

Aliza Barraza

From: Terra R. [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 4, 2023 11:46 AM
To: District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB_mail
Subject: NO to any climate change initiative

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Good morning Supervisors,

Please vote NO on agenda item 44: Acceptance and any other climate change initiative.

We do NOT need more federal money to continue to be beholden to the federal government.

What will all the data collection / data be used for? Have you considered the ramifications? Will the data be used to assess every individual's and business' carbon footprint? And will the arbitrarily chosen limit for carbon usage be forced onto your taxpaying constituents and businesses? Will "overuse" of carbon be linked to penalties? Have you considered the privacy and Constitutional violations this kind of data collecting will involve?

Please focus more on attracting more businesses to our county which will help ensure your constituents with have jobs.

Thank you for your consideration, Terra Radliff

SEP 05 23 07 54 PM CLK OF BD

Aliza Barraza

From: [REDACTED]
Sent: Monday, September 4, 2023 9:36 PM
To: Adelita Grijalva; Rex Scott; Matt Heinz; Sharon Bronson; Steve Christy; COB_mail
Subject: 09/05/2023 PIMA BOS Agenda Item #44: Good news!

CAUTION: This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please add my comment into official record.

Re: Agenda Item #44: Acceptance - Environmental Quality – VOTE NO!

The EPA is an unconstitutional agency. They were instituted by administrative law. They have since assumed unregulated powers that they do not have. Unelected bureaucrats make decisions over peoples' lives that they do not have any lawful business to. They do not have any right to interfere in our lives.

If the EPA would employ or listen to actual Scientists, they would have credence. Let them proof a climate emergency exists but with real evidence. Yes, the climate changes. It has done so for thousands of years. VOTE NO on item#44 and return any corruption money. I leave you with good news. More carbon dioxide means greening of the world, even Tucson.

Sincerely,

Gisela Aaron
Pima County

Good news, recently a second Nobel prize winner Dr. Clausner, has spoken about climate alarmism. He has signed a declaratory letter with more than 1600 real-world Scientists. See details here: <https://clintel.org/nobel-prize-winner-dr-john-f-clausner-signs-the-clintel-world-climate-declaration/>

Second Nobel Prize Winner Signs Letter With 1,600 Scientists Declaring Climate 'Emergency' A Myth
By: Tristan Justice September 01, 2023

A coalition of more than 1,600 scientists critical of their peers' hyperbolic claims about climate change drew a prominent recruit to sign their 2019 declaration that the climate "emergency" is a myth.

John Clauser, who won last year's Nobel Prize in physics, became the second Nobel laureate last month to sign the document with 1,607 other scientists rebuking the idea of a climate crisis.

"Climate science should be less political, while climate policies should be more scientific," the declaration organized by the Climate Intelligence Foundation (CLINTEL) reads. **"Scientists should openly address uncertainties and exaggerations in their predictions of global warming, while politicians should dispassionately count the real costs as well as the imagined benefits of their policy measures."**

SEP 05 23 4:07 PM PIMA CLERK OF BD

Last year, the International Energy Agency (IEA) debuted a roadmap to net-zero emissions that became the model for corporate bishops of environmental, social, and governance (ESG) standards. A June report from the Energy Policy Research Foundation criticized the initiatives outlined as a “green mirage.”

The IEA roadmap, researchers wrote, “will dramatically increase energy costs, devastate Western economies, and increase human suffering.” “The aim of global policy should be ‘prosperity for all’ by providing reliable and affordable energy at all times,” reads CLINTEL’s World Climate Declaration. **“There is no climate emergency. Therefore, there is no cause for panic and alarm.”**

Norwegian-American engineer Ivan Giaever, who won the Nobel Prize in physics in 1973, is also a signatory to the declaration.

“The popular narrative about climate change reflects a dangerous corruption of science that threatens the world’s economy and the well-being of billions of people. Misguided climate science has metastasized into massive shock-journalistic pseudoscience,”

Clauser said. “In turn, the pseudoscience has become a scapegoat for a wide variety of other unrelated ills. It has been promoted and extended by similarly misguided business marketing agents, politicians, journalists, government agencies, and environmentalists.”

The document makes several claims that contradict popular narratives peddled by climate hysterics. For example, the planet is warming slower than predicted and has not driven a spike in natural disasters. Mega-disasters are actually on the decline, while the destruction from natural events such as hurricanes and wildfires is on the rise. The increase in billion-dollar disasters, however, is a result of there being more to destroy. But that hasn’t stopped legacy outlets from blaming every natural event on the “climate crisis.”

Two years ago, The New York Times published “Postcards From A World On Fire” despite natural disaster deaths declining by 90 percent.

The World Climate Declaration also notes that carbon dioxide is plant food, “not a pollutant.” “It is essential to all life on Earth,” the document reads. In fact, reforestation is on the rise, promoted by a global “greening” effect proliferating plant growth.