From: Leslie Schaefer

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 8:47 AM

To: District4; District1; DSD Planning; Chris Poirier; bob@gmlawaz.com; DIST2; District3; District5

Subject: Co7-13-01 Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment - STRONGLY SUPPORT APPLICATION for PLAN AMENDMENT

- 196 HOMES AT RIVER & SABINO EAST

Dear Honorable Board Members:

I am writing to inform you of my support of the proposed plan amendment, allowing the planned 196 rental homes at the south east corner of Sabino and River.

This proposed development, identical to the development approved under rezoning case number Co9-12-05, continues to provide a viable, beautifully designed, comfortable and safe rental home community where renters can live with the same pride as home ownership.

Additionally, I believe the impact fees that are assessed to new development will greatly benefit the areas traffic improvements that will, over time and at completion, be appreciated more so than can be imagined at this early stage.

I urge you to continue to see the long term benefit that this beautiful community will bring to the neighborhood (that allows the same luxuries and comforts to residents regardless of their status as a homeowner or a renter), and the direct benefit and impact the construction will have to the community (with improved traffic improvements), as well as the employment it provides to multiple trades through the construction of this well planned infill project.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Regards,

Leslie Schaefer 4921 N Boyd Lane Tucson, AZ 85750



From:

morris6tucson:

Sent:

Sunday, September 15, 2013 8:56 PM

To:

David Petersen

Subject:

property development

To whom it May Concern,

I am writing to express my concern and disapproval of the proposed development of the property east of Sabino Canyon between Cloud Rd. and Knollwood.

I understand this is inevitable but am asking for reconsideration of the density of housing proposed for

this area. This is already a highly congested area as

Sabino Canyon is a very busy street and with an Elementary School close by and another development in progress a little further north on Sabino, the comings and goings in this area especially with the great number of proposed housing units would be astronomical. Please consider the integrity of of the area and not just the increase of the developers pocket books.

Thank you, Melanie Morris

16:13m1054天正人

----Original Message----

From: Greg Shinn

Sent: Sunday, September 15, 2013 11:17 PM

To: District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5; Chris Poirier

Subject: SE Corner of River and Sabino Canyon

Dear Supervisors,

For the same reasons that luxury rental homes made sense on the SW corner of River and Sabino Canyon, they made sense here: available water, available traffic capacity and need within the community. I urge you to make a smart planning decision and vote yes on this land use decision.

Respectully, Gregory R. Shinn 11047 N. Cloud View Place Tucson, AZ 85737

Sent from my iPad

From: Gary Slovikosky

Sent: Monday, September 16, 2013 10:08 AM

To: Angie Rangel

Subject: Petition - Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment

Dear Sirs,

Please find attached a petition signed by Sabino Creek Homeowners. Would you please reply with a quick email so that I know you received the signatures. Thank you so much!

Respectfully,

Sonja Slovikosky

Petition to Block Proposed Amendment to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan (Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment.)

Pelition summary and background:	The subject property is bordered on the east and south by heavily travelled roads (Sabino Canyon BI. and Cloud Road, respectively) and to the immediate north and east by residential housing at a maximum density of Low Intensity Urban (LIU-3.0). Amending the Pima County Comprehensive Plan to permit higher density development in this last <i>fill-in</i> property in this area would significantly harm the community feel for neighborhood residents, and be detrimental to the both the habitat and pathways for local wildlife.
Action petitioned for:	We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens (Sabino Creek Homeowners) who urge Pima County Board of Supervisors to act now to disapprove the request to modify the Pima County Comprehensive Plan to permit an increase to the existing Low Intensity Urban (LIU) zoning. (Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment.)

Drintol namo	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
		73410 E. Rickel	No ~ () ~ ~ ()	9-16.15
		3751 N. Sabino Pt PI		8-16 13
Mathew Deliver	The Charles	3721 N. Sabus 7+71		0.91.6
and the state of t				
		A STATE OF THE STA		
				manager/freshed mannels see 1 to 1. 1.
	ender der der der der der der der der der			

Printed name	Signature	Address	Comment	Date
J.m. Seralo		36 55 N RIGHT PSOF	THIS WOULD BE A DIASTENCE	
Pavola Fernande	des form	pa	BO NOT Want It	
Dr. DRR.			10/0/	1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
Michelle Leman	() Lawa	3612 N. Solvino Grale 1 Do NOT DO IT!	Do Not Do IT!	
Kimberly (wison	Kind Carse	3773 N Sandrock P	Do Not Want It	9-12-13
Alac Catter	A.	3773 N. GRUDIEL PL 20 NOT WAST, 7 9/12/13	To rest when I	8/12/13
ILAN LEIMAN	Len Farian	may 3612 N. Sabino Megkipl	I SAY NAY!	,]
Jan Strate		1485 E. Lieble 200d	N	9/12/13
Minner Susaun	- Mind Jung	7195 E. KWILLIOOD PIRE	NO	9/12-1/3
Russ Bather	S. Every 49 Brought	7515 E. Knoffwood D.	Sto	9/12/13
Fred Par	MOBURY	7470 E RUTHURSON FOR	30mg/	F1. K. 13
	e de la companya de l			
				teri programa de la companya de la c
reined institut i e mides dimantament i a respiratorio a managament i manto contra	ten den de			
d companies and an experience of the state o	the time to the commission of the commission of the commission of the contract	de de la company de la comp	thenis are the contraction of th	

.

.

Petition to Block Proposed Amendment to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan (Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment.)

The subject proper respectively) and to 3.0). Amending the area would signific	pathways for local wildlife. We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens (Sabino Creek Homeowners) who urge Pima County Board of Supervive, the undersigned, are concerned citizens (Sabino County Comprehensive Plan to permit an increase to the existing now to disapprove the request to modify the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Amendment.) Intensity-Ufban (LIU) zoning. (Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment.)	
Petition summary and background:	Aetlen petitioned for	

O: WHICH IN		Address Trade PP XV + 13 Comment	Comment	Date
Sound Shorthasky	المرسم عن قدي	- E. E. J. SLOS N Salino Creek Pl the area and neighborhood 9-10-13	Pleare Leer windlife in the area and neighborhes	81-01-6
	7,	3	NO MED OR HIGH DENSITY	8-01-8
Orid	Shiruly	3	100 high density, 9-10-13	6-10-13
SANDY STONIKUSIND BROWNED Blowilkouter	Routhoutry	3	John John Charlet	51-01-6
Const (Same)) (Swa	3600 NS2 birectored We heed this ib	We have this id	1.51.01 6
	,	1460 F 1400(1 WOOL	NO Aportment	9-10-13
10	Dest	3724 N. Gavrywood P. 100 NENER A PENERAL PRULPE 9-10-13	TOO DENTE A PENETON WE AMARE	9-10.13
1	se Beil	36 44 N. Kickergov+ 4) Don't wow Tapartment & 111/13	Don'to want apartment	4////
Analon Como	al a	\$6.20 N. S.B. no Gear No High Darsity 9/1/13	Up Night Rangety	6/1/13
WILFAED DECKERA with	of Hickory	3645 N. Ridge GOET	NO HICH DENSITY	4/11/13

Petition to Block Proposed Amendment to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan

(Co7-13-01; N. Sabino Canyon Road Plan Amendment.)

toad, ban (LIU- erty in this abitaf and	visors to act ig Low		Date	, / 6	E1/01/1		5/10/13		1/1/5	1/5//	_
roads (Sabino Canyon BI, and Cloud F a maximum density of Low Intensity Ur Isity development in this last <i>fill-in</i> prop S, and be detrimental to the both the ha	who urge Pima County Board of Super Plan to permit an increase to the existing pendment.)		Comment								***
The subject property is bordered on the east and south by heavily travelled roads (Sabino Canyon BI, and Cloud Road, respectively) and to the immediate north and east by residential housing at a maximum density of Low Intensity Urban (LIU-3.0). Amending the Pima County Comprehensive Plan to permit higher density development in this last <i>fill-in</i> property in this area would significantly harm the community feel for neighborhood residents, and be detrimental to the both the habitat and	pathways for local wildlife. We, the undersigned, are concerned citizens (Sabino Creek Homeowners) who urge Pima County Board of Supervisors to act oncerned citizens (Sabino Creek Homeowners) who urge Pima County Comprehensive Plan to permit an increase to the existing Low now to disapprove the request to modify the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Amendment.)	Intensity Urban (LIU) zoning. (Co/-13-01; IV. Sabiilo Carlyon room in	The state of the s	Address	3613 N. Jatino Crest Fi.	TUCSON, AZ 85750	3463 N. Subino Creek PL	TUSON, 92 85750	The M. SAGIND CROCK!	Mily A Fr. Ko	12/2011
The subject property is bordered respectively) and to the immediate 3.0). Amending the Pima County area would significantly harm the	pathways for local wildlife. We, the undersigned, are concern now to disapprove the request to	Intensity Urban (LIU) zoning. (Co		Signature	100	e Asinta		San San		I HADE. SEL	
Pelition summary and background:	Action petitioned for:			Printed name		James D. Enthistotte	, in the second	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11 Ma. 10 actual	1	1.21

The second section 1 is not designed in the second section 1 in the section 1 in the second section 1 in the section 1 in t		Comment	Date
Deleter name Stanature	+		,
┿	3613 N. Sabino Crook Pl.		1/0//6
James D. Entraista / Chirle	TUCSON, AZ 85750		?
	31,63 D. Siting onek PL		10/10
	12 CS17 47 8<75		2/2/
7-1 Ma Cagua Colyna John	AND CREAKE		1/6
	Child Service		19/18
The montas (SIMILLE IN	JUSSOUNE 02100		0/
TOWN THE WAY THE	ANGIN Savino Creek M		10/13
11/1/1/ 1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1/1			\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \
MAINTIN LAMINOR IN MAINT	+		4.0.7
	からなって、火みこうしょう		(7.5.2
STATE OF THE PROPERTY OF THE P	1525 B 25150		
Man Comment of the co	71.21 11 CABITA CRESCUE PU		0/1/2
7			1/101/2
CHELLES 1. CAURA LATER ATTENDED	TUCSON 11/2 03/3/2		` `
	しょの か、 とばる ングにいっと た		9/10/13
10. 10 C Brook 1	An 1675 B		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	The same of the sa		į
	GIAT KI STAND CHEEK "		1000/3
	12 ST 8 82 50		
としてストーではつ	100000000000000000000000000000000000000		-
•		- Principle of the Prin	
	THE TAX OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PARTY OF THE		
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1			
to may make a design of the second section of the section	maritim mentre of productions and secretary contracts the secretary contracts		