

Melissa Whitney

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, July 10, 2023 10:20 PM
To: Adelita Grijalva; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy; Elections; Brian Bickel; Arthur Kerschen; 'THOMAS BEREZNY'; [REDACTED]
Cc: COB_mail; Vanessa Y. Figueroa
Subject: 7/11/2023 Pima BOS agenda item #4

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please add my comment into official record.

Addendum Item #4: Election Integrity Commission Annual Report 2022

The Election Integrity Commission is being completely ignored by you, the Pima County Supervisors, even though you charged them with recommending solutions to you. Supposedly they have complete oversight in elections.

Shameful, you do not value their experience and their recommendations. Instead, you completely ignore them.

Is the EIC ornamental to give the appearance of scrutiny and supposed compliance?

Did you heed any recommendations about a contract with Runbeck?

Tuesday, 6/20/23 Agenda item #57 Runbeck.

1. Runbeck's machines and devices, chips, software, and programs; specifically, adjudication program and signature verification software used in Arizona's elections should also have to comply with ARS 16-442b and the Help America Vote Act of 2002.

Runbeck contract included a license for automatic signature recognition software for \$15,000.

That is very troubling! That is the recorders' job! Why would taxpayers get charged twice?

I do not consent to outsource her major job requirement. This is double taxation!

In addition, the contract mentions "certificate" at least 5 times:

- Request for Taxpayer ID & Certification
- Small Business enterprise certification
- Bid/Offer Certification
- Israel Boycott Certification
- Forced Labor of Ethnic Uyghurs certification

However, no mention of a certificate of accreditation requirement for the testing labs ProV&V,

and SLI Compliance. They should be testing Runbeck's machines and devices, software, and chips per ARS 16-442b or HAVA, if used in Arizona's elections. Runbeck should have to comply just like every County Election department must do if they are to be considered for use in elections.

2. Runbeck must comply with SCR 1037 requirements:

That no voting system or component or subcomponent of a voting system or component, including firmware software or hardware, assemblies and subassemblies with integrated circuits or on which any firmware or software operates, **may be used or purchased** as the primary method for casting, recording and tabulating ballots used in any election held in this state for federal office **unless:**

1. All components have been designed, manufactured, integrated and assembled in the United States from trusted suppliers, using trusted processes accredited by the Defense Microelectronics Activity as prescribed by the United States Department of Defense; and
2. The source code used in any computerized voting machine for federal elections is made available to the public; and
3. The ballot images and system log files from each tabulator are recorded on a secure write-once, read-many media with clear chain of custody and posted on the Secretary of State's website free of charge to the public within twenty-four hours after the close of the polls.

In summary, Runbeck shall adhere to State and Federal laws, if their machines, devices, programs, software and chips are being used in this State for elections. Runbeck must comply with ARS 16-442b and the Help America Vote Act as well as SCR1037. Trust must be earned. Otherwise, the process should be sent out for bid, to break up this unlawful monopoly.

Gisela Aaron
Pima County, AZ