped local officials find clarity in questions that arose since the Dobbs decision.

Supervisor Heinz stated that he supported the resolution and the comments made by his colleagues. He stated his belief that access to reproductive healthcare was a constitutional protection. He directed staff to look at ways to extend services through telehealth and telemedicine resources into the libraries for counseling, contraception and provision of Plan B and other interventions as deemed appropriate.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

24. **Removal of Vaccination Requirement for Election Aide**

Discussion/Action: A measure removing the requirement that new employees be vaccinated against COVID-19 solely for the position of Election Aide (temporary employees) within the Elections Department **and the Recorder's Office**. (District 1)

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Chair Bronson to approve the item with an amendment to include the Recorder's Office employees. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott reminded the public that the County required COVID-19 vaccinations for current employees who worked with vulnerable populations, who sought a promotion, who worked outside the County beyond their duties as a County employee and for new hires. He stated that the Board previously removed that requirement for Election Day workers, and after discussion with both the Elections Department and the Recorder's Office, they would like to remove the requirement for Election Aide workers due to previous experience and knowledge of people that may be hired for that position.

Supervisor Christy stated that in prior meetings where removal of vaccination requirements were discussed, a significant number of employees were not included from the Elections Department and the Recorder's Office. He asked if the approval of this item would give assurances and guarantees that COVID-19 restrictions, requirements and mandates would be removed and lifted off of all election hires and workers in both of those departments, and if it would apply to all election hires and workers who worked in all capacities at all staffing levels and who worked throughout the 2022 County election cycle.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded the request was for individuals that worked for a limited period of time for the elections. She stated that Supervisor Christy's request was for other individuals hired by the Elections Department who were considered permanent employees, which the mandate would not be lifted for.

Supervisor Scott commented that the Arizona Legislature had passed legislation that removed vaccine requirements imposed by all governments 90 days after the legislature adjourned, which would be in September. He stated that this item was for temporary workers, Election Aides, in both the Elections Department and Recorder's Office.

Ms. Leshar confirmed the legislation would go into effect on September 24, 2022.

Chair Bronson stated that Supervisor Christy's request was addressed at the State level and the Board needed to act immediately on this request to ensure there were election workers for the Primary Election.

Supervisor Christy commented that he did not want any inconsistencies in the requirements for new hires versus returning employees who had previously worked on an election cycle. He stated his concerns that without a broad requirement it would create an imbalanced number of poll workers. He stated that leadership in the departments could have separate accommodations, but would like clear requirements for volunteers or temporary employees.

Supervisor Scott stated he did not believe there would be any inconsistencies in the requirements between certain employees and that the Recorder's Office had requested inclusion since there were specific prior employees hired as Election Aides that had prior experience and knowledge. He asked the County Administrator

to provide further explanation of the three specific roles of an Election Aide worker and reiterated that there needed to be an equal number of Election Aides from both parties.

Ms. Leshar indicated that the intent of the item was not to be inconsistent, but to make sure all individuals who worked for the Elections Department and Recorder's Office leading up to Election Day were included. She stated that the only exception would be permanent employees who worked 5 days a week and were not solely hired for the Election since they would fall under the legislation from the State vaccine mandate until September 24th. She clarified that this request was only for individuals working on Election Day activities and election cycles. She stated the need for an expanded number of individuals was to ensure there was experience and there was a sufficient number of individuals that fell under the Republican, Democrat or Partisan parties that were needed. She added that she would provide the Board with a previous email she had sent to Supervisor Scott that referenced the roles of an Election Aide.

Supervisor Scott indicated the email provided by the County Administrator stated that the Elections Department needed to hire Election Aides to work on the election boards. He explained that election boards were categorized as the following: the early board, which were individuals opening and processing early ballots; the duplication board, which were individuals marking new ballots based on voter intent; and the night board, individuals who received ballots from vote centers. He stated that there was a need for 20 election workers to fill the openings and that there were 51 election board workers in all three positions, which needed an equal number of Democrats and Republicans.

Supervisor Christy requested clarification of the impact this request would have on new hires versus returning workers.

Supervisor Scott stated that individuals who had previously worked as an Election Aide and were rehired, would not be subject to the vaccine requirement. He explained that the Recorder's Office spoke about rehiring individuals who had worked in previous elections because of the prior experience and institutional knowledge.

Supervisor Christy asked if an amendment could be included that added the verbiage "new and former employees."

Chair Bronson stated that the language was already present for the position since all individuals hired would be temporary or intermittent workers and not full-time employees.

Ms. Leshar clarified that the item was inclusive of hiring or rehiring intermittent workers for the purpose of the election.

Supervisor Christy stated that he could support the item if there were assurances that there would be no instances of constituents denied work because they were not vaccinated.

Supervisor Scott shared that he would also have concerns if there were reports of constituents who had been denied work, since the request for removal of the vaccination requirement was made by the Elections Department and Recorder's Office.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Grijalva voted "Nay."

25. Valley Assistance Services Presentation

Presentation by Christine Erickson, Executive Director of Valley Assistance Services, reporting the current and dynamic demand for support from residents throughout the agency's service area, which spans three Supervisorial Districts.

Discussion/Direction regarding the presentation and next steps. (District 4)

Christine Erickson, Executive Director of Valley Assistance Services, gave a presentation on the programs and services that were provided by the agency, that included the funding required for these services.

Chair Bronson thanked Ms. Erickson and Mary Fisher for all their work and for educating the Board on all of the programs and services provided by their agency since rural areas were often neglected with services. She directed them to contact County Administration for help and resources to find more funding.

Supervisor Christy commented on the issues the agency faced with after-hours care and at times Sheriff's Auxiliary volunteers and other Green Valley Council members had used their own money to provide sustenance for the residents. He hoped the agency could work with County Administration on funding resources to help support the rural areas in the community.

Ms. Erickson stated that most grants were competitive and often went to Tucson agencies and that the process could take a long time to get funding for specific needs. She explained that they did not have a homeless shelter, but tried to meet all of the needs of each person.

Chair Bronson stated there was an increased need for help for the aging population.

Supervisor Christy reminded Ms. Erickson and Ms. Fisher that they could reach out to the District 4 Green Valley Office for support.

Supervisor Scott asked if staff from Community Workforce and Development could follow up with the agency to help put together a proposal for outside agency support since the agency had not been eligible since 2016.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that she would meet with Valley Assistance Services to discuss funding opportunities and the agency had received \$140,000.00 from the Emergency Solution Services grant.

26. **Mock Elections**

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the Mock Elections conducted by the Elections Director and the Pima County Recorder on June 24 and July 1, 2022. Discussion to include, but not be limited to, an explanation from both officials of the challenges that arose during the sessions, and the steps being taken to address same prior to the Primary Election on August 2, 2022. Given the timing of this discussion, both officials are invited to participate remotely. (District 4)

Supervisor Christy stated that he believed the Mock Election was a misnomer because no election had taken place and there was no tabulation of votes. He stated that it should have been called a demonstration of the new products at the vote centers or a preliminary check in. He added that the demonstration did not differ from the presentation provided to the Board on June 7th and asked for the due dates of the ballots for the new printers.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that the characterization of the mock election by Supervisor Christy was correct. She stated that 211 of the 260 printers had been received and the rest of the printers were scheduled to be received by the end of the week.

Supervisor Christy stated a concern he received about the responsibility between the Recorder's Office and the Elections Department over the configuration of the E-poll books. He asked if assurances could be given that the Recorder's Office was not loading voter registration data on E-poll books and that the Recorder's Office was merely giving the data to the Elections Department. He asked if there was a distinct firewall between the Recorder's Office and the Elections Department.

Constance Hargrove, Director, Elections Department, explained that the voter list was the responsibility of the Recorder's Office and they would upload that information into precinct central and election staff would then download that information to individual iPads or E-poll books. She stated that the firewall was between the live voter registration list that the Recorder maintained and the list that would be used on Election Day.

Supervisor Christy indicated that at the June 17th Election Integrity Commission Meeting, the Commission had voted unanimously for the Board of Supervisors to follow Election Statute and Regulation 16-590, which called for balanced election observers in the 15 vote centers and asked if there were guarantees of compliance.

He asked why the early voting centers were under the responsibility of the Recorder's Office and not the Elections Department.

Ms. Leshner stated that the 15 vote centers were under the direction of the County Recorder and she was not present to answer any questions.

Ms. Hargrove stated that per Statute, the early vote centers were the responsibility of the Recorder's Office.

Supervisor Christy inquired about how the events from June 13th through June 17th, entitled "County in-house touch screen and central count logic and accuracy testing." He also asked about culmination of the other events held by the Elections Department. He asked how accuracy was ensured for both the ballot on-demand printers and the pre-printed ballots. He asked for more clarity on the question of whether the system would track the number of ballots and ballot requests sent to the printer by date and vote center.

Ms. Hargrove responded that Election staff performed logic and accuracy tests to ensure everything worked properly. She stated each political party was invited to fill in their own test ballots and then run the ballots through the scanner to ensure accuracy of equipment and results. She explained that the County passed the logic and accuracy testing by the Secretary of State which certified the County to hold the Primary Election. She stated that all of the events went well and the participants from the Republican and Democrat Parties had accurate results. She explained that the Secretary of State required the Elections Department to print ballots from the ballot on-demand printers, which were used in their testing. She explained that the ballots printed from the vendor and the ballots produced from the ballot marking devices ran through the scanners successfully. She shared that she had not received more information from the vendor on whether the system would track the number of ballots and ballot requests, but a meeting was scheduled with them for the following day, to address that question and all other security questions.

Supervisor Christy asked if all Tenex equipment required for the early and primary voting had arrived and received satisfactory testing since it was stated that the Recorder's Office did not use Tenex equipment and they were still waiting on a final shipment and he asked if the final shipment of the equipment was the new printers. He stated that he had received a comment letter that stated Tenex was still tweaking the iPad interface and as issues arose, Tenex created new trainings and uploaded a new configuration periodically and that they used a master template that was customized for each application which should have been done months in advance.

Ms. Hargrove confirmed that the new printers were the final shipment and they were scheduled to arrive by the end of the week. She stated she was not aware of the comments made about Tenex, but it could be in reference to the workflow between the Recorder's Office and the Election Department that was still being worked on. She explained there was a question from the Election Integrity Commission meeting

about early ballots and the ability to issue a regular ballot or a provisional ballot, which would be considered workflow. She stated that when programming initially happened, it was programmed to issue a regular ballot and there had been discussion since then that would make the ballot a provisional ballot. She explained that when the Elections Department made a change, the Recorder's Office also had to make the change and changes were still being made. She stated that once all changes had been made and workflow was properly working, the system would be locked down. She added that the developers would not make any more changes since their period for changes had passed.

Supervisor Christy asked if all other equipment needed for voting had been received and what the current staffing conditions were for the Elections Department and the Recorder's Office. He commented that there were still significant hurdles to overcome in the next few days and weeks, and inquired about major challenges.

Ms. Hargrove confirmed that all voting equipment had been received, with exception to the printers that would arrive at the end of the week. She stated that testing of equipment and processes would begin on July 7th, after the meeting with the vendor to make sure all development processes were secured and locked down. She explained that an Administrative Manager and Deputy Director had been hired and that the Administrative Manager would start right before the Election, but had prior election experience and the Deputy Director was in another state and would not be in the County until the Election. She stated that there were challenges the department still faced and there was still a lot of work to be done and that the Elections staff would be working overtime to ensure that all systems were locked down and all equipment was tested before Election Day.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

27. Election Preparation Update

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 26, for discussion related to this item.)

CONTRACT AND AWARD

OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY AND CONSERVATION

28. The State of Arizona, Arizona State Land Department, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for technical support, ASHPO and General (\$10,000.00) Funds, contract amount \$35,991.00 (CT-SUS-22-389)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

PROCUREMENT

29. Achen-Gardner Construction, L.L.C., to provide for Construction Manager at Risk Services: Canoa Ranch Sewer Extension (3CRAEX), RWRD Obligations Fund, contract amount \$192,757.70/2 year term (CT-CPO-22-378) Capital Program Office

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

30. **Election Integrity Commission**

Appointment of Christopher King, to replace Mary Preble. Term expiration: 6/28/24. (Republican Party recommendation)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

31. **Air Quality Hearing Board**

- Appointments of Paloma Beamer, Ph.D. to replace Mary K. O'Rourke, Dustin Fitzpatrick, to replace Sheila Bowen and Dr. Philip Harber, M.D., M.P.H., to replace William S. Nevin. Term expirations: 7/4/25. (Staff recommendations)
- Reappointments of Marian LaLonde and Ann Marie Wolf. Term expirations: 10/31/23. (Staff recommendations)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONSENT CALENDAR

32. **Approval of the Consent Calendar**

Upon the request of Supervisor Grijalva to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 2 and 11 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

Upon the request of Supervisor Scott to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 10 and 13 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

Upon the request of Supervisor Christy to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 8, 10, 11, 15, 17, 18, 29, 44 and 45 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott asked about the process that determined if a renewal of a contract was offered, if there were different details for each contract, who made the determination of a renewal and who approved the initial renewal decisions in regards to Consent Item Nos. 19, 20, 21 and 22.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, stated that County Administration would work with Procurement to provide information to the Board on the contracts renewal process.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR GRIJALVA

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Behavioral Health

2. NaphCare, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for correctional health services, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$437,272.08 (CT-BH-22-64)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that this amendment would provide opioid treatment for detainees in adult and juvenile detention facilities and indicated that health services should be provided by the County, which would lower liability and costs. She commented that NaphCare and similar companies were accused of overcharging for services and the County should not settle for less when providing healthcare for the same or less costs. She requested information regarding how these services could be moved in-house.

Chair Bronson agreed with Supervisor Grijalva's comments.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Grijalva voted "Nay."

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

8. Child-Parent Centers, Inc., to provide for Pima Early Education Program Pima Community College Class, U.S. Department of Treasury, American

Rescue Plan Act Coronavirus State & Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, contract amount \$877,232.00/2 year term (CT-CR-22-381)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy expressed his objection to the contract.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISORS CHRISTY AND SCOTT

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Economic Development

10. JobPath, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for JobPath assistance to households and workforce development, extend contract term to 12/31/24, amend contractual language and scope of services, American Rescue Plan Act and Coronavirus State & Local Fiscal Recovery Fund, contract amount \$2,000,000.00 (CT-CA-21-517)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy stated his concern with the supporting documents of the contract that listed metric goals as not determined and asked if the results of the metrics could be provided to the Board.

Ana Greif, Chief Executive Officer, JobPath, Inc., stated that the fiscal year for JobPath had not yet been completed, so there was not a definite answer on goals met. She indicated that there were 620 students enrolled and 120 students that needed to complete their onboarding paperwork.

Chair Bronson clarified that JobPath's fiscal year had not yet ended, but they appeared to have met the metric goals.

Supervisor Christy asked what would happen when American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) funds were gone.

Ms. Greif responded that the company was working to find alternate funding, specifically in private sector philanthropy grants. She explained that JobPath received a \$400,000.00 grant which would help increase the amounts of other sources of revenue.

Supervisor Christy asked for clarification if JobPath was looking for funds from the private sector. He asked if the \$2 million sustainable mark would be

reached in 2 years. He questioned whether the City of Tucson would be participating financially.

Chair Bronson clarified that JobPath was not specifically seeking private sector funding, but funding from nongovernmental organizations.

Ms. Greif agreed that they would look at nongovernmental organizations and would begin a process where employers could support JobPath. She stated their goal was to diversify their revenue sources. She indicated that the \$2 million mark would be met and would be \$1 million per year. She explained that once all operations were functioning, the cost of operations would decrease slightly and they would be able to supplant the \$1 million by 2024. She stated that JobPath was working with the City of Tucson to find ways for the City to support at greater amounts and were awaiting response on their most recent application round.

Supervisor Scott provided background on JobPath's strategic plan and shared that JobPath would receive State ARPA funds in the amount of \$3 million over the course of 2.5 years and that the grants, gifts and the City of Tucson's contribution had decreased over the past few years. He asked for more details on funding for the next two fiscal years to see what was going to be done to increase grants and decrease governmental funding.

Ms. Greif stated that the revenue budget for the next fiscal year was \$3.9 million. She shared that the State ARPA funds would not significantly affect Pima County if it were eliminated due to requirements of funds used in other counties and not in Pima County operations. She stated that there were 8 different sources of funding for the upcoming fiscal year, including \$500,000.00 from Congressman Grijalva, a \$300,000.00 goal for fundraising and grants, and they would continue to look for other sources. She stated their goal was to utilize ARPA funds to show the strength in the infrastructure of the company in order to receive grants of larger amounts.

Supervisor Scott shared that JobPath had a 95% completion rate and commended their work. He stated that it would be unlikely for funds to be distributed from the General Fund when ARPA funds ran out. He quoted from the Gap Analysis in the strategic plan and appreciated the partnerships in the community. He inquired about the partnerships between JobPath and K-12 school districts, and outlined the importance of JobPath in schools through the counselors.

Ms. Greif indicated that JobPath liked to be held accountable to meet the expectations of the County in using funds effectively. She stated that JobPath found that in working with adults who had been out of school for years, they could help remedy recent high school graduates not fall out of the system, which would address the problem at the source. She explained that the Outreach and Recruitment Manager was working to develop relationships

with high schools strategically to use their resources to develop narrow and deep strategies. She stated they were starting to work with Sunnyside Unified School District High Schools to help students in the 3 months between graduation and starting college. She stated they could only work with people over the age of 18, who were already in a program, but they could partner with other organizations to help bridge the gap to help students stay in the school system.

Supervisor Scott asked if the main focus was on the students who were not on track to graduate from high school. He shared that there could be potential in working with students on track to graduate who were likely to attend Pima Community College and become JobPath participants. He also shared the potential in expanding the JTED and CTE programs in all school districts, who could become JobPath participants.

Ms. Grief clarified that the current main support was for adults, with an average age of mid-30s, who had been out of school for years, did not receive adequate schooling and were looking to continue their education. She stated that when they partnered with schools, the students would be aware of the resources provided by JobPath and would hopefully change their perspective and continue their education. She shared that an issue in CTE programs was high school students were not continuing their education in the same field at Pima Community College. She stated that was an area they were looking at to see how students could stay in the same program from high school to college to earn a certificate. She added that there was a high need for JobPath resources, but they would need to make sure there was proper funding.

Supervisor Scott asked for more details on the local collaboration JobPath had with economic leaders to ensure projections were aligned with both educational institution capacity and local workforce demand. He stated that he supported JobPath, but believed the expansion of funding was crucial for them.

Ms. Grief responded that there were conversations with city and county economic employers, as well as schools and organizations to create an alignment for students who did not know what they wanted to do, to set them up with companies who had the most vacancies.

Supervisor Grijalva suggested the collaboration with Tucson Unified School District counselors on their professional development days to help share what resources were available to students through JobPath.

Chair Bronson commented that Flowing Wells School District would also be a good partnership.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the number of unincorporated clients in Pima County compared to the City of Tucson. He asked if they included onboarding students as graduates or as metrics in their numbers and requested a breakdown of students.

Ms. Greif stated she would provide that information to the Board. She indicated that onboarding students who completed all paperwork and were eligible had been included in the metrics, but not considered graduates. She stated graduates were students who completed the program and stayed with JobPath for 6 months after graduation or found employment. She explained that the 670 and 730 goals were the students that were served at some point, not the graduates. She indicated that the economic impact study had been started.

Supervisor Scott encouraged JobPath to reach out to the directors of guidance and counseling in all of the Pima County school districts and JTED staff in the K-12 districts.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISORS CHRISTY AND GRIJALVA

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Economic Development

11. Sun Corridor, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for Economic Development for Pima County and Southern Arizona, extend contract term to 6/30/23 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$650,000.00 (CT-CA-22-25)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva explained that she met with the Chief Executive Officer of Sun Corridor, Joe Snell, and discussed the need for increased communication between the Board and Sun Corridor regarding what kind of businesses were brought into the County. She stated that there had yet to be any further communication, except for an update that lacked more detailed information and was quickly removed due to an error in sending the correct document. She explained that the Board sought businesses that promoted health and prosperity in the community and companies that would not pollute the County's environment. She stated that the business-led economic development group at Sun Corridor was tenacious in leading the new Becton Dickinson facility. She explained that Becton Dickinson had more than 150 lawsuits against them and that facilities in Covington, Georgia had a massive

leak of cancerous toxin within 5 miles of the plant. She commented that Sun Corridor was a partner of Pima County and should not entice companies that did not represent the values of the County or the City of Tucson. She shared the need for regular presentations by Sun Corridor regarding the initiatives to attract businesses, as well as, more details about the industries and corporations that they pursued.

Supervisor Christy agreed that there needed to be better communication and shared internal metrics from Sun Corridor to the Board, since the Board contributed \$650,000.00 a year to them. He inquired about the City of Tucson's contribution to Sun Corridor.

Chair Bronson explained that the paradigm for Sun Corridor was a regional model where the County provided the majority of the money and they reported to the County, but correspondence had not been received by the Board.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, confirmed that reports were submitted to the County, but had not been passed to the Board. She stated that the update was removed because it contained incorrect information about the quarter and her office would work with Sun Corridor to get regular updates to the Board.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the contributions made by other jurisdictions and private sectors.

Chair Bronson stated that she believed contributions were made by Oro Valley and Pinal County.

Heath Vescovi-Chiordi, Director, Economic Development, responded that the City of Tucson's contribution was \$50,000.00 and that a regional report of the breakdowns would be provided to the Board.

Supervisor Heinz stated that he echoed all of the concerns that were stated by his colleagues and if the issues with transparency and communication were not resolved, he would not vote in favor of this item in the future.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Grijalva voted "Nay."

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR SCOTT

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Economic Development

13. JobPath, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for workforce development services and job training assistance, extend contract term to 6/30/23, amend

contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$750,000.00 (CT-CA-21-503)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott stated that his questions had been answered during discussion of Consent Calendar Item No. 10.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Facilities Management

15. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide a Cooperative Agreement for the provision of humanitarian services located at 2225 E. Ajo Way (a.k.a. Casa Alitas) and extend contract term to 7/21/23, no cost (CTN-FM-20-10)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked why there was no cost involved in the extension of this contract and inquired about the original amount of the agreement. He asked about the model change of using "Big Box" rather than hotels/motels for asylum seeker processing and what would happen to Casa Alitas if the model changed. He inquired about the process of acquiring property by the County for a "Big Box" model.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, replied that this was for the continuation of the original agreement with Catholic Community Services to run the Casa Alitas Welcome Center and there was no additional costs. She stated that she would provide the original amount of the agreement to the Board. She stated that the federal funding models were reimbursing for a "Big Box" model and hoped that the County would be able to find a facility to provide services in a "Big Box" model. She indicated that if a facility was found, it would be determined if it would be cost effective to transition services from Casa Alitas to that facility or to continue the services at Casa Alitas. She added that the intent would be to lease a facility and that all services had been funded through Federal Emergency Management Agency dollars which would cover costs of leasing a facility.

Supervisor Christy asked what would happen to the facility by the airport and if that would be the model that would be used in the County.

Ms. Leshar responded she did not know what would happen to that facility, but the Casa Alitas Welcome Center would be evaluated on costs and whether to move services to the “Big Box” facility. She clarified that the facility by the airport was operated by the Department of Homeland Security. She stated that County services were for people seeking asylum legally in the United States and because of COVID-19 it forced the County to search for non-congregate housing. She stated that some of the model of the federal facility did include the “Big Box” model, but the services would be provided differently.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

Grants Management and Innovation

17. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 3, to provide for emergency food and shelter to families and individuals encountered by the Department of Homeland Security, extend contract term to 12/31/22, amend contractual language and scope of services, Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program Fund, contract amount \$3,745,066.56 (CT-GMI-21-484)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the total fund amount of this contract.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded it was the amount that the County had been reimbursed by the federal government to pay for services provided to legal asylum seekers and it was a continuation of subcontract services with the City of Tucson, who provided some of the services.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

18. The Inn of Southern Arizona, to provide for Fiscal Agent Pima County/emergency food and shelter to families and individuals encountered by the Department of Homeland Security, Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program Fund, contract amount \$490,675.00 (CT-GMI-22-428)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the Inn of Southern Arizona and asked what had happened to JOT Properties. He asked if the Inn was located on the premises of JOT Properties. He asked who owned Comfort Suites and if the County was paying both entities.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, explained that the Inn of Southern Arizona was part of the Methodist Church of Southern Arizona and they had long been a partner in providing services to legal asylum seekers. She stated that the County had continued operations and maintained contracts with the shelters located in the hotels/motels to provide services. She indicated that JOT Properties was operating at Comfort Suites and she would provide information to the Board regarding if Comfort Suites was located on JOT Properties. She clarified that the County had contracts with the individuals that owned the facilities to make sure rooms were available. She stated that there were additional services provided through emergency food and shelter by the federal government which allowed for a contract with the Methodist Church through the Inn of Southern Arizona.

Supervisor Christy asked how the Inn of Southern Arizona became a part of an already existing program and who provided the services at the Red Roof Inn. He requested information regarding ARPA funds provided to Catholic Community Services and United Methodist Church.

Ms. Leshar responded that Methodist Church and Catholic Community Services had provided services to legal asylum seekers since the program began in April of 2019, with the County and had also provided those services for years within the community. She indicated that Catholic Community Services provided services at the Red Roof Inn.

Dr. Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator and Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services, indicated that since April 2019 social service agencies provided services to legal asylum seekers moving through the community. He explained that the Inn of Southern Arizona provided services in their own space as well as other areas and with this contract, would provide wrap-around services for legal asylum seekers in the County at the Comfort Suites. He stated that the reason for the staffing was because Catholic Community Services did not have sufficient staff to provide services at several facilities.

Ms. Leshar stated information would be provided to the Board regarding ARPA funds provided to Catholic Community Services and United Methodist Church.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

Procurement

29. Tenex Software Solutions, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for Tenex election desk modules, HAVA Grant and General (50%) Funds, contract amount \$625,000.00 (MA-PO-22-80) Elections and Information Technology

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked about the election desk modules. He shared his concerns with the contract being over budget as a result of the original printers that had been ordered were no longer available, and asked why obsolete printers were ordered and if the new printers had been received.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, stated that election desk modules were the election printers and the printers that were originally quoted were no longer available. She stated that 211 of the 260 new ballot on-demand printers were received and the rest of printers would be delivered by the end of the week.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

44. Acceptance - Facilities Management

Stratford Art Works, Inc., to provide for the renovation of Teatro Carmen Subaward Agreement Project, \$277,500.00/2 year term (GTAW 22-134)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked why the County was funding this project since it was a City of Tucson (COT) property, and if the COT had contributed to the renovation costs.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that this was a continuation of an agreement the Board previously approved with Stratford Art Works for work on the Teatro Carmen.

Chair Bronson clarified that Supervisor Christy asked why the County was funding the project.

Ms. Leshner responded that the grant was to move forward with the direction given by the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked if the COT had contributed to the renovation costs and if \$277,500.00 was the County's contribution.

Ms. Leshner responded that the COT had not contributed to any renovation costs and that this grant was a continuation of the original grant.

Supervisor Scott commented that Stratford Art Works had received awards from several private foundations and that this grant would be for the acceptance of a subaward to Pima County.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

45. **Acceptance - Health**

Arizona Family Health Partnership, to provide for the Family Planning Services Program, \$500,000.00/up to \$750,000 (10% required) Health Special Revenue Fund match (GTAW 22-140)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy questioned if any of the funds would be for birth control or taxpayer paid abortions.

Dr. Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator and Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services, responded the funds would be used to deliver contraceptive and family planning services. He explained that under federal regulation, the funds for this program could not be used to provide abortion services.

Supervisor Christy asked if the contraceptive items were paid for by taxpayers.

Dr. Garcia responded that the contraceptive items were paid with federal resources appropriated by Congress, which came from taxpayers.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Attractions and Tourism

1. Metropolitan Tucson Convention and Visitors Bureau, d.b.a. Visit Tucson, Amendment No. 3, to promote and enhance tourism, business travel, film production and youth, amateur, semi-professional and professional sports development and marketing and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$250,000.00 (CT-ED-21-510)

Behavioral Health

2. NaphCare, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

3. Gila County and Arizona Superior Court in Gila County, to provide for an intergovernmental agreement for restoration to competency services, contract amount \$390,000.00 revenue/5 year term (CTN-BH-22-6)

Community and Workforce Development

4. Pima County Community College District, to provide for workforce development education, General Fund, contract amount \$10,720.00 (CT-CR-22-316)
5. Town of Sahuarita, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Cooperative Agreement, extend contract term to 6/30/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-CR-22-163)
6. Town of Oro Valley, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Cooperative Agreement, extend contract term to 6/30/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-CR-22-161)
7. City of South Tucson, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Community Development Block Grant and HOME Investment Partnership Cooperative Agreement, extend contract term to 6/30/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-CR-22-164)
8. Child-Parent Centers, Inc., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

County Attorney

9. Community Bridges, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for the Tucson/Pima County Consolidated Misdemeanor Problem Solving Court Initiative, extend contract term to 2/28/23, amend contractual language and scope of services, USDOJ (\$40,000.00), SAMHSA (\$141,063.00) Funds, contract amount \$181,063.00 (CT-PCA-19-506)

Economic Development

10. JobPath, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
11. Sun Corridor, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
12. DM50, Amendment No. 3, to provide for Davis Monthan Air Force Base Advocate; economic development activities, extend contract term to 6/30/23 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$60,000.00 (CT-CA-20-189)

13. JobPath, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
14. Ajo District Chamber of Commerce, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Ajo Visitor Center: Gateway to the Sonoran Desert, extend contract term to 6/30/23 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$48,000.00 (CT-CA-22-46)

Facilities Management

15. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 3, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
16. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 2, to provide for maintenance of premises located at 201 N. Stone Avenue and extend contract term to 6/30/23, contract amount \$46,926.00 revenue (CT-FM-17-221)

Grants Management and Innovation

17. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 3, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
18. The Inn of Southern Arizona, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Procurement

19. **Award**
Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-205, Pueblo Mechanical and Controls, L.L.C. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), to provide for chiller maintenance, repair and inspections. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of \$474,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.
20. **Award**
Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-203, Airwave Communication Enterprises, Inc. (Headquarters: Commerce, CA) and Arizona Emergency Products, Inc., d.b.a. American Emergency Products (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ), to provide for emergency code equipment parts and service. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the shared annual award amount of \$1,610,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: Fleet Services Ops (95%) and General (5%) Funds. Administering Department: Fleet Services and Sheriff.
21. **Award**
Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-200, Western Emulsions, Inc. (Headquarters: Idaho Falls, ID), to provide for asphalt emulsions. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of

\$1,428,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one year renewal options. Funding Source: Transportation Ops and HURF Funds. Administering Department: Transportation.

22. **Award**

Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-198, JTB Supply Co., Inc. (Headquarters: Orange, CA), to provide for traffic signal equipment. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of \$290,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: Transportation Ops Fund. Administering Department: Transportation.

23. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-85, Amendment No. 8, HP, Inc., to provide for HP computer hardware, peripherals and related services. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23. No additional funds required at this time. Administering Department: Information Technology.

24. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-101, Amendment No. 8, Dell Marketing, LP, to provide for Dell hardware, software, peripherals and related services. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23 and increases the not-to-exceed contract amount by \$10,500,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$37,174,000.00. Funding Source: Internal Service Fund. Administering Department: Information Technology.

25. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-335, Amendment No. 9, NetApp, Inc., CDW Government, L.L.C., Custom Storage, Inc., d.b.a. CStor, DLT Solutions, L.L.C., d.b.a. DLT Solutions, EPlus Group, Inc., d.b.a. EPlus Group, Inc., Insight Public Sector, Inc., and World Wide Technology, L.L.C., to provide for NetApp computer storage, hardware and support. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23. No additional funds required at this time. Administering Department: Information Technology.

26. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-269, Amendment No. 10, Apple Computer, Inc., to provide for Apple computer hardware and support. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23 and increases the not-to-exceed contract amount by \$150,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$577,000.00. Funding Source: Internal Service Fund. Administering Department: Information Technology.

27. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-96, Amendment No. 9, Lenovo Global Technology (United States), Inc., to provide for Lenovo hardware, software, peripherals and related services. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23. No additional funds required at this time. Administering Department: Information Technology.
28. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-139, Amendment No. 7, Hewlett Packard Enterprise Company, to provide for HP computer hardware, peripherals and related services. This amendment extends the termination date to 2/28/23. No additional funds required at this time. Administering Department: Information Technology.
29. Tenex Software Solutions, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
30. DLT Solutions, L.L.C., d.b.a. DLT Solutions, to provide for Oracle products and services, Multiple Sources and General (50%) Funds, contract amount \$120,000.00 (MA-PO-22-143) Information Technology
31. Division II Construction Co., Inc., Amendment No. 5, to provide for the Ina Road Fueling Facility Refurbishment Project (XINAFI) and extend contract term to 10/18/22, no cost (CT-FM-21-459) Facilities Management
32. CGI Technologies and Solutions, Inc., Amendment No. 4, to provide for consulting, software development and other professional services, extend contract term to 3/31/25 and amend contractual language, no cost (MA-PO-19-23) Information Technology
33. CGI Technologies and Solutions, Inc., Amendment No. 11, to provide for proprietary software maintenance for Enterprise Resource Planning System and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$362,935.58 (MA-PO-12-374) Information Technology
34. Borderland Construction Company, Inc., Granite Construction Company, Markham Contracting Co., Inc., Sunland Asphalt & Construction, L.L.C., and Tucson Asphalt Contractors, Inc., Amendment No. 5, to provide for a job order master agreement for pavement repair and preservation and amend contractual language, Various Funds, contract amount \$10,000,000.00 (MA-PO-20-41) Transportation
35. International Business Machines Corporation, d.b.a. IBM Corporation, Amendment No. 7, to provide for computer hardware, peripherals and associated services and extend contract term to 2/28/23, no cost (MA-PO-16-102) Information Technology

36. Granite Construction Company, KE&G Construction, Inc., Markham Contracting Co., Inc., Southern Arizona Paving and Construction Co., and Tucson Asphalt Contractors, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for a job order master agreement for transportation improvement and maintenance and amend contractual language, Various Funds, contract amount \$5,000,000.00 (MA-PO-22-52) Transportation
37. Wiss, Janney, Elster Associates, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for design services: 130 W. Congress Façade upgrade (XGCEXT), extend contract term to 6/30/24 and amend contractual language, FM Capital Non-Bond Projects Fund, contract amount \$37,737.00 (CT-FM-20-144) Facilities Management

Public Defense Services

38. Cochise County, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for Cochise County Title 36 cases, contract amount \$37,454.00 revenue (CTN-PDS-22-188)

Real Property

39. Mary Scott, to provide a Sales Agreement and Special Warranty Deed for property located on the south side of Second Street, west of Fourth Avenue, Tax Parcel No. 302-29-0530, in Section 28, T21S, R10E, Arivaca, Arizona, contract amount \$8,380.00 revenue (CTN-RPS-22-184)
40. Pima County Flood Control District and Pima County, to provide an Exchange Agreement: Paradise Falls - Sale 0078 and Special Warranty Deed for mixed-use development on Paradise Falls property adjacent to the Loop, no cost (CTN-RPS-22-183)

Regional Wastewater Reclamation

41. Joplin Estates, L.L.C., to provide for construction of public sewer improvement and provision of wastewater service, Tax Parcel Nos. 221-06-0100, 221-04-0240 and 221-06-0090, no cost/10 year term (CTN-WW-22-158)

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

42. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Department of Economic Security, to provide for the Reemployment Services and Eligibility Assessment Program, \$43,024.00 (GTAW 22-139)

43. **Acceptance - Environmental Quality**
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to provide for the Pima County Voluntary Lawn Equipment Emission Reduction Program, \$137,750.00/2 year term (GTAW 22-141)
44. **Acceptance - Facilities Management**
Stratford Art Works, Inc., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
45. **Acceptance - Health**
Arizona Family Health Partnership, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

46. **Animal Care Advisory Committee**
Reappointment of Tamara Barrick. Term expiration: 6/30/26. (County Administrator recommendation)
47. **Board of Health**
Appointment of Dr. Lisa Soltani, M.D., M.P.H., F.A.C.P., to replace Mary Lou Gonzales. Term expiration: 6/30/26. (District 5)

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

48. **Special Event**
Edward Lucero, Roman Catholic Church of St. Elizabeth Ann Seton - Tucson, 8650 N. Shannon Road, Tucson, August 27, 2022.
49. **Temporary Extension**
06100203, Jeffrey Craig Miller, Hot Rods Old Vail, 10500 E. Old Vail Road, Tucson, July 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, August 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 31, September 1, 2, 3, 4, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 28, 29, 30, October 1 and 2, 2022.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

50. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification**
Michelle Acosta \$92.92; City of Tucson \$100.00; Sycamore Creek Apartments \$3,685.90; Leticia Campuzano \$7.74; Abigail Corbett \$300.00; Abigail Corbett \$125.00; Merit Foods, L.L.C. \$548.49; The University of Arizona \$450.00; Daniel Bailey \$50.00; 4098 E. 29th Street, L.L.C. \$15,514.00; First Avenue Investment, Inc., d.b.a. Batteries Plus Bulbs No. 101 \$268.63; First Avenue Investment, Inc., d.b.a. Batteries Plus Bulbs No. 101 \$2,084.53; Laura Crane \$393.56; First Avenue Investment, Inc., d.b.a. Batteries Plus Bulbs No. 101 \$6,478.59.

RECORDER

51. Pursuant to Resolution No. 1993-200, ratification of the Document Storage and Retrieval Fund for the months of April and May, 2022.

SUPERIOR COURT

52. **Superior Court and Juvenile Court Commissioner Appointment**
Appointment of: Kristin J. Schriener as Superior Court and Juvenile Court Commissioner, effective July 11, 2022.
53. **Judge Pro Tempore Appointment**
Appointment of Susan A. Kettlewell (Ret.), Interim Judge Pro Tempore of the Superior Court, effective July 1, 2022 through July 31, 2022.

TREASURER

54. **Certificate of Removal and Abatement - Certificate of Clearance**
Staff requests approval of the Certificates of Removal and Abatement/Certificates of Clearance in the amounts of \$4,494.08 and \$68,824.03.

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

55. Minutes: May 10 and 11, 2022
Warrants: June, 2022

* * *

33. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:46 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK