

# **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS AGENDA ITEM REPORT**

Requested Board Meeting Date: 9/5/2023

\*= Mandatory, information must be provided

Click or tap the boxes to enter text. If not applicable, indicate "N/A".

## \*Title:

Regular Session Addendum Agenda Item – For the Attorney General to present Southwest Gas Corporation's Offer of Judgment for approval of the Board of Supervisors.

## \*Introduction/Background:

The Pima County Attorney's Office requests that the Board of Supervisors consider the Attorney General's presentation of Southwest Gas Corporation's Offer of Judgment.

#### \*Discussion:

N/A

### \*Conclusion:

The Board of Supervisors should consider the Attorney General's presentation of Southwest Gas Corporation's Offer of Judgment.

### \*Recommendation:

Follow the legal recommendation from the Pima County Attorney's Office.

## \*Fiscal Impact:

N/A

### \*Board of Supervisor District:

Department: Pima County Attorney's Office

Contact: Chief Civil Deputy Sam Brown

Telephone: 520-724-5700

Telephone: 520-724-5700

Department Director Signature:	Date:	08/28/202	23
Deputy County Administrator Signature:	Date:		
County Administrator Signature:	Date:	829	23

1	MOONEY, WRIGHT, MOORE & WILHO	DIT, PLLC				
2	Paul J. Mooney (No. 006708)					
	Paul Moore (No. 019912) 14301 North 87 <sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 207					
3	Scottsdale, AZ 85260					
4	Telephone: (480) 615-7503					
5	Email: pmoore@mwmwlaw.com Attorneys for Plaintiff					
6						
7	THE SUPERIOR COURT OF	THE STATE OF ARIZONA				
8	IN THE ARIZONA	A TAX COURT				
9						
10	SOUTHWEST GAS CORPORATION, a California corporation,	No. TX 2021-000366				
11	Plaintiff,	PLAINTIFF'S OFFER OF JUDGMENT				
12						
13	V.	(Assigned to: Hon. Sara Agne)				
14	ARIZONA DEPARTMENT OF					
15	REVENUE, an agency of the State of Arizona; COUNTIES OF: COCHISE,					
16	GILA, GRAHAM, GREENLEE, LA PAZ,					
17	MARICOPA, MOHAVE, PIMA, PINAL					
	and YUMA, each of which is a political subdivision of the State of Arizona,					
18	subdivision of the State of Alizona,					
19	Defendants.					
20						
21	Plaintiff, SOUTHWEST GAS CORPO	RATION, a California corporation, hereby				
22	makes an offer of judgment to Defendants: A	RIZONA DEPARTMENT OF REVENUE				
23	("ADOR"), and the COUNTIES OF: COCH	ISE, GILA, GRAHAM, GREENLEE, LA				
24	PAZ, MARICOPA, MOHAVE, PIMA, PINAL and YUMA, pursuant to Rule 68, Arizona					
25	Rules of Civil Procedure, on the following term	ns and conditions.				
26	1. The Subject Property is Arizon	a property collectively identified by three				

1		taxpayer identification numbers: 54-641 (Operating Utility Plant), 64-641
2		(Environmental Protection Facilities), and 65-641 (Construction Work in
3		Progress).
4	2.	Plaintiff offers to accept full cash values ("FCV") for the Subject Property to
5		be allocated by ADOR among the Defendant Counties in the same manner
6		that the current full cash values for each tax year were allocated among the
7		Defendant Counties (because the allocation methodology used by ADOR is
8		not in dispute herein) as follows:
9		<u>2022TY</u> –
10		Total FCV of the Subject Property for the 2022 tax year = \$2,300,000,000.
11		<u>2023TY</u>
12		Total FCV of the Subject Property for the 2023 tax year = \$2,500,000,000.
13		The 2022 and 2023 tax rolls to be corrected to reflect these full cash values
14		and the resultant changes in limited property values.
15	3.	The Defendants issue refunds to Plaintiff of any excess taxes levied, assessed,
16		and paid by or on behalf of Plaintiff on the Subject Property as a result of the
17		changes in full cash and limited property values set forth above, plus interest,
18		as provided by law.
19	4.	If this offer is accepted, Defendants will pay Plaintiff: <u>\$43,435</u> for its statutory
20		attorneys' fees incurred to date, plus <u>\$70,000</u> for its expert witness expenses
21		incurred to date, plus taxable costs accrued to date of <u>\$363</u> , all pursuant to
22		A.R.S. §§ 12-332 and 12-348.
23	Pursu	ant to Rule 68(g), if you do not provide written notice that the offer is accepted
24	within 30 day	ys after its service, and you do not obtain a more favorable judgment than this
25	offer, you wi	ill be required to pay Plaintiff as a sanction twenty percent of the difference in
26	assessed prop	perty tax for each year and the amount of property tax that would be due under

1	the terms of this offer. Furthermore, Defendants' refusal of the offer will be used by
2	Plaintiff as additional support in its application for an award of its attorneys' fees, expert
3	witness expenses, and taxable costs. See e.g., 4501 Northpoint LP v. Maricopa County, 212
4	Ariz. 98, 128 P.3d 215 (2006); A.R.S. § 12-348.
5	DATED this 14th day of August, 2023.
6	MOONEY, WRIGHT, MOORE & WILHOIT, PLLC
7	Py /s/ Paul I Mooney
8	By <u>/s/ Paul J. Mooney</u> Paul J. Mooney
9	Paul Moore
10	Attorneys for Plaintiff
11	ORIGINAL of the foregoing served via
12	email this 14th day of August, 2023, to:
13	Jerry A. Fries Lisa Neuville
14	Kimberly Cygan
15	OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL 2005 North Central Avenue
16	Phoenix, AZ 85004-1592 Attorneys for Defendants
17	Theorie ys for Derendants
18	/s/ Kim Simonis
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
IGHT, ptt, PLLC , AZ	- 3 -