

**URGENT – FOR MEETING – PLEASE DISTRIBUTE**

This document was delivered Monday, on time for the Board of Supervisors to review comments of people living in Pima County regarding the meeting 12-21-2021.

It was brought to the Clerk of the Board BEFORE 5pm on Monday, 12-20-2021 – within the deadline period for comment. Public comment by notice was refused, due to incorrectly characterizing this as a court case. No court case was indicated.

This document contains important concerns regarding matters on the agenda for this meeting and the rules were followed for submission. The Supervisors must be given this information as it is in their best interest to review prior to deliberation.

Contained within are comments and petitions of the people. These are of serious importance to the decisions being made at the meeting.

This is NOT a court case, it is a NOTICE of concerns. Distribute to each, a copy PRIOR to the meeting. Failure to distribute this document by utilizing administrative rules that do not fit this situation will be considered a further trespass against the rights of the people.

Thank you for your kind and excellent care with the material being brought to you for distribution.

Rayana B. Eldan and Staci Williams

DEC 21 21 08 00 POC K OF RD

AFS

**Date:** 12/20/2021

**Issuer:** Staci Williams

**Recipient:** Pima County  
**Distribute to Supervisors before  
meeting on 12-21-2021**

Supervisor Rex Scott  
Supervisor Matt Heinz  
Supervisor Steve Christy  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson  
Supervisor Adelita Grijalva  
Acting County Administrator  
Jan Leshner

**Location:**  
130 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ. 85701

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**  
**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

As one of the people with all political power, and as a concerned recipient of Pima County services, I am writing to inform you that the pending requirement you are considering to be imposed on all people in Pima County, subjecting them to a particular medical protocol (erroneously referred to as PPE), stands in direct contravention to the provisions contained in Section 2 of Article XXVII (Regulation of Health, Safety and Welfare) of the Arizona State Constitution.

(See Exhibit A attached).

Any such effort on your part to mandate any such medical device in violation of individual and civil rights, will very likely result in personal liability, forfeiture of your ARS Title 38, Chapter 2, Article 5 bond coverage and removal from office or other such remedy prescribed through arbitration.

Note that PREP act immunity is not available to those acting in willful misconduct.

"Willful Misconduct means conduct committed with an intentional or reckless disregard for the safety of others, as by failing to exercise reasonable care to prevent a known danger."

(<https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/willful-misconduct>)

You are being made aware and have been made aware many times in the past year, of the dangers to individual health, constitutional, and civil rights by direct service of affidavits and notices clearly outlining for you the law, the facts, and the imminent risk and harm to those you coerce, force, and pretend to have power over. You are being given one last opportunity

NOOPC-MASK-12202021

403

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 2

to exercise reasonable care to prevent the proven danger of mask wearing. You are acting outside of the scope of law, ministerial duty, and reason to mandate any such item.

Furthermore, we the people know that you are being incentivized to enact such rules and policies which you disguise as laws. The bottom line is that you are acting in response to financial bribes, to receive funds to the county, by enacting restrictions on the people that further the narrative of corporations and the federal government, that manufacture fear as well as the answers to that fear in the form of deceptive marketing and sales of medical interventions known to be harmful to the people.

Please note with regards to harm and effect on the people of Pima County:

1. Injury and Harm— Proofs from the established data contained in the video testimony referenced below should be sufficient to establish further understanding for you as to the probability of bodily injury and long term harm and irreparable damage that may result. In addition, irreparable harm may be assumed when ANY Constitutional right is violated.
2. Fear and Intimidation – As people of Pima County choose to opt out in order to preserve their lives, health and medical freedom and suffer duress because of any such mask mandate, the people will be injured in a myriad of ways including, but not limited to, emotional distress, extreme potential for wide ranging harassment, and additional injury from lack of general services due to discrimination.
3. Future Liabilities - In the event that any of the people experience injury, harm or death, there will be dramatic liability ascribed to you and others similarly situated.

Please know that you are hereinafter acting willfully, knowingly and recklessly if you enact any restriction on the movement or breathing of the people by dictating a restriction of the airways. Any claim of 'safety' with masks is erroneous and false according to experts in the field who's testimony is noted in this objection.

You will also want to be familiar with 18 USC 241 which would be in play if you have had any conversation, memo, email, or understanding with any other entity or person encouraging you to restrict freedoms in exchange for ARPA or CARES funds.

While considering your position thereby, please be advised that there is implied, not only massive injury to the people impacted by such a mandate, but also increased long term health costs to Pima County, both from increase in medical costs for long term health effects, but also destabilization due to the use of arbitrary and capricious use of power and intentional misconduct if, after having been notified, you proceed in your actions to further harm the people and deprive rights under color of law.

## 18 USC § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights

*If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States..... They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include .....they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.*

Please see below some of the documented adverse effects with mask wearing as outlined from the from the NIH article linked below:

"Is a Mask That Covers the Mouth and Nose Free from Undesirable Side Effects in Everyday Use and Free of Potential Hazards?" published on April 20, 201, in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8072811/>

<b>Increased risk of adverse effects when using masks:</b>		
<b><u>Internal diseases</u></b> COPD Sleep Apnea Syndrome advanced renal Failure Obesity Cardiopulmonary Dysfunction Asthma	<b><u>Psychiatric Illness</u></b> Claustrophobia Panic Disorder Personality Disorders Dementia Schizophrenia helpless Patients fixed and sedated Patients	<b><u>Neurological Diseases</u></b> Migraines and Headache Sufferers Patients with Intracranial Masses Epilepsy
<b><u>Pediatric Diseases</u></b> Asthma Respiratory diseases Cardiopulmonary Diseases Neuromuscular Diseases Epilepsy	<b><u>ENT Diseases</u></b> Vocal Cord Disorders Rhinitis and obstructive Diseases  <b><u>Dermatological Diseases</u></b> Acne Atopic	<b><u>Occupational Health Restrictions</u></b> moderate / heavy physical Work  <b><u>Gynecological restrictions</u></b> Pregnant Women

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12212021**

Page 4

Also see the below video series from Stephen Petty, PE, CIH, CSP, an expert in the field of industrial hygiene. This profession, above and beyond any MD training, is the only expert in PPE that can speak to both efficacy and safety. This designation in the industry is given to one with the subject matter knowledge to advise doctors, hospitals and any entity with regards to particle transmission, true safety, and the negative effects of wrong or ineffective use of PPE. Any mask that is not a respirator, may not be designated as PPE within the industry standard for protection against virus particles.

<https://rumble.com/vlbs0d-how-much-protection-can-a-mask-provide-mask-vs.-ppe-ep.-05-removed-from-yt.html>

<https://rumble.com/vldll7-how-to-control-exposure-to-viruses-bacteria-and-mold-ep.-06.html>

<https://rumble.com/vliep6-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-1-of-4-ep.-11.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlieu6-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-2-of-4-ep.-12.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlieym-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-3-of-4-ep.-13.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlif2i-children-and-masks-efficacy-and-negative-effects-part-4-of-4-ep.-14.html>

In summary, and most importantly, anyone promoting in any way or executing any such policy associated with the imposition of a 'mask mandate' needs to understand, according to the video links above, that they could be found complicit in premeditated injury, harm and willful misconduct. Now that you KNOWINGLY do such things, it strips you from any immunity both sovereign and qualified.

Having been provided with this information, it is in your best interest to review, understand and respond not only as to the receipt of this Notice, but also as to the action you are considering in light of the facts presented. Failure to do so will result in the full process and range of recriminations prescribed under the law.

It is also true that the law and court decisions are on the side of the People:

"No right is held more sacred, or is more carefully guarded by the common law, than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestionable authority of law."

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 5

"...the supreme court has explained repeatedly that within the right to be let alone is "a fundamental right to the sole control of his or her person."

Both above quotes from this court order:

<https://www.leagle.com/decision/infco20210611189>

I come to you in hopes that you understand the gravity of your potential conspiracy to deprive the rights of the people to bodily integrity, and privacy.

What you choose to do going forward will be considered to be with full malice aforethought and your silence or actions otherwise in this matter are willful misconduct towards endangerment of the people's very being, as well as civil and individual rights and expectation of liberty according the Constitution you swore an oath to protect, to which you are bonded, both to the people and through your actions and bond, to the betterment of this County.

**Name**

Staci Williams

**Address**

1800 S. Pantano Rd #1069

Tucson, AZ 85710

**Autograph**

Staci Williams

**DATE**

12/20/2021

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 6

EXHIBIT A

Article XVII Section 2A

2. Health care: definitions

Section 2. A. To preserve the freedom of Arizonans to provide for their health care:

1. A law or rule shall not compel, directly or indirectly, any person, employer or health care provider to participate in any health care system.
2. A person or employer may pay directly for lawful health care services and shall not be required to pay penalties or fines for paying directly for lawful health care services. A health care provider may accept direct payment for lawful health care services and shall not be required to pay penalties or fines for accepting direct payment from a person or employer for lawful health care services.

**Date:** 12/20/2021

**Issuer:** Rayana B. Eldan

**Recipient: Pima County**  
**Distribute to Supervisors before  
meeting on 12-21-2021**

Supervisor Rex Scott  
Supervisor Matt Heinz  
Supervisor Steve Christy  
Supervisor Sharon Bronson  
Supervisor Adelita Grijalva  
Acting County Administrator  
Jan Leshner

**Location:**  
130 W. Congress  
Tucson, AZ. 85701

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

**NOTICE TO AGENT IS NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL**  
**NOTICE TO PRINCIPAL IS NOTICE TO AGENT**

As one of the people with all political power, and as a concerned recipient of Pima County services, I am writing to inform you that the pending requirement you are considering to be imposed on all people in Pima County, subjecting them to a particular medical protocol (erroneously referred to as PPE), stands in direct contravention to the provisions contained in Section 2 of Article XXVII (Regulation of Health, Safety and Welfare) of the Arizona State Constitution.

(See Exhibit A attached).

Any such effort on your part to mandate any such medical device in violation of individual and civil rights, will very likely result in personal liability, forfeiture of your ARS Title 38, Chapter 2, Article 5 bond coverage and removal from office or other such remedy prescribed through arbitration.

Note that PREP act immunity is not available to those acting in willful misconduct.

"Willful Misconduct means conduct committed with an intentional or reckless disregard for the safety of others, as by failing to exercise reasonable care to prevent a known danger."

(<https://www.lawinsider.com/dictionary/willful-misconduct>)

You are being made aware and have been made aware many times in the past year, of the dangers to individual health, constitutional, and civil rights by direct service of affidavits and notices clearly outlining for you the law, the facts, and the imminent risk and harm to those you coerce, force, and pretend to have power over. You are being given one last opportunity

DEC 21 2021 09:03 AM POC CLK/CF BD

ARJ

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 2

to exercise reasonable care to prevent the proven danger of mask wearing. You are acting outside of the scope of law, ministerial duty, and reason to mandate any such item.

Furthermore, we the people know that you are being incentivized to enact such rules and policies which you disguise as laws. The bottom line is that you are acting in response to financial bribes, to receive funds to the county, by enacting restrictions on the people that further the narrative of corporations and the federal government, that manufacture fear as well as the answers to that fear in the form of deceptive marketing and sales of medical interventions known to be harmful to the people.

Please note with regards to harm and effect on the people of Pima County:

1. Injury and Harm— Proofs from the established data contained in the video testimony referenced below should be sufficient to establish further understanding for you as to the probability of bodily injury and long term harm and irreparable damage that may result. In addition, irreparable harm may be assumed when ANY Constitutional right is violated.
2. Fear and Intimidation – As people of Pima County choose to opt out in order to preserve their lives, health and medical freedom and suffer duress because of any such mask mandate, the people will be injured in a myriad of ways including, but not limited to, emotional distress, extreme potential for wide ranging harassment, and additional injury from lack of general services due to discrimination.
3. Future Liabilities - In the event that any of the people experience injury, harm or death, there will be dramatic liability ascribed to you and others similarly situated.

Please know that you are hereinafter acting willfully, knowingly and recklessly if you enact any restriction on the movement or breathing of the people by dictating a restriction of the airways. Any claim of 'safety' with masks is erroneous and false according to experts in the field who's testimony is noted in this objection.

You will also want to be familiar with 18 USC 241 which would be in play if you have had any conversation, memo, email, or understanding with any other entity or person encouraging you to restrict freedoms in exchange for ARPA or CARES funds.

While considering your position thereby, please be advised that there is implied, not only massive injury to the people impacted by such a mandate, but also increased long term health costs to Pima County, both from increase in medical costs for long term health effects, but also destabilization due to the use of arbitrary and capricious use of power and intentional misconduct if, after having been notified, you proceed in your actions to further harm the people and deprive rights under color of law.

## 18 USC § 241 - Conspiracy Against Rights

*If two or more persons conspire to injure, oppress, threaten, or intimidate any person in any State, Territory, Commonwealth, Possession, or District in the free exercise or enjoyment of any right or privilege secured to him by the Constitution or laws of the United States..... They shall be fined under this title or imprisoned not more than ten years, or both; and if death results from the acts committed in violation of this section or if such acts include .....they shall be fined under this title or imprisoned for any term of years or for life, or both, or may be sentenced to death.*

Please see below some of the documented adverse effects with mask wearing as outlined from the from the NIH article linked below:

"Is a Mask That Covers the Mouth and Nose Free from Undesirable Side Effects in Everyday Use and Free of Potential Hazards?" published on April 20, 201, in the *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC8072811/>

<b>Increased risk of adverse effects when using masks:</b>		
<b><u>Internal diseases</u></b> COPD Sleep Apnea Syndrome advanced renal Failure Obesity Cardiopulmonary Dysfunction Asthma	<b><u>Psychiatric Illness</u></b> Claustrophobia Panic Disorder Personality Disorders Dementia Schizophrenia helpless Patients fixed and sedated Patients	<b><u>Neurological Diseases</u></b> Migraines and Headache Sufferers Patients with Intracranial Masses Epilepsy
<b><u>Pediatric Diseases</u></b> Asthma Respiratory diseases Cardiopulmonary Diseases Neuromuscular Diseases Epilepsy	<b><u>ENT Diseases</u></b> Vocal Cord Disorders Rhinitis and obstructive Diseases  <b><u>Dermatological Diseases</u></b> Acne Atopic	<b><u>Occupational Health Restrictions</u></b> moderate / heavy physical Work  <b><u>Gynecological restrictions</u></b> Pregnant Women

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12212021**

Page 4

Also see the below video series from Stephen Petty, PE, CIH, CSP, an expert in the field of industrial hygiene. This profession, above and beyond any MD training, is the only expert in PPE that can speak to both efficacy and safety. This designation in the industry is given to one with the subject matter knowledge to advise doctors, hospitals and any entity with regards to particle transmission, true safety, and the negative effects of wrong or ineffective use of PPE. Any mask that is not a respirator, may not be designated as PPE within the industry standard for protection against virus particles.

<https://rumble.com/vlbs0d-how-much-protection-can-a-mask-provide-mask-vs.-ppe-ep.-05-removed-from-yt.html>

<https://rumble.com/vldll7-how-to-control-exposure-to-viruses-bacteria-and-mold-ep.-06.html>

<https://rumble.com/vliep6-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-1-of-4-ep.-11.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlieu6-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-2-of-4-ep.-12.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlieym-children-and-masks-cdc-document-review-3-of-4-ep.-13.html>

<https://rumble.com/vlif2i-children-and-masks-efficacy-and-negative-effects-part-4-of-4-ep.-14.html>

In summary, and most importantly, anyone promoting in any way or executing any such policy associated with the imposition of a 'mask mandate' needs to understand, according to the video links above, that they could be found complicit in premeditated injury, harm and willful misconduct. Now that you KNOWINGLY do such things, it strips you from any immunity both sovereign and qualified.

Having been provided with this information, it is in your best interest to review, understand and respond not only as to the receipt of this Notice, but also as to the action you are considering in light of the facts presented. Failure to do so will result in the full process and range of recriminations prescribed under the law.

It is also true that the law and court decisions are on the side of the People:

"No right is held more sacred, or is more carefully guarded by the common law, than the right of every individual to the possession and control of his own person, free from all restraint or interference of others, unless by clear and unquestionable authority of law."

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 5

"...the supreme court has explained repeatedly that within the right to be let alone is "a fundamental right to the sole control of his or her person."

Both above quotes from this court order:

<https://www.leagle.com/decision/inflco20210611189>

I come to you in hopes that you understand the gravity of your potential conspiracy to deprive the rights of the people to bodily integrity, and privacy.

What you choose to do going forward will be considered to be with full malice aforethought and your silence or actions otherwise in this matter are willful misconduct towards endangerment of the people's very being, as well as civil and individual rights and expectation of liberty according the Constitution you swore an oath to protect, to which you are bonded, both to the people and through your actions and bond, to the betterment of this County.

**Name**

Rayana B. Eldan

**Address**

9119 E. Rainsage St.

Tucson, AZ. 85747

**Autograph**

Rayana B. Eldan

**DATE**

12/20/2021

**Notice of Objection**  
**NOOPC-MASK-12202021**

Page 6

EXHIBIT A

Article XVII Section 2A

2. Health care: definitions

Section 2. A. To preserve the freedom of Arizonans to provide for their health care:

1. A law or rule shall not compel, directly or indirectly, any person, employer or health care provider to participate in any health care system.
2. A person or employer may pay directly for lawful health care services and shall not be required to pay penalties or fines for paying directly for lawful health care services. A health care provider may accept direct payment for lawful health care services and shall not be required to pay penalties or fines for accepting direct payment from a person or employer for lawful health care services.