

**Bernadette Russell**

**From:** Sally Schafer <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 3:21 PM  
**To:** COB\_mail  
**Subject:** Mask mandate

REC'D 28 FEB 2022 04:27 PM CLK OF ID

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

- During the last 2 years of the pandemic, the Pima County Health Department has never gathered or analyzed Pima County transmission data to determine the efficacy of mask wearing in reducing transmission. Its job is to look at local data and apply it to help us make sound decisions based on local needs.
- Both Dr. Garcia and Dr. Cullen have never provided full disclosure to the public about the fact that their Arizona State retirement pension fund invests in pharmaceutical companies and companies that benefit financially from Pima County Health Department medical and mask mandates. Shouldn't they be required to announce this at every meeting where they make recommendations for medical mandates, so that the public will be always aware that Pima County Health Department employees benefit financially from their medical and mask mandate recommendations?
- The apparent discrepancy between lifting the mask mandate for public spaces not owned by Pima County while maintaining them in facilities owned by Pima County is confusing and makes the Pima County Board of Supervisors look weak and ineffective. There is no need for this nonsensical double standard. New York and several other blue states have lifted their mask mandates. Why is Pima County different from New York? If the Pima County Health Department does not gather and analyze data to see if continuing mask mandates is justified or not, then a continuation of the mask mandate in Pima County buildings comes across as an "arbitrary and capricious abuse of governmental authority" and should immediately be lifted.
- The 2-year-old narrative about a deadly "novel" virus does not hold up when the Pima County Board makes so many exceptions for how it applies the rules. These exceptions cause the public to question the justification for the lockdowns that caused so many businesses to fail, and that caused a spike in domestic violence, drug use, child suicide, unemployment and homelessness. I myself would like to know if any of the restrictive pandemic measures were actually justified by the "lives-saved" argument? Also, has the Pima County Health Department ever done a side-by-side analysis of this? If not, why not?
- Thanks

**Bernadette Russell**

---

**From:** Lori Erwin <[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Monday, February 28, 2022 4:00 PM  
**To:** District1; DIST2; District3; District4; District5; COB\_mail  
**Subject:** LIFT MASK MANDATES & ALL MANDATES

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Please Vote YES LIFT MASK MANDATES.

Thank you,

Concerned Constituent,  
Lori Erwin

Sent from Yahoo Mail on Android

FD-2873-W0427 PC CLK OF DD