

Aliza Barraza

From: Jasmine Adams [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, April 7, 2026 3:46 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Input on Ordinance NO. 2026-4

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisors;

After reading ORDINANCE NO. 2026 - 4, of the Board of Supervisors, amending Pima County Ordinance No. 2003-10, I find this is unexceptable.

A small increase may be reasonable but to go from \$40.00 to \$100.00 for each of these court required services is egregious. AI is supposed to help contain costs and this fee is called Automation and Technology. The county has spent billions of dollars on technology to save money, so start sharing the savings. If technology is not saving us money then you have wasted funds and someone should answer for that. Charging this fee increase for things like: name change, garnishments, civil traffic, divorce, domestic violence, guardianship, seem very punitive. I urge you to vote NO on item 23.

Thank you.
Jasmine

Get Outlook for iOS

PRR 08'26PNO449FC CLK OF BD

