

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 8/2/22 ITEM NO. RA 31

Corrie Cotugno

From: JANEL and SCOTT FEIERABEND [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, July 28, 2022 9:45 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Agenda #31

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Precious WATER - - Every day I read in the local, national and international papers about the WATER shortage in my home city of Tucson, Pima County, AZ. I am in shock that more development is being allowed during this time of crisis realize that Pima County wants to increase the tax base, but being blind to the fact that there is a dire water shortage (when there is a plethora of information regarding the water problem) means that Pima County will be complicit in the endangerment of human life, wildlife, plant life and a way of life which has existed in this area for thousands of years should it allow the project to go through.

LIGHT POLLUTION is light trespass. Light at night affects wildlife and native plant life. The stress on wildlife and plant life is already being noted by scientists locally and internationally. The area of River House Trust Development (River and Craycroft) is an important wildlife corridor. This naturally occurring corridor will be degraded by development. Citizens of Tucson and the surrounding area appreciate the unique wildlife occurrences which they encounter on a regular basis. Tucson and the surrounding area is quickly turning into a cookie-cutter city. Let's take care of the remaining wildlife and plant life, keeping our desert county healthy and beautiful.

TRAFFIC - Even in July, the traffic load has changed. The roads are full of cars and risk-taking drivers - even in the middle of summer when, in the past, one felt safer on the roads during the months of June, July and August. Traffic will greatly increase in the vicinity of River and Craycroft. More accidents will occur, and there will be much more frustration (rage?) on the roads.

Please consider postponing the development of this area. Planners and neighbors need more time to thoroughly research the issues. Until the river flows year-round again, the shortage of WATER should be the factor stopping housing developments of this type.

Thank you for slowing down the process and considering the permanent impacts this type of development will have on our delicate environment should it go through.

Sincerely,

Janel W. Feierabend

Sent from my iPad

JUL 29 2022 08:06 PM COTC OF BD

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 8/0/22 ITEM NO. RA 31

Melissa Whitney

From: Alyssa [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 8:50 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: River House Trust - Opposition - Case P20SP00002

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Good Morning,

My name is Alyssa and I wanted to express my opposition in regard to case **P20SP00002**. This is a specific plan by River House Trust on N. Craycroft Road, represented by Lazarus & Silvyn, P.C.

After reviewing the proposal, this plan is not feasible for our neighborhood. This proposal states that their target market is for people over 70 years old. However, according to the Census in 2019, the average age of citizens living in the Foothills are 54.8 years old. In addition, this proposal states that potential future residents will primarily be walking and not driving. However, the Census also states that the average household has 2 vehicles. With this said, implying that their primary residents will be 70 years and older does not support what our Census says, and our current neighborhood in the area includes children, young adults, and older adults. Incorporating a target market of 70 years and older in this proposal, only avoids having to integrate the necessary traffic precautions with the city. With that said, I do not believe this proposal is an accurate representation of how many people will be living in their 200 units within 34 acres of land, and necessary traffic roads and stop lights need to be incorporated into their proposal.

If this proposal is being considered, please consider the traffic implications and the safety of our neighborhoods. Incorporating traffic lights, a private roadway to enter the private residences (instead of an easement) would immensely help traffic, along with putting a private roadway on a different access point (not before the main intersection of River and Craycroft by Gregory school). The current access point does not take into consideration the high traffic on Craycroft and the potential accidents that will occur without a traffic light. If a high development wants to come into our neighborhoods, an accurate representation of who will be living in our area is immensely important, to provide safety for current and future residents in the neighborhood.

Thank you for taking my email into the record and I hope we can all work together to keep our neighborhoods safe.

Sincerely,

Alyssa

Alyssa Dahlstedt
[REDACTED]

JUL 29 2022 09:24 PC CLK OF BD

Wm

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 8/2/22 ITEM NO. RA 31

Melissa Whitney

From: Judith Johnson [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:25 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Case # - P20SP00002

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

July 29, 2022 at 10:46am

Please follow the lead of the Planning and Zoning Commission in voting against the Pima County Board of Supervisors approving the construction of the adult living community complex at E River and Craycroft.

I live off of Craycroft at E Camino Del Celador. While residents in my and surrounding communities are constantly being bombarded with water shortage issues and strongly encouraged to self police, why is this not a MAJOR issue for new construction? I am a relatively new resident to Tucson but I have already seen the results of developers agreeing to do wondrous things to mitigate community impact. The results many times fall short.

There is already major traffic congestion in the parking area of the shopping complex in which Whole Foods is located. At certain times of the day, there are no parking spots available. Since I moved here, another major living complex and related eateries and businesses have been built across River road adjacent to the school. And it's quite likely most of the residents in this newly proposed complex will have more than one vehicle. How much progress/density in a relatively small area is enough?

And, once again, additional impact will be felt by our wildlife which most of us truly respect and enjoy. For many of these species there will be no living in harmony; i.e., more dead bodies along the roadways, human confrontations, et al. If we have to suffer this construction, there should be a requirement for wildlife passage in this area. Like an underpass to the river where wildlife can at least find some refuge and relief from our concrete environment.

Building this complex will have a negative impact on our local environment as a result of construction/noise/air pollution and quality of living for current residents, while minimizing what little natural resources are already here.

Thank you for the opportunity to consider my comments.

Judith A. Johnson
5701 E Camino Del Celador
Tucson, Az. 85750

JUL 29 2022 11:30 PM CLK OF BD
mw

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 8/6/22 ITEM NO. RA 31

Corrie Cotugno

From: Andrew Burgess [REDACTED]
Sent: Friday, July 29, 2022 11:42 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Opposition to River House Trust Project

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Good Afternoon,

My name is Andy Burgess and I am respectfully asking the Pima County Board of Supervisors to reject proposal **P20SP00002**.

I live within the neighborhood and my son currently attends The Gregory School. As a primary guardian for my son, I am very familiar with the traffic going to and from his school on a daily basis. Craycroft currently is a highly impacted road from early morning to late afternoon, and the streets cannot support more traffic for additional residential traffic going North on Craycroft.

In addition, I am in support of maintaining open space for Tucson and protecting our wildlife communities. This specific area is a significant wildlife corridor that connects a number of protected habitats: including javelinas, prairie dogs, bobcats, mountain lions and Sonoran Desert wildlife. The proposal is attempting to use an already existing right of way as their main entrance to the residential property - this is not sufficient for the amount of traffic generated and will lead to unsafe conditions.

I am also upset about the lack of public discussion and informed debate around a project that will greatly impact vital open spaces and nature in our community.

I appreciate you taking the time to review my comments and hope my voice will be heard.

Thank you,

Andy Burgess

JUL 29 22 AM 11:44 POC CLK OF BD