


BOS - District 1 Feedback

02/12/2026 6:42 PM (MST)



Contact Supervisor Rex Scott

Concerns expressed on this form will be emailed directly to the office of District 1 and addressed accordingly.

First Name Gene
Last Name Cox
Email [REDACTED]
Telephone Number [REDACTED]
Address 910 w Las Lomitas Road
City TUCSON
State Arizona
Zip Code 85704
Select a District

- District 1 - Rex Scott
- District 2 - Dr. Matt Heinz
- District 3 - Jennifer Allen
- District 4 - Steve Christy
- District 5 - Andrés Cano

Select a Department Sheriff's Department

Please type the topic or subject of your concern Not allowing FBI to process evidence

Your Message Get a grip on the Sheriff. He needs to suck it up and become a team player. Bad image for Pima County

Would you like a response? Yes

FEB 18 26 AM 09:55 PC CLK OF BD


(as)

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 2/18/26 (as)
C.c: Sheriff
Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

03/07/2026 4:11 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Jae

Last Name Ly

Email

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Pima County Sheriff Complaint

Your Message

The United Cajun Navy has offered the resources in the search of Nancy Guthrie and has provided over a 40 page synopsis of what they will do and what they have to offer. This has been provided to the Sheriff's office and ignored and rejected. After all this time, this is totally unacceptable to the public and should be by not only the county, but by the family. Those over the Sheriff within the county need to take control of the situation and get the United Cajun Navy to be allowed to volunteer assist within the county with all they offer and if they are still refused, be told that they can do their assistance outside the county boundaries if approved by other counties. Pima County should be ashamed of themselves on behalf of how the Sheriff himself has made the county appear. The rest of the Sheriff's office has worked diligently from all the publicity. It is apparent by every interview, by every soundbite, that this situation is on behalf of the Sheriff, again, by every soundbite, by every interview that he is telegraphing and sending out every bit of info that he can to the abductors, almost as if he is providing them information, and this is unacceptable, and this should be handled by all of those within the county that can handle this on behalf of the family and more importantly, on behalf of Pima County's resident, Nancy Guthrie!

Would you like a response? Yes

11/11/25 09:40 PC CLK OF BD
E/M

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 3/9/26 EM
CC: Sheriff; Caroline Vargas

Gizelle Morales

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 24, 2026 10:40 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Fw: Nancy Guthrie investigation

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, March 23rd, 2026 at 11:02 PM
Subject: Nancy Guthrie investigation
To: John.Kmiec@tucsonaz.gov <John.Kmiec@tucsonaz.gov>,
Mayor.Romero@tucsonaz.gov <Mayor.Romero@tucsonaz.gov>,
citymanager@tucsonaz.gov <citymanager@tucsonaz.gov>,
TW_CustomerService@tucsonaz.gov <TW_CustomerService@tucsonaz.gov>,
ia@sheriff.pima.gov <ia@sheriff.pima.gov>

Subject: FIRMS Thermal Data – February 7, 2026 – Request for Official Review of Tucson Water Facility (Catalina Foothills) by Proper Authorities

Dear Director Kmiec, Mayor Romero, and Manager Thomure,

I am writing as a concerned citizen regarding NASA FIRMS satellite thermal data recorded on February 7, 2026, in the Catalina Foothills area.

On that date, the following thermal hotspots were detected near/on a Tucson Water facility property boundaries (large industrial-style building with green roof and white tanks, visible on satellite imagery near Valley View Rd / Valley View Acres system):

32.304159, -110.895212 @ 10:57:00 UTC (03:57:00 MST)

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
CC: Caroline Vargas
DATE 3/24/26 jam

MAR 24 25AM 11:29 PM CLK OF BOD

32.304428, -110.894255 @ 10:57:00 UTC (03:57:00 MST)

32.304159, -110.895212 @ 10:58:00 UTC (03:58:00 MST)

32.304428, -110.894255 @ 10:58:00 UTC (03:58:00 MST)

This information, including screenshots and exact coordinates from the NASA FIRMS system, was submitted to the Tucson Water [Wednesday, February 18th, 2026 at 1:03 PM-shows unread], FBI tip line on February 15, 2026 4:35pm, and has also been provided to the Pima County Sheriff's Office/Internal Affairs [Wednesday, February 18th, 2026 at 1:03 PM].

To date, neither the Tucson Water/FBI nor local law enforcement has confirmed whether this specific data has been reviewed or investigated. No other thermal anomalies appear in the FIRMS records for this immediate area throughout 2026. Since it seems like an odd anomaly(ies) - felt I should report them.

As the operator of the facility, I respectfully request that your security or operations team review any available camera footage, access logs, or maintenance records for the early morning hours of February 7, 2026 (approximately 03:55–04:05 MST) to determine if anything unusual occurred.

I am not seeking personal follow-up and prefer to remain anonymous. My only goal is to ensure this data point is not overlooked.

Thank you for your time and for protecting Tucson's critical water infrastructure.

Sincerely,
A Concerned Citizen

This unknown 51 days later --- has me in prayer so much- hope they look in a one-mile radius to Nancy's home 2026 permit index logs for this case; example: floodplains permits.

Reference: NASA FIRMS thermal data – February 7, 2026 – Nancy Guthrie investigation

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]

Date: On Monday, March 23rd, 2026 at 11:02 PM

Subject: Nancy Guthrie investigation

To: John.Kmiec@tucsonaz.gov <John.Kmiec@tucsonaz.gov>,
Mayor.Romero@tucsonaz.gov <Mayor.Romero@tucsonaz.gov>,
citymanager@tucsonaz.gov <citymanager@tucsonaz.gov>,
TW_CustomerService@tucsonaz.gov <TW_CustomerService@tucsonaz.gov>,
ia@sheriff.pima.gov <ia@sheriff.pima.gov>

Subject: FIRMS Thermal Data – February 7, 2026 – Request for
Official Review of Tucson Water Facility (Catalina Foothills) by
Proper Authorities

Dear Director Kmiec, Mayor Romero, and Manager Thomure,

I am writing as a concerned citizen regarding NASA FIRMS satellite
thermal data recorded on February 7, 2026, in the Catalina Foothills
area.

On that date, the following thermal hotspots were detected near/on a
Tucson Water facility property boundaries (large industrial-style
building with green roof and white tanks, visible on satellite imagery
near Valley View Rd / Valley View Acres system):

- 32.304159, -110.895212 @ 10:57:00 UTC (03:57:00 MST)
- 32.304428, -110.894255 @ 10:57:00 UTC (03:57:00 MST)
- 32.304159, -110.895212 @ 10:58:00 UTC (03:58:00 MST)
- 32.304428, -110.894255 @ 10:58:00 UTC (03:58:00 MST)

This information, including screenshots and exact coordinates from
the NASA FIRMS system, was submitted to the Tucson Water
[Wednesday, February 18th, 2026 at 1:03 PM-shows unread], FBI tip
line on February 15, 2026 4:35pm, and has also been provided to the
Pima County Sheriff's Office/Internal Affairs [Wednesday, February 18th,
2026 at 1:03 PM].

To date, neither the Tucson Water/FBI nor local law enforcement has
confirmed whether this specific data has been reviewed or
investigated. No other thermal anomalies appear in the FIRMS records

for this immediate area throughout 2026. Since it seems like an odd anomaly(ies) - felt I should report them.

As the operator of the facility, I respectfully request that your security or operations team review any available camera footage, access logs, or maintenance records for the early morning hours of February 7, 2026 (approximately 03:55–04:05 MST) to determine if anything unusual occurred.

I am not seeking personal follow-up and prefer to remain anonymous. My only goal is to ensure this data point is not overlooked.

Thank you for your time and for protecting Tucson's critical water infrastructure.

Sincerely, A Concerned Citizen

This unknown 51 days later --- has me in prayer so much- hope they look in a one-mile radius to Nancy's home 2026 permit index logs for this case; example: floodplains permits.

Reference: NASA FIRMS thermal data – February 7, 2026 – Nancy Guthrie investigation



Google 100% [Data attribution](#) 2/14/2024

72°F
Clear





Google 100% [Data attribution](#) 2/14/2024

72°F
Clear





5333 N Valley View Rd
Tucson, Arizona



Google



33° 10' 15.0" N 110° 53' 42.1" W

Google 100% Data attribution 2/14/2014

63°F
59 mi.



Q Street View


Layers





BOS - District 1 Feedback

03/24/2026 9:52 AM (MST)



Contact Supervisor Rex Scott

Concerns expressed on this form will be emailed directly to the office of District 1 and addressed accordingly.

First Name Steve

Last Name Douglas

Email [REDACTED]

Telephone Number [REDACTED]

Address 4716 W Wild Horse Dr

City Tucson

State Arizona

Zip Code 85742

Select a District

- District 1 - Rex Scott
- District 2 - Dr. Matt Heinz
- District 3 - Jennifer Allen
- District 4 - Steve Christy
- District 5 - Andrés Cano

Select a Department Sheriff's Department

Please type the topic or subject of your concern Chris Nanos resignation

Your Message Well, I understand that the board of supervisors do not have the authority to remove Christos from the position of sheriff. I recommend that the board strongly urge him to resign due to dishonesty, treatment of employees, and incompetence.

Would you like a response? No

REC-24-26M0242 PC CLK OF BD [Signature]

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

CC: Caroline Vargas

DATE 3/24/26 [Signature]

Gizelle Morales

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, March 30, 2026 6:24 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Fw: Re: FW: Re: GUTHRIE

DOCUMENT
You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Clerk of the Board,

For your records and the attention of the Board of Supervisors, I am forwarding a copy of the email I sent today to Sheriff Chris Nanos regarding the Nancy Guthrie investigation, transparency concerns, and suggestions for reducing the burden on Records staff.

Thank you for your attention.

Best regards

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 6:06 PM
Subject: Fw: Re: FW: Re: GUTHRIE
To: chris.nanos@sheriff.pima.gov <chris.nanos@sheriff.pima.gov>

Subject: Constructive Suggestions for Greater Transparency and Reduced Burden on PCSD Staff – Nancy Guthrie Investigation

Dear Sheriff Nanos,

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 3/31/26 *sm*

I am writing as a concerned citizen who has followed the Nancy Guthrie investigation closely. I recently submitted a preliminary inquiry to your Records Maintenance Unit (received Sunday, March 29, 2026 at 8:35 AM, opened Monday, March 30, 2026 at 8:18 AM, acknowledged at 9:34 AM) and I want to be clear that it was **not** a formal public records request at this point— only a question about proper process before deciding whether to file one.

The Pima County Board of Supervisors recently invoked an **old territorial-era law** to place you under oath regarding your background and past statements.

If the same level of microscopic scrutiny is being applied to you, then the same standard should be applied equally to the county communications staff, media handlers, and Human Resources personnel who shaped the early “hero sheriff” narrative for decades.

Those offices had access to the same public articles and records about your El Paso history that are now being highlighted.

Fairness demands they answer under oath as well about what they knew, **when they knew it**, and how the narrative was managed and shifted.

My specific concern is what I see as a **normalizing of deviance** through gaps, omissions, and prolonged public silence. At Day 58 we have almost no substantive updates. While I understand the need to protect the integrity of the case, saying nothing for weeks creates a vacuum that drives the public to file records requests in ever-greater numbers.

For context, your department processed **41,548 public records requests in 2024** — more than 114 per day. The current high-volume boilerplate responses from the Records Unit (as I received from Mr. James Russell #8037) show the **real stress** this may place on your civilian staff.

A simple policy change could help everyone: have your Public Information Officer (Angelica Carrillo) and Communications team work with investigators to create and maintain a **master vetted-and-legally-redacted file** of non-sensitive information for the Public when they make a request.

When a PRR comes in, the Records Unit could release the pre-cleared portions far more quickly without compromising the case. This would dramatically reduce

the workload on your Records staff, cut down on duplicate requests, and demonstrate the accountability the public is asking for.

I am not asking you to compromise the investigation.

I am asking you to **use your PIO and Communications team more proactively** to fill the information vacuum with regular, factual updates (even if brief) on areas that do not affect the case — such as general public-safety tips, confirmed timeline facts that are no longer sensitive, and the status of forensic processing. That single step would relieve enormous pressure on your own department while helping the community feel safer.

Thank you for your service and for considering these suggestions. I truly want to see the focus stay on finding Nancy Guthrie and restoring public trust — not on one individual being singled out while others who helped shape the narrative escape the same level of review.

So I am heard...listen...

In closing, Sheriff Nanos, again, if the Board of Supervisors, Human Resources, Staff, and the media are now putting you under a microscope for decisions and omissions stretching back decades when you were facing a personal watching someone diminish [Dec 2025] to then the loss and a significant loss at that and I truly feel they have no proof that it was meant to be with intent and I would have them focus to Human Resources and their lack of doing their job, then the same rigorous scrutiny must be applied to them.

Human Resources had every opportunity — **and the legal duty** — to fully vet your background when you joined Pima County in 1983/84 and throughout if any complaints filed. Your promotions and titles have been forever threaded in the Media- Articles over the decades that one does not have to request a public records request. I know the framework and I am appalled "**how**" they did this in such a public way and how it is all being handled.

I fully understand, based on the El Paso records and how thoroughly law enforcement backgrounds are vetted for elected positions in Arizona, that in a perfectly just world you likely would never have been hired or elected to this role.

At the same time, I recognize that leading a large sheriff's department requires a very specific type of person willing to shoulder an extraordinarily difficult job — one that involves managing hundreds/thousands of staff, aging infrastructure and buildings, limited resources, constant pressure from the Board of Supervisors, intense local and national/global politics, union dynamics, media scrutiny, budget battles, recruiting and retaining quality deputies, and the daily weight of public safety responsibilities in a rapidly growing county. My own father was trained by AZPOST, and I witnessed firsthand the immense struggles that come with these pressures. I call it - *different level, different devil*...and again not one civilian or BOS is gonna comprehend all that.

These two men saw levels no one will ever get but I like their quotes:

"As Audie Murphy once said, '*Bravery is just the determination to do a job that you know has to be done.*' And as Jimmy Stewart, who served honorably and worked with Murphy, understood deeply: true leadership demands discipline, self-respect, and an unwavering sense of duty to those you serve."

In the end, Sheriff Nanos, the real failure did not begin with you when you applied to become a deputy in 1983 — it began with Human Resources and the hiring process that failed to do its job. The 1980s were a brutal era of survival: many good men and women *"faked it until they made it,"* stretching résumés, silent/downplaying old mistakes, and doing whatever it took just to keep food on the table and a badge on their chest while the country was still recovering from economic hardship. The pressure to *"keep up with the Joneses"* was already woven into every promotion and every rung of the ladder. You put in decades of service after that initial vetting — time that should have been the true measure of your record.

If the Board of Supervisors, Human Resources, and the media are now so *deeply concerned* about those 1980s records, they had every opportunity — and every resource — to run a proper, quiet audit at any point during your long career [*shift in media happened Fall 2024*] instead of waiting until a high-profile case made it politically convenient to weaponize them publicly. What we are watching now is not justice; it is selective outrage, and it is terrible for morale, for recruitment, and for the public's faith in the system.

Would I have hired you, Chris Nanos, in the early 1980s? Based on the full El Paso disciplinary record that existed at the time — including the 1982 flashlight incident that sent a handcuffed man to the ICU — **no, I would not have hired you.**

Would I have rechecked your background thoroughly with each promotion as you rose through the ranks? **Absolutely yes.** That is exactly what professional Human Resources is **supposed to do.**

The *repeated failure* here rests squarely with Pima County Human Resources and the successive administrations that promoted you. They had access to the same records, the same articles now resurfacing, the same hiring standards, and the same duty to protect the integrity of the department.

Instead of performing proper due diligence at the point of hire and at every major promotion, they allowed gaps and omissions to stand for decades. **That systemic failure is by HR** — not the individual actions of a young officer trying to survive in the tough 1980s job market — that enabled this situation to reach where it is today.

The Board of Supervisors and county communications staff helped craft and maintain the “hero sheriff” narrative --- they did, not you --- for how many decades while the old El Paso records sat quietly in their files and as well in easy to obtain articles. And the media that once celebrated you as a decorated veteran is the **same media** now rushing to bury you. why?

As noted in The National Herald article titled 'Greek-American Sheriff Chris Nanos Leads High-Profile Search for Missing Nancy Guthrie' (February 9, 2026), they stated you were of Greek descent on your father's side, with grandparents emigrated from Greece and settled in Connecticut. Many Greek-American families carry deep cultural layers — *intensities, passions, and resiliences* shaped by history and heritage — that even they may not fully understand from their child/teenage years through the end of their lives where that layer comes from. I have personally known many Greeks from Bloomfield, New Jersey, who were truly the *salt of the earth*: hardworking, family-oriented, and honorable but boy I saw the layers. For some, that **natural intensity** found

its healthiest outlet in the boxing ring, where discipline could channel raw drive into something constructive. I remember being on the dance floor - dancing away and how others felt they were aggressive in dance moves- they would say to me, and I said who--- she said those Greeks--- *I felt they were misunderstood...*Perceptions...key thing that can bring people together or divide them. You and your staff/for the loss; family are in my prayers.

As the daughter of a man trained by AZPOST, I saw firsthand the immense pressures of law enforcement leadership in that uncertain 1970-80s decade — a time when many good people were simply trying to survive, sometimes bending rules or omitting details just to keep going. When my father was getting an award at the Valley Ho in downtown Phoenix back in those times- one LE leader sitting near me said *if they are getting rewarded, they did something wrong*- I was preteen; hearing that...I know it is not right to judge a man's manners or temperament solely by what was reported in 1970-80s-era, especially when the world was unstable and frameworks were often unclear. I watched families that were doing okay but something shifted 1968 until 1976 across America and that generation saw terrible moments to life. Families broken up. Abuse increased. In the end, we must remain God-centered: **justice, truth, transparency, and accountability; truly matter**.

Yet I have witnessed how the system often allows leaders to carry the *burden/lies/omissions/narratives* until it becomes convenient to make one the scapegoat for that moment. That pattern is what I have seen, and it is why consistent sunlight on every level — including Human Resources, the Board of Supervisors, and the media — is so important. You may use this email as a statement for any legal area because **this is reality**.

Redirect that same energy toward real accountability across the **entire system** not just isolated at yourself as they are doing.

In today's climate, it is already difficult enough to find qualified people willing to step into leadership roles when they understand the personal and professional cost. Turning elected officials into disposable scapegoats the moment the political winds shift only makes the problem worse.

If we are truly committed to justice in the Nancy Guthrie case and restoring public trust, then let's apply the same oath-powered review to HR, the BOS, and the media handlers who *shaped the narrative* — not just to the man currently carrying the badge. **Sunlight should not be selective.**

I respectfully urge you to use your PIO more aggressively in the coming days and implement the master redacted file policy I suggested. Doing so would protect your staff, demonstrate leadership, and show the public that Pima County can handle tough cases with both integrity and transparency.

Thank you for your decades of service. The public deserves better than *selective outrage* towards your way— we deserve consistent accountability from everyone involved.

I am deeply uncomfortable with the fact that we are now at Day 57/58- right? with almost no substantive public updates from your office. I understand that every word you or your department releases can be twisted, sensationalized, or orchestrated by media outlets and online streamers. That pressure can make silence feel like the safer option. Still, prolonged gaps like this erode public trust and place unnecessary strain on your own Records staff.

There are several areas that could be safely addressed right now through your PIO without compromising the integrity of the investigation. A clear public checklist could include:

A high-level threat assessment to the community (is there any specific ongoing risk to elderly residents or similar homes? Statistics, how many went missing in 2026 already.)

Confirmed, non-sensitive timeline facts from the first 48 hours that are no longer under active restriction

General public safety recommendations based on what has been learned so far (working cameras with subscriptions, not propping exterior doors, etc.)

Current status of forensic processing (DNA, without revealing specifics)

Confirmation of which federal resources remain actively involved

Status of the alleged ransom notes as an investigative lead (without detailing content)

A commitment to regular briefings going forward (even if brief)

Releasing factual information in these areas would reduce the flood of records requests, ease the burden on your team there, and help restore confidence that the system is working.

God Bless-

Respectfully, Anonymous Concerned Citizen

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:52 AM
Subject: Re: FW: Re: NASA FIRMS Request
To: James Russell <James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov>

Subject: Re: Public Records Inquiry – Clarification on Preliminary Email
Good morning James,

Thank you for the acknowledgement email sent at **Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:34 AM.**

*[→ Duration from sent to read: 23 hours and 43 minutes, → Duration from read to reply: 1 hour and 16 minutes
→ Total duration from sent to reply: 24 hours and 59 minutes- template style reply]*

Just to clarify for the record:
My original email was sent on **Sunday, March 29th, 2026 at 8:35 AM.**

It was not a formal public records request.

It was only a *preliminary inquiry* asking about the proper 2026 process, preferred contact method, and any specific procedures your office requires before I decide whether to submit an actual request.

I wanted to make sure I followed your exact current 2026 steps and did not create any duplicate or premature submissions plus I wanted to know if your entity accepts anonymity with electronic return or do I need to *blanket my name with anonymity* through counsel. I want to protect my identity for safety reasons. I also needed to know should I make the request in one lump sum or in stages since the records I seek would lay in being a voluminous request.

Could you please confirm in your notes that my March 29th email was received and treated only as a ***preliminary process inquiry***, and that I have not submitted a formal public records request at this time?

Thank you again for your assistance.

Best regards.

On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:34 AM, James Russell

<James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Thank you for contacting our office. This email serves as formal acknowledgement that we have received your request for public records.

Processing Status: We are currently experiencing a high volume of requests. We are working through each one in the order received.

Duplicate Submissions: To avoid further delays, please do not submit duplicate requests for the same records.

Next Steps: Our office will contact you as soon as your records are available.

Thank you for your patience.



James Russell #8037

Records Technician

Pima County Sheriff's Department
Records Maintenance Unit

PHONE Main: (520) 351 4650 Direct: (520) 351
EMAIL 3597

WEB James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov
ADDRESS www.PimaSheriff.org
1650 E Benson Hwy Suite C, Tucson, AZ
85714

***** **CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING** *****

The information contained in this transmission is confidential. It may not be used for commercial purposes and may be legally privileged or protected work under applicable law. This information is intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If you have received this transmission in error, please call the sender to inform and destroy the information. You are notified that any disclosure, copying, distributing or the taking of any action in reliance on the content of this transmission is forbidden by the sender and may be illegal.

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima Sheriff. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Subject: Request for Current 2026 Public Records Fee Schedule, Electronic Delivery Confirmation, and Pre-Approval of Public-Interest Fee Waiver – Nancy Guthrie Case

Dear Pima County Sheriff's Office Records Maintenance Unit,

I am writing to request the following:

1. A copy of your 2026 current public records fee schedule and any applicable fee chart for 2025–2026 (or the most recent version) but also the changes in the past three years to the fee schedule- if any.
2. Confirmation that all responsive records can be produced electronically (via secure link, email attachment, or digital download portal) for non-commercial use using this email.
3. Pre-approval of a **full public-interest** fee waiver for any public records requests I may submit concerning the high-profile Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation.
4. Current OPEN and CLOSED index log of Public Records Requests since Feb 1 on this topic- Guthrie Case.

Strong Public-Interest Justification for Fee Waiver The disappearance of Nancy Guthrie is a matter of extraordinary public concern in Pima County, southern Arizona, and nationally. The case involves received ransom communications and is being investigated as a possible abduction. Under

Arizona's Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule (issued by the State Library, Archives and Public Records – SLAPR), kidnapping/abduction/ransom cases are classified for **permanent retention**.

Arizona's Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) expressly favors broad disclosure. Courts have repeatedly held that the public's right to know how law enforcement is handling a high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case outweighs blanket claims of secrecy/omissions/redactions/seal/gag order/etc when **public safety** is at stake. Providing these records serves multiple compelling public interests by:

- Promoting accountability and transparency in an active, high-profile investigation that affects community safety;
- Enabling the public to evaluate whether FBI, IACP, and Arizona best-practice guidelines for scene preservation, canvassing, ransom handling, digital evidence, and multi-agency coordination are being followed;
- Assisting in the generation of additional tips and leads that could help solve the case; and
- Maintaining public trust in the Sheriff's Office's response to a case that has garnered widespread attention and directly implicates resident safety in Arizona specifically to this city.

This request would be made solely for non-commercial purposes and is not intended to interfere with any legitimate investigative needs nor would I be placing out anything public and will vet only 'chain of command' with LE/FBI with the fulfillment results if we do this request unless there is something needing to be addressed for the public interest on public safety. A fee waiver is **strongly justified** under the statute and controlling case law because the **public benefit** far exceeds any [minimal] administrative cost.

I am currently reviewing my options and may submit formal requests either personally or through counsel. The counsel route is only to protect my identity; for my safety. If you are able to waive and fulfill the request with just using my email and no other contact and or name- prefer to remain anonymous. Please provide the fee schedule and your written response regarding the fee waiver/anonymity/etc under this email as the contact at your earliest opportunity. Arizona law requires *acknowledgment within three business days* yet I recognize this is a Holiday week.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter of significant **public safety** and **transparency**.

I attached the Nanos/Detective email thread of the itemized list I was directing to the detective and Mr. Nanos. - let me copy and paste and place in this section of the communication what I am seeking to achieve:

Itemized Public Records Request I am thinking to request – Nancy Guthrie Case (Permanent Retention Kidnapping/Abduction Investigation)

- **Any Judicial Sealing, Protective, or Confidentiality Orders and Related Records**

All court orders, minute entries, petitions, notices, or correspondence directing the Pima County Sheriff's Office (or any of its personnel) to seal, restrict, withhold, or treat as confidential any records, reports, evidence, videos, or other materials related to the Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation. This includes:

Any Order to Seal Criminal Case Records (form AOCCRSL2F or equivalent) issued under A.R.S. § 13-911 or other authority;

Any protective order, confidentiality order, or court directive limiting public disclosure;

All records showing the date, judge, case number (if any), and scope of the sealing or restriction;

Any internal PCSO logs, memos, or notations indicating that specific records have been marked "sealed," "restricted," or removed from normal access, including the exact timestamp/date when the sealing directive was received or implemented by PCSO.

Any communications between PCSO and the court, prosecutor, or other parties regarding such sealing.

- Current Body-Worn and Dash Camera Policy
- The Pima County Sheriff's Office's current Body-Worn Camera and Dash Camera Policy (including any policies issued or amended pursuant to SB 1640 / A.R.S. § 41-1724), all amendments or updates since September 24, 2023, and the most recent annual report submitted to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.
- All Videos, Images, and Recordings Related to the Nancy Guthrie Case

- All body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, videos, images, still photographs, and any other visual media related to the Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation, including full metadata (original creation dates, times, camera identifiers, GPS data if available, and file hashes).
- Complete Index/Log of Body-Worn and Dash-Cam Recordings
- A complete index or log of every body-worn camera and dash-cam recording associated with the Nancy Guthrie case, showing for each file: the original creation date and time as recorded by the camera system, camera identifier, officer(s) involved, and any retention or classification notes.
- Initial Incident/Police Report and All Supplemental Reports
The original/initial missing-person or kidnapping report filed for Nancy Guthrie (including the first responding officer's report), all supplemental or follow-up investigative reports, detective notes, and case summaries.
- Records of Jurisdiction Transfer or Multi-Agency Coordination
All documents showing any transfer (or partial transfer) of jurisdiction or investigative responsibility to the FBI or other agencies, including emails, memoranda, task-force agreements, and coordination logs.
- Ransom Communications and Forensic Analysis
All ransom notes, communications (written, digital, phone, or otherwise), envelopes, packaging, and related forensic reports (fingerprints, DNA, paper analysis, ink, digital metadata, handwriting analysis).
- Evidence Logs and Chain-of-Custody Records
Complete evidence logs, property/evidence intake forms, chain-of-custody documentation, and any reports on evidence collected at the Guthrie residence (5820 N Camino Escalante) or other locations.
- Scene Processing and Preservation Records
All reports, logs, photographs, videos, diagrams, and notes regarding scene security and preservation at the Guthrie home (including any electrical permit P25BP07139 or contractor access by Urias / Poche LLC), plus any concerns about unauthorized access or contamination.
- Neighborhood Canvass and Witness Interview Records
Canvass logs, interview summaries, statements (redacted as required by law), and reports from the neighborhood canvass (including any use of dogs or utility record reviews) within a one-mile (or greater) radius.
- Digital and Electronic Evidence Records All records concerning preservation and analysis of phones, computers, security cameras, Wi-

- Fi/electrical usage data, GPS data, and other digital evidence, including chain-of-custody, metadata/EXIF data, and forensic examination reports.
- Press Releases, Public Information Strategy, and Media Logs
All press releases, media briefings, public statements, and internal logs or approvals regarding the controlled release of information to generate tips while protecting the investigation.
- Search and Surveillance Records
- Every Property and Evidence Control Form (PCSD1301), Field Released Property Chain of Custody (PCSD1335), and related safekeeping/inventory sheets
- All chain-of-custody tracking documents
- Detailed search warrant packages and returns
- Full resource-allocation and manpower records (overtime, assignments, equipment deployment, K-9, drones, aircraft, mutual aid)
- Any warrants, affidavits, search logs, or reports related to searches of residences, properties (including the Rio Rico area search), vehicles, or use of advanced imaging tools (satellite, SAR radar, thermal, LiDAR, etc.).
- Family Liaison and Victimology Records
Records showing assignment of a family liaison officer, victimology analysis, communications with the Guthrie family (redacted for privacy where required), and any victim services provided.
- Tip and Lead Management Records
Summary logs or indexes of tips and leads received (including the reported volume in the early days of the case), how they were categorized, assigned, or investigated, and any internal policies for managing high-volume tips.
- Missing-Person Database Entries and Notifications
Copies of all entries or notifications submitted to the Arizona Criminal Justice Information System (ACJIS), National Crime Information Center (NCIC), National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs), or other databases, plus any Safe Alert or media notifications issued under A.R.S. § 8-810 or related statutes.
- All Videos, Images, and Visual Media Related to the Case
All body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, surveillance videos, aerial/drone footage, videos, images, still photographs, and any other visual media related to the Nancy Guthrie investigation, including full

original metadata (creation dates/times, camera identifiers, GPS, file hashes).

- Sheriff's Annual Sworn Written Report(s) under A.R.S. § 11-253 - 2025/2026.
- The complete sworn written report (including all attachments, questions, and answers) that Sheriff Chris Nanos is required to submit by April 7, 2026, under A.R.S. § 11-253.
- Contracts and Correspondence with Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks
- All contracts, memoranda of understanding (MOUs), emails, correspondence, payments, and any related documents between the Pima County Sheriff's Office (or its personnel) and Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks regarding the "Desert Law" reality show (filming in 2025), including any public complaints or internal notes about filming activities.
- Sheriff Chris Nanos Personnel Records from El Paso PD (1976–1982)
- Any records in the Sheriff's Office's possession concerning Sheriff Chris Nanos's personnel file from the El Paso Police Department (1976–1982), including documents related to the Carlos Urias incident, all suspensions, disciplinary actions, and the resignation in lieu of termination, plus the full transcript or detailed summary of the December 11, 2025 deposition.
- Pima County Deputy's Organization No-Confidence Vote
- All documents, emails, memoranda, meeting minutes, and records related to the Pima County Deputy's Organization no-confidence vote of March 24, 2026 (reported as 241–0).
- Deputy Suspensions, Terminations, and Administrative Leave (2025–Present)
- All records of any deputy suspensions, terminations, administrative leave actions, or internal affairs investigations from January 1, 2025 to the present, including (but not limited to) the March 2026 Travis Reynolds case.
- All Property and Evidence Control Forms and Chain-of-Custody Documents Every Property and Evidence Control Form (PCSD1301), Field Released Property Chain of Custody form (PCSD1335), Authorization for Property Release (PCSD1330), and any Firearms Transfer Record (PCSD1336) related to the case, including all attached evidence envelopes,

c

- **Complete Evidence Chain-of-Custody Tracking and Audit Trails**
All chain-of-custody logs, transfer records, and automated audit-trail entries maintained by the Property & Evidence (P&E) Unit for every item collected, stored, examined, or released in the Nancy Guthrie investigation.
- **Safekeeping and Found-Property Records**
All safekeeping records (temporary storage of non-evidence items belonging to known persons), found-property logs, and any related owner-information sheets or release authorizations.
- **Search Warrants, Affidavits, and Returns (Home and Off-Site Searches)**
All search warrant affidavits, warrants, warrant returns (inventories of items seized), and supporting documentation for searches of the Guthrie residence (5820 N Camino Escalante), any Rio Rico area locations, vehicles, or other properties, including any electrical-permit-related access (P25BP07139 / Urias / Poche LLC).
- **Scene Security, Preservation, and Access Logs**
All scene logs, entry/exit rosters, security-preservation reports, contamination concerns, and access authorizations for the Guthrie home or any other crime scenes (including any contractor or unauthorized-access notes).
- **Evidence Collection and Forensic Submission Records**
All evidence-collection logs, forensic submission forms, laboratory request forms, and chain-of-custody documents for ransom notes/communications (fingerprints, DNA, paper/ink analysis, digital metadata, handwriting).
- **Digital/Electronic Evidence Preservation Records**
All records of phone, computer, security-camera, Wi-Fi/electrical-usage data, GPS, and other digital evidence preservation, forensic imaging, and analysis (including metadata/EXIF and chain-of-custody).
- **Search and Surveillance Resource Deployment Logs**
All logs, reports, and deployment records for searches (including K-9 teams, drones, thermal-imaging aircraft, ground teams, or any advanced imaging tools such as SAR radar or LiDAR), showing dates, times, locations, and personnel/equipment used.
- **Full Resource Allocation and Manpower Records**
All overtime/compensatory-time logs, personnel assignment rosters, man-hour summaries, shift-deployment records, command-post staffing logs,

and expense reports showing the total number of deputies, detectives, support staff, and hours dedicated to the Nancy Guthrie investigation.

- **Mutual Aid, Task-Force, and Multi-Agency Coordination Records**
All mutual-aid agreements, task-force MOUs, emails, and logs documenting coordination with the FBI, other agencies, or outside resources (including any partial or full transfer of jurisdiction).
- **FBI Forms and Shared Investigative Documents in PCSO Possession**
Any FBI forms, reports, or documents held by PCSO (including FD-302 interview summaries, FD-936 DNA database requests, evidence-submission forms, CAST Intake Forms, or other federal forensic/evidence forms) related to the case or shared during joint investigation.
- **Tip/Lead Management and Database Entry Records**
All tip/lead logs, indexes, categorization records, and entries/notifications submitted to ACJIS, NCIC Missing Person File, NamUs, or other databases (including the reported 18,000+ tips in the first 11 days).
- **Command Post, Briefing, and Investigative Strategy Records**
All command-post logs, daily briefing notes, investigative strategy memos, and internal communications regarding resource deployment, scene security, canvass, ransom handling, and public-information strategy.
- **Neighborhood Canvass, Witness, and Victimology Records**
Complete canvass logs (one-mile or greater radius, including dogs and utility records), witness-interview summaries/statements (redacted as required), and victimology/family-liaison assignment records.
- Please produce in reasonable phases if volume requires it, beginning with items 1–4, 9–12, and 16–19. Acknowledge receipt within three business days and provide a firm production timeline per A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq

Additional Requests Applicable to All Items Above

- All records shall be produced in electronic format (native files preferred, **with metadata preserved with index logs showing the metadata/exif/gps locations**). If any records were damaged, destroyed, transferred- I would like those signed forms. If any records cannot be made into electronic form, let me know, ahead of time.
- If any portion is withheld, provide a detailed legal reason(s), itemized index (Vaughn-like index) identifying each withheld record or category, the specific legal exemption claimed, and the legal justification.

- Withholding Requirements – **No Blanket “Active Investigation” Exemption**
- *Reworded-* If any portion of the requested records (including but not limited to the initial police report and any records documenting transfer of jurisdiction to the FBI or any other agency) is withheld in whole or in part, the Pima County Sheriff’s Office must immediately provide a detailed itemized index (Vaughn-like index) that, for each withheld record or category: (1) identifies the specific document(s) or category, (2) states the precise legal exemption claimed under Arizona law, and (3) sets forth a particularized legal justification showing the probability of specific, material harm that would result from disclosure.
- A generic assertion that the Nancy Guthrie investigation is “active” or “ongoing” is **legally insufficient** and will not be accepted.
- Arizona’s Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) contains **no blanket or sweeping exemption** for law-enforcement records in **active investigations**. See *Cox Arizona Publications, Inc. v. Collins*, 175 Ariz. 11, 14, 852 P.2d 1194, 1198 (1993) (Arizona Supreme Court expressly rejected any blanket rule denying access to police reports in an “active ongoing criminal prosecution,” holding that the agency bears the burden of demonstrating specific harm on a document-by-document or category-by-category basis).
- The initial police report and records reflecting any transfer of jurisdiction are core public records that must be released promptly (subject only to narrow, specifically justified redactions). Redaction of truly exempt information is strongly preferred over wholesale withholding. Failure to provide the required detailed index will be treated as a denial of access under A.R.S. § 39-121.01(D)(2) and may subject the agency to attorney fees, costs, and other remedies in a special action.
- This request is made for non-commercial purposes and in the strong public interest due to public safety concerns in a high-profile permanent-retention kidnapping/abduction case. **A full fee waiver is requested.**
- A mere assertion that the Nancy Guthrie investigation is “active” is legally insufficient to withhold any responsive records. Arizona’s Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) contains **no blanket or sweeping exemption** for police reports or law-enforcement records in active or

ongoing investigations. See *Cox Arizona Publishing Inc. v. Collins*, 175 Ariz. 11, 14 (1993) (Arizona Supreme Court expressly rejected a blanket rule denying access to records in an “active ongoing criminal prosecution” because it “contravenes the strong policy favoring open disclosure and access”). Any withholding requires a **specific, document-by-document or category-by-category justification** showing that disclosure would cause a probability of **specific, material harm**—a burden the agency bears.

- This high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case (with ransom communications received and classified for **permanent retention** under the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule) raises compelling public-safety concerns that strongly favor disclosure.
- Arizona currently has more than 1,100 open missing-persons cases statewide, and the Nancy Guthrie case alone generated over 18,000 tips and leads in its first 11 days.
- Public access to the requested records will promote accountability, allow the community to evaluate whether best-practice protocols (scene preservation, canvass, ransom handling, digital evidence, and multi-agency coordination) are being followed, assist in generating additional investigative tips, and maintain public trust in how law enforcement is handling a case that directly affects resident safety.
- The public interest in transparency far outweighs any generalized claim of secrecy.
- If any record or category is withheld, please provide a detailed Vaughn-like index identifying each withheld item, the precise exemption claimed, and the specific harm that would result from disclosure.
- All records are requested electronically in native format with metadata preserved, and a full public-interest fee waiver is requested under A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]

Date: On Saturday, March 28th, 2026 at 5:41 PM

Subject: Re: NASA FIRMS Request

To: Frank Womack Jr <Frank.Womack@sheriff.pima.gov>

Thank you for contacting me regarding my request and for the work you and your team do every day.

I truly appreciate you taking the time to reach out.

If it is appropriate, I would be grateful if you could please pass along a message to Sheriff Chris Nanos. I can attempt to add a public email but unsure if it will work.

While I do not know him personally, I want him to know that many are praying for him and his family during this difficult time — especially after the recent loss of his brother.

Thank you again for your efforts and professionalism.

Please also remind him to *pay attention* to his *gut feeling* and *law enforcement intuition*, to **stay the course**, and to remain God-centered in the midst of everything he is facing.

“Be strong and courageous. Do not be afraid; do not be discouraged, for the Lord your God will be with you wherever you go.” — Joshua 1:9

LETTER TO SHERIFF NANOS-
Sheriff Nanos,

I want to be very clear: I do not know you personally or professionally. I have never met you, spoken with you, or had any connection to your office. I am simply a concerned citizen who has watched this situation unfold with growing alarm.

What I do know is that the manner in which the main media and certain officials have handled your situation is fundamentally wrong — both in timing and in fairness.

This is far from balanced journalism.

It likely violates the spirit of the Society of Professional Journalists Code of Ethics (Seek Truth and Report It, Minimize Harm, Be Accountable and Transparent).

The sudden, coordinated release of decades-old records during an active high-profile investigation and a time of personal grief raises serious questions about fairness, professionalism, prejudicial timing, and the appearance of unfairness in administrative proceedings under A.R.S. § 11-253.

While there are possible legitimate areas where accountability and transparency are needed, the way this has been executed does not serve justice or the public interest.

It feels more like political theater than a good-faith inquiry. Then they wonder why you are hesitant to speak to them. ??? Right!?

In your career, I have to say — the media's handling of it has been one of the most blatant "praise-then-bury" flip-flops I've ever seen.

I am completely buffaloed - - by the media right now.

Back in the late 1970s and early 1980s until now, the same papers that are now dredging up every old disciplinary note were running "glowing" front-page stories, calling you a hero.

They celebrated you as the young officer who won the 1980 Western Region Officer of the Year award for saving your partner's life in a shootout, even while casually mentioning a suspension for cursing at a prisoner — ??? as if it was just part of the "you live and learn" redemption arc???

The 1988 Herald-Post feature literally called the Nanos brothers an "exemplary law enforcement family."

They loved painting you as the tough-but-dedicated cop with maybe a lil' slice of early acting talent from high-school drama days portrayal helped in your undercover work.

Fast-forward to 2026 - exactly 44 years and 6 days from the March 22, 1982 Urias incident to today, March 28, 2026, and suddenly those same outlets treat 44+-year-old administrative discipline like it's fresh criminal conduct. ??? Where is the "common sense" ??? H E L L O Media ???

The Nancy Guthrie case hits, your brother passes, and within weeks the tone shifts from "experienced heroic lawman" to "pattern of misconduct." ??? Make sense of that ???

The media didn't invent the old records — but they absolutely chose the timing and the framing to create the "gotcha" narrative. Why?

It is not the facts that shock me. It is the timing and the framing. That is irresponsible journalism.

That's not journalism; that's a causal factor in the current storm.

Human Resources departments reviewed your entire file when you were hired and promoted over four decades — why didn't they flag anything then? or on the re-hire. Right? The media painted you a hero for decades - so why now paint you in a different light?

Why the sudden outrage now, right in the middle of a high-profile investigation and personal grief?

You've carried the same attitude from those early days into today: do the job, protect the public, and keep showing up.

Your family's long law-enforcement legacy — father proud of his sons in uniform — speaks to real integrity and service, not the one-sided soundbites we're seeing now. Your father saw the legacy of integrity, courage, and dedication in each of you, and he was so proud. That image of a father honoring his sons in uniform is one, I believe, God Himself smiles upon.

I'm truly sorry for your loss. I can't imagine carrying that grief while still trying to lead through the Nancy Guthrie case and everything else on your plate.

The job has always had critical matters.

You've faced them with decades of experience most people will never ever understand. Straight talk.

The media owes the public — and you and your family and your staff and Faith area— a more balanced accounting of the full record, not just the convenient narrative of the moment.

— the good, the failures, and the decades of dedication — we are praying for you.

Stay strong, Sheriff.

MASTER MEDIA COVERAGE TIMELINE FOR CHRIS NANOS

(1973 – March 28, 2026)

Numbered list showing the clear shift from praise → neutral → intensely negative

July 6, 1973 — Highly positive. Wins “Best Actor” and drama scholarship at PHS .

May 7, 1980 — Positive / Heroic. Front-page El Paso Times: “Reprimanded officer wins state police honor” — praises Officer of the Year for saving partner’s life.

March 8–18, 1982 — Strongly positive. Statewide syndicated “Mugged” feature calls him a brave tactical decoy.

March 22 – August 5, 1982 — Mixed. Urias incident, 15-day suspension, grand jury clearance, resignation “in lieu of termination.” Public articles still somewhat neutral not negative.

October 12, 1988 — Strongly positive. “Exemplary law enforcement runs in policeman’s family” — father proudly quoted about his sons in uniform.

1983 – mid-2024 (41 years) — Neutral to quietly positive. Standard coverage on promotions, elections, and routine police work. No major focus on 1982 record "ever".

September 22, 2024 — First clear 'negative' shift. Arizona Daily Star column: "Nanos' anger is a campaign issue." Introduces retaliation narrative. Why?

November 2024 — Negative tone intensifies. Close election, recount, alleged political suspensions.

March 10, 2026 — Full explosion. Arizona Republic exposé on 1982 disciplinary history and 2025 deposition denial.

March 24, 2026 — Peak negative. Deputies' union 241–0 no-confidence vote; Board demands sworn report under A.R.S. § 11-253.

The Shift Happened Here

The media tone flipped from "hero who learned from mistakes" (1973–1988) and long neutral coverage (1983–mid-2024) to intensely negative scrutiny starting September 22, 2024, and exploding in March 2026 — right in the middle of the Nancy Guthrie case and immediately after the loss of your brother. Why??? How the world has changed.

As in life...the good [above] ... now for....

Additionally, as we approach day 56??? of the Nancy Guthrie investigation, I respectfully suggest that the Sheriff's Office, in coordination with the HOA and neighborhood leadership (including Sue Ellen), consider implementing a clear, temporary parking waiver for media and streamers. Specifically, allow them 15 minutes at dusk and 15 minutes at dawn (30 minutes total per day) for filming or reporting, with no parking permitted outside those windows. Address Disabled People / Handicap Parking as well on your signs where media and streamers park - - Arizona law still protects legal handicap placards and plates.

- A **temporary no-parking zone does NOT automatically cancel** ADA/handicap rights on public streets — **unless** the county specifically marks the area as a fire lane or absolute emergency-only zone.
- In practice:
 - If Juanita (or anyone) has a valid Arizona handicap placard and parks in the posted zone, officers **may still ticket or tow** if the LE believe it blocks emergency access and it does not where she has parked.

- However, the placard gives stronger legal protection. A disabled person can politely point out the placard and ask for an exception or to speak with a supervisor.

- Juanita's parking (as shown on Alex Criminal Network live) was in grey area.

****Bottom line on disabled parking**:**

Handicap placards give you the best chance of being allowed to park, but they do not override a posted temporary safety order if the county determines it is a true emergency-access issue.

The safest move is still to request written permission like a placard card to hang so the neighbors know you all know they are allowed there say 6-615am and 6-615pm or dawn and dusk , so you have a formal paper trail who is going at dusk and dawn and make a sign and post it- or have LE make a new announcement. Something.

This balanced approach would respect freedom of speech while addressing legitimate public safety and quality-of-life concerns in a quiet suburban neighborhood — especially as temperatures continue to rise. It would also reduce the perception of harassment that some residents feel when approached by media crews or streamers or vice versa because there is enough footage online that it is the locals harassing in odd tones when it is not needed.

The 24/7 streaming presence has, in many ways, already demonstrated that this is a peaceful area where expensive equipment has been left unattended with zero reported theft, which somewhat undercuts recent statements that *“this could happen again.”* A structured, limited-time policy would help de-escalate tensions while still allowing the press to do its job.

Public Safety Justification (Core Beef)

Public safety is one of the strongest justifications under Arizona law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) for releasing records, even in an “active investigation.” Courts and the Arizona Public Records Law recognize that the public's right to know how a high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case is being handled outweighs blanket secrecy when public safety is at stake.

Permanent Retention Status of the Nancy Guthrie Case

Under Arizona's Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule (issued by the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records – SLAPR):

- Kidnapping/abduction/ransom cases are classified as permanent retention.
- Nancy Guthrie's case meets this standard because ransom notes were received, it is being investigated as a possible abduction, and it is a high-profile missing-person case with potential for future criminal prosecution.
- The Pima County Sheriff's Office must keep the master case file, all reports, evidence logs, body-cam footage, digital evidence, ransom communications, and related records indefinitely (never destroyed).

Recommended Public Records Requests (If I Choose to File At A Later Date)-

Just Questions On How Your System Works:

1. How would you want me to file this "piece by piece" like submit one item, then fulfill for each topic? or one voluminous lump as a electronic return noncommercial purpose?
2. What is your current process to submit - portal?
3. Email me a link to your 2026 Fee chart - if any- Public interest fee waiver is strongly justified due to the high-profile nature of the case and public safety concerns.

These are high-impact, specific requests focused on transparency and public safety:

- Pima County Sheriff's Office current Body-Worn & Dash Camera Policy (SB 1640 / A.R.S. § 41-1724), including all amendments since September 24, 2023, and the most recent annual report to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.
- All videos, images, body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, and still photographs related to the Nancy Guthrie case, including full metadata showing original creation dates, times, and camera identifiers.

- A complete index/log of all body-worn and dash-cam recordings associated with the case, showing the original creation date and time for each file as recorded by the camera system.
- The complete sworn written report (and all questions/answers) that Sheriff Chris Nanos is required to submit under A.R.S. § 11-253 by April 7, 2026.
- All contracts, MOUs, emails, and correspondence between PCSO and Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks regarding the “Desert Law” reality show (2025 filming), plus any public complaints about being filmed.
- Any records in the Sheriff’s possession concerning his El Paso Police Department personnel file (1976–1982), including the Carlos Urias incident, all suspensions, and the resignation in lieu of termination, plus the full transcript or summary of the December 11, 2025 deposition.
- All documents related to the Pima County Deputy’s Organization no-confidence vote of March 24, 2026 (241–0).
- Records of any deputy suspensions, terminations, or administrative leave actions from January 1, 2025 to present (including the March 2026 Travis Reynolds case).

Standard Legal & Best-Practice Protocols for High-Stakes Kidnapping/Missing-Person Cases

Many residents (myself included) hope the following FBI guidelines, IACP model policies, and Arizona law enforcement standards were followed or are being rigorously applied:

- Immediate and complete scene security/preservation (home at 5820 N Camino Escalante fully secured within the first hours, no unauthorized access including contractors from the electrical permit P25BP07139 / Urias / Poche LLC).
- Rapid and comprehensive canvass (detailed neighborhood canvass with dogs in a one-mile radius, utility record review, and witness interviews).
- Electronic and digital evidence preservation (all phones, security cameras, computers, Wi-Fi/electrical data preserved promptly under proper chain-of-custody with metadata/EXIF).
- Ransom note handling and forensic analysis (full forensic handling of every ransom communication – fingerprints, DNA, paper analysis,

digital metadata – with a dedicated ransom negotiation team following FBI protocols).

- Early and full multi-agency coordination (FBI brought in at the earliest appropriate stage for kidnap/ransom expertise).
- Clear family liaison and victimology (dedicated, consistent family liaison officer assigned immediately).
- Transparent but controlled public information strategy (releasing enough information to generate tips without compromising the case while protecting the family's privacy).

Satellite & Imaging Tools That Could Have Been Used With Trump's Allowance of Federal Funding

- Public / Free Tools: Google Earth Pro, Google Maps Street View, USGS Earth Explorer, Sentinel Hub, NASA Worldview.
- Government / Military-Grade Tools: National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), FBI/DHS National Fusion Center, Pima County GIS Portal.
- Advanced Commercial Tools: Planet Labs, Maxar, Capella Space (SAR radar for soil disturbance), ICEYE, Orbital Sidekick (thermal).
- Specialized Soil/Heat Tools: Sentinel-1 SAR, USGS 3DEP LiDAR.

Key Legal Points for Any Public Records Request

No blanket exemption for “active investigations” (A.R.S. § 39-121 and Arizona Supreme Court precedent).

Any withholding must be specifically justified on a document-by-document or category-by-category basis with an itemized index.

Public interest fee waiver is strongly justified due to the high-profile nature of the case and public safety concerns.

Agencies must acknowledge receipt within three business days and provide a firm production date and fees.

Media & Timing Criticism

The media had decades of positive coverage (Officer of the Year stories, “exemplary law enforcement family” features) but shifted dramatically in 2026. The sudden, coordinated focus on 40-year-old records right after the Guthrie case and the loss of your brother raises serious ethical questions

about fairness and timing from Media. Unjust and Unfair. I want to file complaint to your PIO how Media has treated you in recent times.


I have zero intention of sharing any public records information I may receive with the public, media, or streamers. If any public safety issues are identified in the records, I will address them directly and privately with you or the appropriate command staff.

I am not a fan of the constant streamer and media presence or the speculation frenzy that has developed. It does keep us all on our toes. They seem like they are doing their best to hold "hope" current...vs. fade away. There have been millions of missing the past decade. So, I get it.

However, much of that frenzy appears to have grown out of what can be described as a “normalization of deviance” — created by prolonged silence, omissions, and gaps in communication from the department. When information is not shared in a timely and transparent manner, speculation naturally fills the void.

Have a great night, Sheriff. Rise above the negativity.

You are a Nanos —



a name rooted in proud Greek heritage
that carries the strength, honor, philotimo, resilience,
and unwavering duty of your ancestors.

Stand tall in that legacy,
just as your father stood proud
of his sons in uniform.

God is with you.

On Tuesday, March 24th, 2026 at 3:54 PM, Frank Womack Jr
<Frank.Womack@sheriff.pima.gov> wrote:

Hello,

My name is Detective Womack, from the Pima County Sheriff's, and I received information from our Internal Affairs unit that you would like to make a request regarding various coordinates. I will be available tomorrow from 7:00 am to 3:00 if you would like to speak about this matter. Thank you.

Detective Frank Womack #8869
Community Problems Unit
Pima County Sheriff's Department
1750 E. Benson Highway
Tucson, AZ. 85714
Office: (520) 351-4347
frank.womack@sheriff.pima.gov

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2026 8:35 AM
To: Public Records Request <PublicRecordsRequest@sheriff.pima.gov>
Subject: Fw: Re: NASA FIRMS Request

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 6:06 PM
Subject: Fw: Re: FW: Re: GUTHRIE
To: chris.nanos@sheriff.pima.gov <chris.nanos@sheriff.pima.gov>

**Subject: Constructive Suggestions for Greater Transparency and
Reduced Burden on PCSD Staff – Nancy Guthrie Investigation**

Dear Sheriff Nanos,

I am writing as a concerned citizen who has followed the Nancy Guthrie investigation closely. I recently submitted a preliminary inquiry to your Records Maintenance Unit (received Sunday, March 29, 2026 at 8:35 AM, opened Monday, March 30, 2026 at 8:18 AM, acknowledged at 9:34 AM) and I want to be clear that it was **not** a formal public records request at this point— only a question about proper process before deciding whether to file one.

The Pima County Board of Supervisors recently invoked an **old territorial-era law** to place you under oath regarding your background and past statements.

If the same level of microscopic scrutiny is being applied to you, then the same standard should be applied equally to the county communications staff, media handlers, and Human Resources personnel who shaped the early “hero sheriff” narrative for decades.

Those offices had access to the same public articles and records about your El Paso history that are now being highlighted.

Fairness demands they answer under oath as well about what they knew, **when they knew it**, and how the narrative was managed and shifted.

My specific concern is what I see as a **normalizing of deviance** through gaps, omissions, and prolonged public silence. At Day 58 we have almost no substantive updates. While I understand the need to protect the integrity of the case, saying nothing for weeks creates a vacuum that drives the public to file records requests in ever-greater numbers.

For context, your department processed **41,548 public records requests in 2024** — more than 114 per day. The current high-volume boilerplate responses from the Records Unit (as I received from Mr. James Russell #8037) show the **real stress** this may place on your civilian staff.

A simple policy change could help everyone: have your Public Information Officer (Angelica Carrillo) and Communications team work with investigators to create and maintain a **master vetted-and-legally-redacted file** of non-sensitive information for the Public when they make a request.

When a PRR comes in, the Records Unit could release the pre-cleared portions far more quickly without compromising the case. This would dramatically reduce the workload on your Records staff, cut down on duplicate requests, and demonstrate the accountability the public is asking for.

I am not asking you to compromise the investigation.

I am asking you to **use your PIO and Communications team more proactively** to fill the information vacuum with regular, factual updates (even if brief) on areas that do not affect the case — such as general public-safety tips, confirmed timeline facts that are no longer sensitive, and the status of forensic processing. That single step would relieve enormous pressure on your own department while helping the community feel safer.

Thank you for your service and for considering these suggestions. I truly want to see the focus stay on finding Nancy Guthrie and restoring public trust — not on one individual being singled out while others who helped shape the narrative escape the same level of review.

So I am heard...listen...

In closing, Sheriff Nanos, again, if the Board of Supervisors, Human Resources, Staff, and the media are now putting you under a microscope for decisions and omissions stretching back decades when you were facing a personal watching someone diminish [Dec 2025] to then the loss and a significant loss at that and I truly feel they have no proof that it was meant to be with intent and I would have them focus to Human Resources and their lack of doing their job, then the same rigorous scrutiny must be applied to them.

Human Resources had every opportunity — **and the legal duty** — to fully vet your background when you joined Pima County in 1983/84 and throughout if any complaints filed. Your promotions and titles have been forever threaded in the Media- Articles over the decades that one does not have to request a public records request. I know the framework and I am appalled "**how**" they did this in such a public way and how it is all being handled.

I fully understand, based on the El Paso records and how thoroughly law enforcement backgrounds are vetted for elected positions in Arizona, that in a perfectly just world you likely would never have been hired or elected to this role.

At the same time, I recognize that leading a large sheriff's department requires a very specific type of person willing to shoulder an extraordinarily difficult job — one that involves managing hundreds/thousands of staff, aging infrastructure and buildings, limited resources, constant pressure from the Board of Supervisors, intense local and national/global politics, union dynamics, media scrutiny, budget battles, recruiting and retaining quality deputies, and the daily weight of public safety responsibilities in a rapidly growing county. My own father was trained by AZPOST, and I witnessed firsthand the immense struggles that come with these pressures. I call it - *different level, different devil*...and again not one civilian or BOS is gonna comprehend all that.

These two men saw levels no one will ever get but I like their quotes:

"As Audie Murphy once said, '*Bravery is just the determination to do a job that you know has to be done.*' And as Jimmy Stewart, who served honorably and worked with Murphy, understood deeply: true leadership demands discipline, self-respect, and an unwavering sense of duty to those you serve."

In the end, Sheriff Nanos, the real failure did not begin with you when you applied to become a deputy in 1983 — it began with Human Resources and the hiring process that failed to do its job. The 1980s were a brutal era of survival: many good men and women "*faked it*

until they made it,” stretching résumés, silent/downplaying old mistakes, and doing whatever it took just to keep food on the table and a badge on their chest while the country was still recovering from economic hardship. The pressure to “*keep up with the Joneses*” was already woven into every promotion and every rung of the ladder. You put in decades of service after that initial vetting — time that should have been the true measure of your record.

If the Board of Supervisors, Human Resources, and the media are now so *deeply concerned* about those 1980s records, they had every opportunity — and every resource — to run a proper, quiet audit at any point during your long career [*shift in media happened Fall 2024*] instead of waiting until a high-profile case made it politically convenient to weaponize them publicly. What we are watching now is not justice; it is selective outrage, and it is terrible for morale, for recruitment, and for the public’s faith in the system.

Would I have hired you, Chris Nanos, in the early 1980s? Based on the full El Paso disciplinary record that existed at the time — including the 1982 flashlight incident that sent a handcuffed man to the ICU — **no, I would not have hired you.**

Would I have rechecked your background thoroughly with each promotion as you rose through the ranks? **Absolutely yes.** That is exactly what professional Human Resources is **supposed to do.**

The *repeated failure* here rests squarely with Pima County Human Resources and the successive administrations that promoted you. They had access to the same records, the same articles now resurfacing, the same hiring standards, and the same duty to protect the integrity of the department.

Instead of performing proper due diligence at the point of hire and at every major promotion, they allowed gaps and omissions to stand for decades. **That systemic failure is by HR** — not the individual actions

of a young officer trying to survive in the tough 1980s job market — that enabled this situation to reach where it is today.

The Board of Supervisors and county communications staff helped craft and maintain the “hero sheriff” narrative --- they did, not you --- for how many decades while the old El Paso records sat quietly in their files and as well in easy to obtain articles. And the media that once celebrated you as a decorated veteran is the **same media** now rushing to bury you. why?

As noted in The National Herald article titled 'Greek-American Sheriff Chris Nanos Leads High-Profile Search for Missing Nancy Guthrie' (February 9, 2026), they stated you were of Greek descent on your father's side, with grandparents emigrated from Greece and settled in Connecticut. Many Greek-American families carry deep cultural layers — *intensities, passions, and resiliences* shaped by history and heritage — that even they may not fully understand from their child/teenage years through the end of their lives where that layer comes from. I have personally known many Greeks from Bloomfield, New Jersey, who were truly the *salt of the earth*: hardworking, family-oriented, and honorable but boy I saw the layers. For some, that **natural intensity** found its healthiest outlet in the boxing ring, where discipline could channel raw drive into something constructive. I remember being on the dance floor - dancing away and how others felt they were aggressive in dance moves- they would say to me, and I said who--- she said those Greeks--- *I felt they were misunderstood...Perceptions...*key thing that can bring people together or divide them. You and your staff/for the loss; family are in my prayers.

As the daughter of a man trained by AZPOST, I saw firsthand the immense pressures of law enforcement leadership in that uncertain 1970-80s decade — a time when many good people were simply trying to survive, sometimes bending rules or omitting details just to keep going. When my father was getting an award at the Valley Ho in downtown Phoenix back in those times- one LE leader sitting near me said *if they are getting rewarded, they did something wrong*- I was

preteen; hearing that...I know it is not right to judge a man's manners or temperament solely by what was reported in 1970-80s-era, especially when the world was unstable and frameworks were often unclear. I watched families that were doing okay but something shifted 1968 until 1976 across America and that generation saw terrible moments to life. Families broken up. Abuse increased. In the end, we must remain God-centered: **justice, truth, transparency, and accountability; truly matter**.

Yet I have witnessed how the system often allows leaders to carry the *burden/lies/omissions/narratives* until it becomes convenient to make one the scapegoat for that moment. That pattern is what I have seen, and it is why consistent sunlight on every level — including Human Resources, the Board of Supervisors, and the media — is so important. You may use this email as a statement for any legal area because **this is reality**.

Redirect that same energy toward real accountability across the **entire system** not just isolated at yourself as they are doing.

In today's climate, it is already difficult enough to find qualified people willing to step into leadership roles when they understand the personal and professional cost. Turning elected officials into disposable scapegoats the moment the political winds shift only makes the problem worse.

If we are truly committed to justice in the Nancy Guthrie case and restoring public trust, then let's apply the same oath-powered review to HR, the BOS, and the media handlers who *shaped the narrative* — not just to the man currently carrying the badge. **Sunlight should not be selective**.

I respectfully urge you to use your PIO more aggressively in the coming days and implement the master redacted file policy I suggested. Doing so would protect your staff, demonstrate leadership, and show the public that Pima County can handle tough cases with both integrity and transparency.

Thank you for your decades of service. The public deserves better than *selective outrage* towards your way— we deserve consistent accountability from everyone involved.

I am deeply uncomfortable with the fact that we are now at Day 57/58- right? with almost no substantive public updates from your office. I understand that every word you or your department releases can be twisted, sensationalized, or orchestrated by media outlets and online streamers. That pressure can make silence feel like the safer option. Still, prolonged gaps like this erode public trust and place unnecessary strain on your own Records staff.

There are several areas that could be safely addressed right now through your PIO without compromising the integrity of the investigation. A clear public checklist could include:

A high-level threat assessment to the community (is there any specific ongoing risk to elderly residents or similar homes? Statistics, how many went missing in 2026 already.)

Confirmed, non-sensitive timeline facts from the first 48 hours that are no longer under active restriction

General public safety recommendations based on what has been learned so far (working cameras with subscriptions, not propping exterior doors, etc.)

Current status of forensic processing (DNA, without revealing specifics)

Confirmation of which federal resources remain actively involved

Status of the alleged ransom notes as an investigative lead (without detailing content)

A commitment to regular briefings going forward (even if brief)

Releasing factual information in these areas would reduce the flood of records requests, ease the burden on your team there, and help restore confidence that the system is working.

God Bless-

Respectfully, Anonymous Concerned Citizen

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:52 AM
Subject: Re: FW: Re: NASA FIRMS Request
To: James Russell <James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov>

Subject: Re: Public Records Inquiry – Clarification on Preliminary Email
Good morning James,

Thank you for the acknowledgement email sent at
Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:34 AM.

*[→ Duration from sent to read: 23 hours and 43 minutes,
→ Duration from read to reply: 1 hour and 16 minutes
→ Total duration from sent to reply: 24 hours and 59 minutes- template style reply]*

Just to clarify for the record:
My original email was sent on **Sunday, March 29th, 2026 at 8:35 AM.**

It was not a formal public records request.

It was only a *preliminary inquiry* asking about the proper 2026 process, preferred contact method, and any specific procedures your office requires before I decide whether to submit an actual request.

I wanted to make sure I followed your exact current 2026 steps and did not create any duplicate or premature

submissions plus I wanted to know if your entity accepts anonymity with electronic return or do I need to *blanket my name with anonymity* through counsel. I want to protect my identity for safety reasons. I also needed to know should I make the request in one lump sum or in stages since the records I seek would lay in being a voluminous request.

Could you please confirm in your notes that my March 29th email was received and treated only as a ***preliminary process inquiry***, and that I have not submitted a formal public records request at this time?

Thank you again for your assistance.

Best regards.

On Monday, March 30th, 2026 at 9:34 AM, James Russell <James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov> wrote:

Good afternoon,

Thank you for contacting our office. This email serves as formal acknowledgement that we have received your request for public records.

Processing Status:	We are currently experiencing a high volume of requests. We are working through each one in the order received.
Duplicate	To avoid further delays, please do not submit duplicate requests for the same records.
Submissions:	
Next Steps:	Our office will contact you as soon as your records are available.

Thank you for your patience.



James Russell #8037

Records Technician

Pima County Sheriff's Department

Records Maintenance Unit

PHONE Main: (520) 351 4650 Direct: (520) 351 3597

EMAIL James.Russell@sheriff.pima.gov

WEB www.PimaSheriff.org

ADDRESS 1650 E Benson Hwy Suite C, Tucson, AZ 85714

***** CONFIDENTIALITY WARNING *****

The information contained in this transmission is confidential. It may not be used for commercial purposes and may be legally privileged or protected work under applicable law. This information is intended only for the use of the recipient named above. If you have received this transmission in error, please call the sender to inform and destroy the information. You are notified that any disclosure, copying, distributing or the taking of any action in reliance on the content of this transmission is forbidden by the sender and may be illegal.

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima Sheriff. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Subject: Request for Current 2026 Public Records Fee Schedule, Electronic Delivery

Confirmation, and Pre-Approval of Public-Interest Fee Waiver – Nancy Guthrie Case

Dear Pima County Sheriff's Office Records Maintenance Unit,

I am writing to request the following:

1. A copy of your 2026 current public records fee schedule and any applicable fee chart for 2025–2026 (or the most recent version) but also the changes in the past three years to the fee schedule- if any.
2. Confirmation that all responsive records can be produced electronically (via secure link, email attachment, or digital download portal) for non-commercial use using this email.
3. Pre-approval of a **full public-interest** fee waiver for any public records requests I may submit concerning the high-profile Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation.
4. Current OPEN and CLOSED index log of Public Records Requests since Feb 1 on this topic- Guthrie Case.

Strong Public-Interest Justification for Fee

Waiver The disappearance of Nancy Guthrie is a matter of extraordinary public concern in Pima County, southern Arizona, and nationally. The case involves received ransom communications and is being investigated as a possible abduction. Under Arizona's Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule (issued by the State Library, Archives and Public Records – SLAPR), kidnapping/abduction/ransom cases are classified for **permanent retention**.

Arizona's Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) expressly favors broad disclosure. Courts have repeatedly held that the public's right to know how law enforcement is handling a high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case outweighs blanket claims of secrecy/omissions/redactions/seal/gag order/etc when **public safety** is at stake. Providing these records serves multiple compelling public interests by:

- Promoting accountability and transparency in an active, high-profile investigation that affects community safety;
- Enabling the public to evaluate whether FBI, IACP, and Arizona best-practice guidelines for scene preservation, canvassing, ransom handling, digital evidence, and multi-agency coordination are being followed;
- Assisting in the generation of additional tips and leads that could help solve the case; and
- Maintaining public trust in the Sheriff's Office's response to a case that has garnered widespread attention and directly implicates resident safety in Arizona specifically to this city.

This request would be made solely for non-commercial purposes and is not intended to interfere with any legitimate investigative needs nor would I be placing out anything public and will vet only 'chain of command' with LE/FBI with the fulfillment results if we do this request unless there is something needing to be addressed for the public interest on public safety. A fee waiver is **strongly justified** under the statute and controlling case law because the

public benefit far exceeds any [minimal] administrative cost.

I am currently reviewing my options and may submit formal requests either personally or through counsel. The counsel route is only to protect my identity; for my safety. If you are able to waive and fulfill the request with just using my email and no other contact and or name- prefer to remain anonymous. Please provide the fee schedule and your written response regarding the fee waiver/anonymity/etc under this email as the contact at your earliest opportunity. Arizona law requires *acknowledgment within three business days* yet I recognize this is a Holiday week.

Thank you in advance for your prompt attention to this matter of significant **public safety** and **transparency**.

I attached the Nanos/Detective email thread of the itemized list I was directing to the detective and Mr. Nanos. - let me copy and paste and place in this section of the communication what I am seeking to achieve:

Itemized Public Records Request I am thinking to request – Nancy Guthrie Case (Permanent Retention Kidnapping/Abduction Investigation)

- **Any Judicial Sealing, Protective, or Confidentiality Orders and Related Records**

All court orders, minute entries, petitions, notices, or correspondence directing the

Pima County Sheriff's Office (or any of its personnel) to seal, restrict, withhold, or treat as confidential any records, reports, evidence, videos, or other materials related to the Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation. This includes:

Any Order to Seal Criminal Case Records (form AOCCRS2F or equivalent) issued under A.R.S. § 13-911 or other authority;

Any protective order, confidentiality order, or court directive limiting public disclosure;

All records showing the date, judge, case number (if any), and scope of the sealing or restriction;

Any internal PCSO logs, memos, or notations indicating that specific records have been marked "sealed," "restricted," or removed from normal access, including the exact timestamp/date when the sealing directive was received or implemented by PCSO.

Any communications between PCSO and the court, prosecutor, or other parties regarding such sealing.

- Current Body-Worn and Dash Camera Policy
- The Pima County Sheriff's Office's current Body-Worn Camera and Dash Camera Policy (including any policies issued or amended pursuant to SB 1640 / A.R.S. §

41-1724), all amendments or updates since September 24, 2023, and the most recent annual report submitted to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.

- All Videos, Images, and Recordings Related to the Nancy Guthrie Case
- All body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, videos, images, still photographs, and any other visual media related to the Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible kidnapping investigation, including full metadata (original creation dates, times, camera identifiers, GPS data if available, and file hashes).
- Complete Index/Log of Body-Worn and Dash-Cam Recordings
- A complete index or log of every body-worn camera and dash-cam recording associated with the Nancy Guthrie case, showing for each file: the original creation date and time as recorded by the camera system, camera identifier, officer(s) involved, and any retention or classification notes.
- Initial Incident/Police Report and All Supplemental Reports
The original/initial missing-person or kidnapping report filed for Nancy Guthrie (including the first responding officer's report), all supplemental or follow-up investigative reports, detective notes, and case summaries.
- Records of Jurisdiction Transfer or Multi-Agency Coordination

All documents showing any transfer (or partial transfer) of jurisdiction or investigative responsibility to the FBI or other agencies, including emails, memoranda, task-force agreements, and coordination logs.

- Ransom Communications and Forensic Analysis

All ransom notes, communications (written, digital, phone, or otherwise), envelopes, packaging, and related forensic reports (fingerprints, DNA, paper analysis, ink, digital metadata, handwriting analysis).

- Evidence Logs and Chain-of-Custody Records

Complete evidence logs, property/evidence intake forms, chain-of-custody documentation, and any reports on evidence collected at the Guthrie residence (5820 N Camino Escalante) or other locations.

- Scene Processing and Preservation Records

All reports, logs, photographs, videos, diagrams, and notes regarding scene security and preservation at the Guthrie home (including any electrical permit P25BP07139 or contractor access by Urias / Poche LLC), plus any concerns about unauthorized access or contamination.

- Neighborhood Canvass and Witness Interview Records

Canvass logs, interview summaries, statements (redacted as required by law), and reports from the neighborhood canvass (including any use of dogs or utility record

- reviews) within a one-mile (or greater) radius.
- Digital and Electronic Evidence Records
All records concerning preservation and analysis of phones, computers, security cameras, Wi-Fi/electrical usage data, GPS data, and other digital evidence, including chain-of-custody, metadata/EXIF data, and forensic examination reports.
- Press Releases, Public Information Strategy, and Media Logs
All press releases, media briefings, public statements, and internal logs or approvals regarding the controlled release of information to generate tips while protecting the investigation.
- Search and Surveillance Records
- Every Property and Evidence Control Form (PCSD1301), Field Released Property Chain of Custody (PCSD1335), and related safekeeping/inventory sheets
- All chain-of-custody tracking documents
- Detailed search warrant packages and returns
- Full resource-allocation and manpower records (overtime, assignments, equipment deployment, K-9, drones, aircraft, mutual aid)
- Any warrants, affidavits, search logs, or reports related to searches of residences, properties (including the Rio Rico area search), vehicles, or use of advanced imaging tools (satellite, SAR radar, thermal, LiDAR, etc.).

- Family Liaison and Victimology Records
Records showing assignment of a family liaison officer, victimology analysis, communications with the Guthrie family (redacted for privacy where required), and any victim services provided.
- Tip and Lead Management Records
Summary logs or indexes of tips and leads received (including the reported volume in the early days of the case), how they were categorized, assigned, or investigated, and any internal policies for managing high-volume tips.
- Missing-Person Database Entries and Notifications
Copies of all entries or notifications submitted to the Arizona Criminal Justice Information System (ACJIS), National Crime Information Center (NCIC), National Missing and Unidentified Persons System (NamUs), or other databases, plus any Safe Alert or media notifications issued under A.R.S. § 8-810 or related statutes.
- All Videos, Images, and Visual Media Related to the Case
All body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, surveillance videos, aerial/drone footage, videos, images, still photographs, and any other visual media related to the Nancy Guthrie investigation, including full original metadata (creation dates/times, camera identifiers, GPS, file hashes).

- Sheriff's Annual Sworn Written Report(s) under A.R.S. § 11-253 - 2025/2026.
- The complete sworn written report (including all attachments, questions, and answers) that Sheriff Chris Nanos is required to submit by April 7, 2026, under A.R.S. § 11-253.
- Contracts and Correspondence with Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks
- All contracts, memoranda of understanding (MOUs), emails, correspondence, payments, and any related documents between the Pima County Sheriff's Office (or its personnel) and Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks regarding the "Desert Law" reality show (filming in 2025), including any public complaints or internal notes about filming activities.
- Sheriff Chris Nanos Personnel Records from El Paso PD (1976–1982)
- Any records in the Sheriff's Office's possession concerning Sheriff Chris Nanos's personnel file from the El Paso Police Department (1976–1982), including documents related to the Carlos Urias incident, all suspensions, disciplinary actions, and the resignation in lieu of termination, plus the full transcript or detailed summary of the December 11, 2025 deposition.

- Pima County Deputy's Organization No-Confidence Vote
- All documents, emails, memoranda, meeting minutes, and records related to the Pima County Deputy's Organization no-confidence vote of March 24, 2026 (reported as 241–0).
- Deputy Suspensions, Terminations, and Administrative Leave (2025–Present)
- All records of any deputy suspensions, terminations, administrative leave actions, or internal affairs investigations from January 1, 2025 to the present, including (but not limited to) the March 2026 Travis Reynolds case.
- All Property and Evidence Control Forms and Chain-of-Custody Documents Every Property and Evidence Control Form (PCSD1301), Field Released Property Chain of Custody form (PCSD1335), Authorization for Property Release (PCSD1330), and any Firearms Transfer Record (PCSD1336) related to the case, including all attached evidence envelopes,
c
- Complete Evidence Chain-of-Custody Tracking and Audit Trails
All chain-of-custody logs, transfer records, and automated audit-trail entries maintained by the Property & Evidence (P&E) Unit for every item collected,

stored, examined, or released in the Nancy Guthrie investigation.

- Safekeeping and Found-Property Records
All safekeeping records (temporary storage of non-evidence items belonging to known persons), found-property logs, and any related owner-information sheets or release authorizations.
- Search Warrants, Affidavits, and Returns (Home and Off-Site Searches)
All search warrant affidavits, warrants, warrant returns (inventories of items seized), and supporting documentation for searches of the Guthrie residence (5820 N Camino Escalante), any Rio Rico area locations, vehicles, or other properties, including any electrical-permit-related access (P25BP07139 / Urias / Poche LLC).
- Scene Security, Preservation, and Access Logs
All scene logs, entry/exit rosters, security-preservation reports, contamination concerns, and access authorizations for the Guthrie home or any other crime scenes (including any contractor or unauthorized-access notes).
- Evidence Collection and Forensic Submission Records
All evidence-collection logs, forensic submission forms, laboratory request forms, and chain-of-custody documents for ransom notes/communications (fingerprints, DNA, paper/ink analysis, digital metadata, handwriting).
- Digital/Electronic Evidence Preservation Records
All records of phone, computer, security-

camera, Wi-Fi/electrical-usage data, GPS, and other digital evidence preservation, forensic imaging, and analysis (including metadata/EXIF and chain-of-custody).

- Search and Surveillance Resource

Deployment Logs

All logs, reports, and deployment records for searches (including K-9 teams, drones, thermal-imaging aircraft, ground teams, or any advanced imaging tools such as SAR radar or LiDAR), showing dates, times, locations, and personnel/equipment used.

- Full Resource Allocation and Manpower Records

All overtime/compensatory-time logs, personnel assignment rosters, man-hour summaries, shift-deployment records, command-post staffing logs, and expense reports showing the total number of deputies, detectives, support staff, and hours dedicated to the Nancy Guthrie investigation.

- Mutual Aid, Task-Force, and Multi-Agency Coordination Records

All mutual-aid agreements, task-force MOUs, emails, and logs documenting coordination with the FBI, other agencies, or outside resources (including any partial or full transfer of jurisdiction).

- FBI Forms and Shared Investigative Documents in PCSO Possession

Any FBI forms, reports, or documents held by PCSO (including FD-302 interview summaries, FD-936 DNA database requests, evidence-submission forms, CAST Intake Forms, or other federal

forensic/evidence forms) related to the case or shared during joint investigation.

- Tip/Lead Management and Database Entry Records

All tip/lead logs, indexes, categorization records, and entries/notifications submitted to ACJIS, NCIC Missing Person File, NamUs, or other databases (including the reported 18,000+ tips in the first 11 days).

- Command Post, Briefing, and Investigative Strategy Records

All command-post logs, daily briefing notes, investigative strategy memos, and internal communications regarding resource deployment, scene security, canvass, ransom handling, and public-information strategy.

- Neighborhood Canvass, Witness, and Victimology Records

Complete canvass logs (one-mile or greater radius, including dogs and utility records), witness-interview summaries/statements (redacted as required), and victimology/family-liaison assignment records.

- Please produce in reasonable phases if volume requires it, beginning with items 1–4, 9–12, and 16–19. Acknowledge receipt within three business days and provide a firm production timeline per A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq

Additional Requests Applicable to All Items Above

- All records shall be produced in electronic format (native files preferred, **with metadata preserved with index logs showing the metadata/exif/gps locations**). If any records were damaged, destroyed, transferred- I would like those signed forms. If any records cannot be made into electronic form, let me know, ahead of time.
- If any portion is withheld, provide a detailed legal reason(s), itemized index (Vaughn-like index) identifying each withheld record or category, the specific legal exemption claimed, and the legal justification.
- Withholding Requirements – **No Blanket “Active Investigation” Exemption**
- *Reworded-* If any portion of the requested records (including but not limited to the initial police report and any records documenting transfer of jurisdiction to the FBI or any other agency) is withheld in whole or in part, the Pima County Sheriff’s Office must immediately provide a detailed itemized index (Vaughn-like index) that, for each withheld record or category: (1) identifies the specific document(s) or category, (2) states the precise legal exemption claimed under Arizona law, and (3) sets forth a particularized legal justification showing the probability of specific, material harm that would result from disclosure.

- A generic assertion that the Nancy Guthrie investigation is “active” or “ongoing” is **legally insufficient** and will not be accepted.
- Arizona’s Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) contains **no blanket or sweeping exemption** for law-enforcement records in **active investigations**. See *Cox Arizona Publications, Inc. v. Collins*, 175 Ariz. 11, 14, 852 P.2d 1194, 1198 (1993) (Arizona Supreme Court expressly rejected any blanket rule denying access to police reports in an “active ongoing criminal prosecution,” holding that the agency bears the burden of demonstrating specific harm on a document-by-document or category-by-category basis).
- The initial police report and records reflecting any transfer of jurisdiction are core public records that must be released promptly (subject only to narrow, specifically justified redactions). Redaction of truly exempt information is strongly preferred over wholesale withholding. Failure to provide the required detailed index will be treated as a denial of access under A.R.S. § 39-121.01(D)(2) and may subject the agency to attorney fees, costs, and other remedies in a special action.
- This request is made for non-commercial purposes and in the strong public interest due to public safety concerns in a high-profile permanent-retention kidnapping/abduction case. **A full fee waiver is requested.**

- A mere assertion that the Nancy Guthrie investigation is “active” is legally insufficient to withhold any responsive records. Arizona’s Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) contains **no blanket or sweeping exemption** for police reports or law-enforcement records in active or ongoing investigations. See *Cox Arizona Publishing Inc. v. Collins*, 175 Ariz. 11, 14 (1993) (Arizona Supreme Court expressly rejected a blanket rule denying access to records in an “active ongoing criminal prosecution” because it “contravenes the strong policy favoring open disclosure and access”). Any withholding requires a **specific, document-by-document or category-by-category justification** showing that disclosure would cause a probability of **specific, material harm**—a burden the agency bears.
- This high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case (with ransom communications received and classified for **permanent retention** under the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule) raises compelling public-safety concerns that strongly favor disclosure.
- Arizona currently has more than 1,100 open missing-persons cases statewide, and the Nancy Guthrie case alone generated over 18,000 tips and leads in its first 11 days.
- Public access to the requested records will promote accountability, allow the community to evaluate whether best-

practice protocols (scene preservation, canvass, ransom handling, digital evidence, and multi-agency coordination) are being followed, assist in generating additional investigative tips, and maintain public trust in how law enforcement is handling a case that directly affects resident safety.

- The public interest in transparency far outweighs any generalized claim of secrecy.
- If any record or category is withheld, please provide a detailed Vaughn-like index identifying each withheld item, the precise exemption claimed, and the specific harm that would result from disclosure.
- All records are requested electronically in native format with metadata preserved, and a full public-interest fee waiver is requested under A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]

Date: On Saturday, March 28th, 2026 at 5:41 PM

Subject: Re: NASA FIRMS Request

To: Frank Womack Jr

<Frank.Womack@sheriff.pima.gov>

Thank you for contacting me
regarding my request and for the

work you and your team do every day.

I truly appreciate you taking the time to reach out.

If it is appropriate, I would be grateful if you could please pass along a message to Sheriff Chris Nanos. I can attempt to add a public email but unsure if it will work.

While I do not know him personally, I want him to know that many are praying for him and his family during this difficult time — especially after the recent loss of his brother.

Thank you again for your efforts and professionalism.

Please also remind him to *pay attention* to his *gut feeling* and *law enforcement intuition*, to **stay the course**, and to remain God-centered in the midst of everything he is facing.

“Be strong and courageous. Do not

be afraid; do not be discouraged, for the Lord your God will be with you wherever you go.” — Joshua 1:9

LETTER TO SHERIFF NANOS-

Sheriff Nanos,

I want to be very clear: I do not know you personally or professionally. I have never met you, spoken with you, or had any connection to your office. I am simply a concerned citizen who has watched this situation unfold with growing alarm.

What I do know is that the manner in which the main media and certain officials have handled your situation is fundamentally wrong — both in timing and in fairness.

This is far from balanced journalism.

It likely violates the spirit of the Society of Professional Journalists

Code of Ethics (Seek Truth and Report It, Minimize Harm, Be Accountable and Transparent).

The sudden, coordinated release of decades-old records during an active high-profile investigation and a time of personal grief raises serious questions about fairness, professionalism, prejudicial timing, and the appearance of unfairness in administrative proceedings under A.R.S. § 11-253.

While there are possible legitimate areas where accountability and transparency are needed, the way this has been executed does not serve justice or the public interest.

It feels more like political theater than a good-faith inquiry. Then they wonder why you are hesitant to speak to them. ??? Right!?

In your career, I have to say — the media's handling of it has been one of the most blatant "praise-then-bury" flip-flops I've ever seen.

I am completely buffaloed - - by
the media right now.

Back in the late 1970s and early
1980s until now, the same papers
that are now dredging up every old
disciplinary note were running
"glowing" front-page stories,
calling you a hero.

They celebrated you as the young
officer who won the 1980 Western
Region Officer of the Year award
for saving your partner's life in a
shootout, even while casually
mentioning a suspension for
cursing at a prisoner — ??? as if it
was just part of the "you live and
learn" redemption arc???

The 1988 Herald-Post feature
literally called the Nanos brothers
an "exemplary law enforcement
family."

They loved painting you as the
tough-but-dedicated cop with
maybe a lil' slice of early acting
talent from high-school drama days
portrayal helped in your
undercover work.

Fast-forward to 2026 - exactly 44 years and 6 days from the March 22, 1982 Urias incident to today, March 28, 2026, and suddenly those same outlets treat 44+year-old administrative discipline like it's fresh criminal conduct. ??? Where is the "common sense" ??? H E L L O Media ???

The Nancy Guthrie case hits, your brother passes, and within weeks the tone shifts from “experienced heroic lawman” to “pattern of misconduct.” ??? Make sense of that ???

The media didn't invent the old records — but they absolutely chose the timing and the framing to create the “gotcha” narrative. Why?

It is not the facts that shock me. It is the timing and the framing. That is irresponsible journalism.

That's not journalism; that's a causal factor in the current storm.

Human Resources departments reviewed your entire file when you were hired and promoted over four decades — why didn't they flag anything then? or on the re-hire. Right? The media painted you a hero for decades - so why now paint you in a different light?

Why the sudden outrage now, right in the middle of a high-profile investigation and personal grief?

You've carried the same attitude from those early days into today: do the job, protect the public, and keep showing up.

Your family's long law-enforcement legacy —father proud of his sons in uniform — speaks to real integrity and service, not the one-sided soundbites we're seeing now. Your father saw the legacy of integrity, courage, and dedication in each of you, and he was so proud. That image of a father honoring his sons in uniform is one, I believe, God Himself smiles upon.

I'm truly sorry for your loss. I can't imagine carrying that grief while still trying to lead through the Nancy Guthrie case and everything else on your plate.

The job has always had critical matters.

You've faced them with decades of experience most people will never ever understand. Straight talk.

The media owes the public — and you and your family and your staff and Faith area— a more balanced accounting of the full record, not just the convenient narrative of the moment.

— the good, the failures, and the decades of dedication — we are praying for you.

Stay strong,
Sheriff.

MASTER MEDIA COVERAGE
TIMELINE FOR CHRIS NANOS

(1973 – March 28, 2026)

**Numbered list showing the clear
shift from praise → neutral →
intensely negative**

**July 6, 1973 — Highly positive.
Wins “Best Actor” and drama
scholarship at PHS .**

**May 7, 1980 — Positive / Heroic.
Front-page El Paso Times:
“Reprimanded officer wins state
police honor” — praises Officer of
the Year for saving partner’s life.**

**March 8–18, 1982 — Strongly
positive. Statewide syndicated
“Mugged” feature calls him a
brave tactical decoy.**

**March 22 – August 5, 1982 —
Mixed. Urias incident, 15-day
suspension, grand jury clearance,
resignation “in lieu of
termination.” Public articles still
somewhat neutral not negative.**

**October 12, 1988 — Strongly
positive. “Exemplary law
enforcement runs in policeman’s
family” — father proudly quoted
about his sons in uniform.**

**1983 – mid-2024 (41 years) —
Neutral to quietly positive.
Standard coverage on promotions,**

elections, and routine police work.
No major focus on 1982 record
"ever".

September 22, 2024 — First clear
'negative' shift. Arizona Daily Star
column: "Nanos' anger is a
campaign issue." Introduces
retaliation narrative. Why?

November 2024 — Negative tone
intensifies. Close election, recount,
alleged political suspensions.

March 10, 2026 — Full explosion.
Arizona Republic exposé on 1982
disciplinary history and 2025
deposition denial.

March 24, 2026 — Peak negative.
Deputies' union 241-0 no-
confidence vote; Board demands
sworn report under A.R.S. § 11-
253.

The Shift Happened Here

The media tone flipped from "hero
who learned from mistakes" (1973-
1988) and long neutral coverage
(1983-mid-2024) to intensely
negative scrutiny starting
September 22, 2024, and exploding
in March 2026 — right in the
middle of the Nancy Guthrie case
and immediately after the loss of
your brother. Why??? How the
world has changed.

As in life...the good [above] ... now
for....

Additionally, as we approach day
56??? of the Nancy Guthrie
investigation, I respectfully suggest
that the Sheriff's Office, in
coordination with the HOA and
neighborhood leadership (including
Sue Ellen), consider implementing
a clear, temporary parking waiver
for media and streamers.

Specifically, allow them 15 minutes
at dusk and 15 minutes at dawn (30
minutes total per day) for filming
or reporting, with no parking
permitted outside those windows.

Address Disabled People /
Handicap Parking as well on your
signs where media and streamers
park - - Arizona law still protects
legal handicap placards and
plates.

- A **temporary no-parking zone
does NOT automatically cancel**
ADA/handicap rights on public
streets — **unless** the county
specifically marks the area as a fire
lane or absolute emergency-only
zone.

- In practice:

- If Juanita (or anyone) has a valid Arizona handicap placard and parks in the posted zone, officers ****may still ticket or tow**** if the LE believe it blocks emergency access and it does not where she has parked.

- However, the placard gives stronger legal protection. A disabled person can politely point out the placard and ask for an exception or to speak with a supervisor.

- Juanita's parking (as shown on Alex Criminal Network live) was in grey area.

****Bottom line on disabled parking**:**

Handicap placards give you the best chance of being allowed to park, but they do not override a posted temporary safety order if the county determines it is a true emergency-access issue.

The safest move is still to request written permission like a placard card to hang so the neighbors know you all know they are allowed there say 6-615am and 6-615pm or dawn and dusk , so you have a formal

paper trail who is going at dusk and dawn and make a sign and post it- or have LE make a new announcement. Something.

This balanced approach would respect freedom of speech while addressing legitimate public safety and quality-of-life concerns in a quiet suburban neighborhood — especially as temperatures continue to rise. It would also reduce the perception of harassment that some residents feel when approached by media crews or streamers or vice versa because there is enough footage online that it is the locals harassing in odd tones when it is not needed.

The 24/7 streaming presence has, in many ways, already demonstrated that this is a peaceful area where expensive equipment has been left unattended with zero reported theft, which somewhat undercuts recent statements that “*this could happen again.*” A structured, limited-time policy would help de-escalate tensions while still allowing the press to do its job.

Public Safety Justification (Core Beef)

Public safety is one of the strongest justifications under Arizona law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) for releasing records, even in an “active investigation.” Courts and the Arizona Public Records Law recognize that the public’s right to know how a high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case is being handled outweighs blanket secrecy when public safety is at stake.

Permanent Retention Status of the Nancy Guthrie Case

Under Arizona’s Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule (issued by the Arizona State Library, Archives and Public Records – SLAPR):

- Kidnapping/abduction/ransom cases are classified as permanent retention.
- Nancy Guthrie’s case meets this standard because ransom notes were received, it is being investigated as a possible abduction, and it is a high-profile missing-person case

with potential for future criminal prosecution.

- The Pima County Sheriff's Office must keep the master case file, all reports, evidence logs, body-cam footage, digital evidence, ransom communications, and related records indefinitely (never destroyed).

Recommended Public Records Requests (If I Choose to File At A Later Date)-

Just Questions On How Your System Works:

1. How would you want me to file this "piece by piece" like submit one item, then fulfill for each topic? or one voluminous lump as a electronic return noncommercial purpose?
2. What is your current process to submit - portal?
3. Email me a link to your 2026 Fee chart - if any- Public interest fee waiver is strongly justified due to the high-profile nature of the case and public safety concerns.

These are high-impact, specific requests focused on transparency and public safety:

- **Pima County Sheriff's Office current Body-Worn & Dash Camera Policy (SB 1640 / A.R.S. § 41-1724), including all amendments since September 24, 2023, and the most recent annual report to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.**
- **All videos, images, body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, and still photographs related to the Nancy Guthrie case, including full metadata showing original creation dates, times, and camera identifiers.**
- **A complete index/log of all body-worn and dash-cam recordings associated with the case, showing the original creation date and time for each file as recorded by the camera system.**
- **The complete sworn written report (and all questions/answers) that Sheriff Chris Nanos is required to submit under**

A.R.S. § 11-253 by April 7, 2026.

- All contracts, MOUs, emails, and correspondence between PCSO and Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks regarding the “Desert Law” reality show (2025 filming), plus any public complaints about being filmed.
- Any records in the Sheriff’s possession concerning his El Paso Police Department personnel file (1976–1982), including the Carlos Urias incident, all suspensions, and the resignation in lieu of termination, plus the full transcript or summary of the December 11, 2025 deposition.
- All documents related to the Pima County Deputy’s Organization no-confidence vote of March 24, 2026 (241–0).
- Records of any deputy suspensions, terminations, or administrative leave actions from January 1, 2025 to present (including the March 2026 Travis Reynolds case).

**Standard Legal & Best-Practice
Protocols for High-Stakes
Kidnapping/Missing-Person Cases**

Many residents (myself included) hope the following FBI guidelines, IACP model policies, and Arizona law enforcement standards were followed or are being rigorously applied:

- Immediate and complete scene security/preservation (home at 5820 N Camino Escalante fully secured within the first hours, no unauthorized access including contractors from the electrical permit P25BP07139 / Urias / Poche LLC).
- Rapid and comprehensive canvass (detailed neighborhood canvass with dogs in a one-mile radius, utility record review, and witness interviews).
- Electronic and digital evidence preservation (all phones, security cameras, computers, Wi-Fi/electrical data preserved promptly under proper chain-of-custody with metadata/EXIF).
- Ransom note handling and forensic analysis (full forensic handling of every ransom

communication – fingerprints, DNA, paper analysis, digital metadata – with a dedicated ransom negotiation team following FBI protocols).

- Early and full multi-agency coordination (FBI brought in at the earliest appropriate stage for kidnap/ransom expertise).
- Clear family liaison and victimology (dedicated, consistent family liaison officer assigned immediately).
- Transparent but controlled public information strategy (releasing enough information to generate tips without compromising the case while protecting the family's privacy).

Satellite & Imaging Tools That Could Have Been Used With Trump's Allowance of Federal Funding

- Public / Free Tools: Google Earth Pro, Google Maps Street View, USGS Earth Explorer, Sentinel Hub, NASA Worldview.

- **Government / Military-Grade Tools: National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA), FBI/DHS National Fusion Center, Pima County GIS Portal.**
- **Advanced Commercial Tools: Planet Labs, Maxar, Capella Space (SAR radar for soil disturbance), ICEYE, Orbital Sidekick (thermal).**
- **Specialized Soil/Heat Tools: Sentinel-1 SAR, USGS 3DEP LiDAR.**

Key Legal Points for Any Public Records Request

No blanket exemption for “active investigations” (A.R.S. § 39-121 and Arizona Supreme Court precedent).

Any withholding must be specifically justified on a document-by-document or category-by-category basis with an itemized index.

Public interest fee waiver is strongly justified due to the high-profile nature of the case and public safety concerns.

Agencies must acknowledge receipt within three business days and provide a firm production date and fees.

Media & Timing Criticism

The media had decades of positive coverage (Officer of the Year stories, “exemplary law enforcement family” features) but shifted dramatically in 2026. The sudden, coordinated focus on 40-year-old records right after the Guthrie case and the loss of your brother raises serious ethical questions about fairness and timing from Media. Unjust and Unfair. I want to file complaint to your PIO how Media has treated you in recent times.

I have zero intention of sharing any public records information I may receive with the public, media, or streamers. If any public safety issues are identified in the records, I will address them directly and privately with you or the appropriate command staff.


I am not a fan of the constant streamer and media presence or

the speculation frenzy that has developed. It does keep us all on our toes. They seem like they are doing their best to hold "hope" current...vs. fade away. There have been millions of missing the past decade. So, I get it.

However, much of that frenzy appears to have grown out of what can be described as a “normalization of deviance” — created by prolonged silence, omissions, and gaps in communication from the department. When information is not shared in a timely and transparent manner, speculation naturally fills the void.

Have a great night, Sheriff. Rise above the negativity.

You are a Nanos —



a name rooted in proud Greek
heritage

that carries the strength, honor,
philotimo, resilience,

and unwavering duty of your
ancestors.

Stand tall in that legacy,

**just as your father stood proud
of his sons in uniform.
God is with you.**

On Tuesday, March 24th, 2026 at
3:54 PM, Frank Womack Jr
<Frank.Womack@sheriff.pima.gov>
wrote:

Hello,

My name is Detective
Womack, from the Pima
County Sheriff's, and I
received information from
our Internal Affairs unit
that you would like to
make a request regarding
various coordinates. I will
be available tomorrow
from 7:00 am to 3:00 if
you would like to speak
about this matter. Thank
you.

Detective Frank Womack #8869

Community Problems Unit

Pima County Sheriff's Department
1750 E. Benson Highway
Tucson, AZ. 85714
Office: (520) 351-4347
frank.womack@sheriff.pima.gov

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, March 29, 2026 8:35 AM
To: Public Records Request
<PublicRecordsRequest@sheriff.pima.gov>
Subject: Fw: Re: NASA FIRMS Request

Gizelle Morales

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Tuesday, March 31, 2026 5:41 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Fw: Supplemental Documents to Support My Formal Complaint
Attachments: Formal Ethics Complaint.pdf

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

----- Forwarded Message -----

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Date: On Tuesday, March 31st, 2026 at 5:33 PM
Subject: Supplemental Documents to Support My Formal Complaint
To: bentin@newsnationnow.com <bentin@newsnationnow.com>,
nndesk@newsnationnow.com <nndesk@newsnationnow.com>,
programming@newsnationnow.com <programming@newsnationnow.com>,
ethics@spj.org <ethics@spj.org>

To: bentin@newsnationnow.com, nndesk@newsnationnow.com,
programming@newsnationnow.com, ethics@spj.org

Subject: Formal Demand for Immediate Retraction, Video Edits & Public Correction – Reckless & Misleading Reporting in March 31, 2026 “Backyard” Video (LrWiSnV69uY) Creating Dangerous Public Precedent on Private Property

Dear Mr. Entin, NewsNation News Desk, Programming Team, and SPJ Ethics Committee,

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR
cc: CAROLINE VAREGAS
DATE 4/1/26 2PM

This is a formal supplemental complaint from earlier today that I sent and as well regarding your latest video published today, March 31, 2026, titled “No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie’s house — new vantage point from backyard — day 59” and the related short.

In this video you, Brian, once again walk, film, and provide new “vantage point” footage from the rear of Nancy Guthrie’s property at 5820 N Camino Escalante.

You claim permission from a neighbor and state you are “*in someone else’s backyard*,” yet you continue to broadcast detailed views of the area immediately behind her home.

This follows your March 30 video in which you and retired Lt. Bob Krygier explicitly declared the same area “**all public**” and “**a utility easement so we can pretty much go anywhere we want.**”

These statements are factually false.

The official recorded subdivision plat for Catalina Foothills Estates No. 5 (Pima County DS-BS-Subdivision Plats-Public, Book 14, Page 3, filed 7/10/1959) clearly identifies Lot 96 as Nancy Guthrie’s property.

A 20-foot utility easement runs along the entire rear (northern) boundary of Lot 96 and the adjacent lots.

This easement is a recorded **burden on privately owned residential land belonging to the homeowners.**

It grants limited access rights **only to utility companies for maintenance.**

It is not public land, not common ground, and not open to journalists, streamers, or the general public. (See attached screenshot of the plat for your immediate reference.)

By repeatedly publicizing walking tours, drone footage, navigation paths, and “new vantage points” of this private easement strip, you are creating a potentially dangerous public precedent.

You may claim you have built “friendships” with certain neighbors and received limited permission from one or two individuals.

That does not authorize you to broadcast the area to hundreds of thousands of viewers as if it were open for public access.

Behind-the-scenes investigation for your own reporting is one matter.

Releasing polished, public-facing videos that normalize and could possibly invite copycat access is quite another — and it is reckless especially when you do it in a live stream type fashion follow me style.

Today’s video makes this even worse: footage shows a tent set up in the area (“That’s actually our tent right there”), while you walk through dense brush, power-line corridors, and cacti immediately behind Nancy’s house.

This is now visibly being treated as a staging/tour/camping location because of the access you have publicized. Why?

The neighborhood already imposed traffic restrictions and barricades due to prior media and streamer overload.

This media outlet---these actions directly exacerbate that problem and risk evidence contamination in an **active** missing-person investigation.

Compounding the harm: Sheriff Nanos has correctly stated that formal trespass complaints must normally come from the property owner. HELLO people...the owner??? Nancy Guthrie is missing. She cannot call law enforcement to report trespassing. Her family should not be forced to police the backyard buffer zone that you have turned into a public spectacle and they cannot even quietly go to the home because

of the Media/Streamers in privacy. This is terrible journalism that prioritizes content over public safety and investigative integrity.

We should see the following immediate corrective actions:

- Remove videos or geo-blur all footage showing the rear utility easement, back doors, navigation paths, tent, and any “vantage point” views from both the full video and the short.
- Add a prominent on-screen correction and pinned comment stating that the area is a private utility easement on residential property, not public land, and that unauthorized entry may constitute trespass under Arizona law.
- Issue a public statement (YouTube, NewsNation air, and social media) discouraging viewers from visiting, filming, or setting up tents/camps in the area and acknowledging the public-safety concerns raised by residents.
- Cease all future on-site filming that involves walking or droning private easements or backyards in this neighborhood.

We have forwarded this complaint, along with the subdivision plat evidence, to Sheriff Chris Nanos and request that the Pima County Sheriff's Office consider issuing a clarifying public statement with his PIO that utility easements in this subdivision are private property and not open for public or media access especially where Brian walked.

Failure to act promptly will be treated as continued disregard for **community safety** and may result in escalation to the Pima County Attorney's Office, affected property owners, and any other appropriate regulatory or ethics bodies.

This reckless pattern must stop.

While you are at it, the Media/Journalists should immediately retract any negative or misleading comments made about Sheriff Nanos in this or prior coverage since the case began.

Have your own investigative team review the archives since 2016 vs. these odd intentful / damaging 10 second soundbites — they will see that the same outlets repeatedly portrayed him as the steady hero of Pima County law enforcement for decades from the beginning.

Had you simply shown a single back door for legitimate newsgathering, that might have been defensible.

Instead, you took viewers on a self-indulgent journey: *'Look, it's me — I'm back from Florida, bringing one of Sheriff Nanos' own retired SWAT commanders with me.'* Even he slipped up on camera by claiming residents in this neighborhood routinely leave their doors unlocked because *'we feel safe in our homes.'* --- Lord, have mercy....

This is appalling journalism. You shoved the Nancy Guthrie case into every living room chasing the Savannah Guthrie angle for ratings, then turned around and used one of Nanos' longtime officers to undermine him further at the exact moment he faces no-confidence votes and recall pressure and the media is likely a causal factor to all that hype, right?

Where is the institutional loyalty? It is clearly a joke.

Media may think you can keep swinging at Sheriff Nanos like a piñata like I stated earlier while creating more chaos in the neighborhood with this kinda of rubbish----Nanos is trying to protect, but there is one stakeholder you will never please with this rubbish— and that is God. Keep chasing your ratings and clickbait all you want. Some of us see exactly what this is.

Mr. Brian Entin, if this self-indulgent *'look-it's-me-I'm-back-from-Florida-with-one-of-Nanos'-own-retired-guys'* walking tour is what you call journalism, then God help us all.

Real journalism on a high-stakes missing-person case would mean digging into critical leads, timelines, forensic gaps, and **actual evidence** — not turning a private utility easement into a guided tour with a tent popped up complete with all that tent footage shown public and unlocked-door commentary that makes the neighborhood less safe. ?????

Come on now. The facts (private land, the plat, the precedent you're setting)

'It's private property, not your personal content farm' —

Until then, silence really is golden from Nanos if this is what he has to engage with...sigh... I am sure Nanos would share data if he did not have Media twisting the facts...especially when we've got journalists like you out there creating more problems than you solve. **Do better.**

...while you walk through dense brush... so called investigating — staging content while likely hiding from the streamer chaos that you helped unleash which I formally made complaint on that too, all so you can maintain that exclusive access.

If this were my own backyard and you were walking and filming in the private 20-foot utility easement directly behind my home — as clearly shown on the official Catalina Foothills Estates No. 5 subdivision plat for Lot 96 — I would immediately issue a recorded verbal and written demand for you to leave under Arizona Revised Statutes § 13-1502. **Criminal trespass begins the moment someone knowingly remains on private property after reasonable notice or a lawful request to depart.** One neighbor's limited permission **does not grant you blanket access across the entire easement strip**, nor does it authorize broadcasting detailed drone footage and navigation instructions to hundreds of thousands of viewers. Right now, Mr. Entin, you are operating in a precarious *gray area of grace* — relying on the open desert character, claims of local 'friendships,' and the tragedy that Nancy Guthrie is missing and she cannot personally order

you off her land, right. That absence does not create a **free pass for journalists**; it only *heightens the recklessness* of turning a private residential buffer into public content. Your repeated staging of these ‘journeys’ while likely hiding from the very streamer chaos you helped create is not protected newsgathering — it is an invitation to further trespass, evidence contamination, and neighborhood potential harm. The law is clear, the plat is clear, and the public safety risks are clear.

Let us look at the official records-

Quick Summary from the Official Plat (Catalina Foothills Estates No. 5)

- **Lot 96** (highlighted in yellow and clearly labeled “5820”) = **Nancy Guthrie’s exact house.**
- The plat shows a **continuous 20’ Utility Easement** running along the entire **rear (northern/back) boundary** of Lot 96 **and every adjacent lot** in the block (lots 95, 97, 100, etc.).
- You can see the dashed lines + “20’ UTILITY EASEMENT” text and power-pole symbols right behind the lots — that is the exact brushy, pole-lined strip Brian walks and drones in his videos.

Where Brian Actually Is in the Recent Videos

- **He is walking/drone-ing in the 20’ private utility easement strip** shown on this plat.
- He is **NOT** inside Nancy’s fenced backyard/curtilage (the main body of Lot 96).
- He is also **on the private land of the neighboring lots** — specifically the rear easement portion that belongs to the homeowners whose lots the easement burdens.
- He is on neighbors’ land (the easement part of their private property), not on public land and not on Nancy’s main lot and states publicly he has permission but not for all he has walked thus far just that neighbor's land.

His Exact Words vs. the Plat (This Is the Lie)

From the March 30 video transcript (and repeated themes in the newer one you linked):

- “We’re at a neighbor’s house... none of this is backyard. So **this is all public**. Yeah. Yeah. **It’s a utility easement. So we can pretty much go anywhere we want.**”
- He says they started from “a neighbor’s house” and one neighbor apparently let them access from their side.

Reality per the plat:

- The easement is **not** public. It is a recorded burden **on the private lots** of the homeowners (including Nancy’s immediate neighbors).
- One neighbor letting them walk from their yard does **not** give full blanket permission **for the entire easement strip or to film Nancy’s specific back doors.**
- The plat proves the area is **private property** with a utility easement — exactly the opposite of “all public.”

Bottom Line to Use in My Official Complaint On PUBLIC SAFETY Concern and Brian Entin's Rece Videos

Brian Entin is walking and filming **in the private 20' utility easement** behind Nancy’s lot and her neighbors’ lots. The official recorded subdivision plat inserted in this email (Lot 96, Catalina Foothills Estates No. 5) shows this in **black and white**.

His on-camera claim that *“this is all public... we can pretty much go anywhere we want”* is **factually false and misleading** — especially when he admits they started from “a neighbor’s house” (**limited permission from one owner only**).

This is an actual smoking-gun **visual proof** - screenshot and attach to any email to NewsNation, SPJ Ethics, or Sheriff Nanos on the recent formal complaint on BRIAN ENTIN's footage.

No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie’s house — new vantage point from backyard — day 59 - YouTube

<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LrWiSnV69uY>

No sign of assault in Nancy Guthrie's house.

<https://www.youtube.com/shorts/GC7am1tOB-M>

The map makes it impossible for anyone to keep **pretending** the area is open public land.

Brian's claims that the area is "all public" or "we can pretty much go anywhere we want" are legally inaccurate based on this plat and Arizona easement law.

Authorized Utility Companies (and Their Agents)

Tucson Electric Power, Pima County (for sewer/water), telephone/cable companies, etc., have the legal right to enter the 20-foot strip only for installing, maintaining, repairing, or inspecting their equipment (power lines, poles, underground lines). This right is strictly limited to the easement's purpose. They cannot use it for other activities.

No One Else Has Automatic Rights

The general public, journalists, streamers, drone operators, or curious neighbors do not have any automatic right to walk, film, loiter, camp, or set up tents in the easement.

Entering or remaining there without permission from the affected property owners (or after reasonable notice to leave) constitutes criminal trespass in the third degree under Arizona Revised Statutes (ARS) § 13-1502.

This is a class 3 misdemeanor (**up to 30 days in jail and fines**). It applies when someone "knowingly enters or remains unlawfully on any real property" after a reasonable request to leave by the owner (or someone with lawful control) or after reasonable notice prohibiting entry (e.g., "No Trespassing" signs already posted in the neighborhood). Hope the family sees this and reports it. It is wrong.

One neighbor giving Brian limited permission to access from their side of the easement does not authorize him to walk the full strip, film Nancy's specific back doors, broadcast navigation tips ("follow the poles"), or publicly invite others to do the same. That exceeds any limited consent and turns the activity into a broader trespass issue.

Kurt Dabb's interview is solid, but Brian — you should feel bad dragging a retired detective into that private easement behind Nancy's house to record this or was it a green screen ??? That's not 'someone else's backyard' — it's the exact utility strip the plat shows as **private property**. Nancy's family can't even tell people to leave because she's missing and they cannot even quietly get over there to do what they need to do without all you there. Turning a grieving family's backyard into your interview set with a tent in frame is low. **Show some respect and stop.**



No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie's house — ne



Brian Entin ●
569K subscribers


Subscribe

57,008 views 2 hours ago BRIAN ENTIN INVESTIGATES



 Premium

Search



No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie's house — ne



Brian Entin

569K subscribers

Subscribe

53,768 views 1 hour ago BRIAN ENTIN INVESTIGATES


A source close to the Nancy Guthrie investigation says there were no signs of an

← ↻ <https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LrWiSnV69uY>



Premium

Search



No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie's house — ne



Brian Entin

568K subscribers

Subscribe

21,100 views 34 minutes ago BRIAN ENTIN INVESTIGATES

A source close to the Nancy Guthrie investigation says there were no signs of an assault. The house was described as "immaculate." Meanwhile, we are getting a closer look at the photos taken by the source seen taking photos ...more



https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=LrWiSnV69uY



Premium

Search



No signs of an assault inside Nancy Guthrie's house — new



Brian Entin

568K subscribers

Subscribe

21,008 views 33 minutes ago BRIAN ENTIN INVESTIGATES

A source close to the Nancy Guthrie investigation says there were no signs of an a were described as "immaculate." Meanwhile, we are getting a closer look at the ba seen taking photos ...more

1 TO: Pima County Board of Supervisors

2 FROM: Sherry Harrison

3 SUBJECT: Sheriff Department

4 DATE 12 April 2026

5 I've lived in Arizona off and on since 1985 and have never had the
6 desire to address the Board of Supervisors until now. I'd like to say
7 that addressing only half the Sheriff Department problem and not the
8 whole problem will leave no changes in the department morale that is
9 visibly in a free fall. As a concerned citizen of Pima County, I believe
0 the answers, explanations and official actions to these incidents,
1 stories and/or rumors listed below are needed. I apologize for the
2 lengthy letter, but find it necessary.

3 **[Disinformation: Fabricated or intentionally manipulated and construed**
4 **contents and messages, including purposely created conspiracy theories or**
5 **rumors. The false information is deliberately and maliciously created to**
6 **harm a person, social group, organization, or country.]**

- 7 • Heather Lappin telling anyone who would listen that she was being punished
8 therefore couldn't campaign I believe is a twist of the truth. I think this new
9 campaign process needs to be explained to the community to avoid this type
0 of suspicion and confusion.
- 1 • During the campaign Lappin would tell the public part of a conversation to
2 insinuate her commander knew she was teaching a class and got her
3 approval. After I was shown the entire text stream, what Lappin left out is;
4 she was not certified to teach that class, and she never told her commander
5 the Master Instructor was going out of state for several days, leaving Lappin
6 alone. Both Lappin and the Master Instructor were willing to sign off on those
7 classes, falsifying paperwork/reports according to AZPOST standards. Did this

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/13/26 *[Signature]*

APR 13 26 09:00 PM CLK OF BD

egregious act of attempting to falsify records get disciplinary action and how many other classes and reports did they falsify before being caught? Was this sheriff so concerned about his political appearance that he neglected to do his job?

- Apparently, while on the night shift, Supervisor Aaron Cross would allow and conduct unauthorized high-speed chases.
- It was said that Cross transferred one of his men out of his area for a week to Green Valley, because the deputy was not in his 'click.' Cross doesn't have the authority to transfer anyone.
- A murder suspect 'mistakenly' released from jail, murdered again before being recaptured. Did Lappin receive disciplinary action for this liability?
- Lappin and Cross spread the lie that Nanos and William Phillips were *currently* under an FBI investigation for misappropriation of funds during the last election. This was said to my face. This lie was spread so far and wide that I had people telling me about it, as if it was a true news story. Phillips was never under investigation for any reason, but these two managed an affective defamation campaign on his name and character, to include Nanos.
- After William Phillips filed an Internal Affairs complaint, someone named Jill Isley from the Sheriff Department called to take an unscheduled statement over the phone. I later heard that Jill Isley met with Cross outside of the office and gave him all witness interviews, on a thumb drive, so he could prepare his statement.
- Had the Internal Affairs complaint been investigated instead of acting like it was a joke they would have found the source of these lies as well as other coverups. Instead, it appears they were covering up their own behavior.
- It was after I requested a copy of the IA report that I learned Ms. Isley's rendition of my statement was not at all what I said. This behavior did not represent what is required by law, nor reflect the standards by which this

1 agency is based or used to be based. How many mock investigations has
2 Cross controlled.

- 3 • When Cross was transferred to the day shift, from being a supervisor on the
4 midnights in the San Xavier District, he went on a revenge course to do
5 whatever it takes to get even with Nanos. Words out of his mouth to my ears.
- 6 • I believe the Garcia case is when Cross appointed himself representative of
7 an unofficial group of people. My research showed it was about four months
8 after the Garcia incident that he actually filed paperwork making this group
9 of Cross supporters official. It would be worth knowing on what date did he
0 take this group to AZCOPS to officially join a real union? Pretty sure it wasn't
1 before the Garcia Christmas party.
- 2 • It would seem that Cross was misrepresenting his purpose with the Garcia
3 case, by tampering with evidence & witnesses. Inserting himself into an
4 investigation, again without authority but on the pretext of being a union
5 representative of his personal group. For Cross to refer to the sexual assault
6 claimant as a 'Ho," when he was allegedly representing her 'best interests'
7 with his pretend nonexistent (at that time) organization is something worth
8 looking into. Racial slurs are not beneath him. Perhaps a retrial is needed?
- 9 • In that IA report there are text messages between Cross and Adelita Grijalva,
0 where he stated he would use the Garcia case against Nanos. Grijalva had a
1 responsibility to stop this, it appears that she did not.
- 2 • This newly formed 'organization' is the same group of Cross people who voted
3 no confidence in Nanos. A group and vote controlled by Cross, while ignoring
4 about half the deputies on staff, who are nonvoters and not in his click, club,
5 group, cult, gang, whatever.
- 6 • These activities do indicate a loss of confidence in this sheriff's ability to lead,
7 as his lack of disciplinary actions have set a standard for deputy behavior so
8 low that he can no longer change it. Additionally, being undermined by some

underhanded activities of these few deputies strictly for their personal interest or entertainment doesn't help.

- It was my understanding that an internal review board voted unanimously to fire Cross, this sheriff saved his job. Why? Cross's Facebook page still shows him in a uniform with his anti-Nanos sign.
- Are Lappin and Cross on the Brady list? If not, why not?
- The negative anonymous interviews performed for global attention is not what this department or the Guthrie family needs. Whomever did this thoughtless, egotistical self-centered exhibition that unfortunately brought this department into the international light, should not be representing Pima County.
- I have heard that Cross's group brags about 'tuning up' suspects or 'hazing's' for approval or recognition. The undercurrent of these activities, represent a significant liability issue, as it also displays serious character flaws and the lack of any moral standards. The reputation of these unethical activities is a problem that not all deputies should be saddled with. Nanos is not the only reason people are leaving this agency. If the details of any of these events were put on the international stage, it would not just be embarrassing, it would take years to recover from.
- Seems that Cross continues to be the divisive factor in this department. It is my impression that he has a talent to bamboozle those who are more gullible to his self-serving shenanigans. Some of his followers are unable to filter through all his smoke and mirrors enough to recognize the hostile intent.
- These unchecked activities have not been just against the sheriff, it includes the department employees, citizens of the county like myself, anyone who opposes his goal or gets in the way. I feel these actions create a liability for the entire Pima County community that is now under an international microscope. To address half the problem (Nanos) and not the whole problem (Nanos & Cross) will leave no changes in the department unethical conduct

1 or do anything to lift the standards to where they once were. It would only
2 make it worse. Cross feels invincible that he has your attention and is looking
3 for a victory over Nanos.

- 4 • Everyone knows I support law enforcement, but not with a blind eye. While I
5 have no respect for Nanos as a leader or a sheriff, I do know it is hard to lead
6 when you have individuals bulking your every move. To not address Cross's
7 behavior is to leave the head on the snake. The IA reports, and text messages
8 on the department phones, taken away from both Heather Lappin and Aaron
9 Cross, will offer a significant number of noteworthy conversations and photos
0 of irrefutable evidence.
- 1 • The honorable deputies, who do their jobs every day with integrity, don't
2 deserve this or the ill-fated drama it brings. I await your response.

3 Respectfully submitted,

4 Sherry Harrison

Dalton Danz

From: Truth of God [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, April 13, 2026 3:34 PM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail; Jan Leshner;
newstips@kvoa.com; metro@tucson.com; news@kgun9.com; engage@az.gov
Subject: **Formal Complaint and Declaration of Potential Institutional Disloyalty Toward Pima
County Sheriff Christopher Nanos** **Request for Entry into Official Pima County
Board of Supervisors Meeting Minutes**

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution.
Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

district1@pima.gov, district2@pima.gov, district3@pima.gov,
district4@pima.gov, district5@pima.gov, COB_mail@pima.gov,
jan.lesher@pima.gov, newstips@kvoa.com, metro@tucson.com,
news@kgun9.com, newsroom@azpm.org

jan.lesher@pima.gov, engage@az.gov,

phoenix-media@fbi.gov, USAAZ.Public.Affairs@usdoj.gov,
usaaz.community@usdoj.gov --BC: President Trump

**Formal Complaint and Declaration of Potential Institutional Disloyalty Toward
Pima County Sheriff Christopher Nanos**

**Request for Entry into Official Pima County Board of Supervisors Meeting
Minutes**

As an Anonymous Concerned Citizen

Date: April 13, 2026

To:

Pima County Board of Supervisors - BOS

Pima County Human Resources Department - HR

Tucson Media Outlets (KVOA News 4 Tucson, Arizona Daily Star /

Tucson.com, KGUN 9, KOLD 13, AZPM, and all others reporting on this
matter)

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4-14-26 *WJH*

CC: *caroline Vargas*

Cathy Bohland

WJH
APR 13 2 56 PM 0445 PC CLK OF ED

cc: Pima County Administrator; Arizona Governor's Office; Relevant Federal Oversight Bodies

****Subject:** Formal Complaint, Declaration, and Public Record Regarding the Pattern of “YOU ARE A G.O.A.T. UNTIL YOU ARE A SCAPEGOAT” in Pima County??? – Potential: Institutional Disloyalty, Media Incompetence, and Urgent Call for Transparency, Fairness, and Accountability in the Nancy Guthrie Investigation, Wildland Fire Preparedness, and Public Trust**

The lesson I have likely learned in PIMA COUNTY is ****YOU ARE A G.O.A.T. UNTIL YOU ARE A SCAPEGOAT****.

This motto has likely played out with painful precision during election cycles and high-stakes crises: 2016 (when Sheriff Nanos first assumed leadership amid prior controversies with the retiring sheriff supporting and naming him to be the next sheriff in media/articles), 2020, 2024 (narrow re-election by appx. 481 votes after documented political scrutiny), and now in 2026—right after a razor-thin victory, during the high-profile disappearance of Nancy Guthrie, and immediately following a profound personal family loss (the death of Sheriff Nanos' brother appx. the day [or so] after Nancy Guthrie went missing on or about February 1, 2026).

A tedious or loose search of “Nanos” in any newspaper archive, Google News, or public publishing tool reveals the same pattern: glowing coverage of his decades of service [hero modeling] until the moment a crisis or election cycle demands a scapegoat [zero modeling]. Hero to Zero, how come? Show us real current evidence - not long ago historical coming off more likely a *weaponizing tool* and for why?

As an anonymous concerned citizen (for safety and public-records purposes in an NSA-era society), I strongly request this entire declaration be entered into the official minutes of the ****next Pima County Board of Supervisors meeting**** as a formal complaint and public record. It must be legally treated as such under Arizona Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.).

****The real and immediate concern must remain: WHERE IS NANCY GUTHRIE?****

Nancy Guthrie, 84, mother of “Today” show co-anchor Savannah Guthrie, was last seen at her Catalina Foothills home on or about January 31/February 1, 2026, as it was alleged/reported.

Sheriff Nanos’ department, operating under severe fiscal restraints, has led the investigation while treating it as an alleged/reported targeted abduction/crime scene.

President Donald J. Trump publicly directed “*ALL Federal Law Enforcement to be at the family’s, and Local Law Enforcement’s, complete disposal, IMMEDIATELY,*” deploying “*all resources to get her mother home safely.*”

Aerial and federal assets were offered and remain available even months later.

Yet instead of unified support, we witness likely institutional disloyalty: Pima County BOS invoking a territorial-era law (A.R.S. § 11-253) to compel sworn reports on Nanos’ 40+-year-old El Paso record even though for decades when promoted - human resources has to do the vetting of background checks and this was all accepted and you all still hired him and promoted him---????---right?!; a union no-confidence vote from how many unions are there- may seem like a high number but give the total of unions and its members---please and show that total, right?!; media frenzy highlighting resume discrepancies and old suspensions (eight suspensions totaling 34 days in El Paso, some for alleged excessive force but what decade was that and how much dedication and time was served since-*come on---really---then* some Pima County judge vacates one prior prisoner that is now in recent years seeking a Republican Congressional seat in a highly Democratic region---*what is happening* that he becomes the voice to rise along to speak on Nanos when one must think--- **who was not fiscally restrained during the pandemic phase**---*come on, officials- really*); and then the amateur level live streamers creating more speculation in addition to the ridiculous lies of the main media that drowns out facts. However, we must applaud all live streamers for their dedication and hard work, and they simply show we, the people, want answers now.

This is likely not incompetence by Sheriff Nanos—it is likely an **institutional disloyalty** by the very entities that *vetted and funded* him for decades and made him out to be a local hero in a sense for many many decades in the media/articles

painted in a **positive** light. Why not do all that back channel- why the chaos, publicly?

****Documented Facts Showing the Pattern of “*G.O.A.T. Until Scapegoat*” and the likely Media/County Incompetence: ****

- Sheriff Nanos began his LE career in 1976 (El Paso PD) after displaying a personal bravery in a local stake incident involving family, joined Pima County Sheriff's Office in 1983-84[vetting process appx 9 months], served 42+ years total, was appointed Sheriff in 2015 by the other sheriff and the media shared this in many articles in a **positive light**, and elected in 2020 and 2024. **Promotions and payroll decisions have always gone through Pima County Human Resources and Board of Supervisors vetting.** Yet suddenly, decades-old records are weaponized. Why? Email me back that reason with documented facts vs. opinions. Otherwise, I am learning the pattern of “*G.O.A.T. Until Scapegoat*”--right?!

- **Fiscal reality since 2015:** Nanos has repeatedly requested additional millions (e.g., over \$7 million in one recent cycle) for staffing to reduce overtime (which reached \$9 million one year). The department operates under chronic understaffing and budget constraints likely **imposed by the BOS**, leading to potential hiring freezes, possible delayed promotions, and “mission-essential only” spending. ??? He *inherited and managed* multi-million-dollar budgets responsibly despite inflation on fuel, food, and utilities. Maybe the real areas that need an audit is the BOS and HR---right?!

- **Media shift timing:** Negative articles likely surged around 2016, 2020, 2024 elections, and now 2026—**exactly as the motto predicts. “*G.O.A.T. Until Scapegoat*”, I fact checked and verified** through publishing tools research areas. Recent coverage (Hollywood Reporter, AZ Central, NewsNation, etc.) focuses on past El Paso issues and alleged resume misrepresentations while **omitting the vetting process by current BOS/HR and the fiscal handcuffs Nanos has operated under and no proof he himself placed the resume public- could be tech area or someone within the department.** How do we know **WHO** placed his resume in the digital blueprint- show we, the people, Nanos himself placed that resume public- who in the IT world can show it was Nanos that placed the resume as it was--- how do we even know Nanos *who*

comes off old school in nature even knew it was made public in the digitalized blueprint of his resume- right!?

****Public Records and FOIA Tools Available to Pima County Record Keeping Auditors, Guthrie family and friends, Civilians, Streamers, Media, Officials, Governor, and President:****

- Arizona Public Records Law requests (A.R.S. § 39-121) to BOS, HR, and PCSO for budgets, promotion records, overtime logs, search logs, and internal affairs since 2015.

- FOIA for federal involvement in the Guthrie case.

- Governor and President have **enhanced authority** to compel records or direct resources (as Trump did).

Yet we are at day what, 72...wow. We can find foreign leaders real fast but not one mom/grandma to a notable figure???? Hmm.

- Open meeting law complaints and recall petitions.

More for you as officials and or auditors overseeing Pima County - you should request- not us we, the people:

To build trust with We the People, ***promote accountability and transparency in this high-profile case***, counter speculation **with public records; documented facts**, and demonstrate that the investigation is being handled according to best practices despite known fiscal and resource constraints, go officially request the following from Pima County Sheriff's Office officials:

- A copy of current 2026 public records fee schedule and any applicable fee chart for 2025–2026 (or the most recent version), as well as any changes to the fee schedule over the past three years.
- Confirmation that all responsive records can be produced electronically (via secure link, email attachment, or digital download portal) for non-commercial use.
- Pre-approval of a full public-interest fee waiver for any and all public records requests submitted concerning the high-profile Nancy Guthrie missing-person / possible alleged/reported kidnapping investigation.

- The current OPEN and CLOSED index/log of all Public Records Requests received by PCSO since February 1, 2026, related to the Nancy Guthrie case. It will show what Media areas were even striving to gain the public records vs. what we have been watching--- *purely ridiculous*.
- **Strong Public-Interest Justification for Fee Waiver**
- The disappearance of Nancy Guthrie (reported missing February 1, 2026 — now Day 72 as of April 13, 2026) is a matter of extraordinary public concern in Pima County, southern Arizona, and nationally.
- The case involves received ransom communications and is being investigated as a possible abduction/kidnapping. Under Arizona's Law Enforcement Records Retention Schedule (issued by the State Library, Archives and Public Records – SLAPR), kidnapping/abduction/ransom cases are classified for **permanent retention**.
- Arizona's Public Records Law (A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq.) expressly favors broad disclosure.
- Courts have repeatedly held that the public's right to know how law enforcement is handling a high-profile missing-person/kidnapping case outweighs blanket claims of secrecy, omissions, redactions, or gag orders when public safety is at stake (see *Cox Arizona Publications, Inc. v. Collins*, 175 Ariz. 11 (1993), rejecting any blanket exemption for records in active investigations and requiring specific, document-by-document justification showing probable material harm).
- Providing these records serves multiple compelling public interests by:
 - Promoting accountability and transparency in an active, high-profile investigation that affects community safety and public trust.
 - Enabling the public to evaluate whether FBI, IACP, and Arizona best-practice guidelines for scene preservation, canvassing, ransom handling, digital evidence, multi-agency coordination, and resource allocation (under known BOS-imposed fiscal restraints) are being followed.
 - Assisting in the generation of additional tips and leads that could help solve the case; and
 - Maintaining public trust during a period when speculation has replaced facts via even main media — especially as we enter a critical wildland fire season in WUI zones such as Catalina Foothills / Sabino Canyon.

- Officials should make it clear that your request is made solely for non-commercial purposes and is not intended to interfere with any legitimate investigative needs.
- **A fee waiver is strongly justified under the statute and controlling case law because the public benefit far exceeds any minimal administrative cost.**
- Itemized Public Records Request – Nancy Guthrie Case (Permanent Retention Kidnapping/Abduction Investigation)

In addition to the preliminary items above, officials should request the following records (produce in reasonable phases if volume requires it, beginning with high-priority items such as initial reports, body/dash cam policies and logs, search logs, cadaver dog records, evidence chains, and the A.R.S. § 11-253 report):

- Any Judicial Sealing and by what Judge, Protective, or Confidentiality Orders and Related Records and by what Judge— All court orders, minute entries, petitions, notices, or correspondence directing PCSO to seal, restrict, withhold, or treat as confidential any records, reports, evidence, videos, or materials related to the Nancy Guthrie investigation (including forms AOCCRS2F or equivalent under A.R.S. § 13-911), with dates, judges, case numbers, scope, internal logs, and communications and by what Judge.
- Current Body-Worn and Dash Camera Policy — The Pima County Sheriff’s Office’s current Body-Worn Camera and Dash Camera Policy (including any policies issued or amended pursuant to SB 1640 / A.R.S. § 41-1724), all amendments since September 24, 2023, and the most recent annual report to the Arizona Criminal Justice Commission.
- All Videos, Images, and Recordings Related to the Nancy Guthrie Case — All body-worn camera recordings, dash-cam recordings, videos, images, still photographs, surveillance footage, aerial/drone footage, and any other visual media, **including full metadata (original creation dates/times, camera identifiers, GPS data, file hashes).**
- Complete Index/Log of Body-Worn and Dash-Cam Recordings — A complete index or log of every body-worn camera and dash-cam recording associated with the case, showing for each file: **original creation date and time, camera identifier, officer(s) involved, and any retention or classification notes.**

- Initial Incident/Police Report and All Supplemental Reports — The original/initial missing-person or alleged/reported kidnapping report **(including the first responding officer's report)**, all supplemental or follow-up investigative reports, detective notes, and case summaries.
- Records of Jurisdiction Transfer or Multi-Agency Coordination — All documents showing any transfer (or partial transfer) of jurisdiction or investigative responsibility to the FBI or other agencies, including emails, memoranda, task-force agreements, and coordination logs.
- Ransom Communications and Forensic Analysis — All ransom notes, communications (written, digital/portal forms, phone, or otherwise), envelopes, packaging, and related forensic reports (fingerprints, DNA, paper analysis, ink, digital **metadata**, handwriting analysis).
- Evidence Logs and Chain-of-Custody Records — **Complete evidence logs, property/evidence intake forms (including PCSD1301, PCSD1335, PCSD1330, PCSD1336), chain-of-custody documentation, audit trails, safekeeping records, and any reports on evidence collected at the Guthrie residence (N Camino Escalante) or other locations.**
- Scene Processing and Preservation Records — All reports, logs, photographs, videos, diagrams, notes, entry/exit rosters, and concerns regarding scene security and preservation at the Guthrie home **(including electrical permit P25BP07139 or contractor access by Urias / Poche LLC), plus any unauthorized access or contamination issues.**
- Neighborhood Canvass and Witness Interview Records — Canvass logs, interview summaries, statements (redacted as required), and reports from the **neighborhood canvass (including any use of dogs or utility record reviews) within a one-mile (or greater) radius.**
- Digital and Electronic Evidence Records — All records concerning preservation and analysis of phones, computers, security cameras, Wi-Fi/electrical usage data, GPS data, and other digital evidence, **including chain-of-custody, metadata/EXIF, and forensic examination reports.**
- Search and Surveillance Records — Detailed search warrant packages and returns; all logs, reports, and deployment records for searches **(including K-9 teams, drones, thermal-imaging aircraft, ground teams, or advanced imaging tools such as SAR radar or LiDAR)**; full timelines, areas covered (Guthrie property, expanded Catalina Foothills neighborhood/roadways, surrounding desert/foothills terrain, and Sabino WUI-adjacent zones); resource-allocation and manpower records (overtime,

assignments, equipment, mutual aid); and any warrants, affidavits, or reports related to searches of residences, properties (including Rio Rico area), or vehicles.

- **Cadaver Dog Deployments (Specific Subset of Search Records)** — All records of cadaver dog deployments: initial use of borrowed Border Patrol K-9 cadaver dogs on and around the Guthrie property (including any scent detection ending in the driveway); subsequent or expanded deployments into broader Catalina Foothills and Sabino WUI terrain; exact dates, times, handlers, areas covered, results; and the official decision and rationale for placing cadaver dog efforts “on hold” (approx. early-to-mid March 2026), with confirmation they remain available.
- **Family Liaison and Victimology Records** — **Records showing assignment of a family liaison officer, victimology analysis, communications with the Guthrie family (redacted for privacy), and any victim services provided.**
- **Tip and Lead Management Records** — Summary logs or indexes of tips and leads received (including the reported volume — over 18,000 in the first 11 days), **how they were categorized, assigned, or investigated, and internal policies for managing high-volume tips.**
- **Missing-Person Database Entries and Notifications** — **Copies of all entries or notifications submitted to ACJIS, NCIC, NamUs, or other databases, plus any Safe Alert or media notifications.**
- **Press Releases, Public Information Strategy, and Media Logs** — All press releases, media briefings, public statements, and internal logs or approvals regarding the controlled release of information and how main stream media used that information in their reporting.
- **Sheriff’s Annual Sworn Written Report(s) under A.R.S. § 11-253** — The complete sworn written report (including all attachments, questions, and answers) that Sheriff Chris Nanos is required to submit by April 7, 2026 (or any extension), under A.R.S. § 11-253.
- **Additional Related Records** — Contracts and correspondence with Twenty Twenty Productions / A&E Networks regarding “Desert Law”; Sheriff Nanos personnel records from El Paso PD (1976–1982) in PCSO possession (including initials to one offended "C.U."- 1982 incident which in turns matches the **same last name** for the electrical permit for the Guthrie case (permit-on property:Sept2025/Dec2025/Jan/Feb 2026) and was that ruled out in the case - related??? unrelated???, suspensions,

deposition transcript/summary from December 11, 2025); Pima County Deputy's Organization no-confidence vote records with statements from all the Unions to that area vs state/nationally--- is this one speck coming forward where there is a larger system in support for Nanos??? (March 24, 2026, 241-0); and deputy suspensions, terminations, or internal affairs actions from January 1, 2025 to present (including the March 2026 Travis Reynolds case).

- Additional Requests Applicable to All Items Above

Produce all records electronically in native format **with metadata preserved, accompanied by index logs**. If any records were damaged, destroyed, or transferred, provide the signed destruction/transfer forms.

- If any portion is withheld, provide a detailed **legal reason(s)** and an **itemized Vaughn-like index identifying each withheld record or category, the specific exemption claimed, and the particularized justification showing probability of specific, material harm**. A generic "active investigation" assertion is legally insufficient per *Cox Arizona Publications v. Collins*. Redaction is preferred over wholesale withholding.
- Acknowledge receipt within three business days and provide a firm production timeline per A.R.S. § 39-121 et seq. the officials should do...

WHERE IS NANCY? — Day 72. Transparency through these records helps restore public trust and cut through speculation.

Critical records that ****could have been**** (and still should be) released to build trust: full budget histories showing BOS-imposed restraints for Nanos and his department; promotion/vetting documentation proving HR/BOS approval and did their due diligence of a background check **at each promotion**; detailed search logs (including cadaver dog deployments for Guthrie case); and investigator training records. These would counter the "incompetence" narrative **with facts**. Did I just write that? **Yeah, I did.**

Yet one cannot escape the glaring irony: **the loudest voices now declaring Sheriff Nanos' supposed failures — potentially: the Pima County Board of Supervisors, Human Resources Department, and segments of the Tucson media even other media---we know Brian Entin trespassed and proved it but we still are allowed that type of reporting---lies— are precisely the same**

institutions that vetted, promoted, funded, and chronically under-resourced him for decades and made him out to be the G.O.A.T. - Hero in media/articles.

Perhaps any forthcoming audit into possible “**incompetence**” and possible *institutional dysfunction* should be redirected *away from Sheriff Nanos* and aimed squarely at those pointing the fingers.

The real failures we are likely witnessing appear to belong to the very parties claiming to expose them — not the leader operating under their own constraints and seeing disloyalty. This will be **BC'd** to President Trump and lawmakers.

****Cadaver Dogs and Investigation Standards:****

In a suspected abduction/foul-play missing-person case like Nancy Guthrie’s, standard protocol (per national law enforcement guidelines) calls for *immediate ground searches of the property*, followed by *expanded grid searches*, vehicle checks, and cadaver-dog deployment within the first 24–48 hours on the home, surrounding desert/foothills terrain, possible disposal sites, and trails—**not limited to the home property alone**. Dogs were deployed early but later *placed on hold* as the case remained an active live-abduction investigation with alleged/reported ransom elements. Broader, sustained use in Wildland Urban Interface-WUI-adjacent areas (Catalina Foothills/Sabino) should have been—and *still can be*—publicly documented to refute speculation.

I would cover both areas including those coordinates on the Tucson Water land - **those heat anomalies-** shown on FIRMS on Feb. 7th 2026 that the FBI/Pima County Internal Affairs/Pima County Detective already received as a suggestive not concrete tip.

 Unknown

REFERENCES

10:58:00 UTC (-03:58 MST)

Cluster ~32.30 N, ~110.88 W. Screenshots of FIRMS table and Google Maps aerials/pins attached if upload possible.

Copyright © 2004 by John Wiley & Sons, Inc.

[Go Back](#)

1996, 1997, 1998, 1999, 2000, 2001, 2002, 2003, 2004, 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012, 2013, 2014, 2015, 2016, 2017, 2018, 2019, 2020, 2021, 2022, 2023, 2024, 2025, 2026, 2027, 2028, 2029, 2030, 2031, 2032, 2033, 2034, 2035, 2036, 2037, 2038, 2039, 2040, 2041, 2042, 2043, 2044, 2045, 2046, 2047, 2048, 2049, 2050, 2051, 2052, 2053, 2054, 2055, 2056, 2057, 2058, 2059, 2060, 2061, 2062, 2063, 2064, 2065, 2066, 2067, 2068, 2069, 2070, 2071, 2072, 2073, 2074, 2075, 2076, 2077, 2078, 2079, 2080, 2081, 2082, 2083, 2084, 2085, 2086, 2087, 2088, 2089, 2090, 2091, 2092, 2093, 2094, 2095, 2096, 2097, 2098, 2099, 2100, 2101, 2102, 2103, 2104, 2105, 2106, 2107, 2108, 2109, 2110, 2111, 2112, 2113, 2114, 2115, 2116, 2117, 2118, 2119, 2120, 2121, 2122, 2123, 2124, 2125, 2126, 2127, 2128, 2129, 2130, 2131, 2132, 2133, 2134, 2135, 2136, 2137, 2138, 2139, 2140, 2141, 2142, 2143, 2144, 2145, 2146, 2147, 2148, 2149, 2150, 2151, 2152, 2153, 2154, 2155, 2156, 2157, 2158, 2159, 2160, 2161, 2162, 2163, 2164, 2165, 2166, 2167, 2168, 2169, 2170, 2171, 2172, 2173, 2174, 2175, 2176, 2177, 2178, 2179, 2180, 2181, 2182, 2183, 2184, 2185, 2186, 2187, 2188, 2189, 2190, 2191, 2192, 2193, 2194, 2195, 2196, 2197, 2198, 2199, 2200, 2201, 2202, 2203, 2204, 2205, 2206, 2207, 2208, 2209, 2210, 2211, 2212, 2213, 2214, 2215, 2216, 2217, 2218, 2219, 2220, 2221, 2222, 2223, 2224, 2225, 2226, 2227, 2228, 2229, 2230, 2231, 2232, 2233, 2234, 2235, 2236, 2237, 2238, 2239, 2240, 2241, 2242, 2243, 2244, 2245, 2246, 2247, 2248, 2249, 2250, 2251, 2252, 2253, 2254, 2255, 2256, 2257, 2258, 2259, 2260, 2261, 2262, 2263, 2264, 2265, 2266, 2267, 2268, 2269, 2270, 2271, 2272, 2273, 2274, 2275, 2276, 2277, 2278, 2279, 2280, 2281, 2282, 2283, 2284, 2285, 2286, 2287, 2288, 2289, 2290, 2291, 2292, 2293, 2294, 2295, 2296, 2297, 2298, 2299, 2300, 2301, 2302, 2303, 2304, 2305, 2306, 2307, 2308, 2309, 2310, 2311, 2312, 2313, 2314, 2315, 2316, 2317, 2318, 2319, 2320, 2321, 2322, 2323, 2324, 2325, 2326, 2327, 2328, 2329, 2330, 2331, 2332, 2333, 2334, 2335, 2336, 2337, 2338, 2339, 2340, 2341, 2342, 2343, 2344, 2345, 2346, 2347, 2348, 2349, 2350, 2351, 2352, 2353, 2354, 2355, 2356, 2357, 2358, 2359, 2360, 2361, 2362, 2363, 2364, 2365, 2366, 2367, 2368, 2369, 2370, 2371, 2372, 2373, 2374, 2375, 2376, 2377, 2378, 2379, 2380, 2381, 2382, 2383, 2384, 2385, 2386, 2387, 2388, 2389, 2390, 2391, 2392, 2393, 2394, 2395, 2396, 2397, 2398, 2399, 2400, 2401, 2402, 2403, 2404, 2405, 2406, 2407, 2408, 2409, 2410, 2411, 2412, 2413, 2414, 2415, 2416, 2417, 2418, 2419, 2420, 2421, 2422, 2423, 2424, 2425, 2426, 2427, 2428, 2429, 2430, 2431, 2432, 2433, 2434, 2435, 2436, 2437, 2438, 2439, 2440, 2441, 2442, 2443, 2444, 2445, 2446, 2447, 2448, 2449, 2450, 2451, 2452, 2453, 2454, 2455, 2456, 2457, 2458, 2459, 2460, 2461, 2462, 2463, 2464, 2465, 2466, 2467, 2468, 2469, 2470, 2471, 2472, 2473, 2474, 2475, 2476, 2477, 2478, 2479, 2480, 2481, 2482, 2483, 2484, 2485, 2486, 2487, 2488, 2489, 2490, 2491, 2492, 2493, 2494, 2495, 2496, 2497, 2498, 2499, 2500, 2501, 2502, 2503, 2504, 2505, 2506, 2507, 2508, 2509, 2510, 2511, 2512, 2513, 2514, 2515, 2516, 2517, 2518, 2519, 2520, 2521, 2522, 2523, 2524, 2525, 2526, 2527, 2528, 2529, 2530, 2531, 2532, 2533, 2534, 2535, 2536, 2537, 2538, 2539, 2540, 2541, 2542, 2543, 2544, 2545, 2546, 2547, 2548, 2549, 2550, 2551, 2552, 2553, 2554, 2555, 2556, 2557, 2558, 2559, 2560, 2561, 2562, 2563, 2564, 2565, 2566, 2567, 2568, 2569, 2570, 2571, 2572, 2573, 2574, 2575, 2576, 2577, 2578, 2579, 2580, 2581, 2582, 2583, 2584, 2585, 2586, 2587, 2588, 2589, 2590, 2591, 2592, 2593, 2594, 2595, 2596, 2597, 2598, 2599, 2600, 2601, 2602, 2603, 2604, 2605, 2606, 2607, 2608, 2609, 2610, 2611, 2612, 2613, 2614, 2615, 2616, 2617, 2618, 2619, 2620, 2621, 2622, 2623, 2624, 2625, 2626, 2627, 2628, 2629, 2630, 2631, 2632, 2633, 2634, 2635, 2636, 2637, 2638, 2639, 2640, 2641, 2642, 2643, 2644, 2645, 2646, 2647, 2648, 2649, 2650, 2651, 2652, 2653, 2654, 2655, 2656, 2657, 2658, 2659, 2660, 2661, 2662, 2663, 2664, 2665, 2666, 2667, 2668, 2669, 2670, 2671, 2672, 2673, 2674, 2675, 2676, 2677, 26





FIRMS

Fire Information for Resource Management System

Lat: 32.311°, Lon: -110.900°

OLI / Landsat [30m]

● UTC ○ Local Time GMT-0700 (M)

LATITUDE	LONGITUDE	PATH	ROW	SCAN	TRACK	ACQUIRE_TII
32.304159	-110.895212	036	037	4166	7112	2026-02-07 10
32.304428	-110.894255	036	037	4169	7111	2026-02-07 10
32.304159	-110.895212	036	038	5396	1822	2026-02-07 10
32.304428	-110.894255	036	038	5399	1821	2026-02-07 10

FILTER BY All fields



FIRMS

Fire Information for Resource Management System

110

Lat: 32.223°, Lon: -110.912°











+

-

LOCATION TOOL

 Current Location

 Find Location

 Saved Locations

tucson az

X

Tucson, AZ, USA

Tucson Az - Virtual Railfan Live, 418 East Congress Street, Tucson, AZ, 85701, USA

New Tucson, AZ, USA

South Tucson, AZ, USA

Corona de Tucson, AZ, USA

Coordinates: lat, lon

☐ Allow multiple location selection

 Clear All

Tucson, Arizona

Tucson, AZ, USA

Lat: 32.2218, Lon: -110.971

+ SAVE LOCATION

MEASURE

FEBRUARY 2026

On February 7, 2026, NASA FIRMS satellite detected multiple thermal hot spots in the Catalina Foothills area of Tucson, Arizona — the same general area as Nancy Guthrie's home. The exact GPS records (with timestamps) are:

- 32.304159, -110.895212, 036,037,4166,7112, 2026-02-07 03:57:00 MST
- 32.304428, -110.894255, 036,037,4169,7111, 2026-02-07 03:57:00 MST
- 32.304159, -110.895212, 036,038,5396,1822, 2026-02-07 03:58:00 MST
- 32.304428, -110.894255, 036,038,5399,1821, 2026-02-07 03:58:00 MST

These GPS points are located ****directly on or immediately adjacent to a water business/utility facility**** (large building with green roof, white tanks, industrial setup visible on Google Earth / satellite imagery).

Specific requests for investigators:


- **Are there any security or surveillance cameras covering this exact area, including cameras at the water business itself?**
- **Can FBI-law enforcement obtain and review footage from those cameras to determine why a thermal hot spot was detected there shortly after the abduction window?**
- Map the immediate vicinity and label all neighboring areas/structures by direction (North, South, West, East) relative to the GPS cluster at the water utility facility (large green-roof industrial building with white tanks, visible on Google Earth/satellite at the exact FIRMS coordinates). Using the attached Google Earth screenshots and overlays next to the water biz:

North: Desert foothills and open scrubland, transitioning into low-density residential (Catalina Foothills community). Approximately 0.3–0.5 miles northwest lies Catalina Foothills High School (4300 E Sunrise Drive) and associated school/parish facilities (St. Thomas the Apostle Roman Catholic Parish visible nearby on maps).

South: Open desert hills and sparse vegetation with minimal development; scattered single-family homes and access roads leading toward lower Tucson valley areas.

West: Primarily open desert foothills and natural terrain (part of the Catalina Foothills); no major structures or dense development immediately adjacent. East: Low-to-medium density single-family residential homes and estates (Catalina Foothills Estates subdivision); local roads including segments near Camino Escalante. Nancy Guthrie's residence (N Camino Escalante) is ~1–1.5 miles in this general southeast direction within the same foothills residential zone.







Other images are not really
explains changes over time

5453 N Valley View Rd
Tucson, Arizona

5295 N Valley View Rd
Tucson, Arizona







****How Sheriff Nanos Can Fight Back – Possible Legal Avenues (*Every Nook and Cranny*)- I hope this gets resolved without all that but if he does---what I seem to see in my research:****

1. ****Defamation / False Light Claims**** against potentially media outlets and individuals making provably false statements with likely “actual malice” (knowledge of falsity or reckless disregard—New York Times v. Sullivan standard for public figures). **Discovery would expose internal communications and patterns of selective omission.**
2. ****42 U.S.C. § 1983 / Due Process / First Amendment Retaliation**** against BOS/HR if removal proceedings violate statutory process (A.R.S. § 11-253) or constitute political retaliation (especially post-2024 election findings of “political gain” use of office).
3. ****Injunctive Relief / Declaratory Judgment**** to halt improper removal and **compel full public records release.**
4. ****Public Records Enforcement**** via special action in Superior Court to **force transparency.**
5. ****Potential Tort Claims**** for interference with official duties or civil conspiracy if coordinated disloyalty is proven.

****Best Lawyer for This Situation if it comes to that:**** A firm or attorney experienced in high-profile public-official defense, media law, and law-enforcement political retaliation cases in Arizona. Look for counsel who has handled §1983 claims, defamation for elected officials, and discovery against government entities (examples include attorneys or firms with track records in Phoenix/Tucson handling sheriff or police *union matters*). Nanos’ counsel should also be **willing to review historical Arizona patterns of “silence, gaps, and omissions” in high-stakes incidents** to avoid accountability. As the declarant, I am willing to speak directly with Nanos’ lawyer under strict anonymity protocols to provide patterns and context—if the lawyer agrees to maintain my anonymity for public-records and safety reasons.

Five strong lawyer/firm recommendations for Sheriff Christopher Nanos’ situation:

- **Ryan Heath – Heath Law PLLC (Prescott/Phoenix area)** Specializes in defamation, libel/slander, constitutional law, and civil rights matters for public figures. Experienced in proving “actual malice” against media and

handling reputation-damaging cases involving officials. Strong on First Amendment and public-official defense.

- **Wieneke Law Group (Phoenix)** Arizona's prominent civil rights defense firm. They frequently defend law enforcement agencies, officers, and public officials in §1983 lawsuits, excessive force, retaliation, and related constitutional claims. Known for strong results in high-profile government defense cases.
- **Sanders & Parks, P.C. (Phoenix)** Long-standing public entity and municipal defense firm representing Arizona counties, cities, schools, and public employees (including police/sheriff matters). Handles §1983 claims, employment disputes, political retaliation, and government accountability litigation. Ideal for BOS/HR-related challenges and institutional defense.
- **Jaburg Wilk (Phoenix)** Experienced in defamation, media law, First Amendment, privacy, and civil rights disputes. They litigate both plaintiff and defense sides in libel/slander cases involving public officials and have handled high-visibility reputation and media cases.
- **Bache Lynch Goldsmith & Mendoza (Tucson area – Southern Arizona focus)** Local Tucson firm with direct defamation, business disparagement, and reputation protection experience. Well-suited for Pima County-specific matters, media challenges, and defending against public attacks in the Tucson market.

In my research I saw these areas as a concern:

42 U.S.C. § 1983 First Amendment / Political Retaliation — Using the territorial-era law (A.R.S. § 11-253) to demand a sworn report and threaten removal after his narrow 2024 re-election, amid the high-profile Guthrie case, and during election-cycle timing fits the “*G.O.A.T. Until Scapegoat*” pattern. If the BOS acted with retaliatory motive (political gain, punishing protected speech, or selective enforcement), this is classic retaliation.

Procedural Due Process / Statutory Violation — The law allows removal only for refusal to file the report or bond within 10 days. Nanos has publicly stated **he will comply**. Any attempt to remove him anyway (or use the process as political theater) could be challenged as arbitrary and capricious.

Equal Protection / Selective Enforcement — Why invoke this obscure territorial-era law (A.R.S. § 11-253) now, against an elected constitutional officer who has been repeatedly vetted, promoted, and funded by the same Pima County

Board of Supervisors and Human Resources Department for decades — while the Board appears to have ignored or never applied the same aggressive scrutiny to similar or more serious issues involving other Pima County elected or appointed officials?

Public records show the law has rarely, if ever, been weaponized in this manner against a sitting Pima County Sheriff. Recent coverage notes it was used by the Maricopa County Board of Supervisors to compel testimony from County Recorder Justin Heap, but no comparable high-profile removal threat against a long-serving elected sheriff in Pima County appears in the historical record. Other county officers (such as the County Attorney, Treasurer, Assessor, or Recorder) or high-level department heads have faced *controversies, budget disputes, personnel complaints, or performance questions* over the years without the Board invoking A.R.S. § 11-253 to demand sworn reports under threat of immediate removal from office. Hmmm....

This selective timing — coming immediately after a narrow re-election, during a high-stakes active abduction investigation (Day 72 of the Nancy Guthrie case), and amid election-cycle pressures — ***raises serious questions of unequal treatment.***

If the Board has not applied the same standard to other officials with documented issues (for example, past complaints against other elected officers, department heads, or even the same supervisors' own oversight failures on chronic underfunding and staffing crises), then the action against Sheriff Nanos appears arbitrary, politically motivated, and in violation of the **Equal Protection Clause of the Fourteenth Amendment.**

Selective enforcement claims are strongest when the government singles out one individual for treatment not imposed on similarly situated persons, especially when the underlying conduct (old personnel records, vetting discrepancies, or leadership decisions) has been known and accepted for years.

§ 1983 Monell Claim (municipal liability) — If BOS/HR policy or custom created the likely “institutional disloyalty” (chronic underfunding then public bashing, vetting him for decades then weaponizing old records).

Tortious Interference with Official Duties / Civil Conspiracy — Coordinated effort to undermine an elected constitutional officer.

Media Outlets (e.g., Arizona Daily Star/Tucson.com, KVOA, KGUN, Hollywood Reporter, AZ Central, etc.) and/or Specific Reporters should retract...they could be liable...

... still viable if evidence is strong.

Reasons & Topics:

Defamation (Libel) and/or False Light Invasion of Privacy — Provably false or misleading statements about: resume discrepancies, El Paso record (selective omissions of vetting/promotions), “incompetence” in the Guthrie case, and early playing of the “*ethnic/Greek card*” to imply ____???

Actual Malice Required (New York Times v. Sullivan standard) — Must prove the outlet knew the statement was false or acted with reckless disregard for the truth.

The public digital presence when common civilians can search Nanos and see all the praise from the Media/articles over the decades along with when he was promoted **stands as evidence** that this can be implied as something to look into--
-an audit...of this entire area that includes BOS-HR and Media---*what is happening over there?*

In the legal discovery --it could possibly reveal that editors/reporters *ignored/omitted/gapped/silenced* known facts (e.g., BOS/HR vetting records, fiscal restraints Nanos operated under, or the timing of negative coverage in election cycles 2016/2020/2024/2026 and nothing prior but hero and normal positive reporting on Nanos), the “actual malice” bar can be met.

That also could include specific ones associated to the Unions who did all this- if done maliciously.

Best Path: File in Pima County Superior Court for *injunctive/declaratory relief* first (stop any improper removal under § 11-253) + § 1983 claim against BOS/individuals in federal court. This is the strongest, fastest route and aligns perfectly with the themes in my declaration. - Combined Lawsuit: *One federal § 1983 case against BOS + state claims against media (or vice versa)*. Requesting

(budget histories, promotion/vetting docs, search logs, cadaver dog records, internal BOS communications). These directly prove the likely **“institutional disloyalty”** and counter the “incompetence” narrative.

Bottom Line: Nanos has a **stronger legal** position than the public narrative suggests — especially on the government-side claims against the BOS. The **“YOU ARE A G.O.A.T. UNTIL YOU ARE A SCAPEGOAT”** pattern I have researched, combined with the timing (post-2024 election, during Guthrie case, loss of a significant family member, fire season overlap), gives Nanos' lawyers **powerful arguments** for retaliation and bad-faith conduct.

This formal declaration and those numbered public records request above that the officials should share to we, the people, are already building the factual foundation any lawyer would want so to let them request it all vs us folks.

If Nanos' counsel reaches out under anonymity protections (as offered), this email document plus the records would be Exhibit A.

****Areas Where County, State, Federal, and We the People Are Failing Us:****

- ****Pima County/BOS/HR:**** Chronic underfunding of PCSO leading to staffing crises, followed by attacks on the very leader they vetted and likely constrained and one of those voices is one a Republican judge -vacated one of the Pima County prisoners - and is one of the current voices being amplified against Nanos. ??? *Hmmm. When in reality where is the pure justice for that ex-prisoner* except some wishful Republican campaign in a heavily Democratic region of this state to gain a Congressional seat- ??? Is that one of our possible future, ***“G.O.A.T. Until Scapegoat”***, candidates for that area...*just saying*. Is something weird happening over there and I am laying more on it is not Nanos... *just a scapegoat who was once platformed as the G.O.A.T.*

- ****State of Arizona:**** 2025 HB 2201 (utility wildfire liability shield for APS/TEP if mitigation plans followed) places **enormous pressure on judicial system, insurance markets, and first responders like Nanos dept which is already under likely constraints** while towns like Prescott or Payson could still be wiped out as they claimed/reported publicly. Insurers and trial lawyers have slammed the plans as inadequate. here:

<https://azcapitoltimes.com/news/2026/03/19/insurers-and-trial-lawyers-slam-arizona-wildfire-mitigation-plans-as-inadequate/>

https://azcapitoltimes.com/news/2026/03/19/insurers-and-trial-lawyers-slam-arizona-wildfire-mitigation-plans-as-inadequate/

other Arizonans on the hook if they lose everything in a fire

In exchange, the utilities will be shielded from lawsuits that Payson or Prescott is wiped out by a wildfire caused by the

The insurers and trial lawyers are also highly critical of the called "Wildfire Mitigation Plans."

If you're not already familiar with it, recent Arizona legislation on wildland fires and electric utilities may also be important for property owners in fire-prone areas.

HB 2201 (now Arizona law as Chapter 167) requires electric companies to create and submit biennial wildfire mitigation plans to the State Forester and provides liability protections for the utilities when they follow those approved plans.

You can read the full details and recent updates:

The new law (HB 2201 / Chapter 167) requires electric companies to create wildfire mitigation plans in exchange for liability protections. As reported in the Arizona Capitol Times (March 19, 2026):

> *"In exchange, the utilities will be shielded from lawsuits that could cost them billions of dollars if a town like Payson or Prescott is wiped out by a wildfire caused by their power lines." --- ***hello, Palisades-Eaton Fires should have woken up America...but not like this...this is unsettling...****

In 2025, Governor Katie Hobbs signed HB 2201 into law, granting electric utilities — including APS and **Tucson Electric Power** — significant **liability protections** for wildfires if they submit and follow approved mitigation plans.

We the People are **deeply disappointed** in our state officials for passing and signing legislation that primarily shields utility companies and government

entities from lawsuits, while offering virtually zero meaningful incentives, rebates, or protections for Arizona homeowners and families facing sharply rising insurance premiums or being dropped.

This law has already drawn strong criticism from insurers and trial lawyers who describe the submitted mitigation plans as “sparse” and inadequate.

Meanwhile, towns like Prescott and Payson remain at extreme risk of being effectively wiped out in a major WUI fire, and Pima County residents — especially those in high-threat areas such as where Nancy's home is located- Catalina Foothills and Sabino Canyon — continue to absorb the financial burden through dramatically increased home, flood, and wildfire insurance costs with little relief in sight.

The result is a clear imbalance: robust legal protections for powerful entities, but growing financial hardship and heightened risk for the very citizens the government is supposed to serve.

This misplaced priority only compounds the pressures on the judicial system, insurance markets, first responders like Nanos and his staff, and public trust during what is shaping up to be potentially one of Arizona’s most dangerous wildland fire seasons.

- ****Federal:**** While President Trump offered full resources immediately, sustained coordination must continue beyond headlines. Use those tools. While President Donald J. Trump offered the full resources and weight of the federal government immediately — directing “ALL Federal Law Enforcement to be at the family’s, and Local Law Enforcement’s, complete disposal, IMMEDIATELY” and stating “*We are deploying all resources to get her mother home safely*” — sustained coordination and renewed deployment of advanced federal and military tools must continue well beyond initial headlines.

Even on Day 72 (April 13, 2026), critical leads can still be developed or breakthroughs achieved through the following federal, military, and inter-agency tools and assets, many of which can be surged within 72 hours or sustained over weeks:

Immediate / Short-Term Tools (Deployable Within 72 Hours or Less):

FBI Evidence Response Teams (ERT) and Rapid Deployment Teams for re-processing the Guthrie residence, garage, bedroom, or expanded scenes.

FBI Behavioral Analysis Unit (BAU) for updated profiling, especially regarding potential retribution motives.

FBI Cellular Analysis and Telemetry (CAST) Unit for even much deeper historical cell-phone, pacemaker, and signal analysis.

FBI Digital Forensics and Cyber Teams for rapid re-examination of phones, computers, security cameras, Wi-Fi/electrical data, GPS, and ransom digital metadata.

Advanced aerial/helicopter assets (FBI, DHS, CBP) with thermal imaging and signal-detection (“pacemaker sniffer”) technology for targeted re-scans.

Thermal-imaging and AI-enabled drone fleets for rapid coverage of Catalina Foothills, Sabino Canyon WUI terrain, and surrounding desert areas.

Additional specialized **cadaver/human remains detection K-9 teams (FBI, Border Patrol, or FEMA US&R)** for expanded searches beyond the initial property and driveway.

Enhanced NCIC / N-DEx queries and ViCAP cross-referencing for national pattern matching.

FBI Hostage Rescue Team (HRT) or Critical Incident Response Group (CIRG) support if new intelligence indicates a live-hostage or high-risk recovery scenario.

Medium- to Longer-Term / Sustained Tools (Days to Weeks):

- Ground-penetrating radar (GPR), LiDAR, Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR), and magnetometry for subsurface detection in desert/foothills terrain.
- National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) satellite imagery and geospatial intelligence (GEOINT) for historical and current high-resolution analysis.
- Full FBI Laboratory (Quantico) capabilities for advanced DNA, fingerprint, paper/ink, handwriting, and digital forensics on ransom materials and the gloves with unknown male DNA.
- Expanded multi-agency Joint Task Force integration (FBI, ATF, HSI, DEA) if cross-border, organized-crime, or organized-retribution elements emerge.

- Department of Defense (DoD) / National Guard assets under Defense Support of Civil Authorities (DSCA) authority, including military drones/UAS with advanced sensors, infrared/thermal aircraft, engineering support for terrain analysis, and command-post augmentation.
- DoD research assets such as AI-driven search algorithms that combine drone, thermal, and real-time pattern recognition to detect anomalies.
- National Center for Missing & Exploited Children (NCMEC) technical tools and tip-management support (applicable via partnership even in adult cases).

These tools, many of which were explicitly offered by the Trump administration in early February 2026, remain available and can be **re-energized** through formal requests via the Phoenix FBI Field Office, the U.S. Attorney for the District of Arizona, or direct White House/DOJ channels referencing the President's prior directive.

Public records showing any prior resource constraints or denials would further strengthen the justification for a renewed federal surge.

WHERE IS NANCY? — Day 72. You have the tools and resources- use them. Bring Nancy Home!

Full, sustained use of these federal and military capabilities, combined with complete transparency through public records, **is essential to move beyond speculation**, counter institutional disloyalty, and bring resolution to this case while restoring trust with We the People.

- ****We the People / Media / Live Streamers:**** Allowing speculation and frenzy to replace facts, especially during fire season. File your public records to gain documented facts if the officials ignore this declaration.

****Critical Fire Season Overlap – This Must Be the Real Focus:****

We are entering one of the scariest wildland fire seasons on record said by many current and retired Fire Leaders.

John Truett (State Fire Management Officer, Arizona Department of Forestry and Fire Management – DFFM)

Truett is the most frequently quoted current official and has been the primary voice on the 2026 outlook.

“Now that we’re getting closer to fire season and the dry season, they can fine-tune that prediction — and that’s where we get upgraded to above average.”

“Even if we have an average April, May, we’re still going to be an above average prediction of wildfire season.”

→ <https://ktar.com/arizona-wildfire-news/above-average-wildfire-risk/5845552/>
(April 9–10, 2026)

“This year, state and federal fire analysts expect the season to ramp up quickly in southern and southeastern Arizona due to long-term dryness and abundance of fine fuel across the landscapes.”

→ DFFM briefing / Mescal-J6 Fire District post (April 2026):

<https://www.facebook.com/mescalj6firedistrict/posts/the-arizona-department-of-forestry-and-fire-management-has-released-their-2026-w/1252943726965633/>

“The potential out there is very extreme.”

“We already are four to six weeks ahead of normal on our vegetation drying.”

→ <https://www.yahoo.com/news/articles/heat-drought-beetles-put-arizona-120151730.html> (late March 2026)

Arizona DFFM / Multi-Agency Briefings (briefed Governor Hobbs in early April 2026):

The outlook highlights an early, active, and long fire season driven by long-term drought, record early heat (Phoenix hit 90°F in late February), heavy grass crop from a mild winter, and unprecedented dryness in larger fuels. Southern Arizona is expected to see activity first, then moving north toward the Mogollon Rim.

→ KTAR News (April 2026) and AZ Central fire weather watch coverage:

<https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/arizona-wildfires/2026/04/10/fire-weather-watch-2026-tucson-area/89554819007/>

National Interagency Fire Center (NIFC) Predictive Services (April 2026 Outlook):

Above-normal significant fire potential across much of Arizona, especially southeastern Arizona in April–May, expanding northward. Record dryness and heavy fine fuels are key drivers.

→ NIFC Monthly/Seasonal Outlook (PDF referenced in multiple reports).

Tucson-area Fire Weather Watch (first of 2026, issued early April):
Conditions conducive to wildfires could occur due to drought and high temperatures. Officials noted the West (including Arizona) faces a more aggressive wildfire season.

→ AZ Central: <https://www.azcentral.com/story/news/local/arizona-wildfires/2026/04/10/fire-weather-watch-2026-tucson-area/89554819007/>

We are entering what state and federal fire officials describe as an ***above-average*** and potentially aggressive wildland fire season. John Truett, Fire Management Officer with the Arizona Department of Forestry and Fire Management (DFFM), has warned that the outlook has been upgraded to above average, stating: “*Even if we have an average April, May, we’re still going to be an above average prediction of wildfire season.*” He noted the season is expected to “*ramp up quickly in southern and southeastern Arizona*” due to long-term dryness, record early heat, and a heavy grass crop from the mild winter.

As we enter what state and federal fire officials describe as an above-average and potentially aggressive 2026 wildland fire season — with southern Arizona expected to see early and rapid escalation due to record dryness, heavy grass fuels, and extreme early heat — one must ask:
Are Arizona and Pima County truly ready?

The Arizona Department of Forestry and Fire Management has openly acknowledged that **“our resource availability is going to be limited this year”** and that the state will need to rely heavily on already-stretched local fire departments and mutual aid.

This raises legitimate questions about staffing levels, resource readiness, and overall preparedness, particularly in high-risk WUI zones such as Catalina Foothills and Sabino Canyon — the very area where Nancy Guthrie was last seen.

At the same time, the Pima County Board of Supervisors and Human Resources appear focused on scrutinizing the elected Sheriff who has operated under their budgetary constraints for decades.

Are these the right priorities when both the ongoing search for Nancy Guthrie and the approaching wildfire threat demand unified, experienced leadership and full readiness?

The National Interagency Fire Center forecasts above-normal significant fire potential across much of the state, with particular concern for WUI zones such as Catalina Foothills and Sabino Canyon. Tucson received Arizona's first fire weather watch of 2026 in early April.

Towns reported- like Prescott and Payson remain at high risk of catastrophic damage.

This heightened danger, *combined with Governor Katie Hobbs signing HB 2201 in 2025* (which provides liability protections to utilities while offering little relief to homeowners facing sharply rising insurance costs), places enormous pressure on first responders, the judicial system, insurance markets, and We the People.

Pima County's Community Wildfire Protection Plan highlights high risk in desert/grassland/forested interfaces due to buffelgrass, limited evacuation routes, and growth in WUI zones.

****Catalina Foothills / Sabino Canyon area****

(where Nancy Guthrie lived) sits in a high-to-extreme WUI threat zone—dry fuel buildup after a mild 2025 season means *above-normal* fire potential through at least June 2026. Tucson received Arizona's first 2026 fire weather watch on April 12.

Arizona Capitol Times (March 19, 2026) reports insurers slamming the 2025 utility mitigation plans as inadequate, noting the law could shield companies even if a town is destroyed.

Home/flood/wildfire insurance in Arizona has risen dramatically: average HO-3 premiums up ~20% (2018–2022) with some reports citing 48% increases over three years and non-renewals hitting Pima County (0.6–1.3% annually in recent data).

Thousands of Arizona families, including in Pima County, have faced hikes or non-renewals. This burdens the judicial system, insurance markets, and “we the people” far more than past disciplinary records of many decades ago when there is more supportive evidence the BOS-HR-Media had Nanos labelled the G.O.A.T.---so why now the scapegoat???

Nanos’ Greek Roots and Lineage of Loyalty:

Sheriff Christopher Nanos is of Greek descent on his father’s side. He is the grandson of a Nanos, who emigrated from Greece to the United States and settled in Connecticut. His father later moved to El Paso, Texas, where Sheriff Nanos was born and raised. Not an easy or "cookie cutter" style way of life- This immigrant heritage is documented in Greek-American media coverage of the high-profile Nancy Guthrie case. **Why???**

Link to the primary article:

<https://www.thenationalherald.com/greek-american-sheriff-chris-nanos-leads-high-profile-search-for-missing-nancy-guthrie/> (published February 9, 2026)

Link to the primary article on the family background:

<https://www.thenationalherald.com/greek-american-sheriff-chris-nanos-leads-high-profile-search-for-missing-nancy-guthrie/> (published February 9, 2026)

It is telling — and unfortunate — that some media outlets chose to highlight Sheriff Nanos’ Greek ethnic background so early and prominently in their coverage of the Guthrie investigation. **Why?** Playing the “ethnic card” in this manner does nothing to advance the search for Nancy Guthrie and instead risks injecting identity politics into a serious criminal matter.

In reality, Nanos’ Greek roots and immigrant lineage only underscore his dedication to public service, law and order, and the American ideal of opportunity for those who come to this country legally and contribute through hard work. His family’s story reflects the *classic immigrant experience* of resilience and family loyalty.

Sheriff Nanos did not inherit an easy or “cookie-cutter” style of life. We saw what we saw if you look for verifiable facts— the struggles, the personal challenges, including multiple chaotic moments not of his own account and reports of deep frustration that Nanos heroically handled in the 70s on a personal level which his family likely saved a life and structure. These were not the

markers of a privileged or smooth path, but of a raw, imperfect human reality that many immigrant families have faced across generations.

Yet from that difficult foundation, Sheriff Nanos chose a different road: decades of law enforcement service beginning in 1976, rising through the ranks in El Paso and then dedicating over 40 years to Pima County while serving diverse populations — including immigrant communities — **under potential chronic fiscal restraints and operational pressures**. His lineage and personal history prove a commitment to breaking cycles, molding chaos into order, and showing loyalty to the badge and the Constitution rather than repeating hardship. Those events he had in his earlier career while so young and coming from being platformed- him and some of his siblings as up and coming notable people in the media- even going back to his teens years. No one in this entire world is perfect, yet we do see a need for the ones calling out Nanos that maybe just maybe it is that areas that *need a microscope* and I bet likely we do not have to go many decades back- eh?

Unless **concrete evidence** — not opinions, speculation, selective framing, or guilt by family association — shows otherwise, his Greek heritage and family lineage stand as a point of strength and quiet determination, not a vulnerability to be potentially weaponized during election cycles or high-stakes crises. The Nanos name and Orthodox-influenced immigrant roots represent exactly the values of service, integrity, and resilience that he has brought to his role and his families proven in public published records. Attempts to turn ethnicity or family background into a potential *narrative of suspicion* only highlight the institutional disloyalty and “G.O.A.T. until Scapegoat” pattern we have witnessed, rather than illuminating the character of the man leading the investigation and that likely silence so long is due to those legal lenses.

Litigation Strategy Section

If Sheriff Nanos is forced to pursue legal action to protect his office and reputation, the strongest claims include:

42 U.S.C. § 1983 First Amendment retaliation and procedural due process claims against the Board of Supervisors for misuse of A.R.S. § 11-253. Monell claims against Pima County for institutional policies of underfunding followed by public attacks.

Defamation/false light claims against media outlets where actual malice can be shown through reckless omissions or selective framing.

The public records requested above would form the core evidence. Likelihood of success is moderate to high for injunctive relief against improper removal and moderate for damages, particularly on government-side claims. Discovery would expose the timing and motives behind the “G.O.A.T. until Scapegoat” pattern.

Heavenly Father, in a world far from You-centered, we pray for justice, truth, and protection over Sheriff Christopher Nanos and the search for Nancy Guthrie and the people feeling the effect of such. Guide our leaders with integrity as Proverbs 11:3 declares: *“The integrity of the upright shall guide them.”* Strengthen the Nanos lineage and all who serve faithfully. In Jesus name, Amen.

NANOS SEEMS TO BE THE G.O.A.T. BY HOW THE MEDIA ARTICLES SHOWED—BUT WHY NOW THE SCAPEGOAT? The shift began in election cycles 2016, 2020, 2024, and now.

The lesson in Pima County remains: ****YOU ARE A G.O.A.T. UNTIL YOU ARE A SCAPEGOAT****.

We the people demand better. **Release the records.** Support the investigation. Help properly that ex-prisoner sees **pure justice** vs narratives. Prioritize fire season and public safety. **Restore trust.**

Respectfully submitted as a declaration,

****A Concerned Citizen ****

(Anonymous for safety; willing to speak with Sheriff Nanos’ legal counsel under anonymity safeguards to document **Arizona historical patterns of silence, gaps, and omissions in high-stakes accountability avoidance in Arizona.**)

****Links for Immediate Verification (Publicly Available as of April 13, 2026):****

- Trump federal support quote: <https://nypost.com/2026/02/03/us-news/trump-offers-more-federal-help-to-find-savannah-guthries-missing-mom/>

- AZ Capitol Times on 2025 wildfire utility bill & insurance:
<https://azcapitoltimes.com/news/2026/03/19/insurers-and-trial-lawyers-slam-arizona-wildfire-mitigation-plans-as-inadequate/>
- Nanos background & fiscal notes: Official PCSO site and budget reports via Pima.gov public records.
- Insurance & WUI data: Arizona Resiliency and Mitigation Council reports; Pima County CWPP.

We the People have watched this cycle repeat in 2016, 2020, 2024, and now in 2026 — during a critical missing-person investigation, a dangerous fire season, and amid chronic underfunding of the very department tasked with protecting us.
??????

It is with sincere regret that we acknowledge the personal toll this institutional framework has taken on Sheriff Nanos and his current and retired staff.

While Sheriff Nanos, as the elected leader, ultimately makes the difficult decisions regarding personnel and retirements within his administration — decisions he has shouldered responsibly for decades — these choices are made under the chronic fiscal constraints and budgetary limitations likely long imposed by the Pima County Board of Supervisors and Human Resources Department.

Sorry any family who has been forced into early retirement amid these same pressures.

In moments like these, many of us view such difficult decisions not merely as administrative necessities, but as part of a greater protection — perhaps even God's hand guiding and preserving what truly matters most. Despite the heavy burdens placed upon him by the system, Sheriff Nanos has continued to lead with a deep understanding of the essential tasks that must be done on the ground, tasks his department has carried out competently for decades.

Sheriff Nanos has served faithfully for over four decades under the constraints imposed by the same institutions now questioning his competence. Hmm.

Has anyone every been a part of a high-stake incident---I have--- the silence of Nanos --- is likely due to those legal lenses which to me is more like a **kaleidoscope**.

His Greek immigrant roots, far from being a weakness, reflect the resilience and loyalty that have defined his career.

It is time to end the likely institutional disloyalty(ies).

Release the records.

Deploy every available federal and local resource to find Nancy Guthrie.

Aerial and Advanced Tools Still Available Today (Day 72):

helicopter-mounted pacemaker signal sniffer (Bluetooth/Wi-Fi signal detection), thermal imaging helicopters (heat signature detection), infrared-equipped helicopters (night and low-visibility thermal scanning), search-and-rescue helicopters (visual aerial sweeps and coordination), thermal imaging drones with AI (rapid grid search and human detection), standard search-and-rescue drones (terrain mapping and visual coverage), ground-penetrating radar (GPR) (subsurface detection), LiDAR (3D terrain and anomaly mapping), Synthetic Aperture Radar (SAR) (dense vegetation and subsurface imaging), National Geospatial-Intelligence Agency (NGA) satellite imagery (historical and current high-resolution overhead analysis), BlueFly-type Bluetooth/Wi-Fi sensors (expanded signal detection), additional specialized cadaver and human-remains detection K-9 teams (scent-based human remains search). Show us you got this handled.

Prioritize public safety and transparency over political theater.

Restore trust with We the People before the next crisis — whether it is another high-profile case or a devastating wildfire — **exposes the true cost of this pattern.**

This declaration is offered in good faith, supported by publicly documented facts, and may be used in any court setting. No room for debunking exists when the full record is examined.

We the People have witnessed the destructive pattern: ****YOU ARE A G.O.A.T. UNTIL YOU ARE A SCAPEGOAT****. It is time for this cycle of institutional disloyalty to end.

A Call for Fairness and Balanced Accountability

I recognize that many in the community and across the world are angry and want Sheriff Nanos removed from office. Some based on his silence when he knows the legal lenses of the systems---those twists and turns.

Concerning Media Reporting and Accountability

The satellite imagery of the area clearly shows Nancy Guthrie's residence at =Camino Escalante=, with the property lines and surrounding roads visible.

Despite this public record, some national media correspondents, including NewsNation's Brian Entin, have presented footage and commentary that created the impression they were reporting from neighboring or adjacent properties when they were not.

Such inaccuracies — whether intentional or careless — mislead the public, fuel unnecessary speculation, and erode trust in mainstream coverage of this serious abduction investigation.


This is not an isolated incident.

When major outlets broadcast misleading information about location, evidence, or context in a high-profile case, and then face little to no correction or accountability, it contributes to the very frenzy of amateur live streamers and online speculation that the same media [Brian Entin] later criticizes.

We the People deserve accurate, **verified reporting** grounded in **public records and facts** — not narrative-driven content that prioritizes views over precision.

The same standard of scrutiny currently applied to Sheriff Nanos and the Pima County Sheriff's Office must also be applied to the media.

If journalists and national correspondents can make demonstrably inaccurate claims without consequence while demanding transparency from law enforcement, then the public's confidence in all institutions suffers. True accountability requires that the media, too, be placed under the microscope. Right, Mr. Entin:
proof you lied:



Acknowledgment of Live Streamers and the Demand for Official Transparency

On Day 72 of the Nancy Guthrie investigation, it must be recognized that a diverse group of independent live streamers — whether agenda-driven,

compensated, unpaid, highly organized, or purely organic — have played a **significant role** in keeping this case alive in the public eye.

Without their persistent on-the-ground presence, daily updates, and relentless scrutiny, the disappearance of Nancy Guthrie might well have faded into the background, reduced to occasional official statements and algorithm-driven AI recap videos.

For that sustained public attention, these streamers deserve acknowledgment and thanks.

Their work has prevented this case from slipping into obscurity.

However, this same energy now demands a higher standard from official sources.

It is time for the Pima County Sheriff's Office, the Board of Supervisors, and Human Resources to move beyond selective narratives and provide the public with the actual records: full search logs, cadaver dog deployment details, body and dash camera footage indices, budget and overtime reports, promotion and vetting documents, and all other materials that can replace speculation with facts.

The live streamers have done their part by keeping the case **active**.

Now the institutions must do theirs by embracing **true transparency**.

A Note on the Role of Live Streamers

At the same time, the Pima County Board of Supervisors and Sheriff's Office should consider a constructive way to channel this energy: a simple public acknowledgment of their contribution, clearer ground rules for safety (especially with wildfire season approaching), and periodic factual updates tailored for citizen journalists. Gratitude paired with firm boundaries would serve the community far better than dismissal or confrontation or wasting taxpaying dollars to babysit Nancy's street based on neighbors calls- use your volunteer areas to handle this not fancy under cover vehicles and paid staff. ??? Then you wonder

why people forced into early retirement are unsettled watching that on live streams.

My Opinion on the Best Approach

The county should acknowledge their role **publicly and constructively**, without endorsing every theory or behavior. Many streamers have genuinely helped by maintaining constant public pressure, generating tips, and preventing the case from fading into obscurity. At the same time, some have contributed to chaos, speculation, or neighborhood disruption.

Practical Ideas the County Could Do

Public Acknowledgment / Thank You Statement

Sheriff Nanos or the Board could issue a short, official statement like:

“While we must prioritize the integrity of the active investigation, we recognize that the sustained public attention from independent live streamers and citizen journalists has helped keep Nancy Guthrie’s case in the national spotlight. We appreciate every legitimate tip generated through their coverage.”

Structured Press Availability or “Streamer Briefing”

Once a week, hold a short, on-camera YT short update specifically for streamers and citizen journalists (separate from mainstream media if needed). This channels their energy productively and reduces wild speculation.

Tip Line Highlight & Recognition

Publicly thank them for helping generate tips and periodically shout out when a tip from the streaming community leads to verifiable progress (without compromising the investigation).

Clear Ground Rules & “Trusted Observer” Program

Designate a safe public viewing area with portable restrooms/trash service and establish simple rules (no blocking roads, respect neighbors, no trespassing). Streamers who follow the rules could receive official “press-style” credentials for better access. Less cost for a portable restroom vs. the many LE presence shown. Have designated dawn to dusk hours yet highly avoid being out during peak temps. notices. Just state if after dark out there then LE presence may come out to ensure compliance is all, but cameras can solve that too...there is a nearby

resident that would love for you to have an active 24/7 camera faced right on her corner. That would solve that --- and if that person kept coming out being rude than you have the public records for streamers to obtain that footage for harassment as we all have seen on the live streams. It has to be unbiased the solution. Not favoring the HOA and neighbors yet thinking about all.

Joint Community Safety Message

Partner with a few of the more responsible streamers on a video reminding everyone about drone rules, evacuation protocols, and respectful behavior near potential wildfire zones.

What They Should Not Do

Ignore them completely (that fuels more distrust).

Publicly criticize all streamers as a group (many are just passionate citizens) like seen in one of Mr. Entin's footage.

Public Space, Freedom of Assembly, and Neighborhood Pressure

There has been growing tension with the local HOA and some neighbors who are actively pushing to remove **all live streamers** and prevent any form of memorial or public gathering in front of Nancy Guthrie's residence on N Camino Escalante.

While we respect the privacy and peace of the neighborhood, the street and sidewalk in front of the home remain **public property**, not private HOA-controlled space.

The right to peacefully assemble, observe, and express concern in a public right-of-way is a **fundamental American freedom** — especially in a high-profile missing person case that has captured national attention.

Attempts to completely clear the area of citizen journalists and streamers, or to prohibit modest memorials for Nancy, risk sending the message that public scrutiny and remembrance are no longer welcome.

We urge the Pima County Board of Supervisors, the Sheriff's Office, and local HOA leadership to find a reasonable balance:

- protect streamers from residents from harassment as it is shown on streams.
- protect neighborhood residents from harassment and traffic disruption while preserving the public's right to gather peacefully on public property.
- Silencing or dispersing the diverse group of live streamers who have helped keep this case active for 72 days would be a huge mistake.
- Transparency and freedom of expression must coexist with public safety and neighborly respect.

The Approaching Heat, Wildfire Risk, and the Urgent Need for Verified Records

As we stand on Day 72 of the Nancy Guthrie investigation, Arizona is on the verge of a significant and sustained period of intense heat.

Forecasts for late April through May 2026 indicate above-normal temperatures across southern Arizona, including the Tucson and Catalina Foothills area, with highs frequently reaching into the upper 80s to low 90s°F and the potential for early triple-digit days.

This early and aggressive warming — following an already record-hot March — is rapidly drying out vegetation and creating heavy fine-fuel loads, conditions that fire officials have warned will drive an above-average and potentially dangerous wildland fire season, particularly in high-risk WUI zones such as Catalina Foothills and Sabino Canyon.

In this environment of rising temperatures and heightened public safety concerns, recycled speculation and unverified claims circulating online or in some media reports offer little value.

Much of what is being shared lacks verifiable metadata, proper chain of custody, timestamps, or official documentation — rendering it impossible to confirm or refute with certainty.

In contrast, the official records held by the Pima County Sheriff's Office — including complete search logs with timelines and areas covered, cadaver dog deployment details (both on the Guthrie property and expanded terrain), body-worn and dash-camera indices with metadata, evidence chain-of-custody documents, resource allocation reports, and internal communications — **can provide the verifiable facts the public desperately needs.**

These records carry proper documentation, dates, locations, and accountability that speculation simply cannot match.

Releasing these records promptly would replace rumor with reality, reduce harmful speculation, rebuild public trust, and allow everyone — including the dedicated live streamers who have kept this case visible — to focus on what actually happened rather than what people imagine.

At a time when extreme heat is approaching and wildfire danger is rising, transparency is not optional; it is a public safety imperative.

The approaching extreme heat and elevated wildfire danger highlight a serious vulnerability for many Pima County homeowners, particularly those living in high-risk Wildland-Urban Interface (WUI) zones such as Catalina Foothills and Sabino Canyon.

Should a catastrophic wildfire destroy a residence in these areas, the outcome for the homeowner would depend heavily on the adequacy of their insurance coverage.

For example, if a home of a long-time resident were completely destroyed, standard homeowners insurance would typically pay the replacement cost to rebuild — with proceeds first satisfying any outstanding mortgage.

However, due to **rapidly rising construction costs** and the **ongoing insurance crisis in Arizona**, many properties are underinsured.

In such cases, the homeowner could face significant out-of-pocket expenses, loss of equity, or remaining mortgage debt even after an insurance payout.

Governor Hobbs' signing of HB 2201 in 2025 provides liability protections to utilities but offers no additional relief or incentives for individual homeowners facing skyrocketing premiums and potential coverage gaps. ?????????

This reality affects thousands of Pima County families and underscores why transparency and proper preparedness — rather than political distractions — are essential as we enter what fire officials warn could be potentially a dangerous 2026 fire season.

However, much of this frustration appears rooted in emotion rather than a **full review of the public records** on all areas including other potential areas: BOS-HR- Media- etc.

My declaration is based solely on publicly available and requestable records — budgets, promotion and vetting documents, search logs, fiscal constraints, and official policies — not personal opinion or speculation.

I feel deep compassion for any families who believe they have suffered injustice under the system, including those who were forced into early retirement due to the chronic budgetary and staffing pressures that have long plagued the Pima County Sheriff's Office.

These families deserve to have their experiences heard.

At the same time, that frustration should be redirected where it belongs: toward the Pima County Board of Supervisors and Human Resources Department, who have controlled the funding, staffing levels, and overall framework under which Sheriff Nanos and his department have operated for decades.

The same institutions now scrutinizing him are the very ones that shaped the environment in which he has served.

True justice and an unbiased outlook require that the Board, Human Resources, and the media also be placed under the microscope with the same level of scrutiny they demand of the Sheriff.

Only then can We the People have confidence that decisions are being made based on facts, not political cycles or selective outrage.

We must also address the 1982 incident in El Paso, Texas — *terrible incident*--- an event now being dredged up more than 44 years later by the same Pima County Board of Supervisors and media outlets that have vetted and funded Sheriff Nanos for decades. In March 1982, while serving as a young officer with the El Paso Police Department, Nanos was involved in the arrest of robbery suspect, who was injured and hospitalized. Nanos received a 15-day suspension for excessive force; a grand jury declined to indict him, and he ultimately resigned in lieu of termination in August 1982 amid other disciplinary matters.

It is the height of institutional disloyalty and a new democratic-era tactic to transform a 44-year-old mistake by a young officer — long since known, reviewed, and accepted during multiple promotions, background checks, and elections — into grounds for questioning the competence of a decorated, long-serving sheriff in the middle of a high-profile active investigation.

Officials must *immediately and publicly rule out* any connection whatsoever between the Nancy Guthrie abduction case and this 1982 incident. There is zero evidence of any link, and allowing speculation to continue only harms the search for Nancy and erodes public trust because the last name of the 1982 incident is the same last name of the electrician that was assigned to Guthrie's home in the last six months-year.

This pattern is not unique to Pima County.


Across the United States, we now see a modern practice of reviving decades-old disciplinary records against law enforcement leaders during crises or election cycles — a tactic enabled by new transparency databases and selective media focus.

Examples include the widespread release and weaponization of old NYPD misconduct files after 2020 protests, California's post-2018 "Right to Know" Act that forced agencies to disclose even pre-2019 records, Louisiana's LLEAD database compiling decades of officer histories, and similar efforts in Illinois and

New York where journalists and activists have turned archived personnel files into tools of public shaming.

What was once considered ancient history is now repurposed as *fresh ammunition in the court of public opinion*, turning yesterday's heroes into today's scapegoats.

Respectfully submitted this 13th day of April, 2026,
An Anonymous Concerned Citizen



Disclaimer

I am a private concerned citizen with no professional legal or investigative background. This declaration was prepared with structural and wording assistance from Grok (built by xAI). All content is based on publicly available information and my sincere layperson perspective. It is offered in good faith to encourage transparency and accountability.

Leo Orrantia

P.O. Box 24971

Tempe Arizona 85285

To whom this may concern at the Pima
County Board of Supervisors:

RE: Sheriff Chris Nanos

Dear Pima Board of Supervisors, I am
writing this letter because I will be a future
resident of Tucson and I am very concerned
about the unethical, unlawful, negligent &

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE

4-14-26

C.C.: caroline vargas

APR 14 2026 10:50 AM PCDKDF BD

unconstitutional behavior & actions of Sheriff Nanos. Nanos is a threat to public safety & the welfare of the people of Pima County. Sheriff Nanos destroyed the legacy of Sheriff Dupnik, due to the fact that Sheriff Nanos pretty much shut down the Pima Animal Cruelty Task Force of which had 300 detectives investigating animal abuse & arresting animal abusers. Ever since Sheriff Nanos got into office in 2021 the Pima Animal Cruelty Task Force now only has 3 detectives, due to the failures of Sheriff Nanos. Sheriff Chris Nanos only cares about his own political power & being a bully. In 2015 during his first term, Sheriff Nanos allegedly assaulted his own deputy & was under FBI investigation. In 2024 Sheriff Nanos placed Heather Lapin on admin leave

during the 2024 election, which is text book political retaliation. Sheriff Chris Nanos is doing nothing to combat Child Exploitation & human trafficking crimes in Pima County. In recent times, alleged child predators have been arrested by Tucson Police, Marana Police and/or The FBI. The Pima County Sheriff's Department under Sheriff Nanos control is doing nothing to assist Tucson PD, Marana PD, The FBI or having PCSD to conduct investigations against alleged predators. Vets 4 Child Rescue which is an anti-child exploitation nonprofit agency which is based in Tucson could assist the Pima County Sheriff's Department regarding child exploitation but cannot due to the political control of Sheriff Nanos. Sheriff Nanos would rather spend time for

political power & getting voted into office for another tenure instead of keeping animals, child sex abuse/trafficking victims safe & fighting against the worse of the worse of criminality of Pima County. There is a reason why a majority of Deputy Sheriffs & Sheriff Corrections Officers quit PCSD & transferred to The Pinal County Sheriff's Department, The Arizona Department Of Corrections, Tucson Police Department & The Arizona Department of Public Safety due to the fact that Tucson PD, AZDOC, AZDPS & Pinal County Sheriff's Department has strong leadership leading those departments. Sheriff Chris Nanos is the Paul Penzone of Pima County due to the fact that Former Maricopa Sheriff Paul Penzone and Sheriff Nanos both are a

threat to public safety in the sense that they only cared about political games & not keeping the people safe from crime & terrorism. I (Leo Orrantia) will not feel safe moving to Pima County unless Sheriff Nanos is removed from office and/or recalled from office due to the fact that if Sheriff Nanos remains in office it will further put the people of Pima County at risk. Also, due to the fact that I am in a dangerous domestic violence case and if Sheriff Nanos remains in office, I will be unable to get justice served due to PCSD being a political agency of Sheriff Nanos.

If the Pima Board of Supervisors have any questions or concerns you can email me & or call me of the following:

Phone number :



Email:



Sincerely,

Leo Orrantia

Dalton Danz

From: Barbara Magee [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2026 12:32 PM
To: COB_mail
Subject: Regarding Chris Nanos

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

April 27, 2026

To the Pima County Board of Supervisors / Office of the U.S. Attorney,

I am writing to formally request an independent federal oversight review of Sheriff Chris Nanos and his department's handling of the Nancy Guthrie abduction. There is a growing and well-founded belief among the public that Sheriff Nanos is not merely negligent, but potentially involved as an accomplice or "second perpetrator" in this case. This concern is rooted in his documented history of criminal misconduct, his recent acts of perjury, and specific visual anomalies in the crime scene footage.

Evidence of Chronic Criminal Misconduct

Sheriff Nanos has spent four decades concealing a history of violent and unethical behavior. Investigative reports have confirmed that during his tenure with the El Paso Police Department, Nanos was suspended eight separate times for offenses including excessive force, making false statements, and insubordination. Most notably, he received a 15-day suspension for the brutal assault of a handcuffed suspect. His 1982 resignation "in lieu of termination" was a direct attempt to evade accountability—a pattern of behavior that continues today.

Current Allegations of Fraud and Perjury

As recently as December 2025, Nanos allegedly committed perjury by lying under oath during a sworn deposition regarding his disciplinary history. This act of fraud against the court and the citizens of Pima County demonstrates a total lack of integrity. When a sitting Sheriff is under formal investigation for lying about his past criminal behavior, his actions in a high-profile kidnapping case must be viewed with the highest level of suspicion.

Physical and Forensic Links to the Guthrie Case

Public analysis of the Google Nest footage from the night of Nancy Guthrie's disappearance strongly suggests the presence of a second individual. While the FBI has focused on a suspect with a backpack and holster, separate frames show a man standing on the property with no backpack, no gun, and no holster. This figure's physical build and stature bear a striking resemblance to Sheriff Nanos.

Furthermore, the Sheriff's department's internal emails show that leadership was deeply distracted by extensive collaborations with a reality TV crew (*Desert Law*) in the months leading up to the abduction. The subsequent 11-week delay in DNA processing—caused by the Sheriff's refusal to use the FBI laboratory—appears to be a deliberate attempt to stall the investigation and manage the forensic narrative.

Given the Sheriff's history of violence, his documented lies under oath, and the unexplained physical similarities to the figure caught on camera at the Guthrie residence, we demand an immediate investigation into Chris Nanos's potential role as an accomplice. The safety of our community and the recovery of Nancy Guthrie depend on an investigator who is not compromised by a criminal past.

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4-27-26 *[Signature]*

CC: caroline Vargas


APR 27 26 PM 02:20 PC CLK OF BD *[Signature]*

Respectfully,

From: Barbara Magee

COB - General Contact Form

04/28/2026 9:47 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Noel
Last Name Lopez
Email [REDACTED]
Address 1302 E Stronghold Canyon Ln
City Sahuarita
State AZ
ZIP Code 85629
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Board of Supervisors

Your Message I am asking the board of supervisors to vacate the office. I will be helping the community out and making the county more competent with dealing with criminals.

Would you like a response? No

APR 28 2026 10:15 PM CLK OF BD

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 ml

cc: Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

04/28/2026 10:06 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Michael
Last Name Barker
Email [REDACTED]
Address Orange Grove and Shannon
City Tucson
State AZ
ZIP Code 85741

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Vacate Nanos please

Your Message To whom it may concern,

I am both a Pima county tax payer and former deputy with PCSD and I left largely in part to the culture Nanos instituted and the unsafe working conditions he not only allowed but propagated thru a series of poor and emotionally drive decisions during his first term back in office. I think anyone with half a brain and the ability to think critically can see that the last 12 months have been just the same, if not worse and obviously amplified on the national stage. To be clear, in my estimation, Nanos is exactly the same person he was when I was first hired in 2015 (during RICO and Gagnepain). He's only become emboldened and far more out of touch with the citizens of this county and cares more about his position/title/power than what is right and wrong.

I urge the BOS to take this vote incredibly seriously, and to keep their friends, family, and neighbors in mind when debating and pouring over the totality of the circumstances regarding Nanos' poor and immature leadership.

Thank you.

Would you like a response? Yes

APR 28 2026 10:15 PM CLK OF BO


CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 ml

cc: Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

04/28/2026 10:13 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Brian

Last Name Cross

Email

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

As a citizen of Pima County and as a nearly 20 year employee with the Sheriff's Department, I cannot express the importance of taking the opportunity to unseat this Sheriff. After years of bullying, incompetence, and lies, you have the unique opportunity to right this ship. Deputies have left in droves. Nothing runs well. Incompetence is rampant amongst the brass, who were promoted based on loyalty, not competence. The sheriff is corrupt. His decades of employment were based on fraud and lies. He underniably used his office and corruption to "win" this last election by a mere 481 votes. His entire career as sheriff has had controversy after controversy. Please find the courage to stand up for the best interest of the county, citizens, and employees that have put up with him for far too long. Only you can hold him accountable. Do so. Thank you.

Would you like a response? No

APR 28 2026 10:15 PM CLK OF SD


CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 *ml*

cc: Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

04/28/2026 10:18 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name

Lisa

Last Name

Williams

Email

[REDACTED]

Phone

[REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message:

Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

Requesting the BOS vote to vacate Sheriff Nanos in the interest of improving department morale and retaining experienced staff. This directly impacts public safety and the departments current inability to work effectively with other agencies both local and Federal. This Sheriff is a reflection upon Pima Cty as a whole, its residents and department employees. Swift decisive action is appropriate and appreciated in this matter.

Would you like a response?

No

APR 28 2026 10:20 PM CLK GFB

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 ml

cc: Caroline Vargas

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Dawn
Last Name Barkman
Email [REDACTED]
Address 10147 E. Sunset Meadow Place
City Tucson
State AZ
ZIP Code 85747
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Sheriff Nanos

Your Message Please get rid of this liar. I was a sergeant with the Pima County Sheriff's Department for over 30 years. He made my life hell and treats all his employees the same way. He LIED to get hired on with the Sheriffs Department. This is Inexcusable. Please do the right thing and vote him out.

Would you like a response? No

APR 28 2026 PM 2:09 PCLK OF BO

PM

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 PM
CC: Sheriff Nanos

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Lauren
Last Name Saline
Email [REDACTED]
Address 477 s Douglas Wash rd
City Vail
State AZ
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Vacate Sheriff Nanos

Your Message I would like the Pima County Board of Supervisors to know that I, as a long time resident of Pima County, support their vote to vacate Sheriff Chris Nanos.

Would you like a response? No

APR 28 2026 04:06 PM CLK OF BO
RM

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/28/26 PM
CC: Sheriff Nanos

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Victor

Last Name Varela

Email

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Vacate Sheriff Nanos from office

Your Message

I am writing to express serious concern regarding Sheriff Chris Nanos' continued leadership of the Pima County Sheriff's Department and to respectfully call for removal from office.

Sheriff Nanos has increasingly lost the trust of both the public and the deputies and professional staff who serve under his command. Confidence in leadership is the foundation of any law enforcement agency, and that foundation has been significantly damaged. Morale within the department has declined as deputies feel unsupported, disciplined for proactive police work, and discouraged from carrying out the very mission they were sworn to uphold—protecting the citizens of Pima County.

Public trust has also suffered due to concerns surrounding transparency, accountability, and inconsistent leadership decisions. When the community no longer has confidence in its sheriff, and when the men and women within the department no longer feel supported by their own administration, the effectiveness of public safety is directly compromised.

Strong leadership requires integrity, consistency, and the ability to unite both the agency and the community it serves. Unfortunately, the current administration has created division, weakened internal confidence, and damaged the department's credibility.

For the good of the department, its employees, and the citizens of Pima County, leadership change is necessary. The Office of Sheriff must represent strength, trust, and accountability—qualities that many now believe are absent under Sheriff Nanos' leadership.

Pima County deserves better, and the deputies who risk their lives daily deserve leadership that stands beside them, not against them.

Respectfully,

Victor Varela

Would you like a response?

Yes

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR


DATE 4/28/26 RM
cc: Sheriff Nanos

APR 28 2026 3:53 PM CLK OF BO

RM

COB - General Contact Form

04/29/2026 8:56 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Scott
Last Name Woodworth
Email [REDACTED]
Address 3800 E. Calle Agassiz
City Vail
State AZ
ZIP Code 85641-9413
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Removal of Nanos

Your Message
As a member of the community and a Deputy, I implore you to remove Sheriff Nanos. The fact that his 40-year career is predicated on dishonesty and that he has no remorse should be all anyone needs to know about him. In my 27 years with this agency, I have never heard of anyone having such a deplorable record of discipline and suspensions in such a short time and still retain their job as a law enforcement officer.

Nanos is a vindictive, self-centered, arrogant man who only desires the power of the position with no regard for the safety of the people of Pima County especially any employee who does not laud him and his cronies. I have watched as droves of talented hard-working deputies fled in his wake and seen firsthand the level of service this agency provides diminish as decades of experience walked out the door. Then, in his famous petty fashion, to hear Nanos directed the personnel unit to mark any employee who goes to another agency as not eligible for rehire as a final act of spite.

You already know that he was not afraid to put political opponents on leave based on meritless accusations to maintain his power. And he Interfered with a criminal rape investigation involving his own employee. Who in their right mind would not be supportive of a victim of such an unimaginable crime? Imagine what he does and how he treats the rank and file whom he dislikes and is too timid to speak out.

No one wants a corrupt, dishonest, power-hungry person to be a Corrections Officer or Deputy. Let alone that person to be the Sheriff.

Would you like a response? Yes

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR


DATE 4/29/26 mlf

CC: Caroline Vargas

APR 29 09:59 PM CLK OF BO

BOS - District 1 Feedback

04/27/2026 1:27 PM (MST)



Contact Supervisor Rex Scott

Concerns expressed on this form will be emailed directly to the office of District 1 and addressed accordingly.

First Name Ann
Last Name Pozzi
Email [REDACTED]
Telephone Number [REDACTED]
Address 1221 W Rodriguez Rd
City Oro Valley
State Arizona
Zip Code 85755
Select a District

- District 1 - Rex Scott
- District 2 - Dr. Matt Heinz
- District 3 - Jennifer Allen
- District 4 - Steve Christy
- District 5 - Andrés Cano

Select a Department Sheriff's Department

Please type the topic or subject of your concern Sheriff Nanos

Your Message I am a resident in District 1, however, decided this email should be sent to the entire Board of Supervisors.

After watching The Interview Room episode from April 26, 2026 (Nancy Guthrie Case Fallout: Deputies Organization Takes Stand Against Sheriff), I fully support the removal and any applicable prosecution of Sheriff Nanos and his Chief of Detectives (CID).

I am extremely concerned that Sheriff Nanos was hired by Pima County in the first place. Let alone the fact that the public was never notified of any problems with his record and therefore, voted him into office. I believe the Nancy Guthrie case was grossly mishandled.

Thank you for your attention to this matter.
Ann Pozzi

Would you like a response? Yes

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/29/26 ml

cc: Caroline Vargas

Matt Felix

From: District1
Sent: Wednesday, April 29, 2026 10:36 AM
To: COB_mail; Melissa Manriquez
Subject: FW: Regarding Chris Nanos

From: Barbara Magee [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, April 27, 2026 12:30 PM
To: District1 <District1@pima.gov>
Subject: Regarding Chris Nanos

You don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

April 27, 2026

To the Pima County Board of Supervisors / Office of the U.S. Attorney,
I am writing to formally request an independent federal oversight review of Sheriff Chris Nanos and his department's handling of the Nancy Guthrie abduction. There is a growing and well-founded belief among the public that Sheriff Nanos is not merely negligent, but potentially involved as an accomplice or "second perpetrator" in this case. This concern is rooted in his documented history of criminal misconduct, his recent acts of perjury, and specific visual anomalies in the crime scene footage.

Evidence of Chronic Criminal Misconduct

Sheriff Nanos has spent four decades concealing a history of violent and unethical behavior. Investigative reports have confirmed that during his tenure with the El Paso Police Department, Nanos was suspended eight separate times for offenses including excessive force, making false statements, and insubordination. Most notably, he received a 15-day suspension for the brutal assault of a handcuffed suspect. His 1982 resignation "in lieu of termination" was a direct attempt to evade accountability—a pattern of behavior that continues today.

Current Allegations of Fraud and Perjury

As recently as December 2025, Nanos allegedly committed perjury by lying under oath during a sworn deposition regarding his disciplinary history. This act of fraud against the court and the citizens of Pima County demonstrates a total lack of integrity. When a sitting Sheriff is under formal investigation for lying about his past criminal behavior, his actions in a high-profile kidnapping case must be viewed with the highest level of suspicion.

Physical and Forensic Links to the Guthrie Case

Public analysis of the Google Nest footage from the night of Nancy Guthrie's disappearance strongly suggests the presence of a second individual. While the FBI has focused on a suspect with a backpack and holster, separate frames show a man standing on the property with no backpack, no gun, and no holster. This figure's physical build and stature bear a striking resemblance to Sheriff Nanos.

Furthermore, the Sheriff's department's internal emails show that leadership was deeply distracted by extensive collaborations with a reality TV crew (*Desert Law*) in the months leading up to the abduction. The subsequent 11-week delay in DNA processing—caused by the Sheriff's refusal to use the FBI laboratory—appears to be a deliberate attempt to stall the investigation and manage the forensic narrative.

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 4/29/26 *mlf*
CC: Caroline Vargas

Given the Sheriff's history of violence, his documented lies under oath, and the unexplained physical similarities to the figure caught on camera at the Guthrie residence, we demand an immediate investigation into Chris Nanos's potential role as an accomplice. The safety of our community and the recovery of Nancy Guthrie depend on an investigator who is not compromised by a criminal past. Respectfully,

From: Barbara Magee

Dalton Danz

From: Philip Kortesis [REDACTED]
Sent: Thursday, April 30, 2026 6:58 PM
To: District3
Cc: COB_mail
Subject: Please Take Action Regarding Sheriff Nanos

Some people who received this message don't often get email from [REDACTED] [Learn why this is important](#)

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor Allen,

I am writing to express my concerns regarding Sheriff Nanos's recent failure to provide a sworn statement within the designated time frame, as well as the unsatisfactory nature of his responses to the Board's inquiries.

In light of these issues, alongside concerns regarding the management of the Pima County Sheriff's Department and conduct prior to the last election, I urge the Board to exercise its authority to vacate the office of sheriff.

This action would allow for the appointment of a suitable replacement until the next election, at which time the voters of Pima County may elect a candidate to fill this position.

Thank you for your time and consideration of this matter.

Sincerely,

Philip Kortesis

MPY 01/25/2008 06:58 PC CLK OF BD

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-1-26 dld

CC: caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

05/04/2026 11:36 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Taryn

Last Name Raterink

Email

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Remove the Sheriff

Your Message The board needs to take action to remove the sheriff and hold him accountable. Pima county deserves a better leader.

Would you like a response? No


CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-4-26 *dl* Page 1/1

CC: Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

05/04/2026 1:58 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Joseph

Last Name Philpott

Email

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Vote to Vacate (Sheriff Nanos)

Your Message

Hello there,

I am a Pima County resident and aware of an upcoming vote with the Board to possibly vacate the elected position of our current Sheriff. I would strongly urge your votes to fall on the side of "vacating".

The Sheriff has shown a lack of professionalism and lack of genuine concern for the community and our Sheriff's Dept. for years. It looks and feels like a show for him, he's more concerned about his political future than he is about actually serving and protecting.

I am not alone in how I am feeling, most people I talk to have the same concerns, but as you are aware... it usually feels hopeless from the average citizens point of view. We have a real chance right now to make a meaningful change for the better. Please vote to make a real change and difference in this County. It's far past time, we deserve better and I believe you can facilitate that change for all of us.

Thank you for your time and attention to my email. Have a blessed day.

Would you like a response?

No

MAY 04 2026 02:03 PM CLK OF BO

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-4-26 JLP
CO: Caroline Valgas

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Scott

Last Name Lowing

Email

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

Dear Members of the Board of Supervisors,

I am writing after nearly 39 years of service with the Sheriff's Department, during which I have served under three different sheriffs and witnessed significant shifts in priorities, staffing, and compensation.

The first sheriff I served under provided little support for meaningful pay increases. The second sheriff reduced staffing levels significantly, generating what were described as "vacancy savings," but at a considerable cost to operational capacity and workforce.

Our current sheriff, however, has made consistent and visible efforts to advocate for competitive pay, appropriate staffing levels for the entire department, not just a third, and current technologies. Despite these efforts, those requests have repeatedly met resistance at the Board level.

From my perspective, the department is being asked to do more with less, and the long-term sustainability of that approach is concerning. Retention, recruitment, and overall effectiveness are all directly impacted when staffing levels and compensation do not keep pace with the demands placed on this department.

I urge the Board to take a closer at what this sheriff has tried to do, not allegations from 40+ years ago. This sheriff, in my opinion is overly transparent and forgiving, maybe because of his life experience.

When you have various organizations all with different agendas they share half truths, making assumptions or not disclosing the rest of the story.

Bottom line, the sheriff is elected by the people and the people have the option to change their vote every four years. Leave this up to the people, either by recall, or election.

Thank you for your time and consideration. I welcome any opportunity to provide further input based on my experience.

By the way, I'm not a political person and wholeheartedly believe one man does not impact our department the way others may lead you to believe.

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-4-26

CC: Caroline Vargas

MAY 04 25 PM 04:24 PC CLK OF BO

I spent nearly twenty years as a sergeant. I firmly believe sergeants and deputies/officers are the backbone of our department.


Respectfully,

Would you like a response?

No

COB - General Contact Form

05/04/2026 4:40 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Joe R

Last Name Philpott

Email

Address East

City Tucson

State AZ

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Upcoming vote to vacate Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

I have been a Pima County resident since 1992.

I am aware of the Board's upcoming vote to retain, or remove Sheriff Nanos from his current position as Pima County Sheriff.

I Strongly support the REMOVAL of Sheriff Nanos from his position as Pima County Sheriff.

Pima County has endured several terms of Mr Nanos. He entered his first term into a Department that was respected Nationally. We had to witness and watch its decay with every passing year with him as its leader. Only the men and women under his command were able to have success in serving and protecting our County because of how incredible they are! It was certainly NOT because of Mr Nanos's leadership BUT despite it!

I am certain the Board has witnessed the numerous incompetent actions, inappropriate or bumbling statements time and time again over Mr Nanos' tenure in office.

This year alone, and with the events that took place on a National scale in Pima County, this has to be. I am hoping and strongly believe this should be, the final straw for the Pima County's Board to rid us of Mr Nanos!!!

Would you like a response?

No

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-4-26 dPal

cc: Caroline Vargas

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Jeremy
Last Name Butcher
Email [REDACTED]
Address 3210 West Northern Cross Trail
City Tucson
State AZ
ZIP Code 85742
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Removal of Sheriff Nanos

Your Message Dear Members of the Pima County Board of Supervisors,

I am writing you to express my serious concern regarding Sheriff Chris Nanos and to urge the Board to take appropriate action in evaluating his continued leadership of the Pima County Sheriff's Department.

Effective leadership in law enforcement requires integrity, accountability, and transparency. Allegations regarding inaccuracies in Sheriff Nanos' resume, as well as concerns related to his past disciplinary history with the El Paso Police Department, raise legitimate questions about his credibility and fitness to serve in this role. These are not minor issues-they directly impact public trust in the department.

The residents of Pima County deserve a sheriff who upholds the highest standards of honesty and leadership. When those standards are called into question, it is the responsibility of elected officials to act in the best interest of the community and ensure that public confidence is restored.

I respectfully ask that the Board thoroughly review these concerns and take appropriate steps to address them, including consideration of whether Sheriff Nanos should remain in his position.

Thank you for your time and your commitment to serving the people of Pima County.

Sincerely,
Jeremy Butcher

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-5-26 *dbp*

CC: Caroline Vargas

dbp
MAY 05 2026 11:22 PM CLK OF BO

Would you like a response? Yes

PIMA COUNTY DEPUTY'S ORGANIZATION

Re: Request for Referral of Pima County Sheriff Christopher Nanos to the Arizona Attorney
General for Investigation of Possible Perjury (A.R.S. § 13-2701)

Dear Chair and Members of the Board of Supervisors:

The Pima County Deputy's Organization represents the men and women who serve as deputies in the Pima County Sheriff's Department. We write to request that the Board immediately refer Sheriff Christopher Nanos to the Arizona Attorney General for a criminal investigation into possible perjury arising from statements he (and his attorney) submitted to this Board in April 2026, and from a subsequent affidavit in which he swore under oath that those statements were true.

In response to the Board's lawful inquiry pursuant to A.R.S. § 11-253 Sheriff Nanos, through counsel James M. Cool, submitted a written explanation of his 1982 separation from the El Paso Police Department. In that submission he stated, in substance:

- ☐ His resignation resulted from a single dispute with a supervisor "over the towing of vehicles."
- ☐ The only discipline at issue was a three-day suspension for insubordination.
- ☐ He resigned voluntarily rather than accept that suspension.
- ☐ Any "other disciplinary infractions" were minor events from more than four decades earlier about which he has "little specific recollection."

Thereafter, we were reliably told that Sheriff Nanos executed and submitted an affidavit swearing that the foregoing representation to the Board was true.

2/20
MAY 05 2026 10:11 PM CLK OF BD

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

2015 W. RIVER ROAD #141, TUCSON, AZ 85705
AZPCDO@GMAIL.COM

ESTABLISHED 2023

DATE 5-6-26 *alol*

CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas

The official El Paso Police Department personnel file (see attached Chris Nanos El Paso Personnel File pages 80-86, produced in response to public-records requests) directly and materially contradicts those sworn statements. Specifically:

1. On July 22, 1982, the Chief of Police initiated Personnel Incident Report CP 82-271, charging Officer Nanos with “consistent inefficiency” under Article XV, Section 3(g) of the Civil Service Rules and Regulations — a charge that authorizes termination when an officer’s overall performance falls below minimum standards.
2. The charge was expressly based on a documented multi-year pattern of 15+ incidents (1977–1982) that included habitual tardiness, negligent discharge of a firearm, off-duty threats of bodily injury, gambling, verbal abuse, dereliction of duty, excessive force, and multiple suspensions (including a 15-day suspension for excessive force only months earlier).
3. The inefficiency case (CP 82-271) was heard in conjunction with the separate insubordination case (CP 82-270).
4. On August 3, 1982, a five-member disciplinary board unanimously voted to terminate Officer Nanos for consistent inefficiency.
5. Only after that termination vote did Nanos submit his resignation. The official disposition on the very form he signed reads: “RESIGNED IN LIEU OF TERMINATION.” The form bears Nanos’ own handwritten signature directly beneath that disposition.
6. Nanos also signed a separate resignation letter the same day that makes no mention of any towing dispute or three-day suspension.

By swearing under oath to a version of events that omits the Chief’s formal termination proceeding, the board’s unanimous termination vote, and the 15+ documented incidents that formed the basis for the inefficiency charge, Sheriff Nanos appears to have made a materially false statement in an official proceeding and in a sworn affidavit. Under Arizona law, such conduct constitutes perjury (A.R.S. § 13-2701).

This latest sworn misrepresentation is not an isolated incident. Sheriff Nanos has a documented history of materially misleading statements about his El Paso Police Department record. On his applications to the Pima County Sheriff’s Department in 1983, he falsely listed the reason for leaving the El Paso Police Department as “personal + better paying job” (and, in a follow-up document, “personal (divorce) — and betterment of myself”), rather than disclosing that he

had resigned in lieu of termination for consistent inefficiency after a disciplinary board unanimously voted to fire him. In a December 11, 2025, deposition under oath, he minimized his disciplinary history, describing it primarily as minor driving infractions and denying any suspensions—directly contradicting the eight documented suspensions (totaling approximately 32–37 days) revealed in the El Paso personnel file, including a 15-day suspension for excessive force. The Pima County Deputy’s Organization believes these repeated instances of false or misleading statements under oath and in official documents demonstrate a longstanding pattern that can no longer be ignored. Sheriff Nanos must finally be held accountable under Arizona law for what appears to be perjury in connection with his sworn submissions to this Board.

The Pima County Deputy’s Organization therefore respectfully requests that the Board of Supervisors immediately refer this matter to the Arizona Attorney General for a full criminal investigation into possible perjury.

Thank you for your prompt attention to this serious matter of public integrity.

Respectfully submitted,

Sergeant Aaron D. Cross
President,
Pima County Deputy’s Organization

Enclosures:

1. El Paso Police Department Personnel Incident Report CP 82-271 (consistent inefficiency charge) and related disciplinary records, including the August 3, 1982 termination vote and Nanos’ signed resignation in lieu of termination (7 pages from Nanos El Paso Personnel File 80-86.pdf)

DISTRIBUTION CODE

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
PERSONNEL INCIDENT REPORT

Christopher Nanos ☒ B ☒ X

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Police Dept. - Northwest Substation		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT July 22, 1982 10:00 A.M.		CASE NO. CP 82-271
NAME OF COMPLAINANT Chief of Police - William E. Rodriguez		ADDRESS 500 East San Antonio		PHONE 541-4220
EMPLOYEE(S) INVOLVED 1. Christopher Nanos		BADGE NO. 436	BUREAU OR DIVISION Patrol - Northwest	
2.				
3.				

DETAILS OF INCIDENT

Due to a recent allegation made against Officer Nanos (CP 82-270), the officer's personnel file was reviewed. Based on this review, it is further alleged that Officer Nanos is so consistently inefficient in the performance of the duties of his position that his general average of efficiency is below the minimum standard fixed by the Civil Service Commission Rules and Regulations, in violation of Article XV, Section 3 (g). The charge of consistent inefficiency is based on the forgoing incidents in Officer Christopher Nano's file:

DATE	CASE NO.	ALLEGATIONS	DISPOSITION
04/26/77	IA 77-105	VIOLATION DEPT. ORDERS (USE OF SIREN ON PD VEHICLE)	WRITTEN REPRIMAN
07/23/77		TARDY	1-DAY LWOP, COUNS
12/08/78	IA 78-348	OFF DUTY CONDUCT - THREATS (BODILY INJURY)	WRITTEN REPRIMAN
03/08/79	CP 79-50	TARDY	LWOP (ONE DAY)
07/03/79	CP 79-144	SHOT FIRED (NEGLIGENT)	SUSPENDED 1 DAY

INITIATING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

OFFICER INITIATING REPORT Alfonso Flores	SIGNATURE <i>Alfonso Flores</i>	RANK Lt.	DATE 7/22/82	TIME 2:00 P.M.
RECOMMENDATION (TO BE MADE BY SUPERVISOR OF INITIATING OFFICER)				

DISPOSITION:

RESIGNED IN LIEU OF TERMINATION

AFFECTED EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

MAY 06 2010 10:11 PM CLK OF ED

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
PERSONNEL INCIDENT REPORT

DISTRIBUTION CODE

A ☐

B ☐

C ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Police Dept. - Northwest Station		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT July 22, 1982 10:00 A.M.		CASE NO. CP 82-271
NAME OF COMPLAINANT Chief of Police - William E. Rodriguez		ADDRESS 500 East San Antonio		PHONE 541-4220
EMPLOYEE(S) INVOLVED 1. Christopher Nanos		BADGE NO. 636	BUREAU OR DIVISION Patrol - Northwest	
2.				
3.				
DETAILS OF INCIDENT:				
07/09/79	CP 79-148	OFF DUTY CONDUCT (GAMBLING)	SUSPENDED 1 DAY	
11/08/79	CP 79-265	FAILURE TO REPORT TO DUTY (OVERSLEPT)	1 DAY LWOP	
05/27/80	IA 80-70	VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (VERBAL ABUSE)	SUSPENDED 10 DAYS	
09/27/80	CP 80-298	TARDINESS (OVERSLEPT)	ONE DAY L.W.O.P.	
02/19/81	CP 81-64	DERELICTION OF DUTY (FAILURE TO APPEAR FOR SCHOOL)	WRITTEN REPRIMAND	
05/07/81	CP 81-160	VIOLATION OF R. AND R. (HABITUAL TARDINESS)	1 DAY SUSPENSION	
		(OVERSLEPT)		
05/07/81	CP 81-161	VIOLATION OF R. AND R. (HABITUAL TARDINESS)	3 DAY SUSPENSION	
		(OVERSLEPT)		
02/17/82	CP 82-60	HABITUAL TARDINESS	1 DAY SUSPENSION	
03/22/82	CP 82-107	EXCESSIVE FORCE	15 DAY SUSPENSION	
04/15/82	CP 82-141	VIOLATION OF RULES AND REGULATIONS (FAILURE TO	COUNSELLING	
INITIATING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:				
OFFICER INITIATING REPORT Alfonso Flores	SIGNATURE <i>Alfonso Flores</i>	RANK LT.	DATE 7/22/82	TIME 2:00 P.M.
RECOMMENDATION (TO BE MADE BY SUPERVISOR OF INITIATING OFFICER)				
SIGNATURE				
DISPOSITION:				
AFFECTED EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE				
SIGNATURE				

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
PERSONNEL INCIDENT REPORT

DISTRIBUTION CODE *1000*

A ☐

B ☐

C ☐

LOCATION OF INCIDENT Police Dept. - Northwest Substation		DATE AND TIME OF INCIDENT July 22, 1982 10:00 A.M.		CASE NO. CP 82-271
NAME OF COMPLAINANT Chief of Police - William E. Rodriguez		ADDRESS 500 East San Antonio		PHONE 561-4220
EMPLOYEE(S) INVOLVED 1. Christopher Nanos		BADGE NO. 436	BUREAU OR DIVISION Patrol - Northwest	
2.				
3.				

DETAILS OF INCIDENT:

06/04/82 CP 82-197 HABITUAL TARDINESS

TWO DAY SUSPENSIC

INITIATING OFFICER'S RECOMMENDATION:

OFFICER INITIATING REPORT Alfonso Flores	SIGNATURE <i>Alfonso Flores</i>	RANK Lt.	DATE 7/22/82	TIME 2:00 P.M.
---	------------------------------------	-------------	-----------------	-------------------

RECOMMENDATION (TO BE MADE BY SUPERVISOR OF INITIATING OFFICER)

SIGNATURE

DISPOSITION

AFFECTED EMPLOYEE'S SIGNATURE

SIGNATURE

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
DISCIPLINARY HEARING

CASE NO. CP 82-270

DATE OF HEARING August 3, 1982

TIME OF HEARING 8:30 A.M.

OFFICER(S) ACCUSED

BUREAU OR DIVISION

Christopher Nanos

Uniform - Northwest

BOARD CHAIRMAN D/C Joseph Messer

BOARD MEMBERS (Print)

1. D/C Joseph Messer

2. D/C Al Castro

3. Capt. Luis Barba

4. Capt. Gus Massey

5. Capt. Clay Collier

6. Alternate Capt. Dennis Paul Wersich

7. _____

RECOMMENDATIONS OF BOARD:

Joseph A. Messer
CHAIRMAN OF BOARD

DECISIONS OF DEPARTMENT HEAD:

MINUTES OR RECORDED

W. E. Spiller
CHIEF OF POLICE
W. E. Spiller

EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
DISCIPLINARY HEARING

CASE NO.: CP 82-270
CP 82-271

DATE OF HEARING: August 3, 1982

TIME OF HEARING: 8:30 A.M.

OFFICER(S) ACCUSED

BUREAU OR DIVISION

Christopher Nanos

Uniform - Northwest

WITNESSES:

1. Officer Russell Vincent 8:30 A.M.
2. Officer Hector Silva 8:30 A.M.
3. Officer Steve Dyess 9:00 A.M.
4. Officer Fernando Palacio 9:00 A.M.
5. Sgt. Jesus Ortega 9:30 A.M.
6. Sgt. William Buonvino 9:30 A.M.
7. _____
8. _____
9. _____
10. _____

El Paso Police Department
Internal Affairs Division

Allegation Consistent Inefficiency Case # CP 82-271
Complainant Chief of Police
Address El Paso Police Department

Date 8/2/82 Time 2:00 P.M. Re:

Today August 3, 1982, at 8:30 A.M., a disciplinary hearing was convened for the purpose of determining administrative action against officer Christopher G. Nanos on the charge of consistent inefficiency, as documented in Internal Affairs Case # CP 82-271.

The five member board unanimously voted that Officer Christopher G. Nanos be terminated from the El Paso Police service under Article XV, Section 3(g) of the Civil Service Rules and Regulations.

Disposition:

Officer Christopher G. Nanos voluntarily resigned in lieu of termination.

This case was heard in conjunction with Insubordination, Case # CP 82-270.

IA 202

JS

Investigating Officer (s)

August 3, 1982

William E. Rodriguez
Chief of Police
El Paso Police Department
500 E. San Antonio Street
El Paso, Texas 79999


Dear Sir:

Please accept this letter tendering my resignation from the El Paso Police Department to be effective immediately on this date, August 3, 1982.

At this time, I also would like to request refund of my pension contributions. I entered service with the Department on February 2, 1976.

Thank you for your consideration.

Respectfully,




Chris G. Nanos
Police Officer

1c1

RECEIVED
EL PASO POLICE DEPARTMENT
AUG 10 1982

COB - General Contact Form

05/06/2026 1:41 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Norman

Last Name Baughman

Email

Address 8330 N. La Oesta Ave

City Tucson

State AZ

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Vacate the Sheriffs position

Your Message

Sheriff Nanos has been a failure for the citizens of Pima County and the Sheriff's department.
Please vote to vacate the office and replace him with a competent leader that can start to rebuild the department and serve the citizens of Pima county rather than just himself.
Thank you,
N.B. Baughman

Would you like a response? Yes

MAY 06 2026 04:29 PM CLK OF BO

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-6-26 *dfb*

CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas



The Honorable Dennis DeConcini
United States Senator, Retired

4-D Properties
2870 N Swan Rd. #100
Tucson, AZ 85712
Tel: 520-325-9600
Fax: 520-377-9744

2002 E. Lee Street
Tucson, AZ 85719
Fax: [REDACTED]
Mobile: [REDACTED]

May 8, 2026

Board of Supervisors
Pima County
33 N. Stone Avenue
11th Floor
Tucson, AZ 85701-1207

Dear Board of Supervisors:

I have known Chris Nanos, our Pima County Sheriff for many, many years. He is a hard working, professional and highly skilled law enforcement officer. Unfortunately he has found himself in the middle of a national case that occurred under his tenure in office with no warning. I believe he has comported himself in a diligent and professional manner during all of the media attention.

Having served as your former Pima County Prosecutor and United States Senator I know the difficulties that can result from a high profile investigation. I can think of no one more qualified to undertake this role than our Sheriff, Chris Nanos. He is decent, caring and respectful in all areas.

Recently I was the subject of a perpetrator who stalked me and made my life very uncomfortable and scary. Sheriff Nanos worked that case very successfully and I could not have been more pleased with the outcome. He was always there to take a call and assign a very skilled investigator to the case.

I cannot say enough good things about our Sheriff Nanos. I hope the Board will see through all of the media hype and support his continued fine service to our community. He is truly an outstanding law enforcement officer and leader.

Please grant him the dignity and respect that he deserves during your review of his tenure.

Sincerely,

Dennis DeConcini

Dennis DeConcini
United States Senator, Ret.

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR


DATE 5-8-26 dld

CC: PCSD, caroline
Vargas

MAY 08 2026 04:10 PM CLK OF PD

COB - General Contact Form

05/08/2026 4:33 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Joseph

Last Name Cameron

Email

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

I'm aware that the Board has been receiving a form letter sent by various people asking for the Board to take action against the sheriff of Pima County.

As a PCSD member for almost 40 years I've seen all manner of issues, including matters of law enforcement and public safety and department administration and management.

Since 2021 this sheriff has consistently fought for the resources, manpower, and technology to deliver public safety across a challenging landscape of needs. Additionally he has led the charge for competitive compensation in a tough marketplace where certified peace officers have mobility.

Coming under fire by detractors and problematic, disgruntled employees and facing down demands for external investigations to examine issues which have already been addressed is a distraction and a waste of time for the Board and the sheriff.

The separation of powers provides the sheriff autonomy in the execution of duties and from dealing with the day-to-day demands to the complexities of a kidnapping/disappearance being broadcast nationally. This sheriff has continued to apply resources practically and responsibly. In the case of the present Guthrie investigation, the sheriff has worked cooperatively with federal authorities and other experts across sectors to make progress in the investigation—contrary to the false reporting of tabloids and individuals who have flat lied in their ill-informed commentary.

I urge the Board to guard against the influence of hysteria and calculated political propaganda and view these issues with cold precision. Sheriff Nanos has executed the office of sheriff to great effect and the statistics bear that out. The ongoing efforts in the Guthrie investigation are steady and measured while reflecting the urgency we all feel to reach a resolution.

The Board should focus on how to find funding and other supports for public safety and ignore the bickering and misguided demands of a noisy, misinformed few.

Would you like a response?

No

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-11-26 del
CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas

PCY 05-25-2026 04:56 PM CLK OF BO

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Jesus

Last Name Lopez

Email

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Public Comment for Board of Supervisors Meeting — Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

Dear Chair and Members of the Board,

After nearly 38 years with the Sheriff's Department, I have seen this agency through many difficult periods. I have served under multiple Sheriffs, worked through changing expectations, and seen firsthand what steady leadership requires. Based on that experience, I am writing in support of Sheriff Chris Nanos. Leadership is not proven through headlines, interviews, or political commentary. It is proven by making difficult decisions, supporting employees, and keeping the department focused on its mission.

That mission is public safety. It does not change because of politics, media attention, or criticism.

Sheriff Nanos answered the Board's questions. Whether someone agrees with every answer or not, those responses should be reviewed fairly and in context. I also question why some of these topics were elevated in the way they were, especially when they appear to rely on selective information, past allegations, or issues that do not tell the full story of the department today. Those concerns should be reviewed carefully, but they should also be viewed in the full context of the department's work today.

I am concerned that past employment allegations are being given more weight than Sheriff Nanos' decades of service, experience, and leadership. His record should not be reduced to selective allegations or politically amplified claims. It should be considered in full.

As someone of Mexican descent, I do not take immigration issues lightly, and I understand the community's concerns. I support Sheriff Nanos' approach of keeping local law enforcement focused on public safety while recognizing that immigration enforcement is primarily a federal responsibility. That approach protects the community, helps maintain trust, and keeps the Sheriff's Department focused on its core mission.

Oversight is appropriate and necessary, but it should be fair, responsible, and based on the full picture. I am concerned that much of the public discussion appears to be shaped by one Board member's repeated media appearances, use of outside platforms, and selective presentation of information. Decisions of this importance should not be driven by one perspective or a one-sided public narrative.

The Guthrie case is an active and serious investigation. It involves real people, real grief, and an active search for answers. It should be handled with care and should not be used as part of a public narrative against the sheriff or the department.

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-11-26 
CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas

MAY 11 26 AM 11:13 PC CLK OF BD 

The professionals working that case are focused on finding answers. Public speculation, political framing, or comments from people without direct involvement do not help the investigation or the public. They create confusion and risk undermining confidence in the work being done.

A tragedy of this magnitude deserves care, restraint, and respect for the people most directly affected. It should not be turned into a vehicle for personal grievances or political agendas.

More broadly, this raises serious concerns about balance, process, and public trust. No single voice represents the entire community, and no single perspective should drive decisions of this importance. The Sheriff was elected by the voters, and that should matter. The will of the voters should not be undermined by selective narratives, political pressure, or personal grievance.

I respectfully ask the Board to keep its focus on what matters most: the community, the employees doing the work, and the resources needed to serve the public. I also urge the Board to move forward in a way that is fair, measured, and respectful of the voters' decision.

For these reasons, I support Sheriff Chris Nanos' continued leadership of the Pima County Sheriff's Department.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Respectfully,

Would you like a response?

No

Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Julia

Last Name Gates

Email

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Support for Sheriff Nanos

Your Message

To: Pima County Board of Supervisors
Re: Support of Sheriff Nanos

I have been blessed beyond measure to be PCSD Proud with nearly 32 years of service to the Pima County Sheriff's Department. I have worked in several capacities within three different bureaus that include Corrections, Administration, and Executive functions. During my tenure, I have witnessed first-hand the professionalism and character of my boss and Sheriff, Chris Nanos. In innumerable routine and complex situations, he has consistently chosen to respond with empathy and heart. He has always believed in opening his doors to every individual in need, whether a supporter or not, offering his time and attention with empathy and compassion, fairness and equity. He has demonstrated tremendous courage when many would likely choose to walk away. Resurrecting records from 45 plus years ago in an attempt to base judgement on today's events is unfair and unjust. I'm sure that many of his life experiences gave value and growth to become the man he is today. His distinguished career serving the citizens of Pima County, Arizona is a positive reinforcement of this maturity and should be given adequate notice.

Because of these tremendous traits, I feel compelled to address this Board to say enough is enough. Attempting to assassinate the character of this man in a very public forum, under the guise of questioning standards and behaviors already demonstrated and supported by Pima County's own processes, displays little regard towards Sheriff Nanos as the head of a large law enforcement agency, a human being, family man, husband, uncle, brother, or friend.

The Sheriff's Department today is made up of nearly 1,500 employees. The few vocal, angry, disgruntled members – many with demonstrable ulterior motives - do not represent the majority opinion of where the true confidence lies with our Sheriff. This smear campaign continues to interfere with an elected official's office and duties. Every elected official within the State of Arizona should be outraged at the over-reach of power being pursued and lies being spewed to change the wills of the voters and the very heart of the democratic process. This Board agenda item is a disservice to the community and to the men and women that serve the Sheriff's Department with honor. The Board has an obligation to be objective and base their conclusion of this matter with substantiated facts, not the fiction that suits their narrative or personal crusades. The questions have been answered. It's now time to get back to work and stop the bullying.

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-11-26 *don*

CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas


MAY 11 2026 11:13 PC CLK OF ED *don*

Would you like a response?

Yes

COB - General Contact Form

05/11/2026 8:04 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Gilbert
Last Name Dominguez
Email [REDACTED]
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: ...In support of Sheriff Chris Nanos

Your Message

I write to support Sheriff Chris Nanos. His 40+ years of exemplary service to Pima County and its voters garners my support. In the face of daily law enforcement challenges, I have seen, first hand, the Sheriff's commitment to public safety. In the face of political challenges, I again, first hand, have seen the Sheriff's unwavering commitment to the voters of Pima County.

Any vote to vacate/remove the Sheriff would be a vote to disenfranchise voters in Pima County. Sheriff's Department labor organizations would have you believe different, but the labor vs. management fight is eons old, and their disingenuous cries and claims are no surprise to me.

No matter any margin of victory attributed to the Sheriff's term, no matter any work history pre dating the background investigation and hiring of a young Chris Nanos in the early 1980s, and no matter the lies and half truths about budget management and leadership, I support Sheriff Chris Nanos.

Would you like a response? No


11-26-11 14:00 CLK DEB

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5-11-26 *dlal*
CC: PCSD, caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

05/12/2026 2:16 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Dennis

Last Name Klaprod

Email

Phone

Please type the topic or subject of your message: sheriff Chris Nanos

Your Message

Hello Board Members

Please don't be pressured by this YouTubers Republican organized recall. They probably won't stop with the elected Sheriff, next will be many other Tucson officials to turn Arizona Democrat blue for president Trump.

*This is my opinion.

Thank you for your attention to this matter. I am a Tucson local.

Would you like a response? Yes

MAY 12 25 08 06 PM 2026
EM

CLERK'S NOTE:

COPY TO SUPERVISORS


COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5/12/26 EM

CC: PCSD, Caroline Vargas

COB - General Contact Form

05/12/2026 2:00 AM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name Diana
Last Name Klaprod
Email [REDACTED]
Address Anonymous
City Tucson
State AZ
Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Sheriff Chris Nanos Recall

Your Message
Regarding Recall. In my opinion many many Republican YouTubers from all over the country with many subscribers pressuring our Sheriff Nanos to resign or be fired. In my opinion it is to have Republicans replace our elected Sheriff to have our voting records turned over to President Trump and possibly to turn AZ red again. Again in my opinion, it is a highly organized sadly disguised as 'Justice for Nancy Guthrie'. I am a local resident now. I was a resident a few years ago when California Governor Newson endured TWO failed recalls using up taxpayer money just like this recall for Sheriff Nanos. I'm asking please don't be persuaded by this movement, let him finish the office he was elected to do.
Thank you!


Would you like a response? Yes

05/12/2026 2:00 AM
EM

CLERK'S NOTE:
COPY TO SUPERVISORS
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

DATE 5/12/26 EM
cc: PCSD, Caroline Vargas

03/22/2026 7:49 PM (MST)



Contact Clerk of the Board

Please use the form below to tell us how we can be of assistance.

****Fields marked with an asterisk (*) are required and must be completed before submitting.****

First Name	Debbie
------------	--------

Last Name	Hvidsten
-----------	----------

Email 

Address 13725 E Weiers St

City	Vail
1	1
2	2
3	3
4	4
5	5
6	6
7	7
8	8
9	9
10	10
11	11
12	12
13	13
14	14
15	15
16	16
17	17
18	18
19	19
20	20
21	21
22	22
23	23
24	24
25	25
26	26
27	27
28	28
29	29
30	30
31	31
32	32
33	33
34	34
35	35
36	36
37	37
38	38
39	39
40	40
41	41
42	42
43	43
44	44
45	45
46	46
47	47
48	48
49	49
50	50
51	51
52	52
53	53
54	54
55	55
56	56
57	57
58	58
59	59
60	60
61	61
62	62
63	63
64	64
65	65
66	66
67	67
68	68
69	69
70	70
71	71
72	72
73	73
74	74
75	75
76	76
77	77
78	78
79	79
80	80
81	81
82	82
83	83
84	84
85	85
86	86
87	87
88	88
89	89
90	90
91	91
92	92
93	93
94	94
95	95
96	96
97	97
98	98
99	99
100	100

State	AZ
-------	----

ZIP Code 85641

Phone [REDACTED]

Please type the topic or subject of your message: Support Recall of Sheriff Nanos

Your Message I support the recall of Chris Nanos. Please tell me where I can sign the petition.

Would you like a response? Yes

007-10691-1000