

## FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2016. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair  
Richard Elías, Vice Chair  
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair  
\*Ally Miller, Member  
Ray Carroll, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator  
Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney  
Robin Brigode, Clerk of the Board  
Charles Lopiccolo, Sergeant at Arms

\*Supervisor Miller attended the meeting telephonically

### 1. **CONTRACT**

Pima County, Pima County Regional Flood Control District and Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to provide water quality monitoring, no cost, (CTN-PR-16-184)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 2. **CONTRACT**

Regional Transportation Authority of Pima County, to provide for the construction of the Canada Del Oro River Park and Overton HAWK Crossing, contract amount \$238,000.00 revenue (CTN-FC-16-193)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 3. **CONTRACT**

Pima County, to provide cost sharing and cooperative support services between Pima County and the Pima County Flood Control District, no cost (CTN-FC-16-194)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

4. **CONTRACT**

The Ashton Company, Inc., Granite Construction Company and KE&G Construction, Inc., Amendment No. 4, to provide a job order master agreement for flood control and drainage improvements and amend contractual language, Flood Control District and Various Funds, contract amount \$1,000,000.00 (MA-PO-13-191)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

5. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:26 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CLERK

## LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Library District met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2016. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair  
Richard Elías, Vice Chair  
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair  
\*Ally Miller, Member  
Ray Carroll, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator  
Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney  
Robin Brigode, Clerk of the Board  
Charles Lopiccolo, Sergeant at Arms

\*Supervisor Miller attended the meeting telephonically

### 1. **AWARD**

Award of Contract: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-376 to OverDrive, Inc. (Headquarters: Cleveland, OH) for digital books (ebooks and eAudio). Contract is for an initial term of one year in a not to exceed annual amount of \$400,000.00 and includes four (4) one year renewals. Funding Source: Library District Fund. Administering Department: Library District.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

### 2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:26 p.m.

---

CHAIR

ATTEST:

---

CLERK

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES**

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in special and regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, July 5, 2016. Upon roll call, those present and not present were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair  
Richard Elías, Vice Chair  
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair  
\*Ally Miller, Member  
Ray Carroll, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator  
Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney  
Robin Brigode, Clerk of the Board  
Charles Lopiccolo, Sergeant at Arms

\*Supervisor Miller attended the meeting telephonically.

### **1. INVOCATION**

The invocation was given by Ajahn Sarayut Arnanta, Wat Buddhometta.

### **2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

### **POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE**

Supervisor Elías asked for a moment of silence for families that have suffered due to violence. He recognized the Pima County Health Department for receiving a national accreditation from the Public Health Accreditation Board.

Supervisor Carroll expressed his appreciation to the Department of Health and to Supervisor Elías for his years of service on the Board of Health.

### **3. PAUSE 4 PAWS**

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

### **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

4. Presentation of a proclamation to Meghan Lane, Pretrial Services Case Manager; Lukas Johnson, Pretrial Services Case Manager; Michael Smith, Adult Probation Unit Supervisor of the Year; Dave Quan, Adult Probation Senior Probation Officer

and Line Officer of the Year; Deanna Franson, Adult Probation Administrative Support Specialist and Employee of the Year; Meghan Wells, Juvenile Probation Senior Probation Officer and Officer of the Year; Melissa Reiber, Juvenile Court Senior Surveillance Officer and Employee of the Year, proclaiming the week of July 17 through 23, 2016 to be: "PRETRIAL, PROBATION AND COMMUNITY SUPERVISION WEEK"

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Elías made the presentation.

5. Presentation of a proclamation to the following Award-Winning Deputy Pima County Attorneys:
  - Deputy County Attorney James Alan Goodwin, recipient of the 2016 Arizona Felony Prosecutor of the Year Award and the Michael C. Cudahy Criminal Justice Award;
  - Deputy County Attorney Frances Kreamer Hope, recipient of the 2016 Arizona Felony Prosecutor of the Year Award; and
  - Deputy County Attorney Daniel B. South, recipient of the 2016 David R. White Excellence in Victim Advocacy Award.

Chair Bronson also recognized Pima County Attorney Barbara LaWall for her receipt of the Arizona Women Lawyers Association, Sarah Herring Soren Award for legal excellence.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Carroll made the presentation.

## 6. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Joshua Reilly, Green Party candidate for Board of Supervisors District 4, spoke about the need for a \$15.00 minimum wage for Pima County.

Deborah Pela, President of the Probation Officer's Association, commented on the prospective health care changes for employees and asked that a review be conducted on the changes that would affect all employees.

Martin Bastidas, Green Party candidate for Board of Supervisors District 5, spoke about the County Administrator's salary and commented how an ordinance for \$15 an hour minimum wage would ignite the economy.

Christopher Cole, 1st Vice Chair of the Pima County Libertarian Party, spoke about gun control.

Kevin Kubitsky commented on the pay package for the Sherriff's Department and spoke in support of full decompression.

Jeff Barnes, Service Employee International Union, addressed the Board regarding the proposed raise for Pima County employees and the decrease in health care benefits.

Geri Ottoboni spoke about a lawsuit against Pima County by a candidate.

Mike Cease, Green Party Chairman, requested that the Board place on their August 2 agenda, a proposal for a \$15 an hour wage ordinance as well as a referendum for the general election ballot regarding the same issue.

Joseph Callahan spoke in support of "15 Now" and requested that the \$15 an hour wage proposal be discussed at a future Board Meeting.

Jim Owen spoke in support of a raise for Pima County employees.

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

### **7. FINAL BUDGET HEARING**

Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2016/2017. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2016 – 41.

Sheriff Nanos addressed the Board and stated that while the plan presented for employee compensation was fair, it did not address full decompression for his staff. He asked that if full decompression was not possible now, that consideration be given to half decompression now with the other half in January, 2017. He stated most other agencies used a step plan and that if adopted a similar plan would keep the County competitive with other law enforcement agencies.

Brian Johnson, candidate for the office of Assessor, spoke in support of the employee compensation proposal and stated that reliance on department heads and elected officials to certify compliance for the raises to occur in their areas seemed problematic.

Supervisor Elías said he appreciated the compensation package put together by the County Administrator but had concerns regarding the proposals for health care benefits and that if insurance options were to be altered that those proposals be vetted through the employee organization that comments on insurance as well as the Meet and Confer process for consideration next year. Supervisor Elías stated that intermittent employees should be included in the package.

Supervisor Valadez questioned who had been defined as intermittent employees and whether the benefits proposal had been provided for direction from the Board.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained who would be considered intermittent and discussed the insurance benefit proposals and reoccurring revenues, all of which needed further study and review.

Supervisor Miller stated that the Sheriff's Deputies deserved full decompression and that she disagreed with the elimination of the step plan for current employees. She said that the County had 916 vacancies yet the budget would be increasing by 103 new positions and that elimination of the vacancies would help those departments that were cut to the bone and needed to fund salary increases. Supervisor Miller said adequate explanation had not been provided regarding increased administrative overhead and that it was troubling that the County was not budgeting further money given unexpected revenues received for pavement preservation. She stated she would not be able to support the budget unless those issues were addressed.

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing.

It was then moved by Supervisor Valadez and seconded by Chair Bronson, to approve the compensation package as proposed by the County Administrator and with the clarification/amendment from Supervisor Elías as follows:

- A. Pay plan for County employees, including Sheriff Civilian employees:
- 6 percent for employees earning \$35,000 or less per year;
  - 5 percent for employees earning between \$35,001 and \$55,000 per year;
  - 3.5 percent for employees earning between \$55,001 and \$90,000 per year; and
  - 2 percent for employees earning over \$90,001 per year.

Employees at the beginning of the 5 percent, 3.5 percent and 2 percent thresholds will receive a slightly higher salary adjustment to ensure a balanced transition between thresholds.

- B. Pay plan for Eligible Deputies and Corrections Officers, distributed as follows:

<b>Position Type</b>	<b>2 to 5 Percent</b>	<b>5 to 10 Percent</b>	<b>10 to 15 Percent</b>	<b>15 to 20 Percent</b>	<b>Total</b>
Deputies	130	110	37	105	382
Deputy Sergeants	18	37	0	0	55
Correction Officers	56	171	57	40	324
Correction Sergeants	0	46	0	0	46
<b>Totals</b>	<b>204</b>	<b>364</b>	<b>94</b>	<b>145</b>	<b>807</b>

- C. Pay increases will include, as a component, a small percentage of the raise being absorbed in the elected official, court, department and/or agency budget. Before any funds are transferred to employees within a specific elected official, court, department or agency office, the agency head will certify they clearly

understand the funding required for the proposed salary adjustment and are fully prepared to meet the necessary internal budget reduction and/or specified percentage of attrition necessary to meet the fiscally sustainable criteria previously discussed regarding employee compensation and/or salary increases. If they do not, funds will not be transferred to that elected official, court, department and/or agency, and their employees will not receive a pay raise.

D. Implementation of the following to assist in funding the compensation package:

Source	On-going	One-time	Amount	Attainment	Cumulative Weighted Amount
Reductions in certain budget line items	✓		1,000,000	Low (AF)	300,000
Historically overfunded departments	✓		347,000	High (GF)	312,300
Conversion of intermittently used budget line items	✓		600,000	High (GF)	540,000
Special Equipment Fit-up for new Sheriff vehicles		✓	750,000	High (GF)	675,000
Mileage costadjustment for reduced fuel costs		✓	500,000	Medium (AF)	300,000
Elected officialsnon-participation in Information Technology program		✓	1,238,900	Medium (GF)	743,340
Criminal justice reforms	✓		2,000,000	Low (GF)	600,000

Notes:

- 1 The targeted budget reductions to achieve funding for a Countywide pay increase is evaluated as to its attainability, i.e., how likely each savings is to occur given the cost reduction measure. Reduction items are rated High, Medium or Low. High means the projected savings amount is highly likely to be attained; Medium means it is likely but possibly difficult; and Low means some of the savings could be achieved, but achieving a significant amount may be difficult. These factors are weighted at 90 percent for High, 60 percent for Medium and 30 percent for Low.
- 2 The cumulative weighted amount is the product of the attainment multiplied by the amount projected to be saved. For example, if a cost savings measure is rated High and the amount expected is \$1 million, the achievable amount would be \$900,000.
- 3 The savings are a mix of all funds. In the Attainment column, the General Fund is mixed with All Funds. Those that are exclusively General Fund savings are identified with "GF." All Funds are designed as "AF."
- 4 All Non-General Fund departments will be required to cover the entire portion of their salary adjustments within their individual departments. No General Fund support will be provided.

E. Explore the following funding components for future employee benefits with inclusion and review by employee organizations that comment on health benefits and Meet and Confer:



1. Eliminate Employer Health Savings Account Contributions for New Employees. As stated previously, the County has the richest health insurance benefit program, which includes a County cash contribution to employee health savings accounts (HSAs); \$2,000 for an employee/spouse or employee/family and \$1,000 for an employee only. Due to the richness of our health insurance program, these contributions could be discontinued for new employees only. I recommend we evaluate whether and at what levels to continue County HSA contributions for future years. For example, given the County attrition rate, it is estimated that discontinuing this health insurance benefit for new hires would save the County \$770,000 in the first year of ongoing cost. In FY 2017/18, I will recommend the HSA contribution be a match program, wherein for an employee to receive County funds, the employee must match the employer contribution.
2. Minimum Health Insurance Premium Contribution for New Employees After January 1, 2017. In reviewing health insurance premiums of other employers, their employees pay substantially more than County employees. In the private sector, these premium costs can sometimes be hundreds of dollars per month. Today, the premium split between the County or taxpayer and the employee is approximately 86 percent for the County and 14 percent for the employee.

While under insurance plans, as opposed to the self-insurance program, the cost ratio was closer to 80 percent Pima County and 20 percent employee; and in the past, it was closer to 75/25. We need to begin to return to this ratio for purposes of long-term financial stability in providing employee health benefits. To do so will require a change in strategy for the minimum contribution for new employees. I propose new employees pay a minimum of \$10 per pay period, or approximately \$20 per month, for their health insurance. This is still substantially below comparable employers within the region. This will result in \$140,000 in savings annually.

3. Shared Health Benefit Cost in the Future. As the County transitioned to the self-insurance model, we have covered most of any increases in health insurance costs, which kept premiums paid by employees near zero. In FY 2016/17, the County's share of the health insurance benefit cost is \$40.3 million, or 86 percent, and the employees' share \$6.3 million, or 14 percent. Beginning in FY 2017/18, health insurance cost increases need to be shared equally by the County and employees. For example, if, for any reason, health insurance costs increase \$3 million for FY 2017/18, the County would pay \$1.5 million of the increase and the employees would pay \$1.5 million. It is estimated such a policy will save between and \$1 and \$2 million per year in ongoing costs.

F. Include intermittent employees in the compensation package.

No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Carroll stated there should be further consideration for review of the decompression for the Sheriff in January and added that he had an issue supporting the budget at this point.

Chair Bronson responded the Board had already set the ceiling for expenditures for this fiscal year and the County couldn't be placed into a structural deficit.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Carroll and Miller voted "Nay."

It was then moved by Supervisor Valadez and seconded by Supervisor Elías, to adopt Resolution No. 2016-41. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Carroll and Miller voted “Nay.”

## **EXECUTIVE SESSION**

8. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Joseph Padilla v. Pima County Sheriff’s Department, et al., United States District Court Case No. 14-CV-00078.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated the County Attorney’s Office sought direction on whether to proceed with the proposed settlement offer.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to proceed with the settlement as discussed in Executive Session.

9. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding Jill Larson, et al. v. Christopher Nanos, et al., United States District Court Case No. 14-CV-01592 and Eva Jackson, et al. v. Christopher Nanos, et al., United States District Court Case No. 15-CV-00052.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated the County Attorney’s Office sought direction on whether to proceed with an appeal in the Larson case; the Jackson case was presented for advise only.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to proceed with an appeal as discussed in Executive Session.

10. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a proposed settlement of the claim of Cassandra Herrera against the Pima County Sheriff’s Department resulting from an automobile accident which occurred on May 13, 2015.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated the County Attorney’s Office sought direction on whether to proceed with the proposed settlement offer.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to proceed with the settlement as discussed in Executive Session.

## **COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR**

11. ~~97 E. Congress~~ **160 N. Stone Avenue**

Staff recommends approval of the Second Amendment to Lease with the American Indian Association of Tucson, Inc., d.b.a. Tucson Indian Center, and to provide for

the purchase of County-owned facility at 160 N. Stone Avenue in the amount of \$1,507,500.00 with the County providing at a cost not to exceed \$270,000.00 for tenant improvements to 160 N. Stone Avenue to accommodate the relocation of the Tucson Indian Center from 97 E. Congress. (CTN-FM-CMS-141651-01)

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained this was a companion action to the previous approval of the lease of 97 E. Congress to Caterpillar as the Tucson Indian Center had occupied the first floor of that location for several years, and that this action would allow the Tucson Indian Center to purchase the building at 160 N. Stone Ave.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

12. **Board of Supervisors Policy**

Staff recommends adoption of Board of Supervisors Policy No. C3.19, Naming of County Facilities and Programs.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

**FIRE DISTRICT**

13. **Tanque Verde Valley Fire District**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-262.A.12, validation of the petitions presented from the Tanque Verde Valley Fire District for the proposed Windridge Annexation. (District 4)

It was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to validate the petitions.

**FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

14. **Quarterly Report on Collections**

Staff recommends acceptance of the Quarterly Report on Collections for the period ending March 31, 2016.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

## INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

### 15. Amity Foundation Project

RESOLUTION NO. 2016 - **42**, of the Board of Supervisors approving the proceedings of the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima regarding the issuance of its revenue bonds (Amity Foundation Project, 10500 E. Tanque Verde Road, Tucson, AZ and 3745 S. Grand Avenue, Los Angeles, CA), Series 2016 in an aggregate principal amount not-to-exceed \$8,500,000.00 and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

## SHERIFF

### 16. Donation of Patrol Vehicle

Staff requests approval to donate a 2008 Ford Crown Victoria patrol vehicle with approximately 137,000 miles to the Pima County Joint Technical Educational District (JTED) to support their Law, Public Safety and Security Program.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the item.

## REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION

### 17. Pretreatment Settlement Agreement

Staff recommends approval of the following proposed Pretreatment Settlement Agreement, RWRD Enterprise Fund:

Amphitheater Unified School District, Case No. 2016-D-001. The proposed settlement of payment in the amount of \$800.00 for penalty costs; the retention of its discharge permit and ~~quarterly monitoring~~ **monitoring its discharge on a semi-annual basis**; and attendance of at least one representative at Pollution Prevention School are in accordance with the Industrial Wastewater Enforcement Response Plan.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to approve the agreement as amended.

## **FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT**

### **18. Hearing - Liquor License**

09100101, Fadhl Nagi Obeid Aldhali, Pima Liquors, 2880 W. Drexel Road, Tucson, Series 9, Liquor Store, Location Transfer.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license subject to the Sheriff's report and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor License and Control.

### **19. Hearing - Extension of Premises/Patio Permit**

18103003, Christopher B. Dudding, Three Wells Distilling Company, 3780 E. 44th Street, Suite No. 120, Tucson, Permanent Extension of Premises.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and approve the extension of premises/patio permit.

## **DEVELOPMENT SERVICES**

### **20. Hearing - Type III Conditional Use Permit Communication Tower**

P16CU00004, PIMA COUNTY - S. HOUGHTON ROAD

Request of Christine Tucker with Insite, Inc. (on behalf of Verizon Wireless), on property located at 11300 S. Houghton Rd, in the RH Zone, for a conditional use permit for a communication tower, in accordance with Section 18.07.030H2e of the Pima County Zoning Code as a Type III Conditional Use Permit. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission vote 8-1 (Commissioner Holdridge voted Nay; Commissioners Neeley and Membrila were not present) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 4)

Standard Conditions:

1. Adherence to all requirements of Section 18.07.030.H and Section 18.07.040.A.4 (General Regulations and Exceptions) of the Pima County Zoning Code.

Special Conditions

1. The new/resultant total tower height shall be no more than one hundred feet (100').
2. The tower will be located on the property as depicted on the submitted development/site plan.
3. The tower will be camouflaged through painting it an aluminum/flat gray color.
4. The on-the-ground equipment area will be secured with six foot (6') tall chain link fencing as shown on the applicant's submitted materials.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Carroll, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and approve P16CU00004, subject to standard and special conditions.

21. **Hearing – Rezoning**

Co9-15-04, LANDMARK TITLE TR 18109 - W. SUNSET ROAD REZONING

Request of Landmark Title TR 18109, represented by The WLB Group, for a rezoning of approximately 77.9 acres from the SR (Suburban Ranch) zone, SR (BZ) (Suburban Ranch - Buffer Overlay) zone, and SR (PR-2) (Suburban Ranch - Hillside Development Overlay (Level 2 Peaks & Ridges)) zone to the SR-2 (Suburban Ranch Estate) zone, SR-2 (BZ) (Suburban Ranch Estate - Buffer Overlay) zone, and SR-2 (PR-2) (Suburban Ranch Estate - Hillside Development Overlay (Level 2 Peaks & Ridges)) zone, on property located on the south side of W. Sunset Road, approximately 1,300 feet west of N. Camino de Oeste. The proposed rezoning conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Co7-13-10. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 5-2-1 (Commissioners Matter and Membrila voted Nay, Commissioner Mangold abstained, and Commissioners Cook and Neeley were not present) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

Completion of the following requirements within five years from the date the rezoning request is approved by the Board of Supervisors:

1. The owner shall:
  - A. Submit a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
  - B. Record the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
  - C. Provide development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
  - D. Submit a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedications.
2. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
3. The owner shall adhere to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing, with the exception of changes in number, size, or configuration of lots due to a required provision of common area for detention basins if an alternative is not accepted by the Floodplain Administrator.
4. Transportation conditions:
  - A. The property shall be limited to two access points as indicated on the preliminary development plan.
  - B. The eastern access point shall align with the access point on the north side of Sunset Road.
5. Flood Control conditions:
  - A. Native riparian vegetation shall be used to enhance drainage improvements.
  - B. First flush retention (retention of the first ½ inch of rainfall from impervious and disturbed surfaces) shall be provided.
  - C. Regulatory floodplains and riparian habitat shall be within permanently identified open space through easement or dedication.

- D. Development shall meet Critical Basin detention requirements.
  - E. Maintenance responsibility for stormwater infrastructure, including detention basins, shall be assigned to the homeowners association or other designated representative by Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions.
  - F. Detention basins shall be located in common area unless an alternative is proposed and accepted by the Floodplain Administrator. Placement of basins in common area may result in fewer lots or changes in size and/or configuration of lots than shown on the conceptual layout on the preliminary development plan.
  - G. Building envelopes shall be identified during the platting process and shall be oriented to avoid or minimize impacts to local, unregulated drainageways.
6. Environmental Planning conditions:
- A. The property owner/developer shall achieve compliance with the Maeveen Marie Behan Conservation Lands System conservation guidelines by providing 52 acres as on-site natural open space (NOS) and 19 acres as off-site NOS. On-site NOS will conform to the approximate location and configuration as shown on the approved Preliminary Development Plan. Off-site NOS must conform to the CLS Off-site Mitigation Policies (Pima County Comprehensive Plan 2015, Chapter 3 Use of Land Goals and Policies, Section 3.4 Environmental Element, Policy 11) Conservation Lands System Mitigation Lands) and comply with all of the following:
    - Off-site NOS is acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or designee; and
    - Prior to the approval of the final plat, off-site NOS will be permanently protected as natural open space by a separately recorded legal instrument acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or designee.
  - B. The maximum amount of grading per lot shall not exceed 15,000 square feet and will occur entirely within the buildable part of the lot as demarcated on the Preliminary Development Plan by the 'No Build Line'.
  - C. Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove invasive non-native species from the property, including those below. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner. Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.
 

Invasive Non-Native Plant Species Subject to Control	
Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven
Alhagi pseudalhagi	Camelthorn
Arundo donax	Giant reed
Brassica tournefortii	Sahara mustard
Bromus rubens	Red brome
Bromus tectorum	Cheatgrass
Centaurea melitensis	Malta starthistle
Centaurea solstitialis	Yellow starthistle
Cortaderia spp.	Pampas grass
Cynodon dactylon	Bermuda grass (excluding sod hybrid)
Digitaria spp.	Crabgrass
Elaeagnus angustifolia	Russian olive
Eragrostis spp.	Lovegrass (excluding E. intermedia, plains lovegrass)
Melinis repens	Natal grass
Mesembryanthemum spp.	Iceplant
Peganum harmala	African rue
Pennisetum ciliare	Buffelgrass
Pennisetum setaceum	Fountain grass
Rhus lancea	African sumac
Salsola spp.	Russian thistle

Schinus spp. Pepper tree  
 Schismus arabicus Arabian grass  
 Schismus barbatus Mediterranean grass  
 Sorghum halepense Johnson grass  
 Tamarix spp. Tamarisk

7. The owner/developer must secure approval from the Pima County Department of Environmental Quality (PDEQ) to use on-site sewage disposal systems within the rezoning area at the time a tentative plat, development plan or request for building permit is submitted for review.
8. The property owner shall execute and record the following disclaimer regarding Prop 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134(l)."
9. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
10. During the development plan stage, the applicant shall contact Tucson Unified School District (TUSD) concerning the provision of adequate space for safe bus stops, bus turn-arounds and pedestrian access to the appropriate schools.
11. Structures shall be limited to a maximum height of 24 feet and shall be sited and landscaped to minimize negative visual impacts. The color of structures shall be in context with the surrounding environment
12. **In addition to the requirements of the Native Plant Preservation Ordinance, all transplantable saguaros 6 ft or less in height inside the disturbance area envelopes shall either be preserved in place or transplanted within the site.**
13. **The developer shall consult with the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection and Pima County prior to submittal of a subdivision plat to explore ways to reduce the need for large basins located along the downstream edge of the property while still meeting detention requirements. Any basins to be constructed throughout the site shall be designed using permaculture concepts and incorporate gradual slopes of natural materials in order to facilitate wildlife movement.**
14. **The developer shall consult with the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection and Pima County prior to submittal of a subdivision plat on lot configuration and placement of building envelopes, particularly those that are impacted by "flows under the regulatory threshold per the submittal, but are significant," (reference Commission staff report pg. 8) and on lots 19 and 22 where buildable area incurs into the Erosion Hazard Setback.**
15. **Signage indicating the prohibition of motorized vehicles shall be posted on trail easements.**
16. **The "Proposed Pedestrian Access Easement to TUSD School Property" shall be removed from the Preliminary Development Plan.**
17. **Perimeter lot fencing within the designated natural open space is prohibited.**

The following speakers addressed the Board in opposition:

- Skipper Haggard
- Barbara Dewitt
- Charles Shumway
- Alex Byron
- Rebecca Dawson
- Marie Gaskill



- Jason Foundas

They offered the following comments:

- Rezoning would impact the quality and hope for a different lifestyle.
- It would diminish the resale values in the area.
- Saguaros in the areas would be impacted.
- It would violate the character and the spirit of the neighborhood.
- The damage to the ecosystem would be devastating.
- Concerned with placement of the detention basins.
- Concerned with safety.

The following speakers addressed the Board in support:

- Carolyn Campbell
- John “BJ” Bjelland

They offered the following comments:

- Riparian areas on site would be protected with additional conditions.
- Would build a better and more controlled neighborhood.

The following submitted speaker cards in opposition but did not speak:

- Charlene Pesquiera
- Amy Triphan

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Carroll, to close the public hearing and approve CO9-15-04, subject to standard and special conditions and the additional conditions Nos. 12-17 as suggested by the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Elías and Chair Bronson agreed that approval of this plan provided for more open space and offsite mitigation than the plat that currently existed.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-0, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote.

## 22. **Hearing - Zoning Code Text Amendment**

### Co8-15-03, COMPREHENSIVE PLAN TERMS AND PROCEDURES

Proposal to amend by ordinance the Pima County Zoning Code Title 18, Chapter 18.89 (Comprehensive Plan) to revise Chapter 18.89 consistent with the recently updated Pima County Comprehensive Plan by amending Section 18.89.010 (Purpose); amending Section 18.89.020.A adding new definitions of “comprehensive plan amendment program”, “element”, “goal” and “non-major plan amendment”; amending existing definitions including “comprehensive plan”, “land use intensity legend”, “major plan amendment” to increase the site area to 640 acres and add criteria, and “plan policy”. The proposal will amend Sections 18.89.030 (Plan components) and 18.89.031 (Plan elements) to repeal the requirement of permitted zoning districts in all land use intensity legend categories, to add plan goals as a required component, and to add “health” and “economic

development” plan elements as potential plan components. The proposal will amend Section 18.89.040 to create a second window during the calendar year to accept non-major comprehensive plan amendment requests, and amend procedures, application process, and the requirement for a Planning and Zoning Commission study session. The proposal will amend Section 18.89.041 (Other plan amendment procedures) to add a new criterion for Board-Initiated Amendment Requests for Immediate Review which is “...waiting for the normal amendment periods would deny substantial and significant benefits to the greater community in terms of major regional economic development opportunities”; add new criteria for a Type 1 Minor Revision to the comprehensive plan which are a “non-substantive staff error” and a map labeling scrivener’s error; increase the maximum site area eligible for a Type 2 Minor Revision request from 10 acres to 80 acres; add a new criterion for a Type 2 Minor Revision which is “the plan text does not reflect a process or policy previously approved by the Board”; and amend Section 18.89.050 (Comprehensive plan update program) to incorporate the Board-adopted “Public Participation Plan” into the process of updating the comprehensive plan. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 8-0 (Commissioners Cook and Matter were not present) to recommend APPROVAL of the proposed text amendment. Staff recommends APPROVAL of the proposed text amendment. (All Districts)

If approved, pass and adopt: ORDINANCE NO. 2016 – 37

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Chair Bronson and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Miller not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

### **23. Public Records Request**

Discussion and possible action on the County Administrator’s memorandum dated June 24, 2016 titled, “Issues Related to Recent Public Records Requests” and on the update of all Supervisors’ responses and compliance to public records requests and the immediate release/provisions of all documents to the Arizona Daily Star, Tucson Sentinel and Tucson Weekly or any other entity or individual pursuant to the public records requests. (District 4)

Supervisor Carroll stated there were still records being withheld and that the County would be subject to any resulting liability.

Chair Bronson stated the Clerk had been charged with review of the records for these requests.

Robin Brigode, Clerk of the Board, reported that all records received to date had been distributed to the requestors and that a clarification request had been received from the press which was currently in process.

Supervisor Miller stated that as of June 30, 2016, her office had fully and completely complied with all public record requests. She stated that Supervisor Carroll was attacking her personally and professionally.

Supervisor Carroll questioned the position of the County if record requests remained unfulfilled.

Thomas Weaver, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded the matter now rested with the requestors and that the statutes allowed for a legal action to be filed that would prompt review by a Judge for compliance.

Supervisor Elías requested a written opinion from the County Attorney for clarification regarding the County's liability as it pertained to public records requests.

Supervisor Valadez stated that at the last meeting, the Board took an official position regarding this matter. He asked, theoretically, that because of that official position and if compliance had not been reached, where would the liability lie.

Mr. Weaver replied that without additional review, he believed the Board as a whole would be liable.

Supervisor Valadez requested that the topic of the County taking an official position regarding public records and the resulting liability be analyzed in the written opinion.

Supervisor Miller stated that it was clear she had responded to the public records request in her official capacity, and that without clear evidence to the contrary, she questioned the motivation behind the accusations.

Supervisor Elías asked the media in the room if they felt their public records requests had been fulfilled.

Joe Ferguson, Arizona Daily Star, stated the Star did not believe Supervisor Miller had provided the requested records from private email accounts for public business.

Dylan Smith, Tucson Sentinel, stated the Sentinel did not believe that Supervisor Miller and her staff had provided the requested records from private email accounts, Facebook messages and other messaging systems.

Supervisor Carroll added this matter would be placed back on the next Board agenda.

The Board took no action on this item.

## **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM**

### **24. Southern Arizona Raceway**

Southern Arizona Raceway Alliance, L.L.C., to provide for lease termination, no cost (CTN-ED-14-150)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **CONTRACT AND AWARD**

## **COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT AND NEIGHBORHOOD CONSERVATION**

### **25. City of Tucson, to provide for the consortium of the Federal HOME Program for the Federal Fiscal Years 2016, 2017 and 2018, no cost (CTN-CD-16-209)**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **26. Pima County Community Land Trust, Inc. (PCCLT), to provide for the PCCLT Ontario Rental Housing Project, HUD - HOME Investment Partnership Program Fund, contract amount \$410,000.00 (CT-CD-16-431)**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **27. TMM Family Services, Inc., to provide for the TMM Family Services Senior and Veterans Housing Project, HUD - HOME Investment Partnership Program Fund, contract amount \$708,000.00 (CT-CD-16-430)**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **COMMUNITY SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING**

### **28.. Literacy Connects, to provide literacy services, USDOL, ADES, Pima Community College recipient of the HPOG and General (\$2,700.00) Funds, contract amount \$73,169.00 (CT-CS-16-428)**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **COUNTY ATTORNEY**

### **29. Hunton & Williams, L.L.P., Amendment No. 3, to provide legal services for environmental litigation and extend contract term to 6/30/17, no cost (CT-FN-14-278)**

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT AND TOURISM**

30. Southwestern Fair Commission, Amendment No. 1, to provide for wastewater treatment management for the Pima County Fairgrounds and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-ED-16-136)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **FACILITIES MANAGEMENT**

31. Southern Arizona Community Sports, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for a community gymnasium and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-FM-13-435)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

32. Pima Prevention Partnership, Inc., to provide for a lease agreement at 160 N. Stone Avenue, contract amount \$35,232.00 revenue/2 year term (CTN-FM-16-199)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **REAL PROPERTY**

33. Rio Nuevo Multipurpose Facilities District, to provide an Agreement to Donate Real Property and a Special Warranty Deed for the County's Mission Garden Project, no cost (CTN-PW-16-206)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE**

#### **Acceptance - Community Services, Employment and Training**

34. Arizona Department of Economic Security, Amendment No. 5, to provide for the AZ DES Employment and Training Program Workforce Innovation and Opportunity Act, USDOL Fund, \$7,301,854.00 (GTAM 16-78)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE**

### **35. Pima County Animal Care Advisory Committee**

Appointments of Gail Smith, representing the Board of Health; Tamara Barrick, representing Friends of Pima Animal Care Center; and Erin O'Donnell, representing the Southern Arizona Veterinary Medical Association. Term expirations: To be determined. (Committee recommendations)

Appointment of Andrew Squire, representing Pima Animal Care Center Partners. Term expiration: To be determined. (Jurisdictional recommendation)

Appointment of Suzanne Droubie. Term expiration: To be determined. (District 1)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### **36. Bond Advisory Committee**

Appointment of Ted Schmidt, to fill a vacancy created by Terri Hutts. Term expiration: 7/4/22. (District 3)

It was moved by Supervisor Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Carroll and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **CONSENT CALENDAR**

### **37. Approval of the Consent Calendar**

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Consent Calendar in its entirety.

\*\*\*

## **CONTRACT AND AWARD**

### **Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation**

1. The Primavera Foundation, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide essential services and shelter operations for the Greyhound Emergency Shelter Program and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CD-16-186)

### **Community Services, Employment and Training**

2. Pima Community College District, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Workforce Development Education Program, extend contract term to 6/30/17 and amend contractual language, WIOA, Youth CareerConnect and General (\$25,234.00) Funds, contract amount \$1,064,760.00 (CT-CS-15-529)

3. SER-Jobs for Progress of Southern Arizona, Inc., to provide workforce development services, USDOL, ADES and Pima Community College recipient of the HPOG Funds, contract amount \$502,104.00 (CT-CS-16-417)
4. Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc., to provide workforce development services for the Mature Workers Program, USDOL, ADES and General (\$31,012.00) Funds, contract amount \$93,977.00 (CT-CS-16-418)
5. Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc., to provide workforce development services for aviation, mining and manufacturing, Trade Adjustment Assistance Community College and Career Training Grant Funds, contract amount \$73,852.00 (CT-CS-16-419)

#### **County attorney**

6. The Primavera Foundation, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide implementation of enhancements to the Pima County Drug Court Program and establishment of a Drug Treatment Alternative to Prison Program, extend contract term to 9/30/17 and amend contractual language, DTAP and BHTCC Funds, contract amount \$42,634.00 (CT-PCA-15-489)

#### **Health**

7. Arizona Board of Regents and the University of Arizona Veterinary Diagnostic Laboratory, Amendment No. 1, to provide veterinary pathology services, extend contract term to 7/31/17 and amend contractual language, PACC Special Revenue Fund, contract amount \$54,000.00 (CT-HD-16-82)

#### **Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation**

8. Pima County, Pima County Regional Flood Control District and Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, to provide water quality monitoring, no cost, (CTN-PR-16-184)

#### **Procurement**

9. Award  
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-12-622, Technology Providers, Inc. (Headquarters: Chandler, AZ), for audio/visual equipment and installation, increase award amount by \$280,000.00 from \$3,200,000.00 to a cumulative not-to-exceed amount of \$3,480,000.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Departments: Information Technology and Facilities Management.

#### **Recorder**

10. Town of Oro Valley, to provide election services, contract amount \$12,000.00 revenue (CTN-RE-16-185)

## **Sheriff**

11. Town of Sahuarita, Amendment No. 1, to provide law enforcement dispatch services and the management of associated records, extend contract term to 6/30/17 and amend contractual language, contract amount \$175,000.00 revenue (CTN-SD-15-145)

## **GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE**

12. **Acceptance - Health**  
Arizona Department of Health Services, to provide for the Emergency Preparedness Program, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services and Centers for Disease Control Funds, \$639,186.21 (GTAW 16-72)
13. **Acceptance - Health**  
Pima Council on Aging, to provide for nursing care for the elderly, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Fund, \$22,922.00 (GTAW 16-78)
14. **Acceptance - Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation**  
Arizona Department of Housing, to provide for the Weatherization Assistance Program, U.S. Department of Energy, Low Income Home Energy Assistance Program and Southwest Gas Weatherization Assistance Program Funds, \$157,582.00 (GTAW 16-82)
15. **Acceptance - Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation**  
U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program, no cost (GTAM 16-77)

## **BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE**

16. **Election Integrity Commission**  
Appointment of Mary DeCamp, to fill a vacancy created by Matt Smith. Term expiration: 7/4/18. (Green Party recommendation)
17. **Design Review Committee**  
Appointments of Barbara Becker, Lori Woods and William Yarnell, to fill vacancies created by Don Laidlaw, Stacey Weakens and Gary Best. Term expirations: 7/31/19. (Staff recommendations)
18. **Cooperative Extension Board**  
Reappointments of Paul Ellis, Lloyd Gabriel, Marie Hirsch and Micaela McGibbon. Term expirations: 6/30/18. (Committee recommendations)



**SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/  
PATIO PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2015-64**

19. **Special Event**  
Mark F. Coyle, Ajo Gibson Volunteer Fire Department, Ajo Plaza, 10 W. Plaza Street, Ajo, July 4, 2016.
20. **Temporary Extension**  
06100203, Randy D. Nations, Hot Rods Old Vail, 10500 E. Old Vail Road, Tucson, Temporary Extension of Premises for July 9 and 23, 2016.
21. **Temporary Extension**  
07100326, David A. Zugeran, Tucson Hop Shop, 3230 N. Dodge Boulevard, Tucson, Temporary Extension of Premises for July 19, 2016.

**ELECTIONS**

22. **Polling Places**  
Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-411, designation of polling places for the August 30, 2016 Primary and the November 8, 2016 General Elections and allows for adjacent precincts to be combined within an election district.
23. **Precinct Committeemen**  
Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-821B, approval of Precinct Committeemen resignations and appointments:

RESIGNATION-PRECINCT-PARTY

Sherry I. Mann-021-GRN; Matt H. Smith-117-GRN

APPOINTMENT-PRECINCT-PARTY

Larry Bodine-238-DEM; Robin L. Fulcher-112-REP

This item was approved on the Consent Calendar.

**FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT**

24. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification**  
Crop Production Services, Inc. \$15,099.35; Precision Tool & Construction \$430.64; Greeley & Hansen, L.L.C. \$9,194.74; Adam Kirchler \$404.00; Ryan William Briggs \$87.00; Dawn Danielle Hayhurst \$380.80; Cassandra Lorraine Gunn Hart \$104.50; Jenifer Boyce \$604.50; Elsa Navarro \$117.00; Hill Brothers Chemical Co. \$22,220.61; Lyvonne D. Estillore \$4.29; Nathan Thomas Wade \$83.22; VCA Valley Animal Hospital, P.C. \$800.00.

**JUSTICE COURT**

25. **Judge Pro Tempore Appointment**

Appointment of Walter Weber as Judge Pro Tempore of the Pima County Justice Courts from July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017.

**RECORDER**

26. Pursuant to Resolution No. 1993-200, ratification of the Document Storage and Retrieval Fund for the month of May, 2016.

**RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE**

27. Warrants: June, 2016

\* \* \* \* \*

37. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:26 p.m.

\_\_\_\_\_  
CHAIR

ATTEST:

\_\_\_\_\_  
CLERK