

**Rosy Millan**

---

**From:** S. Fickes [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 14, 2024 7:08 AM  
**To:** COB\_mail  
**Subject:** 07-16-24 Pima County Board of Supervisors Meeting

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am Sharon Fickes, legal citizen of Pima County Contact [REDACTED]  
Following are my comments for the 07-16-24 Board of Supervisors Meeting

**Item #26 Burgess & Niple Inc Pima County Safe Streets for All \$1,380.959.77/2 year term CT-TR-24-430**  
BOS accepted the grant funds 6.20.23. "Develop a comprehensive, equity focused, and community informed safety action plan". That's a lot of buzz words to explain you are spending over \$1MIL in 2 years to do a STUDY. **VOTE NO**

**God Bless America**  
Sharon Fickes  
[REDACTED]

JUL 15 24 09:01 PM CLK OF SD  
RA

Rosy Millan

**From:** Jane Orient [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 14, 2024 4:39 PM  
**To:** District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB\_mail  
**Subject:** agenda items 9, 10, 19, 26

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

(9) The BoS should stop trampling on our right to effective self defense in this increasingly crime-ridden city.

It should NOT "protect" the public health authority when it is destroying businesses and citizens' lives with arbitrary, unscientific, ineffective requirements asserted to "stop the spread"

and mandating "vaccines" that are actually causing serious harm.

(10) It is summer, and it is hot in Tucson. The BoS should not adopt any measures that increase the cost or reduce the reliability of electricity or restrict freedom of movement on the unjustified pretext that this might decrease carbon dioxide emissions and thereby decrease temperatures in the future.

(19) Stop supporting NGOs such as Catholic Social Services that are increasing the flow of unvetted migrants

(26) Stop the use of data collection to support a "green" agenda to keep people from moving freely. We want nothing to do with this or any aspect of Agenda 2030.

--

Jane M. Orient, MD

[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

JUL 15 2024 09:00 POC/CLK/EFB  
WJ  
RJA

Rosy Millan

**From:** steve and gail ault [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, July 14, 2024 3:26 PM  
**To:** COB\_mail; District1; District2; District3; District4; District5  
**Subject:** 7-16-24 Board Of Supervisors Meeting

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please include the following comment on the addendum for the 7/16/24 Board of Supervisors Meeting. Thank you.

Not sure I've made my opinion known on the agenda statement "PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED UP TO 3 MINUTES" but let me say that the imposition of "rules" that seem to limit the ability of citizens to express their heartfelt opinions IS NOT what the Board of Supervisors should be mandating!!!

Agenda  
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

10. Extreme Heat Mitigation and Response  
The agenda goes on to say "No state statute or regulation addressing the mitigation of heat-related illness injuries in the workplace is currently in effect within the State of Arizona."  
Stop this silliness. First, we citizens of Arizona are aware of the heat!! We take precautions. No county agency can or should monitor how citizens react. Second, we hope the adults working for the County have brains and know the above. This is mission creep to the largest extent and the bureaucracy required to enforce such silliness far outweighs the benefits. I wonder how much it costs just to research and prepare the paperwork attached to this agenda. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

HUMAN RESOURCES  
13. Classification/Compensation—The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department from within its current budget.  
While I certainly question the necessity of the position at all, IF and I repeat IF, this is a necessary addition, eliminate the ability to receive any paid overtime. This should also be added to many currently existing positions.  
**IF YOU DON'T VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM, ADJUST IT**

Addendum 1  
EXECUTIVE SESSION  
2. Legal advice and discussion regarding the possible purchase of downtown properties.  
While Pima County encompasses the City of Tucson, purchases of property should remain outside the City limits. **THINK CAREFULLY WHEN SPENDING TAXPAYER FUNDS**

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

JUL 15 2 40 PM '24

5. Constable, Justice Precinct 4—Discussion/Direction/Action regarding whether to proceed with the appointment to fill the vacancy of the position of Constable, Justice Precinct 4.

Military members are well aware of the definition of RIF. The county should be pursuing the "reduction in force" mantra due to the inability to have a reasonable budget. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

But thanks to the Sheriff's Department, the Recorder's Office and Behavioral Health, all of which lowered their May expenditure forecasts compared to the February Forecast helping curb our budget woes.

#### FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

19. Catholic Community Services of SO AZ, Inc, to provide a cooperative agreement for provision of humanitarian services, no cost.

No cost? This replaces a previous cooperative agreement with some funding by FEMA for CCS humanitarian services (read this: housing illegals) at the Ajo Way facility which is owned by the County. And it is at a cost to taxpayers - don't try to fool us. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

#### PROCUREMENT

26. Burgess & Niple, Inc., to provide for Pima County Safe Streets for All: Creating a Culture of Safety for our Community Project, U.S. DOT FHWA SS4A Grant Fund, contract amount \$1,380,959.77/2 year term (CT-TR-24-430) Administering Department: Transportation

AGAIN, Stop this silliness. Just because we can receive a grant doesn't mean it's a viable issue - and research into what it will cost the County to accept this grant should be undertaken. Aren't there already County agencies working on protecting the safety of our citizens? Will they be disbanded? **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

Gail Ault



Pima County resident since 2003