



**PIMA COUNTY**  
BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

**Rex Scott, District 1**

**MAIN TALKING POINTS**

- PAG weighted voting
- Proportional representation on CAC
- No changes to RTA governance
- No veto power

Tucson wants the “MAG model including proportional voting and veto power for PAG, the RTA and RTA Next.” This proposal offers proportional voting in two of the three areas, but does not include veto power. It asks all jurisdictions to commit to purposeful compromise and, most importantly, offers a path forward for the development of an RTA Next plan.

**PAG REGIONAL COUNCIL**

Tucson: 4  
Pima County: 3  
All other jurisdictions and ASTB/ADOT: 1 each

The reason for these vote totals is so that the combination of the two larger jurisdictions, or all the smaller ones combined, would not equal a majority. The additional votes for Tucson and Pima County are votes, not additional members.

**RTA BOARD**

Changes to the RTA Board would require action by the Arizona Legislature, since it is a special taxing district approved by them. Given the potential for any legislation related to RTA Board voting rights to also touch on previous rights granted to the RTA, the most prudent course of action is for there to be no changes made to voting rights on the RTA Board.

**CAC**

Tucson: 19  
Pima County: 7  
Marana: 2  
Oro Valley: 2  
Sahuarita: 2  
South Tucson: 1  
Pascua Yaqui: 1  
Tohono O’odham: 1

This is a revised version of the earlier proposal submitted for the CAC. It gives Tucson one more member (and Pima County one less) than that proposal did. The framework adopted by the CAC in 2020 will continue to guide their work and ensure that all jurisdictions are fairly treated.

01-31-2021 10:08 AM  
MV012148804PC01K1E110