

**Matt Felix**

**From:** SW\_Sunshine [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Monday, October 20, 2025 4:33 PM  
**To:** Jan Leshar; District1; District2; District3; District4; District5; COB\_mail  
**Subject:** Re: Questions raised May 6, 2025, Pima Co Board mtg

**CAUTION:** This message and sender come from outside Pima County. If you did not expect this message, proceed with caution. Verify the sender's identity before performing any action, such as clicking on a link or opening an attachment.

Agenda item 10, 10/21/2025 Pima Board mtg

Greetings,

please add my comment into official records. I've asked for the scientific evidence and specifics but have not received an answer, explanation or evidence.

It is clear that you are loyal the United Nations' ICLEI that have no say here in America. You have given an oath of office to be loyal to the United States! You are in violation of your oath of office!

I am asking you the cease and desist your pandering to Foreign Nations and their unsustainable goals of reducing the very oxygen that all things on life breathe.

You are further violating US civil rights! Stop this immediately.

In Liberty,

Gisela Aaron

On Mon, Sep 15, 2025 at 5:31 PM, SW\_Sunshine [REDACTED] wrote:

Ms. Leshar,

When will you answer the questions below?

Gisela Aaron

Sent with Proton Mail secure email.

On Saturday, May 3rd, 2025 at 10:53 PM, MICHAEL AARON [REDACTED] wrote:

*Add this statement into official record.*

Agenda item: Climate Resolution

Greetings Ms. Leshner, Pima Co. Board members,

The very imminent danger you speak of in your Climate Resolution comes

from the many uninformed lay persons. How would you measure outcomes?

Explain how your policy choices would meaningfully affect global temperatures?

Are you aware of the benefits of CO<sub>2</sub>? Have you heard of photosynthesis?

Plants convert CO<sub>2</sub> during photosynthesis to oxygen that we breathe.

If you are aiming to reduce or completely go net zero by sequestering CO<sub>2</sub>,

you'd essentially be reducing our oxygen. **Is that what you intend on doing?**

Dr. William Happer, a retired Princeton University physicist and founder of the

CO<sub>2</sub> Coalition, explains **regulatory attack on CO<sub>2</sub> is essentially an attack on humanity itself.**

*"Carbon dioxide is at the basis of life on Earth. We live because plants are able to chemically transform carbon dioxide and water into sugar and a byproduct is the oxygen that we breathe, and so we should all be very grateful that we have carbon dioxide in the atmosphere." "Life would die without carbon dioxide," he explains.*

We concur with Dr. Happer's statement and the one below by the Competitive Enterprise Institute. Consider it, when making decisions on Pima County's Climate Resolution.

Thank you.

Gisela & Michael Aaron, Pima County

Climate change is not a hoax, but as a political matter, it is a persistent pretext for expanding government control over the economy, redistributing wealth, and empowering unaccountable elites at the expense of voters and their elected representatives.

There is also the constant conflating of climate policy with climate science in order to make subjective and ideological policy choices seem as if the science dictates those choices. But science informs policy, it does not provide objective answers to policy questions.

However, those who disagree with the climate policy choices favored by extremists are labeled with offensive terms like deniers. The Competitive Enterprise Institute rejects climate policies that assume Americans and humans all over the world must sacrifice their quality of living, be guilting into radical life changes, and give up on improving their standard of living in the name of fighting climate change. Even if the United States no longer existed, there would be little to no meaningful impact on global temperatures.

Therefore, the myriad of extreme policies are all costs and no gain. Using the force of government to impose policies that severely hurt humans today, especially the poor, without any meaningful benefits is not just foolish but indefensible. And when such policies are advanced, the proponents of those policies should always be expected to **explain how their policy choices would meaningfully affect global temperatures. When they are unable to provide answers, which will be the case, their policy choices should be quickly dismissed.**

The best way to deal with any genuine climate concerns is to remove government obstacles that hinder innovation, reduce wealth, and undermine prosperity and opportunity. Economic liberty benefits Americans generally, and at the same time, it is also the world's best climate policy. After all, the wealthiest and most prosperous nations are far more likely to develop solutions to such problems than other nations.

<https://cei.org/issues/energy-and-environment/climate/>

Climate Archives - Competitive Enterprise Institute



**Climate Archives - Competitive Enterprise Institute**

Climate change is not a hoax, but as a political matter, it is a persistent pretext for expanding government con.