

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:05 a.m. on Tuesday, January 2, 2018. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Richard Elías, Vice Chair
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair
Ally Miller, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
Charles Lopiccolo, Sergeant at Arms

1. RIPARIAN HABITAT MITIGATION

Staff requests approval of a Riparian Habitat Mitigation Plan and In-Lieu Fee Proposal in the amount of \$2,780.20 for property located at 4900 North Craycroft Road, located within Regulated Riparian Habitat classified as Xeroriparian Class C Habitat. (District 1)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. DIABLO VILLAGE (2018) DEVELOPMENT AGREEMENT AND SALES AGREEMENT

- A. Staff recommends approval of and enter into the Development Agreement between Pima County, Pima County Flood Control District and Diablo Partners, L.L.C., for the construction of public roads, public drainage way, "Diablo Channel" and conveyance of the basin property at Diablo Village.
- B. Staff recommends approval of and enter into a Sales Agreement with Diablo Partners, L.L.C., for the sale of surplus real property.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Elías to approve entering into a Development Agreement and a Sales Agreement with Diablo Partners, L.L.C. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller stated that back in 2007, the developer, Diablo Partners, L.L.C., had conveyed approximately 118 acres to Pima County in lieu of \$1.5 million owed for taxes and fees. She indicated that the developer was requesting that the County convey back four acres that were excavated by the developer and credit them \$29,250.00, the cost of the excavation, which would be applied towards the appraised value of \$25,000.00 and the remaining balance of \$4,250.00 could be

used to pay any fees. She expressed concern that the County had paid once for the four acres and were now giving it back to Diablo Partners, L.L.C., to build a basin. She inquired whether there were plans to build a park on the remaining 114 acres.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that the two roadways and the conveyance back of the right-of-way were included on the original plat and would be the primary access points to a new regional park. He added that the developer would build the roadways at his own cost and dedicate the roads back to the County after they were improved.

Supervisor Miller expressed her objection to this item by indicating that the developers were having a park built free of charge, while other developers had to pay, and that she felt these developers were receiving preferential treatment.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Miller voted "Nay."

3. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Library District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:05 a.m. on Tuesday, January 2, 2018. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Richard Elías, Vice Chair
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair
Ally Miller, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
Charles Lopiccolo, Sergeant at Arms

1. ACCEPTANCE – LIBRARY

The Institute of Museum and Library Services, to provide for the Southwest Books of the Year 2017 Program, Library Services and Technology Act Fund, \$4,500.00 (GTAW 18-39)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:05 a.m. on Tuesday, January 2, 2018. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Richard Elías, Vice Chair
Ramón Valadez, Acting Chair
Ally Miller, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
Charles Lopiccio, Sergeant at Arms

1. **INVOCATION**

The invocation was given by Reverend Peter Helman, St. Philip's in the Hills Episcopal Church.

2. **PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE**

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. **POINTS OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE**

Chair Bronson offered her condolences to Mayor Rothschild and his family on the passing of the Mayor's father.

4. **PAUSE 4 PAWS**

Jan Leshner, Chief Deputy County Administrator, expressed her gratitude to those who attended the grand opening of the new Pima County Animal Care Facility and she invited the community to visit.

5. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Roger Score addressed the Board regarding World View's potential for accidents and injuries, and taxpayers' liability.

Albert Lannon stated that he would be lodging a formal complaint pursuant to the Pima County Regulatory Bill of Rights regarding the County Administrator's disregard for Resolution No. 2007-343. Mr. Lannon expressed his opposition to I-11.

Geri Ottoboni addressed the Board regarding the Arizona Daily Independent's World View article, asked that the Board investigate the explosion at World View, and commented on taxpayer liability.

Keith Van Heyningen expressed his concerns regarding corruption, roads and World View.

Christopher Cole, Chairman, Pima County Libertarian Party, addressed the Board regarding World View and requested that the Board fix the roads.

Russel Trask addressed the Board regarding monetary spending.

Edward Cizek spoke to the Board regarding the County Attorney's Office and the Sheriff Department's budget expenditures, the inequality of prison sentences and the need to fix County roads.

Michael McGrath, Counsel for the Rialto Theatre Foundation, addressed the Board regarding the Rialto Theatre's assessed 2016 and 2017 taxes and thanked the Board for their willingness to reconsider the exemption requests.

Brian Bickel addressed the Board regarding funding for road repairs. He asked the Board to include ongoing road repairs in the budget instead of adding new infrastructure.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

6. Discussion/Action

- A. Discussion/action regarding whether to waive the attorney-client privilege regarding the Pima County Attorney's Office's legal advice regarding the Rialto Theatre Foundation's Petition for Waiver of Real Property Taxes for tax years 2016 and 2017 for Parcel Nos. 117-06-168C and 117-06-177B under A.R.S. §42-11153(B).

It was moved by Supervisor Elías and seconded by Chair Bronson to waive the attorney-client privilege. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller asked whether waiving the attorney-client privilege included the Executive Session discussion.

Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, explained that the waiver of the attorney-client privilege would allow the Board to discuss the subject in public, but it did not waive the privilege of what was discussed in Executive Session, which was protected by statute.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

- B. If the privilege is waived, discussion regarding the Pima County Attorney's Office's legal advice regarding the Rialto Theatre Foundation's Petition for Waiver of Real Property Taxes for tax years 2016 and 2017 for Parcel Nos. 117-06-168C and 117-06-177B under A.R.S. §42-11153(B).

Chair Bronson stated that she had requested reconsideration of this item due to conflicting information received from the Rialto Theatre Foundation and the County Assessor. She inquired if there was a remedy to this request that did not involve action by the Board of Supervisors.

Mr. Flagg responded that the Error Correction Statutes provided other means of challenging the 2016 and 2017 exemption determinations, and it did not require that it be brought before the Board. He indicated that this was not his area of expertise, but believed that the process began with the Assessor's Office. He indicated that the process for Rialto Theatre Foundation was based on a differing statute that stated the Board's ability to forgive their failure to comply with statutory requirements in seeking an exemption.

Supervisor Miller asked that the County Attorney provide an explanation of the Error Correction Statute.

Mr. Flagg reiterated that this was not his area of expertise. He stated that the Error Correction process allowed the taxpayer to go back to prior tax years, under certain circumstances, and correct past errors on the tax rolls.

Supervisor Christy stated there had been similar situations where the County Assessor had not recognized the Board's authority to allow for exemptions. He inquired whether the County Attorney anticipated any protests from the Assessor's Office if the Board was to grant the Rialto Theatre Foundation's petition for waiver.

Mr. Flagg responded that he could not speak for the Assessor; however, it was his understanding that the Assessor's position was that only the Assessor could determine whether a property is exempt under statute 42-11153. He indicated that the argument, as he understood it, was that the taxpayer had to be exempt in order to have the waiver of the statutory process forgiven. He stated that it was the Assessor's interpretation of the statute that the Board cannot determine whether a property is exempt and that that determination would have to be made by the Assessor.

Chair Bronson asked whether there were other interpretations that would allow the Board to grant the petition of waiver and if there was a potential for litigation.

Mr. Flagg responded there was always a possibility of litigation and indicated that he could not respond on whether or not the Assessor would have any legal standing to file a lawsuit. He added that there were no court rulings that addressed this particular statute.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the status of the taxes if the waiver was granted and litigation ensued.

Mr. Flagg responded that in most cases the taxes would need to be paid while the case was being litigated. He added that this was a broad principle across Title §42. He also indicated that if the petition was not granted, it was possible that Rialto Theatre Foundation could find another avenue to successfully challenge the exemption determination.

Chair Bronson inquired whether the Rialto Theatre Foundation could issue a stay.

Mr. Flagg indicated that he was unaware of whether or not the Error Correction Statutes required payment of the taxes while in litigation; but, that taxes generally needed to be paid during challenges, per Title §42, and taxes would be refunded if the litigation was successful.

Supervisor Miller asked if the Rialto Theatre Foundation had received the exemption status in the past and why this had suddenly become an issue.

Chair Bronson responded that the County Assessor's Office had determined that the status of Rialto Theatre Foundation needed to be changed.

Michael McGrath, Counsel for the Rialto Theatre Foundation, indicated that the 501(c)3 property had been acquired in June 2015, and documentation had been submitted to the Assessor's Office. Prior to that time the foundation had received exemption status consistently since 2004 for ad valorem personal property taxes. He stated that documents had been repeatedly submitted to the County showing the Foundation's 501(c)3 status under the Statute and that the Board of Supervisors did not need to determine whether the Rialto Theatre Foundation was exempt but rather if it was entitled to a waiver of the taxes. He added that the Board would need to determine if the Rialto Theatre Foundation had 501(c)3 status, which had never been in dispute before. He stated that per his interpretation of the Error Correction Statute, if the Board declined the petition for waiver, Rialto Theatre Foundation would have to pay the \$40,000 in taxes and then proceed with litigation. However, if the Board granted the petition for waiver, pursuant to Subsection B of the Statute, Rialto Theatre Foundation would not have to pay the taxes. He stated that his hope was that the Assessor would not consider litigation if the Board approved the petition for waiver.

Supervisor Miller inquired what would happen next year if the Board decided to grant the waiver.

Mr. McGrath responded that the Foundation planned on filing a timely exemption application with the County Assessor's Office next week for the 2018 taxes based on the fact that Rialto Theatre Foundation was a 501(c)3 and a theater.

Supervisor Miller inquired whether the Assessor's dispute for the waiver of the taxes was based on the paperwork not being filed in a timely manner.

Mr. McGrath responded that the Rialto Theatre Foundation had filed the documentation with the Board of Supervisors in a timely manner, but that the Assessor had maintained the Foundation did not own the theater and that the theater was not being used for an exempt purpose. He stated the theater had been a 501(c)3 for many years and had always been used as per the theatrical, artistic and dance provisions as provided by the state legislature.

Supervisor Miller stated that according to the Assessor, the Rialto Theatre Foundation had not filed their request for exemption in a timely manner with the Assessor's Office.

Mr. McGrath explained under Provision A of the Statute, the Rialto Theatre Foundation had not filed in a timely manner with the Assessor's Office, but under Provision B, Rialto Theatre Foundation had filed with the Board of Supervisors in a timely manner for both 2016 and 2017. He added that documentation from the Assessor's Office to the Board stated that the Rialto Theatre Foundation hadn't filed and were not entitled to the exemption. He added that the Rialto Theatre Foundation owned the theater and that the Assessor had been provided the deed, as well as documentation proving they were a 501(c)3.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

7. **Request for Reconsideration - District 3**

On December 12, 2017, the Board of Supervisors denied the following:

- A. **Petition for Waiver of Real Property Taxes - Rialto Theatre Foundation**
Rialto Theatre Foundation has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for a Waiver of Real Property Taxes for tax year 2017 for Parcel Nos. 117-06-168C and 117-06-177B under A.R.S. §42-11153(B).

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to deny the petition.

- B. **Petition for Waiver of Real Property Taxes - Rialto Theatre Foundation**
Rialto Theatre Foundation has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for a Waiver of Real Property Taxes for tax year 2016 for Parcel Nos. 117-06-168C and 117-06-177B under A.R.S. §42-11153(B).

It was moved by Supervisor Elías and seconded by Chair Bronson to deny the petition. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Miller to approve the request for reconsideration. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Elías indicated that due to the complicated nature of this issue the item should be continued, Counsel with expertise in taxes should be made available and staff should work towards a resolution in the interim. He added that further discussion was needed to avoid any potential problems in the future.

Chair Bronson commented that this problem was due to conflicting statutes that lacked clarity. She indicated that one statute authorized the Board to approve waivers for taxes while the other maintained only the Assessor had authority.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

Supervisor Elías recommended that the item be continued to the February 20, 2018, Board of Supervisors meeting. He also added the following direction: a meeting be held between the County Attorney's Office, the Assessor's Office and the Rialto Theatre Foundation to discuss a possible resolution. He added, if necessary, an Executive Session item be included at that meeting.

Supervisor Valadez inquired whether the County Attorney or outside counsel would be representing the Assessor.

Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded that in order to avoid any conflicts, the County Attorney's Office would represent the Board and outside counsel would represent the Assessor's Office.

Supervisor Christy commented that this would be a good opportunity to resolve any issues of jurisdiction between the Board of Supervisors and the Assessor regarding authority to grant waivers. He then inquired whether the Rialto Theatre Foundation had any concerns with delaying the decision.

Michael McGrath, Counsel for the Rialto Theatre Foundation, indicated that he understood and would be happy to do what was needed to resolve the matter.

Supervisor Miller sought clarification of the Board's authority whether they could grant the exemption or waive the property taxes.

Chair Bronson responded that the Board had the authority grant the waiver of property taxes, but did not have the authority to grant the exemptions. She reiterated that the problem was due to the ambiguity of the two statutes and differing legal interpretations.

Supervisor Elías commented that a diplomatic approach was needed in this matter.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue this item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of February 20, 2018.

HUMAN RESOURCES

8. Classification/Compensation

The Sheriff's Department requests approval to create the following new classifications, associated costs will be borne by the department from within its current budget:

Class Code/Class Title/ Grade Code (Range)/ EEO Code/ FLSA Code

3232/ Fingerprint Technician-Senior/ 33 (\$32,302-\$47,632)/ 6/ NE*

3236/ Forensic Technician-Senior/ 41 (\$38,209-\$56,472)/ 6/ NE*

*NE = Not Exempt (paid overtime)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

ASSESSOR

9. Distribution of State of Arizona Highway Property Rent Funds

Pursuant to A.R.S. §28-7094(F), staff recommends approval for distribution of State of Arizona Highway Property Rent Funds for the months of July 1, 2015 through June 30, 2017, in the amount of \$9,294.34.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

10. The Board of Supervisors on December 12, 2017, continued the following:

Contract

Kathryn Kellner, d.b.a. Kellner Studio, Amendment No. 3, to provide presentation skills and communication strategies consultant services and extend contract term to 12/31/18, RICO Fund, contract amount \$30,000.00 (CT-PCA-15-141)

Chair Bronson asked that this item be continued until outside counsel could be retained.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Miller to continue this item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of January 16, 2018. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Valadez inquired whether this delay would cause any hardship on the County Attorney's Office.

Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded that it would not cause a hardship for the department.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

ATTRACTIONS AND TOURISM

11. Fair Horse Racing Meet 2018

Staff recommends approval of up to six days of County Fair Racing at Rillito Race Track in 2018 or other horse race tracks if there is no racing at Rillito Race Track, subject to final approval by the Arizona Department of Racing.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

12. Diablo Village (2018) Development Agreement

Staff recommends approval of and enter into the Development Agreement between Pima County, Pima County Flood Control District and Diablo Partners, L.L.C., for the construction of public roads, public drainage way, "Diablo Channel" and conveyance of the basin property at Diablo Village.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Elías to approve entering into a Development Agreement and a Sales Agreement with Diablo Partners, L.L.C. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller stated that back in 2007, the developer, Diablo Partners, L.L.C., had conveyed approximately 118 acres to Pima County in lieu of \$1.5 million owed for taxes and fees. She indicated that the developer was requesting that the County convey back four acres that were excavated by the developer and credit them \$29,250.00, the cost of the excavation, which would be applied towards the appraised value of \$25,000.00 and the remaining balance of \$4,250.00 could be used to pay any fees. She expressed concern that the County had paid once for the four acres and were now giving it back to Diablo Partners, L.L.C., to build a basin. She inquired whether there were plans to build a park on the remaining 114 acres.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that the two roadways and the conveyance back of the right-of-way were included on the original plat and would be the primary access points to a new regional park. He added that the developer would build the roadways at his own cost and dedicate the roads back to the County after they were improved.

Supervisor Miller expressed her objection to this item by indicating that the developers were having a park built free of charge, while other developers had to pay, and that she felt these developers were receiving preferential treatment.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Miller voted "Nay."

13. Final Plat With Assurances

P17FP00012, Valhalla Ranch Estates, Lots 1-24, and Common Area "A" (Private Drainage and Public & Private Utilities). (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Miller voted "Nay," to approve P17FP00012.

REAL PROPERTY

14. Grant of Easement

City of Tucson, to provide a relocation of easement to accommodate a future planned development within the area at property located south of West Starr Pass Boulevard, lying within Section 20, T14S, R13E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, \$10,974.00 revenue. (District 5)

Chair Bronson stated this item required a unanimous vote by the Board of Supervisors for approval.

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

TRANSPORTATION

15. Acceptance of Project/Roadway for Maintenance

P15SC00034, Sonoran Ranch Estates II, Phase I, Lots 1-43, 53-79, 80, 105, 106 and 128. Developer: KB Homes. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

16. Acceptance of Project/Roadway for Maintenance

P1203-090, Santa Rita Ranch II, Lots 234-271 and 272-301. Developer: KB Homes (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

17. Hearing - Liquor License

12104549, Reyna Elena Duarte, Trattoria Ragazzi, 101 S. La Cañada Drive, No. 51, Green Valley, Series 12, Restaurant, New License.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

18. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

Savanna Hines, Caterpillar, Inc., 5000 W. Caterpillar Trail, Green Valley, January 8, 15 and 22, 2018 at 8:00 p.m.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Miller to close the public hearing and approve the permit. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Elías stated his unease with the fireworks permit due to the dryness of surrounding areas. He provided a friendly amendment that the fire district be contacted to see if they had any objections.

Chair Bronson accepted the amendment.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

HUMAN RESOURCES

19. Hearing - Code Text Amendment

ORDINANCE NO. 2018 - 1, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to personnel and employee matters, amending Chapter 2.20 of the Pima County Code to provide for procedures for transfer of one authorized representative to another and to make technical and conforming changes. (All Districts)

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

20. Hearing – Rezoning

P17RZ00006, WONG FAMILY L.P. - W. SUMTER DRIVE REZONING

Request of Wong Family L.P., represented by MJM Consulting, Inc., for a rezoning of approximately 77.95 acres from SR (Suburban Ranch) to the CR-4 (Mixed-Dwelling Type) zone, Parcel Codes 224-44-060A and 224-44-0710 on the south side of W. Sumter Drive, between N. Thornydale Road and N. Shannon Road. The proposed rezoning conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Medium Low Intensity Urban designation. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 7-0 (Commissioners Becker and Cook were absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 1)

1. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
2. Transportation conditions:
 - A. The developer shall dedicate 45 feet of right-of-way (ROW) for the north half of Linda Vista Boulevard. Beginning approximately 600 feet east of Thornydale Road and going east, the ROW dedication may be reduced to more fully utilize the existing road and 75 foot ROW, provided that the minimum ROW is 90 feet and the developer provides a roadway alignment that is acceptable to the Department of Transportation.
 - B. The developer shall dedicate 15 feet of right-of-way for Shannon Road as shown on the preliminary development plan.
 - C. The developer shall dedicate 45 feet of right-of-way for Thornydale Road as shown on the preliminary development plan. This dedication shall be ~~completed within 90 days of Board of Supervisors approval of the rezoning made as part of the subdivision plat, or by separate instrument within 45 days upon request by Pima County, if such a request is made prior to the subdivision plat being recorded.~~
 - D. ~~The developer shall dedicate 40 feet of right-of-way for Sumter Road from the intersection of Shannon Road to the west 250 feet~~ right-of-way for Sumter Drive in the amount of 40 feet from the Shannon Road intersection (i.e. the westerly edge of the future 90-foot Shannon Road right-of-way) westerly for 150 feet and 20 feet for the next 100 feet.
 - E. The two legs of Linda Vista Boulevard shall align across the intersection at Shannon Road.
 - F. The developer shall be required to construct the north half Linda Vista Boulevard for the entire frontage of the rezoning site in accordance with standard detail 10 in the Subdivision and Development Street Standards.
 - G. If the improvements to Linda Vista Boulevard are phased, roadway improvements shall be constructed in such a way that regional needs for circulation, access and continuity are addressed. Improvements shall also be coordinated with adjacent development.
 - H. It is understood that Pima County Department of Transportation may request improvements to Linda Vista Boulevard beyond those required by the rezoning conditions or the traffic study. Such improvements will be paid for by waiving impact fees. The developer shall fully document the cost of any additional improvements requested by Pima County.
 - I. All of this project's obligated Linda Vista Boulevard improvements shall be completed prior to release of final assurances for the project.
3. Regional Flood Control District conditions:

- A. Encroachment into Flood Control Resource Areas as shown on the Pima Prosper Regional Hydrology Maps shall be avoided.
 - B. At the time of development the applicant will be required to commit to water conservation measures identified in the Site Analysis Requirements in effect at that time sufficient to obtain 15 points.
4. Regional Wastewater Reclamation conditions:
- A. The owner shall not construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner to that effect.
 - B. The owner shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department (PCRWRD) that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner shall enter into a written agreement addressing the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the PCRWRD.
 - C. The owner shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
 - D. The owner shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the PCRWRD in its capacity response letter and as specified by PCRWRD at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - F. The owner shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
5. Environmental Planning conditions:
- A. The property owner shall achieve compliance with the Maeveen Marie Behan Conservation Lands System (MMBCLS) conservation guidelines by providing a total of 175.6 acres as Natural Open Space (NOS). Should the developed area be reduced from that which is reflected in the PDP, the property owner shall provide a minimum of 4 acres of natural open space for every acre developed to achieve full compliance with the MMBCLS conservation guidelines. No less than 23 acres NOS will be provided on-site and will conform to the approximate location and configuration shown on the approved Preliminary Development Plan. The difference between the total 175.6 acres (or less depending upon developed area) NOS and NOS provided on-site will be provided off-site. Off-site NOS must conform to the CLS Off-site Mitigation Policies (Pima County Comprehensive Plan 2015, Chapter 3 Use of Land Goals and Policies, Section 3.4 Environmental Element, Policy 11 of Conservation Lands System Mitigation Lands) and comply with all of the following:
 - 1) Off-site NOS is acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or their designee; and
 - 2) Prior to the approval of the final plat, off-site NOS will be permanently protected as natural open space by a separately recorded legal instrument acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or their designee.

- B. Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove invasive non-native species from the property, including those below. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner. ~~Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.~~

Invasive Non-Native Plant Species Subject to Control

Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven
Alhagi pseudalhagi	Camelthorn
Arundo donax	Giant reed
Brassica tournefortii	Sahara mustard
Bromus rubens	Red brome
Bromus tectorum	Cheatgrass
Centaurea melitensis	Malta starthistle
Centaurea solstitialis	Yellow starthistle
Cortaderia spp.	Pampas grass
Cynodon dactylon	Bermuda grass (excluding sod hybrid)
Digitaria spp.	Crabgrass
Elaeagnus angustifolia	Russian olive
Eragrostis spp.	Lovegrass (excluding E. intermedia, plains lovegrass)
Melinis repens	Natal grass
Mesembryanthemum spp.	Iceplant
Peganum harmala	African rue
Pennisetum ciliare	Buffelgrass
Pennisetum setaceum	Fountain grass
Rhus lancea	African sumac
Salsola spp.	Russian thistle
Schinus spp.	Pepper tree
Schismus arabicus	Arabian grass
Schismus barbatus	Mediterranean grass
Sorghum halepense	Johnson grass
Tamarix spp.	Tamarisk

6. Cultural Resources condition: In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State laws ARS 41-865 and ARS 41-844, require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them can make appropriate arrangements for the repatriation and reburial of the remains. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.
7. Adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing.
8. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
9. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights: "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(I)."

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Miller, seconded by Supervisor Elías and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P17RZ00006, subject to standard and special conditions.

21. **Hearing – Rezoning**

P17RZ00008, LANDMARK TITLE TR 7792-T - S. NOGALES HIGHWAY REZONING

Request of Landmark Title TR 7792-T, represented by JAS Engineering, for a rezoning of approximately 1.64 acres from GR-1 (Rural Residential) to the CB-1(Local Business) zone, Parcel Code 304-32-4640 located on the west side of the T-Intersection of W. Arivaca Road and S. Nogales Highway. The proposed rezoning conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan Neighborhood Activity Center designation. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 6-1 (Commissioner Gungle voted NAY, Commissioner Membrila voted in ABSTENTION, Commissioners Becker and Cook were absent) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

1. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
2. Transportation conditions:
 - A. Written certification from Arizona Department of Transportation, stating satisfactory compliance with all its requirements shall be submitted to Development Services Department prior to approval of a Site Development Permit or Subdivision Plat.
 - B. The property shall be limited to one access point as shown on the preliminary development plan.
3. Regional Flood Control District conditions:
 - A. A drainage report shall be submitted at the time of development establishing a Base Flood Elevation (BFE).
 - B. At the time of development, the developer shall be required to select a combination of Water Conservation Measures from Table B such that the point total equals or exceeds 15 point and includes a combination of indoor and outdoor measures.
4. Regional Wastewater Reclamation conditions:
 - A. The owner shall not construe any action by Pima County as a commitment to provide sewer service to any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner to that effect.
 - B. The owner shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department (PCRWRD) that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner shall enter into a written agreement addressing the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the PCRWRD.
 - C. The owner shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.

- D. The owner shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the PCRWRD in its capacity response letter and as specified by PCRWRD at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
 - E. The owner shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
 - F. The owner shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
5. Environmental Planning condition: Upon the effective date of the rezoning ordinance associated with this rezoning, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove buffelgrass (*Pennisetum ciliare*) from the property. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site; and, Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the current any future property owner. ~~Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.~~
 6. Cultural Resources condition: In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State laws ARS 41-865 and ARS 41-844, require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them can make appropriate arrangements for the repatriation and reburial of the remains. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.
 7. Adherence to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing.
 8. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
 9. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights: "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(I)."
 10. The bufferyard along the Nogales Highway frontage shall install native trees 4-feet or greater in height.

Chair Bronson inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was then moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P17RZ00008, subject to standard and special conditions.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

22. Employment of Outside Counsel for Anti-Racketeering Funds Review

Discussion/action regarding use of RICO Funds to pay legal fees for outside counsel.

Chair Bronson explained that the Board had initially requested the County Administrator to contract with the same attorney used by Maricopa County. She inquired whether Mr. J. Arthur Eaves had been contacted.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that he had contacted Mr. Eaves about his plan and fee schedule. Mr. Eaves had a conflict with today's meeting and asked if the Board could continue the item to the next Board meeting.

Chair Bronson recommended that this item be moved to the next meeting which would allow Mr. Eaves to be present and respond to questions. Chair Bronson also provided direction that the County Administrator obtain clarification from the State Attorney General on whether the new statute allowed for RICO Funds to be used to pay legal fees for outside counsel. She added that if RICO Funds were not allowed that specifics be provided as to which part of the statute disallowed the use. She also requested that the County Administrator seek clarification from the State Legislature for their reasoning in amending the statute.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue this item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of January 16, 2018.

REAL PROPERTY

23. Abandonment by Vacation

RESOLUTION NO. 2018 - 1, of the Board of Supervisors, for the vacation of portions of Iberia and Portugal Avenues, a planned development roadway as Pima County Road Abandonment No. A-0023 within Section 16, T15S, R12E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Elías and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Miller voted "Nay," to adopt the Resolution.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

COMMUNITY SERVICES, EMPLOYMENT AND TRAINING

24. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide workforce development services, extend contract term to 9/30/18 and amend contractual language, HPOG Fund, contract amount \$45,070.75 (CT-CS-17-445)

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

REAL PROPERTY

25. Alltel Communications Southwest Holdings, Inc., d.b.a. Verizon Wireless, Amendment No. 5, to provide a lease agreement for property located at Rillito Racetrack, 4502 N. First Avenue and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-IT-CMS140419)

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

26. **Application - Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation**

RESOLUTION NO. 2018 - 2, of the Board of Supervisors, in support of a grant application to the Arizona Game and Fish Department for the construction of a shade canopy at the Southeast Regional Park Archery Range. This grant request will be for \$27,000.00. (\$27,000.00 General Fund match is required, amount budgeted in NRPR 2019 budget.) (GTAP 18-50)

It was moved by Supervisor Elías, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

27. **Approval of the Consent Calendar**

Upon the request of Supervisor Miller to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 3, 5, 6 and 7 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR MILLER

CONTRACT AND AWARD

County Attorney

3. Squire Patton Boggs (US), L.L.P., to provide for bond counsel services, GO, HURF and COPS Funds, contract amount \$150,000.00 (CT-FN-18-187)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Valadez and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Miller voted "Nay," to approve the item.

Procurement

5. McGann & Associates, Inc., to provide for design services at the Kino South Sports Complex, 2019 Certificates of Participation Fund, contract amount \$1,496,285.00 (CT-PW-18-184)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Valadez to approve this item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller stated that she objected to this item and that the \$1.5 million in Certificates of Participation should go towards road repairs and not towards the Regional Kino Sports Complex.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Christy and Miller voted "Nay."

6. **Award**

Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-18-44, AZ Wastewater Industries, Inc., (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ) for the purchase of a Camel 1200 combination sewer truck. This contract is for a one-time award in the not-to-exceed amount of \$445,684.50 (including sales tax). Funding Source: Wastewater Enterprise Fund. Administering Department: Regional Wastewater Reclamation.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Valadez to approve this item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller stated that the truck was purchased in Phoenix for \$411,000.00 with 8.6% in sales tax on the first \$10,000.00 and 8.3% on the remaining balance. She inquired whether this was a carve out for the car dealers in Phoenix.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that he would seek clarification. He added that Elk County had a recent carve out with the Regional Transportation Authority.

Chair Bronson directed the County Administrator to provide clarification to all the Board members.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

7. **Award**

Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-18-49, Courtesy Chevrolet, (Headquarters: Phoenix, AZ) to provide for electric plug in vehicles. This contract is for a one-time award in the not-to-exceed amount of \$706,607.20 (including sales tax). Funding Source: Internal Services Fund. Administering Department: Fleet Services.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Valadez to approve this item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Miller stated that she could not approve \$706,000.00 for 20 electric vehicles when the roads were in such disrepair.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Christy and Miller voted "Nay."

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation

1. Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation, to provide for the Positive Directions Program, HUD Fund, contract amount \$1,316,553.00 (CT-CD-18-176)

Community Services, Employment and Training

2. American Indian Association of Tucson, Inc., d.b.a. Tucson Indian Center, to provide for the Emergency Services Network Program, HPOG Fund, contract amount \$14,045.91 (CT-CS-18-174)

County Attorney

3. Squire Patton Boggs (US), L.L.P., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
4. Greenberg Traurig, L.L.P., to provide for special counsel services, Sewer Revenue Obligation Fund, contract amount \$100,000.00 (CT-FN-18-188)

Procurement

5. McGann & Associates, Inc., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
6. **Award**
Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-18-44, AZ Wastewater Industries, Inc., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

7. **Award**
Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-18-49, Courtesy Chevrolet, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
8. **Award**
Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-18-50, Lodox NA, L.L.C., (Headquarters: Painesville, OH) to provide for a digital x-ray unit. This contract is for a one-time award in the not-to-exceed amount of \$526,384.20 (including sales tax). Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Forensic Science Center.

Real Property

9. Sprint Spectrum Realty Company, L.L.C., Amendment No. 1, to provide a tower license for wireless communications facilities at the Nanini Governmental Center located at 7300 N. Shannon Road and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-PW-17-195)
10. United States of America, to provide a lease agreement for property located at a portion of Section 8, T15S, R15E, containing approximately .69 acres, contract amount \$10.00 revenue (CTN-PW-18-93)
11. United States of America, to provide a lease agreement for property located at the part of Section 8, T15S, R15E, North of Union Pacific Railroad right-of-way, containing approximately 2.48 acres, contract amount \$10.00 revenue (CTN-PW-18-94)

Regional Wastewater Reclamation

12. Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT), to provide a Utility Agreement for Phase II of public sanitary sewer relocations necessary to resolve conflicts within the ADOT roadway improvements on Highway I-19 located at State Route 86, RWRD Obligation Fund, contract amount \$327,852.00/2 year term (CT-WW-18-173)

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

13. **Tucson-Pima County Bicycle Advisory Committee**
Reappointments of Eric Post, Collin Forbes and David Bachman-Williams. Term expirations: 9/30/19. (Committee recommendations)
14. **Environmental Quality Advisory Council**
Reappointments of Jeffrey Yockey and Eric Betterton. Term expirations: 12/30/20. (Staff recommendations)

**SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/
PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL APPROVED PURSUANT TO
RESOLUTION NO. 2016-62**

15. Special Event

- Jeffrey Peter Schneider, Knights of Columbus Council 8077, St. Elizabeth Ann Seton Church, 8650 N. Shannon Road, Tucson, December 31, 2017.
- Andrew S. Heideman, Rotary Club of Green Valley, West Center, Green Valley Recreation Center, Inc., 1111 S. GVR Drive, Green Valley, January 24, 2018.
- Cathlene L. Lolwing, Izi Azi Foundation, d.b.a. Felicia's Farm, Felicia's Farm, 3761 E. River Road, Tucson, January 25, 2018.

ELECTIONS

16. Precinct Committeemen

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-821B, approval of Precinct Committeemen resignations and appointments:

RESIGNATIONS-PRECINCT-PARTY

Diane J. De Milt-029-DEM; Roy B. Verdery-056-DEM; Luke O. Knipe-072-DEM; Fred Yamashita-099-DEM; Virginia L. Lynns-107-DEM; James Holcomb-125-DEM; Mark Goldstein-163-DEM; Patricia J. Ludwig-187-DEM; Anthony L. Johnson-202-GRN

APPOINTMENTS-PRECINCT-PARTY

Robin L. Hiller-078-DEM; Zoey R. Fife-080-DEM; Nicklaus G. Arnold-082-DEM; John M. Ericson-109-DEM; Karen L. Ericson-109-DEM; Loraine G. Adams-120-DEM; Joan T. Sadick-125-DEM; Marilyn Hesse Calhoun-127-DEM; Irma M. Rubin-132-DEM; Luke O. Knipe-170-DEM; Mary A. Keerins-171-DEM; Wendy N. Reed-171-DEM; Steven F. Larsen-179-DEM; Connor J. Welton-201-DEM; Lyla C. Michelson-239-DEM; Robert M. Egan-057-REP; Helen F. Dominguez-134-REP; Ryan O. Kelly-134-GRN

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

17. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

The State of Arizona \$65.00; Michelle Cohen Metzger \$52.00; Craig Tennant McHattie \$40.02; Sonora Behavioral Health \$20,082.84; Ryan LaMaster \$227.23; Joseph Klein \$158.00.

RECORDER

18. Pursuant to Resolution No. 1993-200, ratification of the Document Storage and Retrieval Fund for the month of November, 2017.

TRANSPORTATION

19. **Permission to Advertise**
Jeffrey Road and Teton Road
Road Establishment No. 3027
January 16, 2018 (District 3)

SPECIAL TAXING DISTRICT

20. **Irrigation District Canvass**
Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-642(B), presentation of the certified copy of the official canvass for the November 14, 2017 Election conducted by the following:
- Cortaro-Marana Irrigation District
 - Flowing Wells Irrigation District

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

21. Minutes: November 21, 2017
Warrants: December, 2017

* * *

28. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 10:20 a.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK