AGENDA WATERIAL DATE 2-7-23 ITEM NO. PA 15

From:

Chris Wolski <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 2:57 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

ease vote "NO" on unconstituent, I want my Supervisor to conniterest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she deconstituent, I want my Supervisor to conniterest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she deconstituent, and policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, conceason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Additionally, this item reeks of tyranny on the part of Adelita Grijalva. She wants total control over what constructions are grantless of what is important to the residents of Pima County. The Clerk of the Board is NOT an elected representative; neither are the lackeys in the Pima County Attorney's office. Neither of these have any business or right to decide what is discussed at the Board meetings.

From:

David McEvoy <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:08 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

David A. McEvoy



From: William Beard <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 3:17 PM

To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail

Subject: Change to Adding Agenda Items

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

To Whom It May Concern,

I am concerned about the planned change to board policy regarding adding items to the agenda for the Pima County Board of Supervisors. If the board were to pass this motion it would severely curtail the ability of any member of the board to add an item for discussion. It has been long standing policy to allow any board member to add an item. This change would call into question the transparency that the law and public expect of their elected representatives.

I can recall that in the past, each member of this body has spoken quite eloquently on the subject of free speech and the ability of the elected bodies to to discuss any subject. It wasn't all that long ago that the current chair was quite vocal about allowing the public to demonstrate in open calls to the audience about their displeasure on subjects relevant to the Tucson Unified School District. She was even supportive of allowing the public to chain themselves to the desks in the board room at a regular meeting of that body. It would seem that if she and anyone that would support this change to board policy are quite content to allow discussion, demonstrations and even disruptions on a topic that they support. Any discussions that would be against their positions are now to be curtailed or stopped? If this change occurs it will mean that debate or open discussion is stopped before it can even start. I believe the term Hypocrisy would apply, but in the current state of political discourse it would seem to be the norm.

Respectfully, Bill Beard



From: Laura H <

Sent:

To:

Subject:

Categories:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:15 PM
District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail
Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

I also want to address Adalita Grijalva and the fact that she continually interrupts people during the request to speak. It is her job to listen and not speak during the time allotted to the speakers. It takes a lot of courage to get up there and speak and everyone who runs for office needs to grow a spine. Sorry it words hurt your feelings; grow a spine. But guess what, if you break the law and refuse to uphold the Constitution which you all swore to uphold when you took office, as well as break the law by mandating a vaccination that received Emergency Use Authorization for an EXPERIMENTAL shot that comes with a pamphlet that says Intentionally Left Blank, and mandate masks that do not actually protect against viruses and says so in the pamphlets, then you might not have citizens who are unhappy saying thinks about you breaking numerous laws and eventually will face 8 indictments for Crimes Against Humanity and eventually for treason for allowing ES&S machines which are owned by a foreign entity and involved in election fraud that resulted in the overthrowing of our country which is TREASON. Keep breaking the law because I would like to see how many times you chose to break the law and can use it in a court of law against you.

You serve us, you were elected and you represent us, if you chose not to represent us then that is a Dereliction of Duty.

Sincerely,

Laura Hinyup

From:

Melissa Conroy <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:30 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisors,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. **The existing policy has been in place for decades,** through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Melissa Conroy, Pima County Resident AND Taxpayer



From:

David Furmanski <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:28 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote NO on Item #15

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Thank you for your public service and for taking time to read my concern.

I would never condone disrespect or lack of decorum, but there is no justification to punish the vast majority of taxpayers with any constraints or complicattions on free speech.

Respectfully,,

David Furmanski

From:

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:27 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisors,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Gary Emerson



From:

Craig Arnold

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:38 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Craig M Arnold



From:

James Gaulin

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 3:43 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Board item #15 - Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisors

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

James Gaulin



From: Janet Thuringer <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 3:57 PM

To: District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject: Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want you, my Supervisor, to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as you determine merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Franz and Janet Thuringer

4141 E Pontatoc Canyon Dr, Tucson, AZ 85718



From: Rich Anderson <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 4:00 PM

To: District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject: Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,


Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Richard Anderson Oro Valley, AZ



From:

Jamie Lynch <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 5:11 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Jamie Lynch



From:

darby mcallister <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:10 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5: Please vote "NO" on this item. As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Darby Fautz



From:

eric crump <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:17 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Eric Crump LD 18 PC



From:

eric crump <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:16 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Liz Crump LD 18 PC



From:

Jim Lubinski <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:22 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Jim and Karen Lubinski



From:

Dan Bogert < Dan@azrestaurant.org>

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:18 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4

Cc:

Jan Lesher; COB_mail

Subject:

Comments on Agenda Item #15

Attachments:

0041_001.pdf

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Pima County Board of Supervisors,

Attached, please find a letter from our President and CEO outlining concerns with the policy proposal (agenda item #15).

Thank you very much for your consideration and please let me know if you have any questions.

Dan Bogert

Chief Operating Officer



3333 E. Camelback Road, Suite 285 Phoenix, AZ 85018

P 602.307.9134

F 602.307.9139

azrestaurant.org

EDOSZENO4CORCERED &



Arizona Restaurant Association

3333 East Camelback Road, Suite 285, Phoenix, Arizona 85018 P: 602.307.9134 F: 602.307.9139 azrestaurant.org

February 6, 2023

The Honorable Adelita Grijalva
Chairwoman Pima County Board of Supervisors
130 W. Congress St., 11th Fl.
Tucson, Arizona 85701

Dear Chairwoman Grijalva,

I am writing you today not only as a representative of Arizona's restaurant industry, but also as a former Maricopa County Supervisor of nearly ten years to express concerns with the proposal (agenda item #15) in front of the Pima County Board of Supervisors (BOS) to alter the process of placing items on the BOS agenda. Under the proposal, any potential agenda item proposed by a Supervisor would be subjected to a multi-prong review process before being accepted as an item and would severely curtail a Supervisor's ability to timely respond to their constituents.

One concern I have, and I'm not casting aspersions, is the ability of the Clerk of the Board to return items submitted by an elected Supervisor for being either "incomplete or inaccurate." This presents many issues and a potential opportunity for abuse. As currently drafted, the decision of completeness and accuracy is left solely to the interpretation of the Clerk. For example, if a submission was missing a period, or a comma was used instead of a semicolon, does that constitute inaccurate? If the fiscal impact section stated that there was uncertainty about the fiscal impact, does that constitute incomplete? These can be extreme cases but the point is the same, that not all issues will be easily identifiable in a clear cut manner... and the correct forum for drawing a conclusion is the Board of Supervisors.

The other potential consequence of the Clerk review of Supervisor submitted issues is the "bad actor." It is my sincere hope that this issue will never materialize, but when writing binding policies you must consider it. If the Clerk wanted to prevent an item from being placed on the agenda, all one would need to do is wait until the deadline for the agenda has passed and then return the item to the Supervisor and mark it as incomplete or inaccurate. The policy lacks any guardrails around the clerk review process such as: a standard time to review, substantive incompleteness/inaccuracy, or a review process to override the Clerk if a perceived error was made. Because of this, the ability of constituents to approach their elected Supervisor and have issues raised to the Board level could be severely restrained.

The second concern I have is the need for a legal review process prior to an item being placed on the agenda. Under the current draft policy, all items must be reviewed by legal counsel. However, it is unclear if the legal counsel can prevent an item from being placed on the agenda, make changes to the submission, or if the review is purely to allow the attorney to make comments. As a matter of practice, the County

The Honorable Adelita Grijalva February 6, 2023 Page Two of Two

Attorney's Office should be reviewing all action items on the Board of Supervisors agenda in order to answer any questions about the item that may come up during the meeting. If the policy is just meant to formalize this process, then it should be made clear in the policy that the attorney's ability or inability to timely review an item should not hinder the ability of the supervisor to place an item on the agenda. Additionally, a negative opinion of the attorney should not prevent an item from being discussed unless it is truly a violation.

My final concern is around the need for a detailed agenda item report to be filled out. If "completeness" and "accuracy" of this report may prevent an item from being placed on the agenda, then Supervisors may shy away from attempting to place an item on the agenda with limited information. In some instances, this may be a good thing by preventing unripe topics from taking up agenda space. However, in my experience, there have been several times that putting an item on the agenda led to good discussion amongst the Board about the best way to proceed to gather the relevant information and asking staff to report back on recommendations and allowing compliance with open meeting laws. Putting a barrier in place to prevent this can have profound negative consequences for the public seeking input from their elected officials.

Given the reasons detailed above, I would ask that the Board table this topic and allow for additional inputs from the community on how to best revise this policy, or if such a revision is even necessary. Thank you for your time and consideration and please let me know if I can be of further assistance.

Sincerely,

President & CEO

Steve Chucri

Arizona Restaurant Association

Cc:

The Honorable Rex Scott, Vice Chair, Pima County Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Matt Heinz, Member, Pima County Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Sharon Bronson, Member, Pima County Board of Supervisors
The Honorable Steve Christy, Member, Pima County Board of Supervisors
Ms. Jan Lesher, County Administrator, Pima County

From:

Paul and Kristi Broderick <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:46 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Paul Broderick



From: Monica Morales <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 4:25 PM

To: District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject: Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely, Monica Morales



From:

Kristi Broderick <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:42 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Kristi Broderick



De Se Sampton Parkan Brown

Aliza Barraza

From: Susan Kelly <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 4:42 PM

To: COB_mail

Subject: Board of supervisor meeting 2/7/2023

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Thank you for letting me speak. I would like to strongly encourage you to vote NO on agenda item #15. Changing the Board of Supervisors process to add agenda items just costs the county more time (and money). We the people have elected the Board of Supervisors, presumably because we trust them to use their judgement in all matters, including new agenda items. By adding in additional steps that have little value added you are not increasing transparency at all

Also, two times I have requested information from my new Supervisor, Sharon Bronson. I would love to schedule some time with you and the community I live in, as we were recently moved from Supervisor Scott's district to yours. I am looking forward to a response from your team.

Thank you,

Susan Kelly

From:

Kimberly McAllister <kimberly@thebridgetucson.com>

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:41 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

Please vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, throu

gh varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Kimberly McAllister



From: Sheryl Chapman <

Sent: Monday, February 6, 2023 4:41 PM

To: District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject: lease vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I want my Supervisor to continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Sheryl Chapman

From:

Pen Drake <

Sent:

Monday, February 6, 2023 4:53 PM

To:

District5; District1; District2; District3; District4; COB_mail

Subject:

vote "NO" on Item #15 on Feb 7th

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Dear Supervisor,

Regarding Item #15 on the February 7, 2023 meeting agenda: UNFINISHED BUSINESS (1/24/23) Review and Update of Administrative Procedure 4-1 and Board of Supervisors Policy C 2.5:

Please vote "NO" on this item.

As your constituent, I will have my Supervisor continue to have the right and ability to add matters of public interest and concern to the meeting agenda as he or she determines merits discussion and action. The existing policy has been in place for decades, through varied Board majorities, and served the public well. There is no reason to complicate this process by adding the proposed procedures.

Sincerely,

Heidi Miller

FEB 06723MOVER FIRST CLATER BOOK