

AGENDA MATERIAL

DATE 2-21-23 ITEM NO. PA 20

Bernadette Russell

From: Grace Stambaugh <[REDACTED]>
Sent: February 18, 2023 11:17 AM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5
Cc: COB_mail
Subject: Agenda Item #20: Economic Development Strategic Plan

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am very alarmed that Stiletto has a proprietary process for measuring social impact using the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals. Their Immigration Strategy is to attract and retain immigrants. When did Pima County make that a public interest goal? The United Nations is leading us into the Great Reset agenda which is anything but pro-human. YOU should be very concerned about this Strategic Plan BECAUSE , at this point, the taxpayers realize that Pima County has been feeding, housing, transporting, and providing medical care to "asylum seekers" as part of this Strategic Plan but never provided transparency for that plan. Please explain why the county is spending money on a consulting company that will provide Strategic Plans that follow the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals. This is the 2030 Agenda, also known as the Great Reset where you will own nothing and be happy by eating bugs and fake meat while depending 100% on the One World Government to provide for your needs.

WE DO NOT WANT ANYTHING TO DO WITH THE UNITED NATIONS AND DO NOT WANT TO KEEP FUNDING THE ILLEGALS COMING INTO THE UNITED STATES.

Who were the other consulting companies that bid on this contract and why did you pick Stiletto? The BoS had better be transparent with the Pima County taxpayers and share whatever ridiculous report this company produces. Be prepared for massive outrage by the citizens when you continue to lead Pima County into the 2030 Great Reset. This is a dangerous slippery slope you are forcing upon Pima County.

Grace Stambaugh
Marana

FEB 21 23 AM 07:41 POK OF 30
BR

Bernadette Russell

From: Deanne Johnson [REDACTED] >
Sent: February 19, 2023 10:59 AM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Economic Development Strategic Plan

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

2/19/2023

Deanne Johnson

Why we are spending Pima County taxpayer's money on a consulting company that will provide Strategic Plans that follow the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals. Read between the lines: goal number 3 = vaccinate yourself and your kids; goal 4 = digital education; goal 5 = gender homogeneity. This is the 2030 Agenda, also known as the Great Reset where "you will own nothing and be happy by eating bugs and fake meat while depending 100% on the One World Government to provide for your needs.

WAKE UP PIMA COUNTY!!!!

This is not what the citizen want and we DO NOT want our taxpayer money to go towards any "agenda" that will take away our God given rights and enslave us. The existing contract with Stiletto (this name alone should give you some pause!) Consulting, LTD. should not even be considered to be extended. This company should not be in involved in Pima County Strategic Planning!!

FEB 21 22:40:17 42 PC CLK OF 99
AK

>^..^<
Deanne

Katrina Martinez

From: Terra [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 7:11 AM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Please do NOT extend the Stiletto Consulting contract

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Plima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

02/20/23

Re: Agenda item #20 (Economic Development Strategic Plan

I urge each one of you to vote **NO** on extending the contract with Stiletto Consulting, Ltd.

It has come to my attention that this company has a proprietary process for measuring social impact using the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). This may, at first glance, seem like a good thing but, in fact, these goals are not sustainable at all.

First, there is a weighting problem. The SDGs include three different kinds of indicators: Some focus on ecological impact (like deforestation and biodiversity loss), some focus on social development (like education and hunger), and some focus on infrastructure development (like transportation and electricity). Most of the SDGs contain a mix of these, but the ecological indicators are almost always swamped by the development indicators.

This issue is compounded by a second problem, namely, that only four of the 17 SDGs deal mostly or wholly with ecological sustainability (Goals 12 through 15). The other 13 are mostly focused on development. Once again, this means that good performance on the development goals outweighs poor performance on the sustainability goals.

The final problem is that the vast majority of the ecological indicators are territorial metrics that do not account for impacts related to international trade. For instance, take the air pollution indicator in Goal 11. Rich countries come out looking clean—but this is largely because they have offshored most of their polluting industries to countries in the global south since the 1980s, thus shifting the problem abroad. So too with the indicators on deforestation, overfishing, and so on.

The University of Queensland's Professor James Watson says as currently applied, the SDGs may actually serve as a smokescreen for further environmental destruction in the next decade. "If these errors are not corrected, the SDGs could unknowingly promote environmental destruction in the name of sustainable development."

So why is the Board supporting and paying a company, with our taxpayer dollars, to judge how "sustainable" we are using SDGs that are not sustainable and actually harmful. Case in point, goal number 3 = vaccinate yourself and your kids; goal 4 = digital education; goal 5 = gender homogeneity. That's not how the goals are written but that is how they translate. Tread very carefully. These goals are being used not for the greater good of humanity.

When did the Board make public their Strategic Plan for Immigration? Why was the public not told you were actively trying to attract and retain immigrants? Your constituents have been repeatedly telling you that we do NOT want to waste taxpayer money on feeding, housing, transporting and providing medical care for "asylum seekers". We do NOT support your lack of transparency and your hidden Immigration Strategic Plan! Stop wasting our community's tax money.

Please vote **NO** on extending the Stiletto Consulting, Ltd. contract!

FEB 21 23 AM 07 53 PC CLK OF ED

0

Terra Radliff

Katrina Martinez

From: Ken Wolfe [REDACTED] >
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 9:12 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Stiletto Consulting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please distribute to BOS members.

Why are we spending money for this company to provide recommendations on adhering to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, which includes many items that would be bad for our County and State?????

Ken Wolfe
Marana

FEB 21 2023 07:53 PM CLK OF BO

Q

Katrina Martinez

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 9:25 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Waste of taxpayer funds

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Why are we spending money for this company to provide recommendations on adhering to the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals, which includes many items that would be bad for our County and State?

Tana Wyrick
Oro Valley

FEB 21 23 07 53 POC CLK OF SD

②

Katrina Martinez

From: Brenda Marts [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 1:39 PM
To: distric1@pima.gov; distric2@pima.gov; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: Agenda Item #20: Economic Development Strategic Plan

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am opposed to extending the existing contract with Stiletto Consulting, Ltd. for Strategic Planning services. The total contract not-to-exceed amount is \$105,000. I am alarmed that Stiletto has a proprietary process for measuring social impact using the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals. Their Immigration Strategy is to attract and retain immigrants. When did Pima County make that a public interest goal?

I am very concerned about this Strategic Plan and, at this point, realize that Pima County has been feeding, housing, transporting, and providing medical care to "asylum seekers" as part of this Strategic Plan but never provided transparency for that plan.

I want this funding to end. Take care of the citizens that pay the taxes.

FEB 21 23 AM 07:54 PM CLK OF ED

(Handwritten initials)

Katrina Martinez

From: Gary hecksel [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, February 20, 2023 6:33 PM
To: District4; COB_mail; COB_mail
Subject: Agenda item 20 Economic Strategic Plan

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Why are the Board of Supervisors spending over 100k to Stiletto Consulting who use a formula that follows the United Nations 17 Sustainable Development Goals? This "one world government" ideology has no place in formulating future plans for Pima County or Tucson growth. There is distinct lack of transparency in their plan. Since when did Pima County make attracting and retaining immigrants make that a public interest goal? This "Great Reset" United Nations objective has no place in Pima County or this Country.
This money should be redirected to legitimate Pima County needs that serve citizens, business and public safety.

Gary Hecksel
10224 E. Desert Crossings Way
Tucson

Sent from my iPad

FEB 21 23 07 54 PM CLK OF ED