

#### Adelita S. Grijalva, District 5

### M E M O R A N D U M

DATE:

May 20, 2022

TO:

Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board

FROM:

Adelita Grijalva, District Five Supervisor

**REGARDING:** Item for the June 7, 2022 agenda

Please add the following to the June 7, 2022 agenda:

#### **Board of Supervisors**

Discussion/direction/action. The five Board of Supervisors-appointed members of the Pima County Merit System Commission and Law Enforcement Merit System Council unanimously object to the attorney hired for them by way of a procurement process (see attached May 10, 2022, letter). The Commissioners want to hire their own attorney when the current attorney's contract is up for renewal on February 8, 2023. The Commission hiring an attorney outside of the procurement process is permissible under Pima County Code 11.04.020 (C). Board action is proposed to direct the quasi-judicial Commission to hire its own attorney, effective February 8, 2023. (District 5)

Thank you

# **を公式に公式に対し、**

## PIMA COUNTY MERIT COMMISSION LAW ENFORCEMENT MERIT SYSTEM COUNCIL 150 W. Congress, 4th Floor Tucson, AZ 85701

May 10, 2022

Pima County Board of Supervisors 33 N. Stone Avenue Tucson, Az 85701

RE: MA-PO-22-090 Contract for Legal Representation for Merit Commission

The above-referenced contract was issued as a consequence of a procurement procedure controlled by Human Resources, which summarily dismissed the objections of the Merit Commission; client for the services in question. The legal counsel selected by the procurement department is not one the Merit Commission wished to have represent it. The fact that Human Resources selects our attorney to advise us in cases involving Pima County-imposed discipline presents a serious conflict of interest for the County.

The Merit Commission is an independent, quasi-judicial body, created pursuant to state law. The undersigned believe that, as such, it is entitled to the legal representation IT desires, not the representation desired by procurement and Human Resources.

The contract in question expires as of February 9, 2023. We hereby request that it not be renewed; that instead, one of the following two alternatives by adopted:

- A new request for proposals be issued and a selection process undertaken, which reflects the wishes of the Merit Commission, or;
- 2. The Merit Commission be allowed to select its own legal representation, without interference from procurement or Human Resources.

With respect to alternative 2, it is hereby noted that the Pima County Corrections Officer Retirement Board, the Pima County Public Safety Personnel Retirement Board and the Pima County Attorney Investigators Retirement Board are independent quasi-judicial bodies, created pursuant to state law, as is the Merit Commission. All three retirement boards have the right to select their own legal counsel. The Merit Commission deserves the same consideration.

Sincerely.

Michael Helfon, Chair

David Freund, Vice-Chair

Paul Rubin, Member

Joseph Shelley, Member

Saml Hamed, Member

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-10-22 cc

cc. fromment: Terri specer cc. fluman Resources. Cathy Bohland