

FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 20, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Robert Krygier, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting in-person at 9:16 a.m. He left the meeting at 12:33 p.m.

1. CONTRACT

Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona, Amendment No. 2, to provide for project services and related research, education and employment and amend contractual language, Flood Control Tax Levy Fund, contract amount \$200,000.00 (CT-FC-19-154)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

2. CONTRACT

U.S. Department of the Interior, U.S. Geological Survey, to provide for water resources investigations, Flood Control Ops Fund, contract amount \$687,000.00/5 year term (CT-FC-23-222)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott stated that language within the public benefits section of the document referenced monitoring sites that would otherwise be discontinued if the County chose not to enter into the agreement with the U.S. Geological Survey (USGS). He questioned whether that lack of joint funding and operation meant USGS would discontinue the monitoring and if this was the usual arrangement expected from local governments. He asked about the likelihood that the County would need to operate and maintain those sites on their own given their importance during the monsoon season.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, responded that a report would be provided to the Board.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

3. **CONTRACT**

Green Valley Council, Inc., d.b.a. Green Valley Council, Amendment No. 2, to provide for Green Valley Council services, extend contract term to 12/31/23, and amend contractual language, Health (27.1%), DOT (25.7%), RWRD (17.1%), DEQ (12.9%), DSD (8.6%) and RFCD Tax Levy (8.6%) Funds, contract amount \$87,500.00 (CT-PW-21-202)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

4. **DISTRICT CHIEF ENGINEER APPOINTMENT**

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 - FC4, of the Flood Control District Board of Directors, appointing the Pima County Flood Control District Chief Engineer.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to adopt the Resolution.

5. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

STADIUM DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Stadium District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 20, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Robert Krygier, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting in-person at 9:16 a.m. He left the meeting at 12:33 p.m.

1. **CONTRACT**

Deere & Company, d.b.a. AG & Turf Strategic Accounts Business Division, to provide for landscape and utility vehicles, trailers and equipment, Stadium Ops Fund, contract amount \$360,000.00 (MA-PO-23-87) Stadium District - Kino Sports Complex

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 20, 2022. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair
Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair
Rex Scott, Member
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
Robert Krygier, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz joined the meeting in-person at 9:16 a.m. He left the meeting at 12:33 p.m.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

The Land Acknowledgement Statement was delivered by Alura Benally, MPH, Health Disparities Program Coordinator, Pima County Health Department.

3. PAUSE 4 PAWS

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

4. POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Chair Bronson congratulated Argentina for winning the Major League Soccer World Cup and wished everyone Happy Holidays.

PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION

5. Presentation of a proclamation to Marguerite 'Peg' Harmon, proclaiming the day of Tuesday, December 20, 2022 to be: "MARGUERITE 'PEG' HARMON RECOGNITION DAY"

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Grijalva made the presentation and Chair Bronson presented Ms. Harmon with a Philabaum.

6. Presentation of a proclamation to Laura Biedebach, U.S. Consul General, proclaiming the day of Monday, December 12, 2022 to be: "200TH ANNIVERSARY OF UNITED STATES - MEXICO DIPLOMATIC RELATIONS"

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Chair Bronson made the presentation.

7. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Darsha Doran addressed the Board regarding the homeless crisis in the community and that it should be addressed in a humane and caring manner.

Richard Hernandez expressed his displeasure with the Board's December 6, 2022 decision to ask the Attorney General's Office to investigate the practices of another elected official on the Pima Community College Board of Governors.

Kristen Randall addressed the Board on behalf of the Green Valley Justice Court, in support of the appointment of Erika Acle for Small Claims Hearing Officer, and the reappointment of the Honorable Frederick Klein, Judge Pro Tempore.

Rosanne Inzunza spoke to the Board about the broken procedures and processes at the County Jail and felt it was a contributing factor to the high number of recent deaths.

Keith Van Heyningen spoke about homelessness, crime, food, inflation and education crisis in Tucson.

Shawn Lopez addressed the Board in opposition to the increased budget request for a new jail facility and asked that an analysis be completed to determine causes for the increased deaths.

Tim Laux expressed his displeasure with the certification of the 2022 Election and spoke in opposition to using taxpayer money for asylum seeker aid.

Beatrice Stephens spoke to the Board about the problems she encountered with the election process as a marshal at polling location 88.

Peter Norquest expressed his opposition to the approval of Consent Calendar Item Nos. 10, 21 and 22, and that his concern was that refugees and asylum seekers would be used as chess pieces for political games.

Gisela Aaron spoke to the Board regarding legally obtaining her immigration status and voiced her opposition to the continued aid of illegal immigrants and gun control measures.

Terra Radliff expressed her opposition of the Board's continued attempts at gun control measures and about the Centers for Disease Control manipulation of gun violence statistics.

Billy Peard spoke in opposition to the proposed budget increase request for expansion of the jail.

Frances Guzman addressed the Board in opposition of the request for a new jail and indicated that her son was one of the inmates who recently passed away at the facility. She asked them to provide increased staffing with proper training and better safety measures.

Christine Salazar spoke in opposition to Minute Item Nos. 16 and 20, and indicated that there were not enough corrections officers to justify that pay raise. She stated that the mental health crisis along with a fentanyl pandemic, lack of staff and improper training were to blame for the death pandemic within the County's jail.

8. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 10:20 a.m.

9. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:52 a.m. Supervisor Heinz was not present. All other members were present.

(Clerk's Note: Supervisor Heinz rejoined the meeting at 10:57 a.m.)

EXECUTIVE SESSION

10. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for legal advice and discussion regarding updates on the memorandum on State Firearm Preemption Laws.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

11. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding updates on the Tax Appeal Case TX2021000305, TNR & S Acquisition, Inc., v. Pima County (Omni Golf Course Resort).

It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Chair Bronson and carried by a 3-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," and Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to reject the offer and proceed as discussed in Executive Session.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

12. County Administrator's Update

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, provided the following updates:

- She congratulated Community and Workforce Development and the Joint Technical and Education District for winning the Award for Innovation for creating the System of Navigators, and the Inaugural Career and Technical Education Award from the Arizona Workforce Council.
- She acknowledged Suzanne Droubie, Pima County Assessor, who won the 2022 Civic Engagement and Public Information Award from the National Association of Counties.
- She thanked those who attended the dedication of the Richard Elias Mission Library, which honored the former supervisor.
- She thanked the employees who had participated in the County sponsored ice skating event.

Supervisor Scott asked the County Administrator the following questions associated with memoranda related to the Tucson Crime-Free Coalition:

- Asked to provide the Board with a likely date for receiving the report from the Pima County Attorney's Office and the Tucson City Attorney's Office regarding prosecution data.
- Provide a likely date for receiving the Justice Services Division's report referenced in the memorandum.
- Provide a report regarding the meeting between County Administration staff, the City and County courts.
- Provide more detail on the housing plus terminology used in the memorandum, especially with regard to the performance outcomes cited in that section of the memorandum.
- Provide more detail on the possibility of a homeless shelter owned by the City, but operated by the County.
- Provide more details regarding the reference to additional State and Federal funding that would allow the County to acquire and manage additional locations in partnership with local municipalities.
- Aligned with that question, if funding was made available, could the County then project what portion of the 2,000 additional shelter beds that were needed, be provided through those means.

Supervisor Scott asked the following questions related to memoranda regarding the elimination of Title 42 and comments made by Shane Clark, Director, Office of Emergency Management:

- Asked for details about how the County was working with our lobbyists and congressional partners to address the foot dragging and overly restrictive spend down deadlines Mr. Clark referred to and indicated that these were significant concerns not just for the County, but for all border communities.

Ms. Leshar responded that the requested information would be provided to the Board.

ATTRACTIONS AND TOURISM

13. Attractions and Tourism One-Time-Only Outside Agency Award Request for Fiscal Year 2022/2023

Agency/Approved Contract Amount/Program

Tucson Botanical Gardens/\$10,000.00/Lights Up

The African **American** Museum of Southern Arizona/\$10,000.00/Enhancing Tourism

Tohono Chul/\$10,000.00/Activities and Educational Programs

Tucson Rodeo Parade/\$10,000.00/100th Anniversary

Cienega Watershed Partnership/\$10,000.00/Educational and Outreach Materials and Development of Virtual Tour App

GRAND TOTAL: \$50,000.00

Chair Bronson noted that one of the agency names had been corrected to read The African American Museum of Southern Arizona.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item, as amended. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked for clarification of outside agency and who was on the committee.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that an outside agency committee received and reviewed the applications. She indicated that she would provide the names of the individuals who comprised the committee to the Board.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the funding source.

Ms. Leshar responded that it was from the General Fund with a portion from the American Rescue Plan Act.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether this would be a steady source of funding or just a one-time provision.

Ms. Leshar responded that the Board approved dollars from outside agencies every two years and indicated that occasionally the additional funds were not used. She stated there was additional capacity and this was why the outside agency committee was moving these one-time allocations forward for the Board's consideration.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether the Board would determine its recipients.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

COUNTY ATTORNEY

14. Anti-Racketeering Revolving Funds

Staff recommends approval to utilize Anti-Racketeering Revolving Funds in the amount of \$5,000.00 for Tanque Verde High School Graduation Night under Board of Supervisors Policy No. C 6.3.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott commented that this item was similar to one that had not been approved earlier this year by the Board and discussed some of his experiences using Rico Funds as a former educator. He stated that the overall importance of graduation night for educators was to provide a safe and structured environment for high school seniors on a night historically known for having significant numbers of alcohol or drug-related injuries. He indicated that the County Attorney supported this use and that these funds had been used for this purpose for many years.

Chair Bronson stated that initial concerns were how the applications were brought forward and whether there was sufficient advertisement.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that her concern was regarding the difficulty of locating the application on the County Attorney's website and indicated that it had taken a member of her staff five minutes to find the correct page. She stated that there were no tabs labeled Rico or anti-racketeering fund and information about the program was housed under the community outreach tab, which included a variety of initiatives synonymous only with Rico. She indicated that for those reasons she would not be supporting this initiative.

Supervisor Scott stated that the applicant had done their due diligence demonstrating their qualifications. He indicated that the Board should have a discussion with the County Attorney in regards to information being made more easily available and hoped that the item would be approved since the school had done everything that was required of them.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Grijalva voted "Nay."

Chair Bronson stated that she concurred with Supervisor Grijalva's concerns and that this item was in conjunction with the Board of Supervisors Policy C 6.3. She indicated that there was a problem with the process and that the Board should explore the development of a policy to mandate how Rico Funds were used in these instances.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that both the Sheriff's Department and the Tucson Police Department used Rico Funds and stated that the process was and should continue to be transparent.

Chair Bronson commented that the process had been transparent under the former County Attorney.

Supervisor Grijalva indicated that the process should be easily laid out and that the application process should be reviewed by a community group the way it had been done in the past.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

15. Final Plat With Assurances

P22FP00016, La Vida Solstice, Lots 1-46 and Common Area "A", "B" and "C". (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

16. Contract

Arizona Department of Administration, to provide for House Bill 2862 - Payments to Sheriff Deputies and Corrections Officers, contract amount \$9,175,000.00 revenue/3 year term (CTN-FN-23-80)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that it was her understanding that funding was from the Arizona Department of Administration to provide payments to the sheriff deputies and corrections officers and asked for confirmation that it was a stipend.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative and confirmed that the bill was passed and adopted last year by the legislature to provide one-time stipends for new hires and retention. She explained that the purpose of the contract was to contractualize the agreement that was passed and indicated that it was not pensionable and did not come from the County's base.

Supervisor Grijalva questioned whether the stipend amounts were \$10,000.00 for retention and \$5,000.00 for new hires.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that she shared many of the concerns brought forward during Call to the Public, specifically the understaffing in both the jail and with the deputies. She hoped this would help address some of those issues and provide incentives for recruitment. She stated that she would be voting in favor of the item.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

Sam Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, asked for a reconsideration of the item and stated that it was listed as an intergovernmental agreement, but was actually an agreement with the Arizona Department of Administration and would therefore be appropriate for the Board to give the County Administrator authorization to sign the agreement.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the request for reconsideration. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

It was then moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy, to approve the item and give the County Administrator authorization to sign the agreement. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

HUMAN RESOURCES

17. The Board of Supervisors on November 15, 2022, continued the following:

Revisions to Personnel Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Personnel Policy No. 8-105, Annual Leave.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson questioned what would happen to employees' annual leave that was in excess of 320 hours once the policy went into effect on January 1, 2023.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that the three items before the Board were for codification of the action previously taken by the Board, that extended the cap of annual leave from 240 hours to 320.

Chair Bronson stated her question was whether the affected employees would lose any additional hours over the 320 cap.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Chair Bronson inquired how that would affect employees that received extensions to retain their annual leave instead of rolling it over to sick leave.

Ms. Leshar responded that the information would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson questioned whether existing extensions would be annulled on January 1st.

Ms. Leshar responded that granted extensions would remain in place.

Chair Bronson asked for a financial impact analysis of the payout to employees with excess annual leave above 320 hours.

Ms. Leshar responded that the information would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson questioned whether the ADP System was prepared for these changes.

Ms. Leshar responded that it was not and conversations regarding implementation held with ADP indicated that it could be retroactive if the system was unavailable until later in the month. She stated that no employee would lose their time and all would receive the modifications previously approved the Board.

Chair Bronson asked whether these changes would be done manually.

Ms. Leshar responded that some of them would be, but staff was continuing to work with ADP to determine when the live version would be available.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that 320 hours was equivalent to 40 days and asked for a list of employees with that amount of annual leave.

Ms. Leshar responded that a list had been shared when it had first been approved and an updated list would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

18. The Board of Supervisors on November 15, 2022, continued the following:

Revisions to Personnel Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Personnel Policy No. 8-106, Sick Leave.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Chair Bronson to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva directed staff to provide all County employees with information regarding these changes.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that the information would be provided to County employees.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

19. The Board of Supervisors on November 15, 2022, continued the following:

Revisions to Personnel Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Personnel Policy No. 8-123, Termination.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 18, for discussion and action on this item.)

20. **Corrections Officer and Corrections Sergeants Positions Increases**

Staff recommends approval of an increase in pay of 7.5% for current employees in the Corrections Officer, including Corrections Officer Substitute, and Corrections Sergeant positions and to increase the minimum hiring salaries for these classifications by 7.5%.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva asked about a salary comparison with like organizations and whether this increase would put the County at the top.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that the chart that was provided showed the comparison to other communities and the ranking of the County and indicated that the new salaries would put the County a little ahead of the rest for corrections officers, correction officer substitutes and corrections sergeants.

Supervisor Grijalva questioned whether this increase was for all current employees and if it would be an increase to the starting salary.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether it had anything to do with the nature of the current facility or new jail.

Chair Bronson stated that this was simply salary adjustments and had nothing to do with the new jail. She commented that it may not help with getting new corrections officers.

Supervisor Scott stated he had read the study received from the Human Resources (HR) and that the increase in the minimum point would put the County above comparator agencies, but noted that the range maximum would not provide much of an edge. He stated that he would be supporting the item since the Sheriff felt it would help with recruitment and retention and directed the HR to provide the Board with more information about when and at what point in their careers, corrections officers were leaving the County. He felt that this increase would help with recruitment, but not retention and indicated that he also wanted a comparison of the County and Federal facilities along with information about the Sheriff's request for additional staffing and the new policies that the Sheriff had said were needed for increased safety at the jail.

Chair Bronson added direction that the Board be provided with information regarding the recent deaths and the current culture inside the facility with the corrections officers. She felt that it could be contributing to those numbers. She stated that the County had never experienced the problem to this extent and wanted to know how it had arrived to this point.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that the differences in salary ranges with the 7.5% increase for a full-time corrections officer and corrections sergeant and indicated they would go from \$46,966.00 to \$50,482.00 and \$60,840.00 to \$65,395.00.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

SCHOOL SUPERINTENDENT

21. FY22 School Reserve Financial Statement Governance Transmittal

Staff recommends acceptance of the governance letter related to the Fiscal Year 2021-22 audited financial statements of the Pima County School Reserve Fund.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

SHERIFF

22. Insurance Coverage Under the County Self-Insurance Program

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 – 76, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the Pima County Department of Finance and Risk Management to insure under the Pima County Self-Insurance Trust Fund for claims of property loss, liability or fortuitous loss made against the County, its officials, employees or officers acting in the course and scope of employment or authority arising from the operation of vehicles leased under the Sourcewell/Enterprise lease agreement for use by the Pima County Sheriff's Department.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

TRANSPORTATION

23. Waiver of Four-Year Advance Notice of Abandonment

RESOLUTION NO. 2022 – 77, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve waiver for Arizona Department of Transportation Abandonment of Right of Way to Pima County pursuant to the provisions of Arizona Revised Statute (A.R.S.) §28-7209.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

24. Hearing – Liquor License

Job No. 210392, Myron Christopher Squires, Ten 55 Brewing Co., 3810 E. 44th Street, No. 315, Tucson, Series 3, In State Microbrewery, New License.

At the request of the applicant and without objection, this item was withdrawn from the agenda.

25. Hearing – Fireworks Permit

Troy Finley, Tucson Country Club, 2950 N. Camino Principal, Tucson, December 22, 2022 at 8:00 p.m.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve the permit.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

26. Hearing – Modification (Substantial Change) of Rezoning Condition

Co9-70-40 AULDRIDGE – CAROL AVENUE REZONING

Victor Gonzalez, represented by Natalie Pacheco, requests a modification (substantial change) of Rezoning Condition No. 2 which states, “Recording a covenant agreeing to no more than one mobile home on this piece of property”. The applicant requests to split the lot into two parcels and add one additional dwelling. The subject site is approximately 2.26 acres zoned SH (Suburban Homestead), located approximately 130 feet west of the intersection of S. Carol Avenue and W. Illinois Street, addressed as 6711 W. Illinois Street. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 10-0 to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends

APPROVAL SUBJECT TO MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS.
(District 3)

Completion of the following requirement within five years from the date the rezoning request is approved by the Board of Supervisors:

- ~~1. Provide adequate legal access to the property.~~
- ~~2. Recording a covenant agreeing to no more than one mobile home on this piece of property.~~
- ~~3. A suitable arrangement with the Pima County Department of Sanitation regarding sanitary facilities.~~
- ~~4. Recording a covenant holding Pima County harmless in the event of flooding.~~
1. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
2. Transportation condition: Each lot shall be limited to one (1) access point onto Illinois Street.
3. Adherence to the sketch plan as approved at public hearing.
4. One residential unit shall be allowed per lot.
5. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
6. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding the Private Property Rights Protection Act: "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. § 12-1134(I)."

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co9-70-40, subject to modified standard and special conditions.

27. **Hearing – Type III Conditional Use Permit**

P22CU00009, MANZANITA INVESTMENT GROUP, L.L.C. – W. VALENCIA ROAD
Manzanita Investment Group, L.L.C., represented by 3000 W. Valencia, L.L.C. and
Lazarus & Silvyn, request a Type III Conditional Use Permit for a Marijuana
Dispensary, in accordance with Section 18.43.030.B of the Pima County Zoning
Code on property located at 3000 W. Valencia Road, in the CB-1 (Local Business)
zone. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 10-0 to recommend
APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing
Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO SPECIAL AND STANDARD
CONDITIONS. (District 5)

Standard Conditions

Compliance with all specialized requirements enumerated in Section 18.43.030.B.59 of the Pima County Zoning Code.

Special Conditions

1. This conditional use permit approval is for a marijuana dispensary as permitted per Section 18.43 (Local Business Zone) of the Code. No other non-residential or commercial conditional uses other than the above are authorized or implied.

2. This marijuana facility shall be operated in substantial accordance with the business operations, floorplan, and other particulars as described in the applicant's submitted materials.

Keri L. Silvyn, Law Offices of Lazarus & Silvyn, P.C., representative for the applicant, provided a presentation to the Board. She stated that Minute Item Nos. 27, 28 and 29 had met the code requirements that all of them would be located in vacant portions of existing retail centers. She stated that the Valencia Road location was zoned as CB-1 and the comprehensive plan designation was multifunctional corridor. She explained that Copperstate's use was vertically integrated, which meant they operated green houses in Arizona and had licensed dispensaries located north of the Gila, but hoped to have three of them licensed south of the Gila after today. She stated that customer experience was very important to the brand and indicated that access to medical nurses for questions related to medical use was available and the facility had significant security with cameras located inside and outside of the facilities. She stated that incorporating them into retail centers provided a higher level of security due to the heightened scrutiny both from the State, as well as the extra step taken by hiring off-duty sheriffs who worked closely with law enforcement in those areas. She stated that many of the County dispensary regulations were related to odor mitigation and because this product was received already packaged it would not be an issue and indicated that state-of-the-art activated carbon filters had been instituted on the exhaust system as a precautionary measure to mitigate that possibility. She indicated that CB-1 standards for conditional use had been met, as well as all of the conditional use permit criteria, which was addressed in the Hearing Administrator's report. She indicated that neighborhood outreach for all three locations had been conducted and that the meeting for the Valencia location had been held on October 6th and the one for the 6437 location was held on October 3rd. She indicated that there had been no attendees at the Planning & Zoning (P&Z) hearing or meeting for the Valencia location and only one phone call had been received. She stated that for the 6437 location, there were no attendees at the P&Z hearing, but the president of the Homeowners' Association for the townhomes across the street had attended the meeting, listened to the presentation and felt all of his concerns were addressed.

Supervisor Grijalva inquired about the distance between the Oracle locations since they seemed close in proximity.

Ms. Silvyn responded that they were situated 2,800 feet apart, which were the required distance for dispensaries. She stated that the same question had been asked by P&Z and explained that they were two very different locations on opposite sides of the street; one was much smaller than the other, which would probably be used as a pick up and go facility and the larger one used for customers who needed more attention and help with the product. She indicated that the neighborhood meeting for the 6026 N. Oracle location had been held on October 3rd with one attendee and she had received a letter of support from the owner of the shopping center located across the street that happened to be one of the other locations that Copperstate had looked into. She added that the owner had conveyed in his letter that they were a professionally run entity and indicated that the one attendee had

also stated she appreciated their presentation, professionalism and the safety and security that would be provided.

Supervisor Grijalva inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and approve P22CU00009, subject to standard and special conditions.

28. Hearing – Type III Conditional Use Permit

P22CU00010, S1K, L.L.C. – N. ORACLE ROAD

S1K, L.L.C., represented by 6437 N. Oracle, L.L.C. and Lazarus & Silvyn, request a Type III Conditional Use Permit for a Marijuana Dispensary, in accordance with Section 18.43.030.B of the Pima County Zoning Code on property located at 6437 N. Oracle Road, in the CB-1 (Local Business) zone. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 9-1 (Commissioner Maese voted Nay) to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 1)

Standard Conditions

Compliance with all specialized requirements enumerated in Section 18.43.030.B.59 of the Pima County Zoning Code.

Special Conditions

1. This conditional use permit approval is for a marijuana dispensary as permitted per Section 18.43 (Local Business Zone) of the Code. No other non-residential or commercial conditional uses other than the above are authorized or implied.
2. This marijuana facility shall be operated in substantial accordance with the business operations, floorplan, and other particulars as described in the applicant's submitted materials.
3. The applicant will coordinate with S1K, LLC (the property owner) to create six (6) additional striped parking spaces within adjacent Parcel No. 102-02-008E. The new striped parking spaces will be located on existing pavement within this parcel, and will be configured to meet Code parking standards for size and for paved vehicular access and maneuverability to and from the new spaces.
4. The new spaces can be effectuated without any formal Development Plan or Site Development Package. An updated Site Plan, similar to that submitted with this conditional use permit application and delineating the new parking spaces, is sufficient in conjunction with the final issuance of this conditional use permit by the Development Services Department.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 27, for discussion related to this item.)

Supervisor Scott inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and approve P22CU00010, subject to standard and special conditions.

29. **Hearing – Type III Conditional Use Permit**

P22CU00011, CASA BLANCA PLAZA, L.L.C. – N. ORACLE ROAD
Casa Blanca Plaza, L.L.C., represented by 6026 N. Oracle, L.L.C. and Lazarus & Silvyn, request a Type III Conditional Use Permit for a Marijuana Dispensary, in accordance with Section 18.43.030.B of the Pima County Zoning Code on property located at 6026 N. Oracle Road, in the CB-1 (Local Business) zone. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 10-0 to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 1)

Standard Conditions

Compliance with all specialized requirements enumerated in Section 18.43.030.B.59 of the Pima County Zoning Code.

Special Conditions

1. This conditional use permit approval is for a marijuana dispensary as permitted per Section 18.43 (Local Business Zone) of the Code. No other non-residential or commercial conditional uses other than the above are authorized or implied.
2. This marijuana facility shall be operated in substantial accordance with the business operations, floorplan, and other particulars as described in the applicant's submitted materials.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 27, for discussion related to this item.)

Supervisor Scott inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Chair Bronson to close the public hearing and approve P22CU00011, subject to standard and special conditions. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott asked whether the project required votes from the Planning and Zoning Commission, the Hearing Administrator and the Board since it was a Type III Conditional Use Permit.

Chair Bronson responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-0, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote.

30. **Hearing – Type III Conditional Use Permit**

P22CU00012, FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE TR 60380 – S. ROCKING K RANCH LOOP

Fidelity National Title Tr 60380, represented by State 48 Consulting, request a Type III Conditional Use Permit for a wireless communication facility (portion of parcel 205-95-3260) in accordance with Section 18.07.030 of the Pima County Zoning Code in the SP (TDR-SA) (Rocking K Specific Plan – Transfer of Develop Rights – Sending Area) zone, located south of the T-intersection of S. Rocking K Ranch

Loop and S. Mountain View Way. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 10-0 to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 4)

Standard Requirements per the Pima County Zoning Code:

1. Adherence to all requirements of Section 18.07.030H (General Regulations and Exceptions) of the Pima County Zoning Code.

Recommended Special Conditions:

1. The new top height of the tower structure shall not be more than the requested one eighty-five feet (85').
2. The new tower structure and antennae arrays shall be camouflaged as a western-style water tank as illustrated on the submitted construction drawings.
3. The tower and its associated on-the-ground equipment area shall be located on the property as shown on the submitted set of construction drawings.
4. The exterior wall of the on-the-ground equipment area shall be a minimum of eight feet (8') tall and be constructed of decorative brown slump-block. The access gate shall have a rusted metal finish.

Supervisor Christy inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to close the public hearing and approve P22CU00012, subject to standard and special conditions.

31. Hearing – Appeal of Hearing Administrator’s Decision

P22CU00008, PIMA COUNTY RIGHT-OF-WAY – E. ORANGE GROVE RD

In accordance with Section 18.97.030 of the Pima County Zoning Code, an appeal of the Hearing Administrator’s decision in Case No. P22CU00008 has been filed. The appeal was submitted by Kathy Bauldauf, a property owner in the noticed area.

Request of Pima County represented by Linda Grice, on property identified as right-of-way located at the southwest corner of E. Orange Grove Road and N. 1st Avenue in the CR-4 (Mixed-Dwelling Type) zone, for a Type I Conditional Use Permit for a replacement Communication Tower, in accordance with Section 18.07.030.H of the Pima County Zoning Code. The Hearing Administrator recommends APPROVAL. (District 1)

At the request of the applicant and without objection, this item was withdrawn from the agenda.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

32. 2022 Election

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding the After-Action Reports prepared by the Pima County Elections Director and Recorder for the 2022 General Election, as well

as the new voting system implemented for the 2022 Election cycle including, but not limited to, its operational and fiscal impacts. (District 4)

At the request of Supervisor Christy and without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of January 10, 2023.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

33. Justices of the Peace – Judicial Productivity Credits

In accordance with A.R.S. §22-125, the Supreme Court has provided the Judicial Productivity Credits for Fiscal Year 2022. Staff recommends approval of the salary adjustments for the Justices of the Peace, effective January 1, 2023, as listed in the Finance Director's Memorandum dated December 13, 2022.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson stated that the Board had received a letter from Judge Carroll, Green Valley Justice Court that offered his support for the item and listed his concerns related to the precinct boundary changes and how those changes would impact caseloads in JP7 and that there was currently no process to transfer cases to the proper jurisdiction. She stated that Judge Carroll requested assistance with the following:

- An accounting of all filings for all cases in Pima County Consolidated Court for FY21/22 that should have been filed in JP7 (zip codes 85756, 85629, 85614, 85641, 85645, 85601, 85633, 85622).
- An automated, daily data pull from AGAVE sent to the Green Valley Justice Court displaying all filings for JP7 zip codes.
- A discussion and plan moving forward to ensure that Green Valley Justice Court receives the filings that belong in JP7.

Chair Bronson stated that she concurred with Judge Carroll's remarks and included a caveat to her motion, directing staff to provide assistance to Judge Carroll with his requests.

Supervisor Scott requested that the County Administrator provide a report on what may be required in terms of changes in statute.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that the information would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion to approve the item, as directed carried 4-0, Supervisor Heinz was present for the vote.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

PROCUREMENT

34. Award

Award: DO-IT-23-14929, CDW Government, L.L.C., to provide for DocuSign electronic signatures and workflow. The Statement of Work under this Delivery Order is effective December 20, 2022, under which CDW and DocuSign will implement the software for Pima County departments and elected officials to implement secure electronic signatures on documents and associated workflows, with audit trails and tracking. The fees associated with this SOW shall not exceed \$621,745.00 (including sales tax), the total amount of which is available under CDW Government, L.L.C. contract MA-PO-17-71. Funding Source: 6013-IT Computer Hardware/Software Fund. Administering Department: Information Technology.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

35. Transportation Advisory Committee

Reappointment of Lucretia Free. Term expiration: 12/31/26. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the item.

CONSENT CALENDAR

36. Approval of the Consent Calendar

Upon the request of Supervisor Grijalva to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 4, 7 and 31 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

Upon the request of Supervisor Christy to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 3, 4, 5, 7, 10, 12, 21, 22 and 23 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was then moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-0 vote, Supervisor Heinz was not present for the vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar, as amended.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION

JUSTICE COURT

31. Judge Pro Tempore Appointment

Appointments of Judge Pro Tempore of the Pima County Justice Courts for the period of January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023:

Dr. Damond Holt and Frederick Klein.

At the request of Judge Ray Carroll, Green Valley Justice Court, and without objection, the appointment of Dr. Damond Holt was delayed until April 2023.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Facilities Management

3. Downtown Tucson Partnership, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Downtown Tucson Partnership lease agreement located at 220 N. Stone Avenue, Suite 170, extend contract term to 1/17/24 and amend contractual language, no cost (CTN-FM-21-51)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy stated that for clarity purposes, he requested information about the partnership and asked how long it had existed with the County.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that the information would be provided to the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked about the City of Tucson's contribution to the partnership.

Ms. Leshar stated that the information would be provided to the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked whether office space for the Downtown Partnership was provided free of charge by the County.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, responded that the partnership had been formed in 1998 and explained that the occupied office space was in exchange for services they provided to downtown County facilities, such as the Public Works Parking Garage, the Historic Courthouse

and the El Presidio Plaza. He stated that they provided monitoring and enforcement services for the County in exchange for occupancy of that space.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the location of the office space.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded that it was located on the ground floor of the parking garage, south of the new Justice Courthouse.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether the occupied space justified the exchange that the partnership provided for the County.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded in the affirmative and explained that it was the arrangement of the previous agreement that was approved by the Board.

Supervisor Christy inquired about City of Tucson's involvement with the partnership.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., explained that this particular agreement was tied to the services that the Downtown Partnership provided for Pima County facilities.

Ms. Leshar responded that the City of Tucson was involved with the partnership and served on the Board along with the County, Rio Nuevo and other local businesses. She stated that a breakdown of the amounts provided from the other jurisdictions would be given to the Board.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISORS CHRISTY AND GRIJALVA

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Facilities Management

4. EMS Leasing Company, L.L.C., to provide a lease agreement for property located at 1150 W. Drexel Road, FEMA EFSP Humanitarian Relief Fund, contract amount \$332,448.78 (CT-FM-23-153)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva inquired whether outreach efforts had been made to the neighbors near the facility.

Steve Holmes, Deputy County Administrator, responded that no outreach had been done, but would begin after confirmation of the project moving forward.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that it would help alleviate community concerns and asked staff to publically provide a description of how the facility would be used.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, confirmed that if the contract was approved, a variety of public outreach would be conducted. She explained that it would be funded by FEMA and would be used for housing legal asylum seekers within the community. She stated that the County was working closely with FEMA to ensure that they continued funding those operations and explained that FEMA had indicated they wanted the County to move towards a single facility or congregate sheltering model. She added that moving forward with this location would be an end to various hotel contracts in the community.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that she was concerned with the recent rise of COVID transmission within the community and commented that congregate facilities were super spreaders. She asked for information on how the County would handle capacity levels during high transmission outbreaks.

Ms. Leshner responded that she would provide the Board with a detailed layout and indicated that since occupancy of the facility would not occur for at least 45 to 60 days after approval, she hoped that the current peak seen in COVID numbers would go down. She added that all of the locations worked closely with the Health Department to ensure proper protocols were followed.

Supervisor Grijalva asked whether the short-term lease was so that Catholic Community Services could provide services there.

Ms. Leshner explained that the lease was short-term due to the unknown outcome of Title 42 and whether the federal government would continue providing reimbursement for continuation of these types of operations.

Supervisor Christy commented that it was important for the public to understand the purpose, program goals and public benefit of the project. He outlined some of the benefits listed in the background material, which indicated that it was Catholic Community Services criteria for providing safe, sanitary shelter and temporary respite for asylum seekers who were legally present in the United States. He questioned why the County was leasing the property and not Catholic Community Services.

Ms. Leshner responded that since April 2019, the County had been working with FEMA and local non-profits, primarily Catholic Community Services, to help move legal asylum seekers to their final destination. She explained that

Catholic Community Services had been contracted by the County to run both the Casa Alitas Welcome Center, as well as this facility and stated that the County was simply passing through the federal funds that were provided for this program.

Supervisor Christy questioned who was responsible tenant improvements and if the facility was ready for occupancy.

Ms. Leshar responded that tenant improvements were included in the lease. She indicated that it was not ready, but believed those costs had been anticipated as part of the lease and that any additional costs would be brought back before the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked who was responsible for any additional costs.

Ms. Leshar responded that any additional costs would be paid through the funds received from FEMA.

Supervisor Christy asked for confirmation that tenant improvements would be paid by the County.

Ms. Leshar stated that tenant improvements would be paid from the dollars received by the federal government.

Supervisor Christy questioned why community outreach had not been done prior to the leasing of the property.

Ms. Leshar responded that the zoning area and neighborhoods were familiar to the County and preliminary conversations had begun, but they wanted to wait until the lease was approved before beginning door-to-door information sharing and outreach.

Supervisor Christy questioned the need for a third facility when the County had two facilities that were available and asked why Casa Alitas or the Juvenile Detention Center were not being expanded.

Ms. Leshar responded that the Juvenile Detention Center was operating to the full extent of its capacity and capability for those services and the rest was being used by Juvenile Court for juvenile detention. She explained that this new facility would put an end to the hotel leases that the County had throughout the community.

Supervisor Christy commented that he was unaware that the Juvenile Detention Center was in use.

Ms. Leshar responded that part of the facility never stopped housing them.

Supervisor Christy inquired about Casa Alitas.

Ms. Leshar responded that Casa Alitas would continue on as an initial point for some of the administrative services and functions.

Supervisor Christy asked whether the future new model replacements would be big box facilities.

Ms. Leshar responded that through regular meetings with the funders out of Washington, D.C., it was indicated that this was the future desire of what they would be willing to fund.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether that meant the funding for Casa Alitas would be halted.

Ms. Leshar responded that FEMA was aware that Casa Alitas provided a central administrative function at the welcoming center and this new facility would then eliminate hotel sheltering facilities and move those individuals into big box locations.

Supervisor Christy asked whether this would replace other shelters and Jot property locations.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Christy asked when this movement would transpire.

Ms. Leshar responded that through continued communication with the federal government, funding would be available through March, but commitments existed through June and because it was not responsible to continue having leases and agreements in a long-term nature unless funding was guaranteed, she had expressed the importance of knowing availability more than three to six months at a time.

Supervisor Christy questioned why funding was inadvertently routed from FEMA.

Ms. Leshar responded that those services were directly funded from FEMA through the Emergency Food and Shelter Program.

Supervisor Christy commented that the background materials indicated that the facility needed to meet Catholic Community Services criteria and questioned why not FEMA's criteria.

Ms. Leshar explained that since Catholic Community Services worked with the many different entities and was familiar with the operations of the facility, the County relied on them to conduct their intakes and stated that FEMA was

aware of needs of the County. She stated that Catholic Community Services had operated since 2019 and had seen about 110,000 individuals come through their facility, which made them a reliable source for setting up the facility appropriately.

Supervisor Christy asked about the operating status and future of FEMA's big tent facility located at Los Reales.

Ms. Leshar responded that facility was a federal responsibility, but was happy to obtain the information and provide it to the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked whether she was aware of what would happen to the processing that was done there.

Ms. Leshar responded that it was a separate function that dealt with a different population that the County was not involved in.

Supervisor Scott questioned whether a month-to-month option was available after the initial six month period.

Ms. Leshar responded that if federal funding was secured for cost reimbursement, a month-to-month or renewal of the lease had been discussed.

Supervisor Scott commented about the program's goals and predicted outcomes and referenced a memorandum from Shane Clark, Director, Office of Emergency Management, which stated the program goals were "to provide a single stop big box facility that meets Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona criteria, for providing safe, sanitary shelter and temporary respite for asylum seekers who are legally present in the United States, along with capacity to accommodate more volunteers who are providing humanitarian aid and travel processing assistance," and had a question pertaining to the last part after the comma which read "a large scale congregate shelter is still on pace to become operational within the next two months. That location will be able to provide congregate shelter for over 300 individuals at any given time, of note, this facility will establish expedited throughput for individuals who are departing same-day or subsequent day."

He questioned whether the property being discussed was what Mr. Clark was referencing in that section and asked how that would help with the expedited throughput.

Ms. Leshar responded that knowing exactly where the individuals were located would shorten response time for moving them quickly to the next location and it would help eliminate some of the challenges they faced with working with different transportation providers. She stated that having those

individuals in a variety of non-congregate facilities made it more difficult to facilitate the next step.

Supervisor Scott questioned whether helping with the expedited throughput meant that Catholic Community Services and the County would continue to meet federal expectations for receiving FEMA and ESPF funding.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Scott stated that in the last sentence of that paragraph, Mr. Clark stated that “the Casa Alitas Welcome Center, Catholic Community Services and the County continue to look for other nongovernmental partners to support this operation,” and asked about the other nongovernmental partners.

Dr. Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator and Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services, explained that staff was strategically trying to identify other partners that would ease the burden on Catholic Community Services and the other faith community entities. He stated one such strategy articulated by the federal government, was to reach out to other faith communities in other parts of the country and stated they were currently transporting some individuals to other humanitarian partners in Phoenix in collaboration with the International Rescue Committee, as well as a second partner. He added that the Mr. Clark was researching a model currently evolving in El Paso, where they were working with faith communities located deeper in the interior of the Country and closer to the intended relocation.

Supervisor Scott commented that it was also noted by Mr. Clark that Casa Alitas and Catholic Community Services were partnering with the American Red Cross to equip the new facility with sheltering resources and questioned whether they might have other nongovernmental partners the County could contact.

Ms. Leshar responded that she would follow up with them and stated that they were the federal model who worked closely with FEMA to provide those services around the country.

Chair Bronson asked whether federal funding for the motels would cease if the County did not move forward with the big box lease.

Ms. Leshar concurred and stated that had been said regularly by the funders from FEMA.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Grants Management and Innovation

5. Arizona Food Bank Network, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Food Bank Assistance Program, extend contract term to 12/31/23 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-GMI-22-250)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked the reason for the delay in funding the food banks.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that payment was provided upon the receipt of their invoice.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether this was a County function.

Ms. Leshar responded that it was a contractual responsibility with the State Food Bank and one of the programs funded under the American Rescue Plan Act, for provision of services to more of the rural parts of the County.

Supervisor Christy asked if payments were held until the invoice was received from the food bank.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative. She stated that the extension request was because they had not used all of the funds allocated to them under the contract and believed they would still be able to do so with this extension.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISORS CHRISTY AND GRIJALVA

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Justices Services

7. Old Pueblo Community Services, to provide for the Pima County Housing First Program, General Fund, contract amount \$530,000.00 (CT-JS-23-258)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy commented that in 2014, Old Pueblo Community Services (OPCS) formally adopted the housing first philosophy for ending chronic homelessness in Tucson, and since then, they had opened over 100 low barrier bridge housing and shelter units for persons with the highest needs and vulnerability, but questioned why those individuals could not enter traditional high barrier shelters.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that she had invited Tom Litwicki from Old Pueblo Community Services, and Kate Vesely, Director of Justice Services, to speak about the program and highlight the expanded services to the community. She felt it was a good opportunity to showcase one of the programs funded by the County.

Thomas Litwicki, Chief Executive Officer, Old Pueblo Community Services, responded that shelters had rules and regulations regarding the people they took in and some of those rules were not able to be managed by individuals with significant mental illness. He explained that some of those reasons were people being separated from their partners or pets or no family housing availability and stated that the housing first model assumed individuals primarily got better by being housed versus being homeless and was the reason OPCS offered low-barrier shelters.

Supervisor Christy questioned why it was being administered by Justice Services.

Kate Vesely, Director, Justice Services, responded that housing first was a very specific program, which was partnered with OPCS and the City of Tucson (COT) and indicated that the COT contributed significant resources in support of it. She stated that it was administered through Justice Services because of the population served by the program and explained that all of their referrals came from the justice system, primarily Adult Probation and the Public Defender's Office. She stated that to be qualified for the program an individual had to have been arrested twice in the span of a year and the objective was to try and break that cycle of incarceration.

Supervisor Christy commented on a December 6, 2022 memorandum from the County Administrator that discussed a grant administered by the Pima County Behavioral Health INVEST Program, for individuals reentering the community from the Pima County Adult Detention Center who were at high risk of reoffending and had both, a substance use and mental health diagnosis. He stated that grant was under the auspices of the Pima County Behavioral Health and not the Justice Department.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that INVEST was an entirely different program and would be happy to provide the Board with information on both of those programs.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether they served the same population.

Ms. Leshar stated that they did not.

Supervisor Christy asked for confirmation that this facility would be low-barrier.

Mr. Litwicki responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Christy asked whether he was aware of the effects that it would have on the surrounding community.

Mr. Litwicki responded that issues could arise with any type of shelter around a community and stated a number of neighborhoods experienced challenges with large shelters. He explained that OPCS operated 11 locations and tried to avoid overtaxing any particular neighborhood.

Supervisor Christy inquired about the facility.

Mr. Litwicki responded that all of their locations were apartments and explained that each location provided low grade barrier shelter and housing for families and individuals and stated that they worked with each to get them moved into permanent housing.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether it would be located within the COT.

Mr. Litwicki responded in the affirmative.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether support would be received from the COT.

Mr. Litwicki responded in the affirmative and indicated that the COT currently paid for the permanent housing vouchers and supplied a significant amount of shelter beds.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether OPCS owned the facility located next to the Silver Saddle Restaurant.

Mr. Litwicki responded that they did not.

Supervisor Christy asked who had administered the program prior to OPCS.

Mr. Litwicki stated it was not in operation before OPCS and the County ventured in together.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether this low-barrier housing conflicted with the County's plan at the jail annex in collaboration with the Tucson Crime Free Coalition.

Ms. Leshar responded that this was a very specific program that had continued with Justice Services for a small population and stated that the County was continuing its conversations with the Coalition and others on moving away from the low-barrier services.

Supervisor Christy suggested Ms. Vesely read a few articles on housing first from the Wall Street Journal.

Ms. Vesely responded that the articles would be reviewed and stated that it was helpful to note that the amount of time spent by an individual in the transitional housing portion of the program was short and indicated that the objective was to move them into permanent supportive housing within scattered sites throughout the COT. She explained that the majority of individuals were in what was considered permanent supportive housing and not shelter housing and indicated that those who did leave, had too much income and no longer qualified for Section 8. She added that the program was very successful in getting people back on their feet and into the community.

Supervisor Scott commented that it was noted in the background material that currently 120 individuals were in permanent supportive housing and indicated he had a question regarding the section that dealt with the metrics available for measuring performance. He stated that it said the County would utilize an outcome based payment strategy where the contractor would only be paid in full if certain objectives were achieved and indicated it outlined those objectives. He asked about the last sentence in particular, which stated that the County intended to contract with a program evaluator utilizing grant funds and asked how that evaluator would enhance the program.

Ms. Vesely responded that there were delays in the funding set-up with the Bureau of Justice Assistance and stated that once that grant budget was cleared, they intended to work with an outside evaluator. She explained that the County had self-funded the program for the first two years and had contracted with the RAND Corporation. She indicated that the outcome of that was a cost benefit analysis and it was available for review by the Board.

Supervisor Scott commented that the Board had received it.

Supervisor Grijalva stated that her staff would reach out to Ms. Vesely and Mr. Litwicki for a tour of the facilities and indicated how important it was for

the Board to visit a low-barrier facility so they could better understand the services that were being provided and gain more knowledge of what was successful and what was not. She stated that this facility was a positive step forward.

Supervisor Christy stated that it was also important to perform community outreach to the neighbors and businesses around those low-barrier facilities.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Procurement

10. Award

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-26, Amendment No. 4, AAA Cab Service, Inc., d.b.a. AAA Full Transportation, to provide for short distance transportation services for asylum seekers. This amendment increases the award amount by \$525,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$1,025,000.00 and appends the Forced Labor of Ethnic Uyghurs provision to the contract, pursuant to A.R.S. §35-394. Funding Source: FEMA EFSP Humanitarian Relief Fund. Administering Department: Fleet Services.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked for clarification of the actual amount spent to date for providing safe transportation to asylum seekers, and indicated that the total listed in the background materials differed from the not-to-exceed amount in the master agreement.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, responded that the amount was for the cumulative not-to-exceed amount and indicated that all funds received were from the federal government. She stated that the current total would be provided to the Board with a breakdown of when and how much was spent.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

12. Microsoft Corporation, Amendment No. 8, to provide for Microsoft Premier support, amend contractual language and scope of services, ARPA Fund, contract amount \$900,000.00 (MA-PO-16-369) Information Technology

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy commented about the funds coming from the American Rescue Plan Act (ARPA) money and asked whether it was to benefit the Sheriff's Department communications.

Steve Holmes, Deputy County Administrator, responded that it was for the Sheriff and other elected officials who were migrating their current systems to the County's Information Technology (IT) central domains.

Supervisor Christy questioned how it qualified for ARPA funds.

Mr. Holmes responded that it had been previously vetted and approved by the Board as part of the IT budget. He stated that the details used for approval would be provided to the Board.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, explained that virtual capability was expanded and enhanced utilizing ARPA dollars when the County looked at using remote facilities, the ability of telecommuting and some of the software that allowed for Teams meetings.

Supervisor Christy commented that this seemed to be some sort of a size-fits-all because the Sheriff's technology and Supervisors' information were being used.

Ms. Leshar responded that this platform was used county-wide.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that it was important for the Board to understand which departments were not part of the Cloud, due to the possible risks not migrating to the Cloud might impose on the County.

Ms. Leshar responded that a report would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

21. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP), National Board, Amendment No. 2, to provide for

the FEMA, EFSP, Humanitarian Relief (“Phase HR22 award”), \$7,790,548.18 (GTAM 23-28)

Supervisor Christy stated that Consent Calendar Item Nos. 21 and 22 could be head together.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve Consent Calendar Item Nos. 21 and 22. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy asked for an explanation of the differences between the two grants.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that they were the same and it was simply the timing of the grants, for two different allocations from the federal government. She stated that initially there were questions about when the grants could be accepted and used, but confirmation from FEMA indicated funds were available through March 2023..

Supervisor Christy stated that the background materials for both items appeared identical.

Ms. Leshar explained that they were two different tranches of funding received for the same program for a variety of services that totaled approximately \$7,790,000.00 for the first grant and \$2.2 million for the second grant and indicated that the grants were for additional dollars received from the federal government.

Supervisor Christy commented that deposits made since May 2022 for both grants had totaled over \$21 million for Item No. 21, and \$23,255,000.00 for Item No. 22.

Ms. Leshar responded in the affirmative and stated it was due to the additional \$2 million received.

Supervisor Christy asked for confirmation that that total amount was not the sum of the \$21 million plus the \$23 million.

Ms. Leshar explained that it was the \$21 million added to the \$2 million, which amounted to the \$23 million.

Supervisor Christy questioned whether funding came from FEMA or EFSP.

Ms. Leshar responded that EFSP was provided by FEMA.

Supervisor Christy asked how long the County would receive funding for the program.

Ms. Leshar responded that staff worked regularly with FEMA to ensure that funding continued to be available and was part of the reason for the 6 month lease on a previous item. She explained that since the beginning of the operation in April 2019, every dollar spent for the legal asylum seekers program had come from the federal government and staff continued to advocate for 6 months to a year's worth of allocations for future planning purposes.

Supervisor Christy stated that approximately \$24 to \$25 million had been received for this program.

Ms. Leshar responded that she would provide a report to the Board with all of the expenditures since April 2019.

Supervisor Christy questioned how it affected the other Jot properties.

Ms. Leshar responded that this funding paid for those programs and approval of the large Drexel facility would essentially close down those non-congregate facilities and move them over to the big box type of facility.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

22. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**

Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP), National Board, Amendment No. 3, to provide for the FEMA, EFSP, Humanitarian Relief ("Phase CARES award"), \$2,212,029.36 (GTAM 23-38)

(Clerk's Note: See Consent Calendar Item No. 21, for discussion and action on this item.)

23. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**

U.S. Department of Treasury, to provide for the American Rescue Plan Act - Local Assistance and Tribal Consistency Fund, \$7,924,031.16 (GTAW 23-66)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy commented on some of the highlights contained in the background materials in regards to the broad discretion on how the funds could be spent and how it was stated that funding only applied to expenses incurred on or after March 15th. He asked for clarification of this award and who would be making the decisions about how it would be used given its flexible and broad parameters.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, pointed out that this grant was to cover costs incurred on or after March 15, 2021.

Supervisor Christy asked why that particular date.

Ms. Leshar responded that she thought it was related to when the Act was funded by Congress, but would research and provide the exact reasons to the Board. She explained that if the Board voted to accept those dollars, staff would develop a spending plan for presentation to and approval by the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked whether it would be an \$8 million separate funding source that staff would decide where and how to spend.

Ms. Leshar responded that staff would make recommendations to the Board on how it could be spent and were looking into a variety of initiatives approved by the Board in other programs. She indicated that it could be a funding opportunity for work with the street living homelessness, some of the crime activities, the annex or additional programs created by this Board and welcomed their direction. She indicated that staff was waiting on further guidance from the Treasury Department regarding the exact use and that that a spend plan would be presented to the Board.

Supervisor Christy asked about the proposal's timeframe.

Ms. Leshar responded that she hoped it would be presented at the January 24, 2023 meeting.

Supervisor Christy commented that there was reference to "Tribal Consistency" in the background materials and asked about the tribal element.

Ms. Leshar responded that it was a federal program for the Local Assistance and Tribal Consistency Fund, and stated that a full explanation of its uses would be provided to the Board.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR GRIJALVA

JUSTICE COURT

31. Judge Pro Tempore Appointment

Appointments of Judges Pro Tempore of the Pima County Justice Courts for the period of January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023:

Dr. Damond Holt and Frederick Klein

Chair Bronson noted that Judge Carroll had requested to delay the appointment of Dr. Damond Holt until April 2023 and without objection, the agenda had been previously amended to reflect that request.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the appointment of Frederick Klein. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that her office had received letters of support for Dr. Holt and felt he would be an asset to the County's judicial system. She questioned the postponement of his appointment.

Kristen Randall, Court Administrator, Green Valley Justice Court, responded that due to an illness, Judge Carroll had not been able to meet with Dr. Holt and wanted the opportunity to do so prior to his appointment, especially because of the added scrutiny received during a previous meeting. She indicated that they had not decided whether they would adopt the Tucson process for Pro Tempore appointments, but stated it was worth looking into. She stated that there were new things happening at Green Valley Justice Court, such as the unrolling of a Specialty Treatment Court Program and felt that Dr. Holt's trauma care perspective would be a very valuable asset for that program.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that because of the appointment difficulties experienced by the Green Valley and Ajo Courts, she would not have any issues exempting them.

Supervisor Scott referenced a memorandum received from Judge Carroll, which stated that the Consolidated Justice Court was developing a robust process for recommending new judges pro tempore and had been informed that the goal was to emphasize transparency and would include input from the independent courts of Green Valley and Ajo in the selection process. He commented about Ms. Randall's statement that they may or may not take part in the process and expressed his hope that both Green Valley and Ajo Courts would take part in that process.

Ms. Randall responded that they would definitely take part in the building of that process, but indicated that they would not commit to being a part of it.

She stated that they had a seat at the table and were having conversations with a few of the judges about how some of those unique concerns of rural courts could be incorporated and stated that Judge Klein was currently the only pro-tem willing to travel to the Green Valley and Ajo Courts.

Chair Bronson called the question. Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0, to approve the appointment of Frederick Klein.

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Behavioral Health

1. Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System, Amendment No. 5, to provide for detainee hospitalization and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-BH-20-6)

County Attorney

2. Squire Patton Boggs, L.L.P., Amendment No. 6, to provide for bond counsel services, extend contract term to 1/1/24 and amend contractual language, GO, HURF or COPS Funds, contract amount \$250,000.00 (CT-FN-18-187)

Facilities Management

3. Downtown Tucson Partnership, Inc., Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
4. EMS Leasing Company, L.L.C., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Grants Management and Innovation

5. Arizona Food Bank Network, Amendment No. 1, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Health

6. Arizona Superior Court in Pima County, to provide for Supportive Treatment and Engagement Programs Peer Support Specialist, no cost (CTN-HD-23-82)

Justice Services

7. Old Pueblo Community Services, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security

8. Department of Forestry and Fire Management, to provide a Cooperative Intergovernmental Agreement to prevent and suppress any wildland fires on state and private lands located outside incorporated municipalities, General Fund, contract amount \$50,000.00; \$50,000.00 revenue/5 year term (CT-OEM-23-263)

Procurement

9. **Award**
Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-23-93, AV Innovations, Inc. (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), to provide for audio/visual equipment, installation and service. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the annual award amount of \$1,100,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: Various (50%) and General (50%) Funds. Administering Department: Information Technology.
10. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-22-26, Amendment No. 4, AAA Cab Service, Inc., d.b.a. AAA Full Transportation, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
11. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-18-166, Amendment No. 6, Multiple Contractors, for verbatim transcription and translation services. This amendment extends the termination date to 3/31/23. No additional funds are required at this time. Administering Department: Sheriff.

Group A- Transcription Services

Contractor Name

Allyn, Barbara; Cornelius, Dana; Ellerd, Rhonda; Gallego, Ana; Graf, Judith; Hamberger, Charlene; Herbin, Carol; Holland, Roberta; Kennedy, Julie; LeWallen, Therese; Lin, Kim; Mendez, Norma; Moon, Katherine; Sanford, Rosemary; Transcription Services, L.L.C.; Woodruff, Linda; Zauner, Cheryl

Group B- Translation/Transcription Services

Contractor Name

Gallego, Ana; Lin, Kim; Mendez, Norma

12. Microsoft Corporation, Amendment No. 8, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
13. Aeon Nexus Corporation, to provide for PDS Case Management System, General (\$101,635.00) and Various Funds (with Grants), contract amount \$2,700,000.00 (MA-PO-23-47) Information Technology and Public Defense Services

14. KE&G Construction, Inc., Amendment No. 4, to provide for Kolb Road: Sabino Canyon Road to Sunrise Drive (4KSCSD) and amend contractual language, Transportation CIP Project (Federal (FHWA) - 68%, Development Impact Fees - 23%, Tucson Water - 8% and RWRD Obligations - 1%) Funds, contract amount \$516,000.00 (CT-TR-21-95) Transportation
15. Hasler Enterprise Solutions, L.L.C., d.b.a. Abelian, Launchpad Careers, Inc. and ForceBrain.com, Inc., d.b.a. SUMO Scheduler, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Community and Workforce Development Case Management System and amend contractual language, no cost (MA-PO-22-214) Community and Workforce Development and Information Technology
16. Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for engineering design services: Sunset Road: I-10 to River Road (4SRRIV), extend contract term to 11/30/25, amend contractual language and scope of services, Regional Transportation Authority (11%), Development Impact Fees (42%) and County Highway User Revenue Funds (47%), contract amount \$366,607.93 (CT-PW-21-331) Capital Program Office
17. Environmental Systems Research, d.b.a. ESRI, Amendment No. 7, to provide for the ESRI Geographic Information Systems Software ELA Project, extend contract term to 11/29/25 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$2,900,000.00 (MA-PO-17-125) Information Technology

Public Works Administration

18. Green Valley Council, Inc., d.b.a. Green Valley Council, Amendment No. 2, to provide for Green Valley Council services, extend contract term to 12/31/23, and amend contractual language, Health (27.1%), DOT (25.7%), RWRD (17.1%), DEQ (12.9%), DSD (8.6%) and RFCD Tax Levy (8.6%) Funds, contract amount \$87,500.00 (CT-PW-21-202)

Regional Wastewater Reclamation

19. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 1, to provide an intergovernmental agreement for wastewater billing services, extend contract term to 12/31/25 and amend contractual language, RWRD Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$15,000,000.00; \$495,000,000.00 revenue (CT-WW-18-196)

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

20. **Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development**
Arizona Department of Housing, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Weatherization Assistance Program, amend grant language and scope of work, \$156,236.00 (GTAM 23-42)

21. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP), National Board, Amendment No. 2, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
22. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**
Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA), Emergency Food and Shelter Program (EFSP), National Board, Amendment No. 3, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
23. **Acceptance - Grants Management and Innovation**
U.S. Department of Treasury, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)
24. **Acceptance - Office of Sustainability and Conservation**
Arizona State Parks and Trails, to provide for the Juan Santa Cruz Campground Rehabilitation Project, \$190,000.00/\$40,000.00 NRPR Special Revenue Fund match; total match \$98,359.87 (GTAW 23-77)

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

25. **Workforce Investment Board**
Appointment of Gina Pleas, representing GECD. Term expiration: 9/30/25. (Staff recommendation)
26. **Pima County Regional Affordable Housing Commission**
Ratification of City of Tucson appointments: Linda Morales, Ben Carpenter and Sarah Meggison. Term expirations: 12/19/26. (Jurisdictional recommendations)

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

27. **Special Event**
John Walter Kenning, Jr., Santa Catalina Catholic Church, 14380 N. Oracle Road, Tucson, December 10, 2022.
28. **Temporary Extension**
07100326, Thomas Robert Aguilera, Tucson Hop Shop, 3230 N. Dodge Boulevard, Tucson, February 2, June 10, 11, September 29, 30, December 9 and 10, 2023.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

29. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification**
City of Tucson \$1,714.23; Pima Medical Institute \$3,500.00; Top Gun Realty/Re/Max Results \$3,300.00; Jose G. Morales \$5,400.00; West

Publishing Corporation \$416.65; Green Water Villas de la Montana, L.L.C. \$2,806.99; Academy On-Demand, d.b.a. Academy For Caregiving Excellence \$75.00; Wick Communications, Co. \$273.00.

JUSTICE COURT

30. **Small Claims Hearing Officer Appointment**

Appointment of Small Claims Hearing Officer of the Pima County Justice Courts for the period of January 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023:

Erika Acle

31. **Judge Pro Tempore Appointment**

Appointments of Judges Pro Tempore of the Pima County Justice Courts (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

32. Minutes: October 18 and November 22, 2022

* * *

37. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 12:50 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK