

Rosy Millan

From: S. Fickes [redacted]
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2024 7:14 AM
To: COB_mail
Subject: 07-16-24 Pima County Board of Supervisors Meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

I am Sharon Fickes, legal citizen of Pima County Contact [redacted]
Following are my comments for the 07-16-24 Board of Supervisors Meeting

Addendum 1 Item #2 ARS 38-431.03 (A)(3) Legal advice and discussion for possible purchase of downtown properties. WHY does Pima County need more property? Are you buying up property to plan for WHAT? **VOTE NO**

God Bless America
Sharon Fickes
[redacted]

JUL 15 2 40 PM '24
PC CLK OF ED
RM

Rosy Millan

From: steve and gail ault [REDACTED]
Sent: Sunday, July 14, 2024 3:26 PM
To: COB_mail; District1; District2; District3; District4; District5
Subject: 7-16-24 Board Of Supervisors Meeting

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please include the following comment on the addendum for the 7/16/24 Board of Supervisors Meeting. Thank you.

Not sure I've made my opinion known on the agenda statement "PUBLIC PARTICIPATION SPEAKERS ARE LIMITED UP TO 3 MINUTES" but let me say that the imposition of "rules" that seem to limit the ability of citizens to express their heartfelt opinions IS NOT what the Board of Supervisors should be mandating!!!

Agenda
COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

10. Extreme Heat Mitigation and Response
The agenda goes on to say "No state statute or regulation addressing the mitigation of heat-related illness injuries in the workplace is currently in effect within the State of Arizona."
Stop this silliness. First, we citizens of Arizona are aware of the heat!! We take precautions. No county agency can or should monitor how citizens react. Second, we hope the adults working for the County have brains and know the above. This is mission creep to the largest extent and the bureaucracy required to enforce such silliness far outweighs the benefits. I wonder how much it costs just to research and prepare the paperwork attached to this agenda. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

HUMAN RESOURCES
13. Classification/Compensation—The Human Resources Department requests approval to create the following new classification, associated costs will be borne by the user department from within its current budget.
While I certainly question the necessity of the position at all, IF and I repeat IF, this is a necessary addition, eliminate the ability to receive any paid overtime. This should also be added to many currently existing positions.
IF YOU DON'T VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM, ADJUST IT

Addendum 1
EXECUTIVE SESSION

2. Legal advice and discussion regarding the possible purchase of downtown properties.
While Pima County encompasses the City of Tucson, purchases of property should remain outside the City limits. **THINK CAREFULLY WHEN SPENDING TAXPAYER FUNDS**

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

JUL 15 2 24 PM '24 P.O. CLK. 5177

5. Constable, Justice Precinct 4—Discussion/Direction/Action regarding whether to proceed with the appointment to fill the vacancy of the position of Constable, Justice Precinct 4.

Military members are well aware of the definition of RIF. The county should be pursuing the "reduction in force" mantra due to the inability to have a reasonable budget. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

But thanks to the Sheriff's Department, the Recorder's Office and Behavioral Health, all of which lowered their May expenditure forecasts compared to the February Forecast helping curb our budget woes.

FACILITIES MANAGEMENT

19. Catholic Community Services of SO AZ, Inc, to provide a cooperative agreement for provision of humanitarian services, no cost.

No cost? This replaces a previous cooperative agreement with some funding by FEMA for CCS humanitarian services (read this: housing illegals) at the Ajo Way facility which is owned by the County. And it is at a cost to taxpayers - don't try to fool us. **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

PROCUREMENT

26. Burgess & Niple, Inc., to provide for Pima County Safe Streets for All: Creating a Culture of Safety for our Community Project, U.S. DOT FHWA SS4A Grant Fund, contract amount \$1,380,959.77/2 year term (CT-TR-24-430) Administering Department: Transportation

AGAIN, Stop this silliness. Just because we can receive a grant doesn't mean it's a viable issue - and research into what it will cost the County to accept this grant should be undertaken. Aren't there already County agencies working on protecting the safety of our citizens? Will they be disbanded? **VOTE AGAINST THIS ITEM**

Gail Ault


Pima County resident since 2003