

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 2/18/25 ITEM NO. 2A 19

Aliza Barraza

From: Don Hayles [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 17, 2025 6:43 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: February 18th 2025 BoS meeting agenda related comments

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Hello Pima Board of Supervisors,
Here are some comments about agenda item #19 for your February 18th 2025 meeting.
Thanks,
Don & Pat Hayles
District 5 Tucson

Agenda Item #19: Election Integrity Commission Annual Report 2024.

Per the "**November 2024 General Election – EARLY VOTING After Action Report**" provided by the Recorder to the Pima Co Board of Supervisors for their 1/21/2025 Pima County Board of Supervisors meeting:

Uniformed and Overseas Citizens Absentee Voting Act (UOCAVA) Voting issues

Page 2 of 11, Recorder's After Action Report - 2024 Gen Election:

2,741 UOCAVA ballots mailed

4,682 UOCAVA ballots received

1,941 more UOCAVA were received than mailed!

Additional issues:

None of the receipts of the UOCAVA "chain of custody" sheets provided per records request had any custody: no box numbers, no seal numbers, nor signature with a printed name, only initials.

It's possible that UOCAVA folks were allowed to vote until 11/13/2024, a week after the election, by faxing and emailing ballots! The total UOCAVA chain of custody sheets which were provided per the records request were **3,990 UOCAVA ballots**, yet another different number. Of the 3,990 UOCAVA ballots 1,728 were faxed and emailed AFTER Election Day! Only 2,262 should be counted as legal. None of the Recorder's numbers match.

Then in the same report also on page 2 of 11 pages:

45,232 site-issued ballots

43,650 site-issued ballots, per the Chain of Custody records

1,582 more site-issued ballots than they can provide custody records for.

None of the numbers match, per the Recorder's own report and their chain of custody forms. Of the 43,650 site-issued ballot chain of custody forms, all had major violations.

Our Comment: We demand an explanation of the discrepancies involving the UOCAVA and site-issued ballot numbers above – this is inexcusable, and it's no wonder that citizens are quickly losing faith in the voting process in Pima County.