## FLOOD CONTROL DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Flood Control District Board met remotely in special and regular session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

- Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
- Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

## TRUTH IN TAXATION AND FINAL BUDGET HEARING

## 1. Truth in Taxation Hearing

Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-254, conduct a public hearing on proposed expenditures and the District's intent to raise the secondary property taxes over last year's level.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to close the Truth in Taxation public hearing. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

## 2. Final Budget Hearing

Flood Control District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - FC1

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to close the public hearing, adopt the Flood Control District Final Budget in the amount of \$18,824,889.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.3335, and adopt Resolution No. 2021 - <u>FC1</u>. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

\* \* \*

# 3. **REQUEST FOR ELECTRIC EASEMENT**

Staff recommends approval of an electric easement to Tucson Electric Power Company, for property located in Pantano Wash, lying within Section 21, T14S, R15E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, \$500.00 revenue. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

# 4. **REQUEST FOR ELECTRIC EASEMENT**

Staff recommends approval of an electric easement to Tucson Electric Power Company, for property located in the Century Park Research Center, lying within Section 18, T15S, R15E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, \$2,550.00 revenue. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 5. AWARD

Master Agreement No. MA-PO-21-192, Granite Construction Co. (Headquarters: Watsonville, CA), Calmat Co., d.b.a. Vulcan Materials-Western Division (Headquarters: Birmingham, AL), Pavement Sealants & Supply, Inc. (Headquarters: Albuquerque, NM), to provide for asphaltic concrete. This Master Agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the shared annual award amount of \$1,085,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. <u>Funding Source:</u> Transportation Ops and Flood Control Ops Funds. <u>Administering Department</u>: Transportation.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 6. **CONTRACT**

City of Tucson, to provide for construction and maintenance of the Tucson Arroyo Box Culvert as part of the Downtown Links, Interstate 10 to Broadway Boulevard Roadway Improvements Project, Flood Control Tax Levy Fund, contract amount \$6,000,000.00/2 year term (CT-FC-21-480)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

# 7. CONTRACT

City of Tucson, to provide for the conveyance of lands for flood control management purposes, no cost/2 year term (CTN-FC-21-481)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 8. CONTRACT

Mel Nevitt, to provide for a Sales Agreement and Special Warranty Deed for property located north of Speedway Boulevard, east of Anita Avenue and on the west side of the Union Pacific right-of-way, Tax Parcel Nos. 115-18-036A and 115-18-037A, in Section 2, T14S, R13E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, contract amount \$35,000.00 revenue (CTN-PW-21-136)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 9. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

	CHAIR
ATTEST:	
CLERK	_

## **IMPROVEMENT DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES**

The Pima County Improvement District Board met remotely in special session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

- Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
- Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

## 1. FINAL BUDGET HEARINGS

Improvement District Final Budgets for Fiscal Year 2021/2022, as follows.

If approved, pass and adopt:

Resolution No. <u>21</u>, in the amount of \$40,000.00 for Hayhook Ranch. Resolution No. <u>25</u>, in the amount of \$26,832.00 for Mortimore Addition. Resolution No. <u>28</u>, for the following Districts:

#### Street Lighting Improvement District

CARDINAL ESTATES	\$12,030
CARRIAGE HILLS NO. 1	\$ 6,947
CARRIAGE HILLS NO. 3	\$ 1,192
DESERT STEPPES	\$ 4,171
HERMOSA HILLS ESTATES	\$ 3,572
LAKESIDE NO. 1	\$ 5,560
LITTLETOWN	\$15,286
LONGVIEW ESTATES NO. 1	\$ 7,544
LONGVIEW ESTATES NO. 2	\$ 8,733
MAÑANA GRANDE B	\$ 5,955
MAÑANA GRANDE C	\$ 9,727
MIDVALE PARK	\$12,359
OAK TREE NO. 1	\$20,739
OAK TREE NO. 2	\$16,286
OAK TREE NO. 3	\$28,453
ORANGE GROVE VALLEY	\$ 6,148
PEACH VALLEY	\$ 3,250
PEPPERTREE	\$ 9,055
ROLLING HILLS	\$15,637
SALIDA DEL SOL	\$13,242

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Chair Bronson to close the public hearing, approve the Improvement District Final Budgets and adopt the Resolutions. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

#### 2. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

	CHAIR
ATTEST:	
CLERK	

## LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Library District Board met remotely in special and regular session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

- Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
- Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

## TRUTH IN TAXATION AND FINAL BUDGET HEARING

#### 1. Truth in Taxation Hearing

Pursuant to A.R.S. §48-254, conduct a public hearing on proposed expenditures and the District's intent to raise the secondary property taxes over last year's level.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the Truth in Taxation public hearing. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

#### 2. Final Budget Hearing

Library District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>LD1</u>

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the public hearing, adopt the Library District Final Budget in the amount of \$45,031,490.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.5353, and adopt Resolution No. 2021 - <u>LD1</u>. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

\* \* \*

## 3. CONTRACT

Innovative Interfaces Incorporated, to provide a Master Agreement for Sierra subscription and hosted services, Library District Ops Fund, contract amount \$1,737,000.00/5 year term (MA-PO-21-169)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 4. **GRANT ACCEPTANCE**

US Institute for Museum and Library Services, to provide for the American Rescue Plan Act - Library Services and Technology Act, \$19,211.51 (GTAW 21-167)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 5. **GRANT ACCEPTANCE**

Institute of Museum and Library Services, to provide for community-based science projects, \$3,000.00/\$300.00 Library District Fund match (GTAW 21-155)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 6. **GRANT ACCEPTANCE**

Institute of Museum and Library Services, to provide for remote access lockers, \$61,260.00/\$9,940.00 Library District Fund match (GTAW 21-156)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 7. **GRANT ACCEPTANCE**

Institute of Museum and Library Services, to provide for the Our Story - A Communities of Color Curation Project, \$47,630.00/\$21,700.00 Library District Fund match (GTAW 21-157)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

# 8. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

	CHAIR
ATTEST:	
CLERK	

# **ROCKING K SOUTH COMMUNITY FACILITIES DISTRICT BOARD MEETING MINUTES**

The Pima County Rocking K South Community Facilities District Board met remotely in special session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

- Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
- Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

## 1. FINAL BUDGET HEARING

Rocking K South Community Facilities District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>RK1</u>

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Chair Bronson to close the public hearing, adopt the Rocking K South Community Facilities District Final Budget in the amount of \$1,531,155.00, and adopt Resolution No. 2021 - <u>RK1</u>. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

# 2. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

## STADIUM DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Stadium District Board met remotely in special and regular session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

- Present: Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
- Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

#### 1. **FINAL BUDGET HEARING**

Stadium District Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>SD1</u>

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing, adopt the Stadium District Final Budget in the amount of \$8,566,944.00 and adopt Resolution No. 2021 - <u>SD1</u>. Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

#### 2. AWARD

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-21-50, Amendment No. 2, RB Becken, Inc., d.b.a. Jan-Pro Cleaning Systems of Tucson, to provide for janitorial services for Kino Sports Complex. This amendment increases the annual award amount by \$75,000.00 from \$250,000.00 to \$325,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$325,000.00. <u>Funding Source</u>: Stadium District Fund. Administering Department: Kino Sports Complex.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

# 3. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

	CHAIR
ATTEST:	
CLERK	

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES**

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met remotely in special and regular session through technological means at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 22, 2021. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present:	Sharon Bronson, Chair Adelita S. Grijalva, Vice Chair Rex Scott, Member Dr. Matt Heinz, Member Steve Christy, Member
	Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board Juan Carlos Navarro, Sergeant at Arms

## 1. INVOCATION

The invocation was given by Rabbi Yehuda Ceitlin, Chabad Tucson.

# 2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

## 3. POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Chair Bronson acknowledged Dr. Laura Banks-Reed's, native Tucsonan and University of Arizona Alumna, 100th birthday. Supervisor Grijalva noted that the Alpha Kappa Alpha Sorority, Inc. had sponsored a Birthday Book Drive in honor of Dr. Banks-Reed.

Supervisor Grijalva recognized Juneteenth's 50th anniversary and Pride Month.

## 4. PAUSE 4 PAWS

The Pima County Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

#### 5. **PUBLIC COMMENTS**

The Clerk of the Board read submitted public comments and the statements were added to the record.

\* \* \*

# FINAL BUDGET HEARINGS AND TRUTH IN TAXATION HEARINGS

## 6. Truth in Taxation Hearing

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-17104 and §42-17107, the Board of Supervisors will conduct a public hearing on proposed expenditures and Pima County's intent to raise the primary property taxes over last year's level.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received.

Supervisor Christy requested clarification on the County's intent to raise primary property taxes over last year's level and asked for a comparison of the budgets for FY 2020/2021 and FY 2021/2022.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that the Truth in Taxation formula was devised by the legislature and provided additional disclosures related to increased property tax levies. He explained that the proposed budget's primary property tax rate had decreased pursuant to the Pay-As-You-GO (PAYGO) program, which caused an increased in the tax levy and triggered a Truth in Taxation hearing. He stated that the FY 2020/2021 General Fund primary tax rate was \$3.92 versus \$3.88 for FY 2021/2022 and the levy amounts were \$359,000,000.00 and \$375,000,000.00 respectively.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the Truth in Taxation public hearing. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

## **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

## 7. Final Budget Hearing

Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - 36

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See the attached verbatim for Minute Item No. 7, related to this item.)

#### 8. Adopt Debt Service Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Christy to close the public hearing and adopt the Debt Service Final Budget in the amount of \$109,492,831.00 with an effective tax rate of \$0.4500. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

\* \* \*

# 9. CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 10:37 a.m.

## 10. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 11:20 a.m. All members were present.

## EXECUTIVE SESSION

11. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for discussion or consultation for legal advice regarding requested disciplinary action against Constable Oscar Vasquez.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

12. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for legal advice only, regarding waiver of Attorney-Client privilege regarding written communication from the County Attorney on differential water rates.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

13. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a proposed settlement in Tucson Monastery, L.L.C. v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case No. TX2021-000053.

Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated that the County Attorney's Office recommended acceptance of the proposed settlement which would reclassify the property to a Class 4. The County Attorney's Office sought direction on whether to proceed with the proposed settlement.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to accept the County Attorney's recommendation and reclassify the property to Class 4.

14. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3), for discussion or consultation for legal advice, regarding discussion/action to release to the public the May 17, 2021, Attorney-Client Privileged Memorandum from Deputy County Attorney Daniel Jurkowitz regarding a County Minimum Wage Ordinance.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

15. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding a settlement offer in WAL-MART Stores, Inc., et al. v. Pima County, Arizona Tax Court Case Nos. TX2017-000602, TX2018-000999, and TX2020-000985.

Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated the County Attorney's Office sought direction on whether to proceed with the proposed settlement.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to reject the proposed settlement offer.

## BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

16. The Board of Supervisors on May 18, 2021, continued the following:

#### FY22 Funding for Home Sharing Pilot

Discussion/Action regarding a measure directing the County Administrator and County staff to include \$200,000.00 in the Final FY22 Pima County Budget to fund the Year 1 pilot of a Home Sharing Program in Pima County, administered by Pima Council on Aging (PCOA). Such funding would be separate and apart from PCOA's current outside agency funding from Pima County, which leverages millions in federal matching dollars for programs and services for Pima County's elderly communities. (District 2)

It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to approve the allocation of \$200,000.00 from the General Fund's Ending Fund Balance for the Home Sharing Pilot Program. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Bronson stated that the concept was worth exploring, but due to equity, transparency and accountability issues she could not support the item. She noted that the City of Tucson would receive the greatest benefit and they were only contributing \$50,000.00.

Supervisor Scott offered a friendly amendment that the County match the City of Tucson's contribution of \$50,000.00 per year for two years by making a one-time contribution of \$100,000.00.

Supervisor Grijalva accepted the friendly amendment, Supervisor Heinz declined the friendly amendment as the seconder to the motion.

Supervisor Grijalva explained that she was inclined to agree to a lesser investment, rather than have the measure fail.

A substitute motion was made by Supervisor Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve a one-time allocation of \$100,000.00 from the General Fund to the Home Sharing Pilot Program. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson provided staff direction that the County Administrator provide a report which analyzed the risk.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 3-2, Chair Bronson and Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

## 17. Appointment of Sergeant at Arms

Appointment of Lt. Juan Carlos Navarro as the Sergeant at Arms, effective May 23, 2021.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 18. For the People Act Resolution

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>37</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, supporting passage of H.R. 1/S.1, the "For the People Act." (District 2)

It was moved by Supervisor Heinz and seconded by Supervisor Christy to adopt the Resolution. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy indicated that he could not support the Resolution since it was inaccurate, divisive, politically motivated and not the responsibility of the Board as a local jurisdiction. He stated that elections should be conducted at the state and local levels, not under federal control.

Supervisor Scott expressed concern that the Resolution brought Washington-level partisanship into local government and federal legislation was not the Board's role.

Supervisor Heinz indicated that political parties were not referenced in the Resolution. He stated that the Resolution contained statement of values on important issues regarding the foundation of democracy.

Upon roll call vote, the motion failed 2-3, Chair Bronson and Supervisors Christy and Scott voted "Nay."

#### 19. Compensation for Capital Improvement Projects

Discussion/Action on the following motion: "Contractors and subcontractors with employees who work on capital improvement projects funded by Pima County shall be compensated at the relevant trade published federal wage determination as set by the U.S. Department of Labor." (District 2)

At the request of District 2 and without objection, this item was removed from the agenda.

## COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

#### 20. **Proposed Disciplinary Action**

Discussion/Action. Pursuant to A.R.S. §22-137(A)(5)(f), the Constable Ethics, Standards and Training Board recommend Constable Oscar Vasquez, Justice Precinct 4, resign from his position. In absence of said resignation, it is recommended that he be placed on suspension without pay for 180 days.

It was moved by Chair Bronson to approve the suspension of Constable Vasquez without pay for 180 days.

Supervisor Scott seconded the motion and offered a friendly amendment that Constable Vasquez be given the opportunity to resign from his position before the suspension was executed.

Chair Bronson accepted the friendly amendment to the motion. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Heinz stated that he could not support this item since the primary reason for this action was that the Constable failed to serve a timely eviction until the tenant had secured housing during a pandemic.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 3-2, Supervisors Grijalva and Heinz voted "Nay."

#### 21. Pima County Commission on Trial Court Appointments

Discussion/Action. Staff recommends each member form a nominating committee as prescribed by law and have the committee advertise for non-attorney appointments to the Pima County Commission on Trial Court Appointments and then forward those along with a recommendation for appointment of two members from each district of opposite parties to the Governor.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva commented that this was a complicated and time consuming process which was historically unsuccessful.

Supervisor Christy inquired whether there was a protocol for reappointing members once their terms expired.

Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded that the issue would be researched and information would be provided.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

## 22. Updates and Action on COVID-19

## • Revisions to Board of Supervisors Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Board of Supervisors Policy No. C 2.9, Temporary Policy - Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19).

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See the attached verbatim related to this item. Verbatim was necessary due to the nature and evolving circumstance related to COVID-19.)

## COMMUNITY AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

#### 23. Annual Action Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>38</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve submission of the Pima County 2021-2022 Annual Action Plan to the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development.

#### FY 2021/2022 Pima County Community Development Block Grant (CDBG) Program

Location-Applicant/Program/Activity/District/Request/Recommendation Ajo Center for Sustainable Agriculture/Seeds of Future/ps/3/\$28,000/\$28,000 Ajo/Gibson Fire Volunteer Fire Dept/Extrication Tools/pf/3/\$65,666/\$33,000 Ajo-International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Ajo Builds/hsg/3/\$40,000/\$40,000 Ajo-International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Ajo Works/ps/3/\$25,000/\$20,000 Ajo-International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Curley School Stabilization and Efficiency Improvements 2/pf/3/\$56,000/\$0 Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./Amado Teen Project/ps/3/\$30,000/\$30,000 Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./Amado Youth Center/pf/3/\$75,000/\$75,000 Arivaca Community Center/Arivaca Community Center Park and Surrounding Outdoor Facilities/pf/3/\$30,000/\$20,000 Arivaca Fire District Auxiliary/Type 6 Brush Truck/pf/3/\$85,000/\$0 Avra Water Co-op, Inc./Aging Meter Replacement Program/MXU Install Program/pf/3/ \$41,100/\$25,000 Avra Water Co-op, Inc./Pipeline Replacement Program/pf/3/\$31,833/\$0 Drexel Heights Fire District/Family Safety Program/ps/3,5/\$15,000/\$11,560 Drexel Heights Fire District/Thermal Imaging Cameras/pf/3,5/\$21,000/\$10,000 Flowing Wells Neighborhood Association and Community Coalition/Operating Funds and Technical Assistance/ps/1,3/\$15,000/\$15,000 Flowing Wells - Amistades, Inc./Leaders In Action, Ellie Towne Teen Program/ps/3/\$15,000/\$15,000 Flowing Wells School District/Flowing Wells Family Resource Center/ps/1,3/\$37,000/\$37,000 Green Valley Assistance Services, d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/SHiM Safety and Health in Motion Fall Prevention/ps/2,4/\$16,000/\$10,000 Green Valley Assistance Services, d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/Valley Assistance Services for Public Use/pf/2,4/\$35,500/\$0 Green Valley Assistance Services, d.b.a. Valley Assistance Services/Valley Assistance Services Public Infrastructure Building Improvements/pf/2,4/\$47,500/\$45,000 Town of Marana/Administration/admin/1,3/\$20,000/\$13,000 Town of Marana/Colonia-Neighborhood Cleanup/ps/1,3/\$20,000/\$10,000 Town of Marana/Emergency Home Repair/hsg/1,3/\$85,000/\$18,500 Town of Marana/Owner-Occupied Housing Rehabilitation/hsg/1,3/\$85,000/\$18,500 City of South Tucson/Administration/admin/2/\$35.000/\$30.000 City of South Tucson/Code Enforcement Program/ps/2/\$15,000/\$10,000 City of South Tucson/Community Cleanup & Green Program/ps/2/\$5,000/\$5,000

City of South Tucson/Crime Prevention and Education Program/ps/2/\$35,000/\$20,000

City of South Tucson/Facility Upgrades-JVYC/pf/2/\$75,000/\$0 City of South Tucson/Fire and Rescue Safety Equipment/pf/2/\$15.000/\$10.000 City of South Tucson/Youth Programs/ps/2/\$120,000/\$100,000 Living Streets Alliance/South Tucson Bicycle Pedestrian Program/ps/2/\$20,000/\$20,000 Pima County CWD/Administration/admin/All/\$500.000/\$500.000 Pima County CWD/Indirect Cost Recovery Policy/-/All/\$250,000/\$220,020 Pima County CWD/Brownfields/bf/All/\$30,000/\$0 Pima County CWD/Commercial Facade/pf/All/\$50.000/\$0 Pima County CWD/Project Delivery/pf/All/\$50,000/\$28,638 Pima County CWD/Emergency Demolition/demo/All/\$65,000/\$65,000 Pima County CWD/Emergency Septic/hsg/All/\$100,000/\$85,000 Pima County CWD/Home Repair Program Lead/hsg/All/\$0/\$0 Pima County CWD/Home Repair Program Lead Relocation/hsg/All/\$30,000/\$0 Pima County CWD/Home Repair Program/hsg/All/\$1.000.000/\$740.000 Pima County CWD/Safe, Healthy Green/shg/All/\$100,000/\$0 Pima County CWD/Safe, Healthy Green-Capacity Building/shg/All/\$50,000/\$0 Pima County CWD/Rural Food Pantry Improvement Program/pf/All/\$40,000/\$0 Arts for All, Inc./Arts for All, Inc./ps/3/\$50,000/\$0 Catholic Community Services, d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center/Getting Ahead/ps/2,All/\$32,573/\$30,000 Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc./CPLC Nahui Ollin Wellness Youth Program/ps/All/\$60,000/\$10,000 Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona/Emergency Home Repair Program/hsg/All/ \$225,000/\$175,000 DIRECT Center for Independence/Home Access Program/hsg/All/\$75,000/\$50,000 DIRECT Center for Independence/Counseling Directions/ps/All/\$30,000/\$15,000 Esperanza En Escalante/Telephone System Upgrade/shg/2,All/\$15,000/\$15,000 Habitat for Humanity Tucson/Habitat Home Repair Owner-Occupied Housing Rehabilitation/hsg/All/\$150,000/\$50,000 Habitat for Humanity Tucson/CHUCK Center/pf/All/\$151,400/\$150,000 I Am You 360/Tiny Homes for Aged Out Foster Kids/pf/All/\$500,000/\$0 Incremental Development Alliance/Pima County Stress Test/ps/2,3/\$80,000/\$0 Jewish Family & Children's Services of Southern Arizona/Emergency Financial Assistance and Grocery Shopping Assistance/ps/1,All/\$250,000/\$0 Living Street Alliance/Los Ranchitos Safe Routes Walkability Improvements/ps/2/\$75,000/\$30,000 Old Pueblo Community Services/3rd Street Veterans Housing Roof Repair/shg/All/\$15,740/\$0 Our Family Services, Inc./Reunion House/shg/All/\$25,000/\$25,000 Primavera Foundation, Inc./Men's Emergency Shelter Food Safety/shg/2,All/\$100,000/\$0 Rillito Water Users/Rillito Water Improvements/infra/3/\$150,000/\$0 SER Jobs for Progress/SER Facility Improvements/pf/2,All/\$75,000/\$57,000 Southwest Fair Housing Council/Fair Housing Enforcement, Education, and Outreach/admin/5,All/ \$50,000/\$25,000 The Vail Preservation Society/Historic Vail Welcome and Heritage Center/cf/4/\$150,000/\$0 TMM Family Services, Inc./TMM-Whole Home Rehabilitation/hsg/All/\$50,000/\$45,000 Tucson Clean & Beautiful, Inc./Tucson Canopy Brigade-Youth Leadership Training/ps/All/\$80,000/\$0 Watershed Management Group/Green Stormwater Infrastructure for Neighborhood Cleanup/pf/ All/\$150,000/\$0 YWCA of Southern Arizona/Pima County Teen Court/ps/All/\$15,000/\$15,000 YWCA of Southern Arizona/Empowerment and Economic Development Center/ps/All/\$40.000/\$0 TOTAL REQUESTED \$6,099,312/TOTAL RECOMMENDED \$3,000,218 HUD Eligible Activity Abbreviations: admin = Administration; ps = Public Service; pf = Public Facility Improvement; SHG = Safe Healthy Green Shelter Set Aside;

infra = Infrastructure Improvement; hsg = Housing Rehabilitation; ed = Economic Development;

land = Land Acquisition; demo = Demolition; bf = Brownfields and Clearance.

#### FY 2021/2022 Pima County Emergency Solutions Grant (ESG) Program ESG Component/Agency/Program/Activity Focus/District/Request/Recommendation

Street Outreach/Our Family Services/Street Outreach/Families, Individuals/All/\$33,110/\$0 Emergency Shelter/Emerge!/Emergency Shelter for Victims of Domestic Violence/DV Families/All/\$40,000/\$35,000

Emergency Shelter/Old Pueblo Community Services/Pima County Emergency Shelter/Families, Individuals/5,All/\$175,000/\$15,000

Emergency Shelter/Our Family Services/Emergency Shelter/Families, Individuals/ All/\$30,635/\$15,000

Emergency Shelter/PC Sullivan Jackson Employment/Motel Voucher/Families, Individuals/All/\$20,000/\$18,866

Emergency Shelter/Primavera Foundation/Casa Paloma/Single Women/All/\$25,000/\$15,000 Emergency Shelter/Primavera Foundation/Family Pathways/Families, Individuals/ All/\$25,000/\$15,000

Emergency Shelter/Sister Jose Women's Center/Safety, Stability, Success Program/Single Women/2/\$50,000/\$40,000

Homeless Prevention/Community Bridges, Inc./CBI and Constable Homeless Prevention/Families, Individuals/All/\$114,876/\$0

Homeless Prevention/Green Valley Assistance Svs/Valley Assistance Family MAP (Map a Plan)/ Families, Individuals/2,4/\$35,000/\$35,000

Homeless Prevention/Interfaith Community Svs/Homeless Prevention/Families, Individuals/1,4/ \$25,500/\$0

Homeless Prevention/Our Family Services/Homeless Prevention/Families, Individuals/All/\$30,635/\$0 Homeless Prevention/PC CWD/Emergency Solutions/Families, Individuals/All/\$30,000/\$25,699 Rapid Rehousing/Our Family Services/Rapid Rehousing/Families, Individuals/All/\$36,851/\$0 Administration/Pima County/-/Administration/All/\$20,000/\$19,007

Administration/Pima County/Indirect/Administration/All/\$19,855/\$19,855

TOTAL REQUESTED \$711,462/TOTAL RECOMMENDED \$253,427

Supervisor Grijalva questioned the Resolution's General Fund allocation to a County department, Sullivan Jackson Employment, and inquired about indirect cost allocations.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that additional information would be provided.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

## DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

#### 24. Final Plat Without Assurances

P20FP00013, Old Vail Station, Blocks 1 and 2. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve P20FP00013.

# FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

#### 25. Quarterly Report on Collections

Staff recommends acceptance of the Quarterly Report on Collections for the period ending March 31, 2021.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## OFFICE OF SUSTAINABILITY AND CONSERVATION

26. The Board of Supervisors on May 18, 2021, continued the following:

#### Santa Cruz River Heritage Project

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>32</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, for City of Tucson Heritage Project.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to adopt the Resolution.

#### PROCUREMENT

#### 27. **Award**

Master Agreement No. MA-PO-21-192, Granite Construction Co. (Headquarters: Watsonville, CA), Calmat Co., d.b.a. Vulcan Materials-Western Division (Headquarters: Birmingham, AL), Pavement Sealants & Supply, Inc. (Headquarters: Albuquerque, NM), to provide for asphaltic concrete. This Master Agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the shared annual award amount of \$1,085,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. <u>Funding Source</u>: Transportation Ops and Flood Control Ops Funds. <u>Administering Department</u>: Transportation.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### **REAL PROPERTY**

#### 28. **Request for Electric Easement**

Staff recommends approval of an electric easement to Tucson Electric Power Company, for property located at 6000 E. Valencia Road, lying within Section 12, T15S, R14E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, \$185,500.00 revenue and \$75,000.00 for visual impact mitigation. (District 2)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 29. Request for Electric Easement

Staff recommends approval of an electric easement to Tucson Electric Power Company, for property located in the Century Park Research Center, lying within Section 18, T15S, R15E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona, \$1,200.00 revenue. (District 4)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 30. **Dedication of Right-of-Way**

Dedication of a Right-of-Way for Raytheon Parkway. (District 2)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## 31. Sale of Real Property - Lot 376

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>39</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing sale of land held by State under a Treasurer's Deed as Pima County Tax Sale No. TS-0032, Tax Parcel No. 301-65-3760. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

## 32. Sale of Real Property - Lot 377

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>40</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing sale of land held by State under a Treasurer's Deed as Pima County Tax Sale No. TS-0032, Tax Parcel No. 301-65-3770. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

## 33. Sale of Real Property - Lot 378

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>41</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing sale of land held by State under a Treasurer's Deed as Pima County Tax Sale No. TS-0032, Tax Parcel No. 301-65-3780. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

## 34. Sale of Real Property - Lot 379

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>42</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing sale of land held by State under a Treasurer's Deed as Pima County Tax Sale No. TS-0032, Tax Parcel No. 301-65-3790. (District 3)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

#### 35. Surplus Property

Staff requests approval to sell surplus property consisting of a portion of Tax Parcel No. 304-05-030C, which includes a single family residence and guest house consisting of 5.001 acres at 27500 N. Cochie Canyon Trail, Pinal County, AZ, by auction to the highest bidder.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

## **REGIONAL WASTEWATER RECLAMATION**

#### 36. **Pretreatment Settlement Agreement**

Staff recommends approval of the following proposed Pretreatment Settlement Agreement, RWRD Enterprise Fund:

Quick N Clean XXIX, L.L.C. and Quick N Clean, XXVIII, L.L.C., Case Nos. C2021-R-001 and C2021-R-002 the proposed settlement amount of \$500.00 each is in accordance with the Industrial Wastewater Enforcement Response Plan.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

#### 37. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

David Stout, Westin La Paloma Resort, 3660 E. Sunrise Drive, Tucson, July 4, 2021 at 8:30 p.m.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on any Fireworks Permit Hearings were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to close the public hearings and approve the permits for Minute Item Nos. 37, 38, 39, 40, 41 and 42. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson acknowledged the difficulty of approving fireworks permits during fire season and asked what options were available to the Board.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, recommended that approval be subject to consultation and approval of the local fire agency and/or district.

Chair Bronson amended the motion to include consultation and approval of the local fire agency and/or district.

Supervisor Grijalva accepted the amended motion.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Heinz voted "Nay."

## 38. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

Anne Connell, Skyline Country Club, 5200 E. Saint Andrew Drive, Tucson, July 3, 2021 at 8:30 p.m.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 37 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

#### 39. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

Lisa DeFalco, Forty Niner Country Club, 12000 E. Tanque Verde Road, Tucson, July 2, 2021 at 8:50 p.m.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 37 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

#### 40. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

Troy Finley, Tucson Country Club, 2950 N. Camino Principal, Tucson, July 4, 2021 at 9:00 p.m.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 37 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

#### 41. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

John Lashley, Tucson Speedway, 11955 S. Harrison Road, Tucson, July 3, 2021 at 9:15 p.m.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 37 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

#### 42. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

David Tibbitt, Ajo/Gibson Volunteer Fire Department, Freeport Slag Dump, Ajo, July 4, 2021 at 6:00 p.m.

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 37 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

# DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

## 43. Hearing - Comprehensive Plan Amendment and Specific Plan

P20SP00001, FIDELITY NATIONAL TITLE TR 60405, ET AL. - W. VALENCIA ROAD SPECIFIC PLAN AND COMPREHENSIVE PLAN AMENDMENT

Fidelity National Title TR 60405, et al., represented by the Planning Center, request a comprehensive plan amendment and specific plan for approximately 125 acres (Parcels 138-26-319H, 138-26-320B, 138-26-320C, 138-26-320D, 138-26-6880 and all of Belnor Vista II, recorded at Book 62, Page 53, Sequence Number 20070880286) from the Higher Intensity Urban (HIU), Medium Low Intensity Urban (MLIU), and Community Activity Center (CAC) to the Planned Development Community (PDC) land use designation and from the CR-3 (Single Residence) and GR-1 (Rural Residential) zones to the SP (Specific Plan) zone located on the south side of W. Valencia Road, approximately 400 feet west of the intersection of S. Camino de la Tierra and W. Valencia Road in Section 17, T15S, R13E, in the Southwest Planning Area. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 7 - 1 (Commissioner Gungle voted NAY, Commissioners Maese and Matter were absent), to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 5)

IF THE DECISION IS MADE TO APPROVE THE SPECIFIC PLAN, THE FOLLOWING REQUIREMENTS SHOULD BE MADE CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL. THE FOLLOWING CONDITIONS may RESIDE WITHIN THE SPECIFIC PLAN DOCUMENT:

- 1. Not more than 60 days after the Board of Supervisors approves the specific plan, the owner(s) shall submit to the Planning Director the specific plan document, including the following conditions and any necessary revisions of the specific plan document reflecting the final actions of the Board of Supervisors, and the specific plan text and exhibits in an electronic and written format acceptable to the Planning Division.
- 2. In the event of a conflict between two or more requirements in this specific plan, or conflicts between the requirements of this specific plan and the Pima County Zoning Code, the specific plan shall apply.
- 3. This specific plan shall adhere to all applicable Pima County regulations that are not explicitly addressed within this specific plan. The specific plan's development regulations shall be interpreted to implement the specific plan or relevant Pima County regulations.
- 4. Transportation conditions:
  - A. A Master Traffic Impact Study shall be provided with the block plat submittal and shall be updated with each subsequent individual block development. The Master Traffic Impact Study and subsequent updates shall evaluate traffic conditions at that time including those of previous block plat submittals, projected site traffic impacts, and provide necessary mitigation.
  - B. A construction phasing and sequencing plan for both onsite and offsite improvements shall be provided with the submittal of each and any block plat.
  - C. Trip reduction strategies shall be included within the master traffic impact study during the block plat submittal process and subsequent updates. The trip reduction strategies shall be designed and intended to reduce peak hour trips and impacts on the adjacent roadway network.
  - D. The property owner shall dedicate the 25-feet of right-of-way for Valencia Road prior to block plat approval.
- 5. Flood Control District conditions:
  - A. The Flood Control Resource Area shall be avoided to the maximum extent possible. The north wash floodplain, erosion hazard area and riparian habitat shall be treated

as a designated Resource Sensitive area and remain natural. The northerly wash should have no bank protection or bank protection which mimics the natural environment, such as gabions or terraced rock with vegetation. The corridor should be enhanced with riparian vegetation and provide a neighborhood resource amenity with pedestrian and/or multi-use trails.

- B. At the time of development the developer shall be required to select a combination of Water Conservation Measures from Table B such that the point total equals or exceeds 15 points and includes a combination of indoor and outdoor measures.
- 6. Wastewater Reclamation conditions:
  - A. The owner(s) shall construe no action by Pima County as a commitment of capacity to serve any new development within the rezoning area until Pima County executes an agreement with the owner(s) to that effect.
  - B. The owner(s) shall obtain written documentation from the Pima County Regional Wastewater Reclamation Department (PCRWRD) that treatment and conveyance capacity is available for any new development within the rezoning area, no more than 90 days before submitting any tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer improvement plan, or request for building permit for review. Should treatment and/or conveyance capacity not be available at that time, the owner(s) shall enter into a written agreement addressing the option of funding, designing and constructing the necessary improvements to Pima County's public sewerage system at his or her sole expense or cooperatively with other affected parties. All such improvements shall be designed and constructed as directed by the PCRWRD.
  - C. The owner(s) shall time all new development within the rezoning area to coincide with the availability of treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system.
  - D. The owner(s) shall connect all development within the rezoning area to Pima County's public sewer system at the location and in the manner specified by the PCRWRD in its capacity response letter and as specified by PCRWRD at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan, or request for building permit.
  - E. The owner(s) shall fund, design and construct all off-site and on-site sewers necessary to serve the rezoning area, in the manner specified at the time of review of the tentative plat, development plan, preliminary sewer layout, sewer construction plan or request for building permit.
  - F. The owner(s) shall complete the construction of all necessary public and/or private sewerage facilities as required by all applicable agreements with Pima County, and all applicable regulations, including the Clean Water Act and those promulgated by ADEQ, before treatment and conveyance capacity in the downstream public sewerage system will be permanently committed for any new development within the rezoning area.
- 7. Environmental Planning condition: Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove invasive non-native species from the property, including those below. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner.

Invasive Non-Native Plant Species Subject to Control

Ailanthus altissima	Tree of Heaven
Alhagi pseudalhagi	Camelthorn
Arundo donax	Giant reed
Brassica tournefortii	Sahara mustard
Bromus rubens	Red brome
Bromus tectorum	Cheatgrass
Centaurea melitensis	Malta starthistle
Centaurea solstitalis	Yellow starthistle
<u>Cortaderia</u> spp.	Pampas grass
Cynodon dactylon	Bermuda grass (excluding sod hybrid)

<i>Digitaria</i> spp.	Crabgrass
Elaeagnus angustifolia	Russian olive
<i>Eragrostis</i> spp.	Lovegrass (excluding E. intermedia, plains lovegrass)
Melinis repens	Natal grass
Mesembryanthemum spp.	Iceplant
Oncosiphon pilulifer	Stinknet
Peganum harmala	African rue
Pennisetum ciliare	Buffelgrass
Pennisetum setaceum	Fountain grass
Rhus lancea	African sumac
Salsola spp.	Russian thistle
Schinus spp.	Pepper tree
Schismus arabicus	Arabian grass
Schismus barbatus	Mediterranean grass
Sorghum halepense	Johnson grass
Tamarix spp.	Tamarisk

- 8. Cultural Resources condition: In the event that human remains, including human skeletal remains, cremations, and/or ceremonial objects and funerary objects are found during excavation or construction, ground disturbing activities must cease in the immediate vicinity of the discovery. State Laws ARS 41-865 and/or ARS 41-844 require that the Arizona State Museum be notified of the discovery at (520) 621-4795 so that appropriate arrangements can be made for the repatriation and reburial of the remains by cultural groups who claim cultural or religious affinity to them. The human remains will be removed from the site by a professional archaeologist pending consultation and review by the Arizona State Museum and the concerned cultural groups.
- 9. Adherence to the specific plan document as approved at the Board of Supervisor's public hearing.
- 10. Flexible combinations of the specific plan conceptual preliminary development plans are encouraged so long as adequate infrastructure to support the phased uses exists for each phase.
- 11. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
- 12. The property owner shall execute the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134(I)."

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. Letters of opposition were received and placed in the record; however, there were no speakers. It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve P20SP00001, subject to standard and special conditions.

## 44. Hearing - Rezoning Time Extension

<u>Co9-15-04, LANDMARK TITLE TR 18109 - W. SUNSET ROAD REZONING</u> <u>Landmark Title TR 18109, represented by Paradigm Land Design, L.L.C.</u>, requests a five-year time extension for an approximately 77.9-acre rezoning (Parcel Numbers 214-23-2950 through 214-23-3160) from the SR (Suburban Ranch), SR (BZ) (Suburban Ranch - Buffer Overlay), and the SR (PR-2) (Suburban Ranch - Hillside Development Overlay - Level 2 Peaks & Ridges) zones to the SR-2 (Suburban Ranch Estate), SR-2 (BZ) (Suburban Ranch Estate - Buffer Overlay), and the SR-2 (PR-2) (Suburban Ranch Estate - Hillside Development Overlay - Level 2 Peaks & Ridges) zones, located on the south side of W. Sunset Road, approximately 1,300 feet west of N. Camino De Oeste. The subject site was rezoned in 2016 and the rezoning expires on July 15, 2021. Staff recommends APPROVAL OF A FIVE-YEAR TIME EXTENSION SUBJECT TO ORIGINAL AND MODIFIED STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 3)

The conditions are as follows:

- 1. The owner shall:
  - Submit a development plan if determined necessary by the appropriate County agencies.
  - B. Record the necessary development related covenants as determined appropriate by the various County agencies.
  - C. Provide development related assurances as required by the appropriate agencies.
  - D. Submit a title report (current to within 60 days) evidencing ownership of the property prior to the preparation of the development related covenants and any required dedications.
- 2<u>1</u>. There shall be no further lot splitting or subdividing of residential development without the written approval of the Board of Supervisors.
- <u>32</u>. The owner shall adhere to the preliminary development plan as approved at public hearing (Exhibit B), with the exception of changes in number, size, or configuration of lots due to a required provision of common area for detention basins if an alternative is not accepted by the Floodplain Administrator.
- 4<u>3</u>. Transportation conditions:
  - A. The property shall be limited to two access points as indicated on the preliminary development plan (Exhibit B).
  - B. The eastern access point shall align with the access point on the north side of Sunset Road.
  - <u>C.</u> Prior to Tentative Plan approval, written proof of coordination with the Town of Marana is required regarding traffic impacts to their roadway system.
- 54. <u>Regional</u> Flood Control <u>District</u> conditions:
  - A. Native riparian vegetation shall be used to enhance drainage improvements.
  - B. First flush retention (retention of the first ½ inch of rainfall from impervious and disturbed surfaces) shall be provided.
  - C. Regulatory floodplains and riparian habitat shall be within permanently identified open space through easement or dedication.
  - D. Development shall meet Critical Basin detention requirements.
  - E. Maintenance responsibility for stormwater infrastructure, including detention basins, shall be assigned to the homeowners association or other designated representative by Conditions, Covenants and Restrictions.
  - F. Detention basins shall be located in common area unless an alternative is proposed and accepted by the Floodplain Administrator. Placement of basins in common area may result in fewer lots or changes in size and/or configuration of lots than shown on the conceptual layout on the preliminary development plan.
  - G. Building envelopes shall be identified during the platting process and shall be oriented to avoid or minimize impacts to local, unregulated drainageways.
- 65. Environmental Planning conditions:
  - A. The property owner/developer shall achieve compliance with the Maeveen Marie Behan Conservation Lands System conservation guidelines by providing 52 acres as on-site natural open space (NOS) and 19 acres as off-site NOS. On-site NOS will conform to the approximate location and configuration as shown on the approved Preliminary Development Plan. Off-site NOS must conform to the CLS Off-site

Mitigation Policies (Pima County Comprehensive Plan 2015, Chapter 3 Use of Land Goals and Policies, Section 3.4 Environmental Element, Policy 11) Conservation Lands System Mitigation Lands) and comply with all of the following:

- Off-site NOS is acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or designee; and
- Prior to the approval of the final plat, off-site NOS will be permanently protected as natural open space by a separately recorded legal instrument acceptable to the Pima County Planning Official or designee.
- B. The maximum amount of grading per lot shall not exceed 15,000 square feet and will occur entirely within the buildable part of the lot as demarcated on the Preliminary Development Plan by the 'No Build Line'.
- C. Upon the effective date of the Ordinance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall have a continuing responsibility to remove invasive non-native species from the property, including those below. Acceptable methods of removal include chemical treatment, physical removal, or other known effective means of removal. This obligation also transfers to any future owners of property within the rezoning site and Pima County may enforce this rezoning condition against the property owner. Prior to issuance of the certificate of compliance, the owner(s)/developer(s) shall record a covenant, to run with the land, memorializing the terms of this condition.

ns lovegrass)

- 6. Cultural Resources condition: Prior to ground modifying activities, an on-the-ground archaeological and historic sites survey shall be conducted on the subject property, and submitted to Pima County for review. A cultural resources mitigation plan for any identified archaeological and historic sites on the subject property shall be submitted to Pima County at the time of, or prior to, the submitted of any tentative plan or development plan. All work shall be conducted by an archaeologist permitted by the Arizona State Museum, or a registered architect, as appropriate. Following rezoning approval, any subsequent development requiring a Type II grading permit will be reviewed for compliance with Pima County's cultural resources requirements under Chapter 18.81 of the Pima County Zoning Code.
- 7. The owner/developer must secure approval from the Pima County Department of Environmental Quality (PDEQ) to use on-site sewage disposal systems within the rezoning

area at the time a tentative plat, development plan or request for building permit is submitted for review.

- 8. The property owner shall execute and record the following disclaimer regarding Proposition 207 rights. "Property Owner acknowledges that neither the rezoning of the Property nor the conditions of rezoning give Property Owner any rights, claims or causes of action under the Private Property Rights Protection Act (Arizona Revised Statutes Title 12, chapter 8, article 2.1). To the extent that the rezoning or conditions of rezoning may be construed to give Property Owner any rights or claims under the Private Property Rights Protection Act, Property Owner hereby waives any and all such rights and/or claims pursuant to A.R.S. §12-1134(I)."
- 9. In the event the subject property is annexed, the property owner shall adhere to all applicable rezoning conditions, including, but not limited to, development conditions which require financial contributions to, or construction of infrastructure, including without limitation, transportation, flood control, or sewer facilities.
- 10. During the development plan stage, the applicant shall contact Tucson Unified School District (TUSD) concerning the provision of adequate space for safe bus stops, bus turn-arounds and pedestrian access to the appropriate schools.
- 11. Structures shall be limited to a maximum height of 24 feet and shall be sited and landscaped to minimize negative visual impacts. The color of structures shall be in context with the surrounding environment.
- 12. In addition to the requirements of the Native Plant Preservation Ordinance, all transplantable saguaros 6 feet or less in height inside the disturbance area envelopes shall either be preserved in place or transplanted within the site.
- 13. The developer shall consult with the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection and Pima County prior to submittal of a subdivision plat to explore ways to reduce the need for large basins located along the downstream edge of the property while still meeting detention requirements. Any basins to be constructed throughout the site shall be designed using permaculture concepts and incorporate gradual slopes of natural materials in order to facilitate wildlife movement.
- 14. The developer shall consult with the Coalition for Sonoran Desert Protection and Pima County prior to submittal of a subdivision plat on lot configuration and placement of building envelopes, particularly those that are impacted by "flows under the regulatory threshold per the submittal, but are significant," (reference Commission staff report pg. 8) and on lots 19 and 22 where buildable area incurs into the Erosion Hazard Setback.
- 15. Signage indicating the prohibition of motorized vehicles shall be posted on trail easements.
- 16. The "Proposed Pedestrian Access Easement to TUSD School Property" shall be removed from the Preliminary Development Plan.
- 17. Perimeter lot fencing within the designated natural open space is prohibited.
- 18. All single-family dwelling units are required to be equipped with a fire sprinkler system.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. Letters of opposition were received and placed in the record; however, there were no speakers. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co9-15-04, subject to original and modified standard and special conditions.

#### 45. Hearing - Plat Note Modification

## Co12-93-11, SABINO ESTATES AT SABINO SPRINGS (LOT 2)

<u>Darrell and Ana Stipp</u> request a plat note modification of restrictive administrative control note No. 20(B) to increase the area of the development envelope from 9,200 square feet to 11,000 square feet on Lot 2 (.79 acres) of the Sabino Estates at Sabino Springs Subdivision (Bk. 45, Pg. 07), a portion of the Sabino Springs Specific Plan. The subject property is zoned SP (BZ) (Specific Plan - Buffer Overlay Zone) and is

located on the west side of E. Sabino Estates Drive, approximately 1,000 feet northeast of the intersection of N. Bowes Road and E. Sabino Estates Drive, addressed as 9645 E. Sabino Estates Drive. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 1)

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Supervisor Scott, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve Co12-93-11.

## 46. Hearing - Rezoning Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2021 - <u>15</u>, P20RZ00014, Stewart Title and Trust TR 3734 - W. Curtis Road Rezoning. Owner: Stewart Title and Trust TR 3734. (District 3)

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

# HEALTH

## 47. Hearing - Code Text Amendment

ORDINANCE NO. 2021 - <u>16</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to the general regulations governing immunization by the Pima County Health Department, amending the Pima County Code, Chapter 8.12, Health and Safety.

The Chair inquired whether any comments or requests to speak on this item were submitted. None had been received. It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy inquired whether adopting this Ordinance would fiscally impact the General Fund.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, confirmed that the General Fund would not be fiscally impacted if the Ordinance was adopted.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

# BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

## 48. **In-Person Board of Supervisors Meetings**

Discussion/Action regarding resuming in-person Board of Supervisors Meetings. (District 3)

Chair Bronson inquired about scheduling and public attendance options related to resuming in-person Board of Supervisors Meetings.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that the Board Hearing Room was undergoing renovations and would be unavailable until August 16, 2021. He indicated that on July 5, 2021, fully vaccinated visitors, to County buildings, were no longer required to follow precautionary measures regarding physical distancing and mask usage. He stated, however, that unvaccinated individuals were expected to observe these precautions, but it would be an on-your-honor type of arrangement. He indicated that it was at the Board's discretion whether to maintain mask requirements inside the Hearing Room.

Supervisor Grijalva recommended that an additional meeting be added to the Board's schedule between July 6, and August 16, 2021.

Chair Bronson provided staff direction that the County Administrator prepare a recommendation for the Board's consideration.

Supervisor Christy inquired whether decisions on this matter would be made by the Board or instituted by public health officials.

Mr. Huckelberry responded that this was the Board's decision, but the decision should be based on recommendations from public health officials.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue the item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of July 6, 2021.

#### 49. **Boards, Commissions and Committees**

Discussion/Action regarding boards, commissions, and/or committees that have been or should be conducting meetings via video conference and/or telephonically during the declared COVID-19 emergency, as well as the format of such meetings moving forward. (District 4)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to allow all boards, commissions and committees the option of participating in-person or virtually at future meetings.

Supervisor Christy commented on the increased participation as a result of this policy and further encouraged that meetings be conducted virtually, telephonically or in person. He also requested statuses of the Election Integrity Commission and the Pima County Historical Commission.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that the requested information would be provided.

Supervisor Scott reported that an agenda item had been submitted for the July 6, 2021 meeting regarding the Election Integrity Commission.

## 50. Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances Presentation

Presentation on the increasing PFAS (per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances) southside groundwater contamination. The Departments of Environmental Quality and Health will report on this problem, its implications, and the prospects for remediating it. (District 5)

It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to continue the item to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of July 6, 2021.

## 51. Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver

Discussion/Action on Waiver of Attorney-Client privilege regarding written communication from the County Attorney on differential water rates.

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Chair Bronson to waive attorneyclient privilege regarding this communication. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

#### 52. Attorney-Client Privilege Waiver

Discussion/Action regarding release to the public the May 17, 2021, Attorney-Client Privileged Memorandum from Deputy County Attorney Daniel Jurkowitz regarding a County Minimum Wage Ordinance.

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Heinz to waive attorneyclient privilege and release the memorandum. Upon roll call vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

## COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

## 53. **Pima Early Education Program Agreements and Updates**

Staff recommends approval of the following agreements for implementation of the Pima Early Education Program:

- Town of Oro Valley, to provide for the Pima Early Education Program, contract amount \$100,000.00 revenue/3 year term (CTN-CR-21-142)
- Marana Public School District, d.b.a. Marana Unified School District, to provide for the Pima Early Education Program, General Fund, contract amount \$264,000.00/2 year term (CT-CR-21-489)
- Child-Parent Centers, Inc., to provide for the Pima Early Education Program Extended Day Head Start, General Fund, contract amount \$1,149,845.00 (CT-CR-21-496)

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve this item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva suggested that along with the announcement of Pima County's financing, other contributing jurisdictions should also be recognized. She requested that the County share updates and reports with partnering jurisdictions.

Chair Bronson restated her opposition to the Pima Early Education Program.

Upon roll call vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

## ATTRACTIONS AND TOURISM

# 54. Attractions and Tourism Outside Agency Funding Recommendations for Fiscal Year 2021/2022

#### Agency/Amount Recommended/Program

Arizona Media Arts Center/\$10,000.00/30th Arizona International Film Festival Arts Foundation for Tucson & Southern Arizona/\$21,811.11/Powering the Future with Imagination Children's Museum Tucson/\$43,488.89/Museums For All, Discovery Nights and Generation Creation Program

International Sonoran Desert Alliance/\$12,488.89/Wayfinding and Signage for an Emerging Destination

Jazz in January, d.b.a. Tucson Jazz Festival/\$21,488.89/Tucson Jazz Festival 2022-2023 La Frontera Mariachi Conference, Inc./\$14,822.22/Tucson International Mariachi Conference Perimeter Bicycling Association of America, Inc./\$35,655.56/EI Tour de Tucson

Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./\$13,155.56/Amado Chili Cook-Off and Randy Estes Classic Car and Motorcycle Show

Santa Cruz Valley Heritage Alliance, Inc./\$16,488.89/Santa Cruz Valley National Heritage Area Second Saturdays, Inc./\$13,988.89/2nd Saturdays

Southern Arizona Attractions Alliance, Inc./\$25,000.00/Attractions Economic Development Restart Southwest Folklife Alliance, Inc./\$29,655.56/Tucson Meet Yourself Folklife Festival TD4Tucson/\$38,155.56/The Arizona Bowl

Tucson Botanical Gardens/\$40,488.89/FY21-22 Programs & Exhibits at the Tucson Botanical Gardens

Tucson City of Gastronomy/\$14,822.22/Tucson City of Gastronomy

Tucson Presidio for Historic Preservation/\$28,488.89/Heritage and History Events GRAND TOTAL \$380,000.02

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva questioned what had occurred with FY 2020/2021 outside agency allocations for events that were cancelled due to COVID-19.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that unused funds reverted back to the General Fund for re-appropriation. He stated that a detailed report for FY 2020/2021 outside agency allocations would be provided.

Supervisor Grijalva asked that the report contain the funding amount returned and whether that funding was still available to those organizations. She added that it should also include the FY 2021/2022 allocations.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

## COMMUNITY AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

# 55. Outside Agency Advisory Committee Funding Recommendation for Fiscal Year 2021/2022

#### **Community Services Category**

#### Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Amistad y Salud, Clinica Amistad/Community Health and Wellness/\$23,000 Catholic Community Services, COPD/Supporting Deaf/Deaf-Blind and Hard of Hearing Persons/\$45,000 Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona/Roof Repair and Replacement Program/\$23,700 DIRECT Center for Independence/Counseling DIRECTions/\$17,500 El Rio Santa Cruz Health Center - St. Elizabeth Health Center/Patient Centered Medical Home for the Uninsured/\$47,125 International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Job Readiness Training for Newly Arrived Refugees/\$20,500 Jewish Family & Children's Services of So. AZ/HoME/\$31,750 Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (Center)/\$34,750 RISE, L.L.C. (PPP)/RISE Equipment Recycling/\$20,500 Southern Arizona Aid to Visually Impaired (SAAVI)/Health and Wellness Pgm for Blind Seniors/\$18,700 Southern Arizona Legal Aid/Homeowner and Tenant Protection Pgm/\$84,250 YWCA of Southern Arizona/YWCA Women's Counseling Network/\$22,000 International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Medical Case Management for Refugees/\$20,000 Community Services - Total \$408,775

#### Emergency Food & Clothing Category Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Arivaca Coordinating Council-Arivaca HR/Arivaca Human Resource Emergency Food and Clothing/\$50,200 Catholic Community Svs, Pio Decimo Ctr/Emergency Food and Clothing/\$31,485

Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Caridad Community Kitchen/\$24,800 Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Child Nutrition Pgms/\$18,280 Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Branch Resource Centers/\$70,500 Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Emergency Food Asst/\$325,000 Diaper Bank of Southern Arizona/Diapers for Infants, Children, Disabled, and Srs/\$20,440 IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Food Bank/\$33,160 IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Clothing Bank/\$28,200 Sahuarita Food Bank/Emergency Food For Sahuarita and Unincorporated Pima County/\$25,980 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/Food For Life/\$95,200 TMM Family Services, Inc./Community Closet/\$20,800 Emergency Food & Clothing - Total \$744,045

#### Senior Support Category

#### Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Administration of Resources and Choices/Elder Shelter at ARC/\$35,833 Catholic Community Services/Quincie Douglas Breakfast/\$28,110 Interfaith Community Services/Special Diet Meals for At-Risk Seniors/\$26,690 Interfaith Community Services/Transportation Services for At-Risk Seniors/\$25,400 Mobile Meals of Southern Arizona, Inc./Home-Delivered, Medically-Tailored Meals for Low Income Seniors/\$24,635 St. Luke's Home/"Stronger, Longer" Senior Dietary Program/\$21,367 YWCA of Southern Arizona/HNS Las Comadritas/\$23,705 Senior Support - Total \$185,740

#### Support, Shelter & Domestic Violence Category Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Catholic Community Services, Pio Decimo Center/Case Management for Homeless Families & Pio Decimo Center Clients/\$40,117

Interfaith Community Services/Eastside Financial Assistance/\$17,217

International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Housing and Family Support/\$15,583

Old Pueblo Community Services/City County Homeless Work Program/\$24,667

Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (HYS - CUP)/\$23,833

Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (Emergency Shelter - HFS)/\$36,350 Primavera Foundation, Inc./Casa Paloma: Providing basic needs, housing and support services/\$25,700

Primavera Foundation, Inc./Primavera Foundation: HIP Support Services/\$29,935 Primavera Foundation, Inc./Primavera Foundation: Supportive Housing Program/\$34,767 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/SAAF Anti-Violence Project/\$23,933

The Salvation Army/The Salvation Army Motel Voucher Program/\$19,500

TMM Family Services, Inc./Case Management for Emergency Housing/\$18,450

Tucson Center for Women and Children, Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse/Comprehensive Domestic Abuse/\$111,333

#### Support, Shelter & Domestic Violence Services - Total \$421,385

#### Youth, Young Adult & Family Support Category Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Arivaca Action Center Incorporated/Arivaca Action Center, Inc., Early Learning Center/\$16,500 Arizona Youth Partnership/Rural Marana Afterschool Program/\$23,515 Arizona's Children Association/Family Education & Support Services/\$23,480 Arizona's Children Association/Las Familias/\$28,580 Catholic Community Services, Pio Decimo Center/Childcare for the Working Poor/\$105,850 Child & Family Resources, Inc./Pima County Healthy Families/\$37,780 Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc./CPLC Nahui Ollin Youth Prevention/\$20,037 Girl Scouts of Southern Arizona/Adelante Jovencitas - Foster Care/\$22,500 Higher Ground a Resource Center/Community Schools Initiative/\$23,340 International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Ajo Las Artes GED & Jobs Program/\$44,180 Jewish Family & Children's Services of Southern AZ/Project Safe Place (PSP)/\$24,000 Literacy Connects/Youth Center After-School and Summer Programming/\$40,500 Make Way for Books/The Story Project/\$16,960 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/ALLY/\$37,665 Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/Eon Youth Program/\$26,300 Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Children to Children/\$23,140 Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Pathways/\$22,670 Youth On Their Own/YOTO Program (Stipends)/\$78,540 YWCA of Southern Arizona/Pima County Teen Court/\$29.368 Youth, Young Adult & Family Services - Total \$644,905

#### **General Services Category**

#### Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Arizona Board of Regents, Pima County Cooperative Extension/4-H Challenge Course/\$24,500 Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona/Mobile Health Program for Medically Underserved Residents/\$45,200

Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona/Pima County Cooperative Extension/\$44,500 Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum/Buffelgrass Education & Coordination/\$46,550 El Rio Santa Cruz Neighborhood Health Center, Inc./Trichloroethylene Program/\$163,200 Friends of Robles/Robles Ranch Community Food Bank & My Friends Closet/\$36,000 Habitat for Humanity Tucson/Shelter Technical Assistance & Maintenance Support/\$35,200 Metropolitan Education Commission/Metropolitan Education Commission/\$70,000 Metropolitan Education Commission/Regional College Access Center/\$52,000 Pima Council on Aging/Elder Rights & Benefits/\$132,300 Pima Council on Aging/Family Caregiver Support Program/\$19,600 Pima Council on Aging/Home Delivered Meals/\$19,680 Pima Council on Aging/Neighbors Care Program/\$58,000 Pima Council on Aging/Senior Companion/\$30,000 Pima Council on Aging/Senior Companion/\$30,000 Pima County Community Land Trust/Housing Counseling/\$63,000 Socialserve/PimaCountyHousingSearch.org/\$18,620 Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center/Support Services for Child Victims/\$72,560 United Way of Tucson & Southern Arizona/Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program (VITA)/\$28,000 **General Services - Total \$958,910** 

#### County Departments Managing OA Services with Other Sources Agency/Program/Amount Recommended

Conservation Legacy, Inc., d.b.a. Arizona Conservation Corps/Arizona Conservation Corps/\$108,900 Desert Survivors, Inc./Desert Survivors, Inc./\$63,760 Pima Association of Governments/Overall Work Program (OWP)/\$298,000 **Special Request - Total \$470,660** 

It was moved by Supervisor Grijalva, seconded by Chair Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

# OFFICE OF EMERGENCY MANAGEMENT AND HOMELAND SECURITY

### 56. Emergency Operations Plan

RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>43</u>, of the Board of Supervisors, to approve and adopt the Pima County Emergency Operations Plan 2021.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

### CONTRACT AND AWARD

# ATTRACTIONS AND TOURISM

57. Metropolitan Tucson Convention and Visitors Bureau, d.b.a. Visit Tucson, Amendment No. 2, to promote and enhance tourism, business travel, film production and youth, amateur, semi-professional and professional sports development and marketing and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$300,000.00 (CT-ED-20-388)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva asked for clarification of the contract's boycotting clause.

Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded that the clause was standard language and background information would be provided.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### COMMUNITY AND WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT

58. Habitat for Humanity Tucson, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Habitat Home Repair Program, amend contractual language and scope of services, HUD/CDBG Fund, contract amount \$20,000.00 (CT-CR-21-282)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

59. Kuehl Enterprises, L.L.C., Amendment No. 2, to provide for consulting services for planning, technical/training assistance and report planning, extend contract term to 3/31/22 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CR-21-341)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

60. Salvation Army, to provide for the U.S. Housing and Urban Development (USHUD) Continuum of Care (CoC) Program - CASA, USHUD-CoC Fund, contract amount \$132,094.00 (CT-CR-21-392)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### COUNTY ATTORNEY

61. CBS Consulting Group, Amendment No. 4, to provide for professional grant writing services, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, Anti-Racketeering Fund, contract amount \$55,000.00 (CT-PCA-17-323)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva questioned the contract's funding source.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that the information would be provided.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

62. Hunton Andrews Kurth, L.L.P., Amendment No. 8, to provide for environmental litigation regarding the Broadway/Pantano WQARF site and extend contract term to 6/28/22, no cost (CT-FM-20-430)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

63. Community Bridges, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Tucson/Pima County Consolidated Misdemeanor Problem Solving Court Initiative, extend contract term to 2/28/22, amend contractual language and scope of services, DTAP-JMHCP (\$205,618.00), PCA-DTAP SAMHSA/CSAT (\$10,000.00) and AOC (\$1,000.00) Funds, contract amount \$216,618.00 (CT-PCA-19-506)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT

64. JobPath, Inc., to provide for workforce development services and job training assistance, General Fund, contract amount \$750,000.00 (CT-CA-21-503)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson inquired whether other jurisdictions assisted JobPath.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, stated that the City of Tucson had provided funding comparable to the County's, but that funding had decreased significantly. He indicated that the City should be encouraged to increase funding.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### **GRANTS MANAGEMENT AND INNOVATION**

65. City of Tucson, to provide for emergency food and shelter to families and individuals encountered by the Department of Homeland Security, Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program Fund, contract amount \$545,700.00 (CT-GMI-21-484)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### PROCUREMENT

66. **Award** 

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-20-8, Amendment No. 4, CDK Design, L.L.C., d.b.a. Arcadia Landscape, Inc., to provide for landscape maintenance and repair services. This amendment increases the annual award amount by \$50,000.00 from \$390,000.00 to \$440,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$830,000.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 67. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-17-258, Amendment No. 4, SER - Jobs for Progress of S. AZ, to provide for One-Stop operator services. This amendment extends the termination date to 12/31/21 and adds a partial annual award amount of \$12,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$108,000.00. <u>Funding Source</u>: WIOA Title I: Adult, Dislocated Worker, Youth Administration and Rapid Response Funds. <u>Administering Department</u>: Community and Workforce Development.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 68. **Award**

Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-21-197, WLT Software Enterprises, Inc., d.b.a. WLT Software (Headquarters: Clearwater, FL), to provide for a claims/data management program. This master agreement is for an initial term of one (1) year in the award amount of \$270,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options in the annual award amount of \$52,000.00 (including sales tax). <u>Funding Source</u>: General Fund. <u>Administering Department</u>: Behavioral Health and Information Technology.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

69. Division II Construction Co., Inc., to provide for the Ina Road Fueling Facility Refurbishment Project (XINAFI), Fleet Services - Capital Projects Fund, contract amount \$1,224,600.00 (CT-FM-21-459) Facilities Management

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

70. ADP, Inc., f.k.a. ADP, L.L.C., Amendment No. 8, to provide for HR/Payroll, Benefits and eTime Management, extend contract term to 6/21/24 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$6,000,000.00 (MA-PO-13-202) Human Resources

# **GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE**

#### 71. Acceptance - Sheriff

Arizona Department of Education/Arizona State Board of Education, Amendment No. 3, to provide for the National School Lunch Program, extend grant term to 9/30/24 and amend grant language, estimated \$350,000.00 (GTAM 21-103)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Bronson requested additional clarification regarding the Board's authority related to grants, including grant term violations, when the recipients were elected officials.

Supervisor Grijalva noted that the recipient was Pima County Detention Center, d.b.a. Pima County Adult Detention Center, but the grant was for the National School Lunch Program.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### 72. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Housing, Amendment No. 4, to provide for the Pima County Links Rapid Re-Housing Program, extend grant term to 6/30/22, amend grant language and scope of work, \$166,800.00 (GTAM 21-105)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 73. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Housing Opportunities for Persons with AIDS Program and amend grant language, no cost (GTAM 21-106)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 74. Acceptance - Health

Department of Health and Human Services/Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, to provide for the Pima County Initiative to Address COVID-19 Health Disparities, \$6,510,503.00/2 year term (GTAW 21-174)

#### 75. Acceptance - Health

National Association of County and City Health Officials, to provide for supporting local health departments in increasing vaccine uptake, \$24,997.50 (GTAW 21-175)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 76. Acceptance - Health

State of Arizona, Governor's Office of Youth, Faith and Family, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Arizona Parents' Commission on Drug Education and Prevention Program, d.b.a. HealthySPACE (Students, Parents and Community Engagement) Project, extend grant term to 6/30/22 and amend grant language, \$167,923.00 (GTAM 21-100)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 77. Acceptance - Health

Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Health Start Program, extend grant term to 7/5/22 and amend grant language, \$201,860.00 (GTAM 21-104)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 78. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Our Family Services, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Employment for Homeless Youth Program, extend grant term to 6/30/22 and amend grant language, \$31,987.00/\$7,996.75 General Fund match (GTAM 21-102)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 79. Acceptance - County Administrator

John D. and Catherine T. MacArthur Foundation, to provide for the Safety and Justice Challenge, \$500,000.00/2 year term (GTAW 21-110)

### 80. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education, to provide for the FY22 Comprehensive Support and Improvement Grant, \$72,043.43 (GTAW 21-168)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Grijalva indicated that future discussions should include whether funding Pima Vocational High School was a duplicated effort when similar programs were being offered through public schools and the Joint Technical Education District.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### 81. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education - Individuals with Disabilities Act, to provide for Special Education Funding, \$11,896.59 (GTAW 21-169)

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 80 for additional discussion regarding this item.)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 82. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education, to provide for the Multi-Tiered System of Support, \$32,758.55 (GTAW 21-170)

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 80 for additional discussion regarding this item.)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 83. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education - ESEA Title I, to provide for the Homeless Liaison Project, \$3,676.35 (GTAW 21-171)

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 80 for additional discussion regarding this item.)

### 84. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education - Title II, to provide for a Compliance Specialist, \$1,497.11 (GTAW 21-172)

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 80 for additional discussion regarding this item.)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 85. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

Arizona Department of Education - Title IV, to provide for a Homeless Liaison at Pima Vocational High School - Irvington campus, \$10,000.00 (GTAW 21-173)

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 80 for additional discussion regarding this item.)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 86. Acceptance - County Attorney

Arizona Criminal Justice Commission, to provide for the FY22 Drug, Gang and Violent Crime Control Grant, \$269,394.01/\$89,798.00 General Fund match (GTAW 21-177)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 87. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

U.S. Department of the Treasury, to provide for the Emergency Rental Assistance 1 Program, \$15,188,622.60/2 year term (GTAW 21-178)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy requested detailed information on the grants and the Emergency Rental Assistance programs, to include how funds were dispersed.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, indicated that the Community and Workforce Development Department was compiling a report that would address those questions.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### 88. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development

U.S. Department of the Treasury, to provide for the Emergency Rental Assistance 2 Program, \$12,018,046.20/4 year term (GTAW 21-179)

(<u>Clerk's Note</u>: See Minute Item No. 87 for discussion and vote regarding this item.)

### BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

### 89. Trial Court Appointments - Nominating Committee District 3

- Appointment of Walter Richter, Independent, to replace Hope Sullivan. No term expiration.
- Appointment of Roxanne Ziegler, Republican, to fill a vacancy created by Bill Hall. No term expiration.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

#### 90. Election Integrity Commission

Appointment of Benny White, to replace Arnold B. Urken. Term expiration: 6/21/23. (County Administrator recommendation)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### 91. Transportation Advisory Committee

Appointment of Ramón Valadez, to fill a vacancy created by Dr. Curtis Lueck. Term expiration: 6/21/25. (County Administrator recommendation)

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Grijalva and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

### CONSENT CALENDAR

### 92. Approval of the Consent Calendar

Upon the request of Supervisor Christy to divide the question, Consent Calendar Item Nos. 19, 20 and 46 were set aside for separate discussion and vote.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the remainder of the Consent Calendar.

\* \* \*

# PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION BY SUPERVISOR CHRISTY

### CONTRACT AND AWARD

#### **Facilities Management**

 Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide a Cooperative Agreement for the provision of humanitarian services located at 2225 E. Ajo Way (a.k.a. Casa Alitas) and extend contract term to 7/21/22, no cost (CTN-FM-20-10)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy requested program background and analysis information, including funding and spending details.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, explained that when the facility previously used by Catholic Community Services became unavailable, the County expended \$600,000.00 to renovate three Juvenile Detention Center buildings for the purpose of housing asylum seekers. He stated that the County was reimbursed for those modifications by a federal grant and a grant from the Emergency Shelter and Food Program of FEMA covered expenses incurred for maintenance, utility or repair of the facility. He noted that Consent Calendar Item No. 20 was a contract that provided reimbursement to Catholic Community Services for assistance provided to asylum seekers.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

#### Grants Management and Innovation

20. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., to provide for emergency food and shelter to families and individuals encountered by the Department of Homeland Security, Emergency Food and Shelter National Board Program Fund, contract amount \$669,846.85 (CT-GMI-21-452)

It was moved by Chair Bronson and seconded by Supervisor Grijalva to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy indicated that his concerns were addressed during discussion of Consent Calendar Item No. 19.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

### **GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE**

#### 46. Acceptance - Health

Arizona Department of Health Services, to provide for the Enhanced Detection, Response, Surveillance and Prevention - COVID-19, \$14,360,329.00/2 year term (GTAW 21-151)

Supervisor Christy asked what procedures would be implemented with regards to this grant.

Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator, responded that this grant included processes already being utilized for testing, contact tracing, case investigation and prevention. He added that the funds would be available at the time the activities were performed.

Supervisor Christy inquired whether the State and the County were current with COVID-19 expenses and reimbursements and asked what would happen at the end of the grant term if the funds were not expended.

Mr. Huckelberry responded that this grant covered expenses incurred since January 15, 2021. He indicated that reimbursement for the period of December 21, 2020 through January 14, 2021 had not yet been resolved. He stated that any remaining funds, at the end of the two year term, would be returned to the State and eventually the federal government.

Supervisor Christy questioned the need for COVID-19 testing during the term of the grant.

Mr. Huckelberry explained that with only 56% of the population fully vaccinated, and the potential for variants, it was essential to continue testing along with contact tracing and case investigation to minimize the spread of COVID-19.

It was moved by Chair Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

\* \* \*

### CONTRACT AND AWARD

### Community and Economic Development

1. Humane Borders, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for water distribution services in remote areas of Pima County, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$30,000.00 (CT-CED-19-487)

### **Community and Workforce Development**

- Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center, Amendment No. 4, to provide for workforce development services, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, General Fund, contract amount \$31,649.50 (CT-CR-20-396)
- Literacy Connects, Amendment No. 5, to provide for literacy services, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, USDOL - WIOA, HPOG and General (\$300.00) Funds, contract amount \$38,187.00 (CT-CR-20-398)
- 4. To provide for workforce development services, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, USDOL WIOA and HPOG Funds, for the following:

Vendor Name/Amendment No./Contract Amount/Contract No. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc./5/\$44,375.27/CT-CR-20-399 Dorothy Kret and Associates, Inc./8/\$86,907.83/CT-CR-20-417 Goodwill Industries of Southern Arizona, Inc./12/\$149,605.19/CT-CR-20-418 Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./13/\$438,640.44/CT-CR-20-419 Tucson Youth Development, Inc./7/\$444,478.14/CT-CR-20-421 SER - Jobs for Progress of Southern Arizona, Inc./8/\$527,355.34/CT-CR-20-422

 To provide for workforce development services in the ARIZONA@WORK -Workshops Project, extend contract term to 12/31/21 and amend contractual language, USDOL - WIOA, ADES, HPOG and General Funds, for the following:

Vendor Name/Amendment No./General Fund Amount/Contract Amount/Contract No. Cope Community Service, Inc., d.b.a. Rise, L.L.C./7/\$12,426.30/\$30,308.04/CT-CR-20-408 Goodwill Industries of Southern Arizona, Inc./9/\$18,905.41/\$35,363.72/CT-CR-20-410 Portable Practical Educational Preparation, Inc./5/\$6,722.93/\$21,686.88/CT-CR-20-411 Tucson Youth Development, Inc./5/\$219.20/\$8,689.92/CT-CR-20-413

- SER Jobs for Progress of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 6, to provide for workforce veterans and innovation services, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, USDOL - WIOA, HPOG and General (\$18,730.69) Funds, contract amount \$267,849.19 (CT-CR-20-423)
- SER Jobs for Progress of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 5, to provide for workforce development and educational services, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, USDOL -WIOA and General (\$195,736.63) Funds, contract amount \$392,552.78 (CT-CR-20-424)

- 8. SER Jobs for Progress of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 8, to provide for workforce assistance for the homeless, extend contract term to 12/31/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, HUD, ADES, ADOH, City of Tucson, USDOL/ADES WIOA, and General (\$30,524.50) Funds, contract amount \$287,037.63 (CT-CR-20-425)
- 9. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., d.b.a. Pio Decimo Center, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the South Tucson C19 Food Security Program, extend contract term to 3/31/22 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CR-21-355)
- 10. Santa Cruz County, to provide for the H-1B Workforce Grant Program, USDOL Employment and Training Fund, contract amount \$587,312.00/4 year term (CT-CR-21-361)
- 11. Compass Affordable Housing, Inc., to provide for the USHUD Continuum of Care Program CASA, USHUD CoC Fund, contract amount \$192,332.00 (CT-CR-21-390)
- 12. Aspire Business Consultants, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for financial accounting and reporting, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$50,000.00 (CT-CR-21-354)
- Jessica M. Estrada, Amendment No. 3, to provide for special education services, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, State Equalization and Arizona Department of Education Funds, contract amount \$27,000.00 (CT-CR-21-423)
- 14. Christine Nybakken, d.b.a. Nybakken Group, L.L.C., Amendment No. 3, to provide for special education and youth development support, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, State Equalization and Arizona Department of Education Funds, contract amount \$30,000.00 (CT-CR-21-426)
- 15. Joseph M. Casey, Amendment No. 2, to provide for academic tutoring services, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, State Equalization Fund, contract amount \$35,000.00 (CT-CR-21-351)
- 16. Nancy Chow, Amendment No. 2, to provide for academic tutoring services, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, State Equalization Fund, contract amount \$35,000.00 (CT-CR-21-353)

### **Development Services**

17. Town of Marana, to provide for building permit regulation of public property in each other's jurisdictional territory, no cost/4 year term (CT-DSD-21-475)

#### **Facilities Management**

- 18. City of Tucson, Amendment No. 1, to provide for maintenance of premises located at 201 N. Stone Avenue and extend contract term to 6/21/22, contract amount \$375,000.00 revenue (CTN-FM-17-221)
- 19. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., Amendment No. 2, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

#### Grants Management and Innovation

20. Catholic Community Services of Southern Arizona, Inc., (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

#### Health

- 21. Sahuarita Unified School District, to provide for the provision and administration of childhood immunizations and other health services, no cost/5 year term (CTN-HD-21-125)
- 22. Pause for Change, to provide for the vaccine promotion for REACH program, REACH Grant Fund, contract amount \$150,000.00 (CT-HD-21-470)

#### **Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation**

23. City of Tucson, to provide for cooperative development and management of the Explorer Trailhead and the Kennedy Park Fiesta Area Trails Park, no cost/25 year term (CTN-PR-21-130)

#### Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security

24. Southern Arizona Rescue Association, Amendment No. 3, to provide for provision of equipment, supplies, and training for search and rescue operations, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$79,682.00 (CT-OEM-19-227)

#### Pima County Wireless Integrated Network

25. Town of Oro Valley Police Department, to provide for subscriber services, contract amount \$52,456.75 revenue/5 year term (CTN-WIN-21-117)

### Procurement

### 26. **Award**

Award: Purchase Order No. PO-PO-21-51, Johnson Controls, Inc. (Headquarters: Milwaukee, WI), to provide for two (2) new 800 ton chillers for Downtown Central Plant. This contract is for a one-time award in the discrete amount of \$748,275.54 (including sales tax). <u>Funding Source</u>: Capital Improvement Project Fund. <u>Administering Department</u>: Facilities Management.

### 27. Award

Amendment of Award: Multiple Master Agreements to provide for electrical parts and supplies. These amendments increase the shared annual award amount by \$200,000.00 from \$635,000.00 to \$835,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$1,470,000.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

#### MA No./Amendment No./Contractor Name/Current Not-to-Exceed/Shared Award Amount/New Not-to-Exceed

MA-PO-20-47/4/DS & J Enterprises, Inc.; Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc./ \$541,000.00/\$100,000.00/\$641,000.00 MA-PO-20-48/-/Electric Supply, Inc.; Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc./ \$34.000.00/\$0.00/\$34.000.00 MA-PO-20-49/3/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc.: Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc./\$255,000.00/\$100,000.00/\$355,000.00 MA-PO-20-50/-/Graybar Electric Company, Inc.; Electric Supply, Inc./\$62,000.00/ \$0.00/\$62,000.00 MA-PO-20-51/-/Elliott Electric Supply, Inc.; Border States Industries, Inc./\$100,000.00/ \$0.00/\$100,000.00 MA-PO-20-52/-/Electric Supply, Inc.; Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc./\$91,000.00/ \$0.00/\$91,000.00 MA-PO-20-53/-/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc.; Graybar Electric Company, Inc./ \$67,000.00/\$0.00/\$67,000.00 MA-PO-20-54/-/Elliott Electric Supply, Inc.; Border States Industries, Inc./\$120,000.00/ \$0.00/\$120,000.00

#### Totals: 1,270,000.00/\$200,000.00/\$1,470,000.00

#### 28. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-16-331, Amendment No. 6, Everbridge, Inc., to provide for a mass notification system. This amendment is for a one-time increase in the amount of \$15,225.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$1,065,225.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Office of Emergency Management.

### 29. **Award**

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-18-184, Amendment No. 6, Consultant Engineering, Inc. and HDR Construction Control Corporation, to provide for construction surveillance and inspection services for Transportation Capital Improvement Projects. This amendment increases the annual shared award amount from \$1,500,000.00 to \$3,000,000.00 due to

an increase in the amount of road projects requiring these services and increases the amount of the agreement by \$1,500,000.00 for a cumulative not--to-exceed amount of \$5,500,000.00. <u>Funding Source</u>: Various Funds. <u>Administering Department</u>: Transportation.

- 30. Motorola Solutions, Inc., Amendment No. 3, to provide for Spillman software, professional services and maintenance and support, extend contract term to 6/30/23 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$1,150,000.00 (MA-PO-18-330) Sheriff
- Hunter Contracting Co., to provide for Construction Manager at Risk Services
  Tres Rios Headworks Biofilter Odor Control (3THBOC), RWRD Obligations Fund, contract amount \$160,389.38 (CT-WW-21-463) Regional Wastewater Reclamation
- Borderland Construction Company, Inc., Amendment No. 13, to provide for Construction Manager at Risk Services for Aerospace Parkway Widening (4AERO2), extend contract term to 12/31/21 and amend contractual language, HURF 12.6% Fund, contract amount \$20,000.00 (CT-PW-17-328) Public Works

#### Public Works Administration

33. Arts Foundation for Tucson and Southern Arizona, Amendment No. 2, to provide for management of the Pima County Public Art Program, extend contract term to 6/30/23, amend contractual language and scope of services, Various Departmental Capital Improvement Program Funds, contract amount \$139,500.00 (CT-PW-17-423)

#### Recorder

34. City of Tucson, to provide for 2021 election services, contract amount \$175,000.00 estimated revenue (CTN-RE-21-123)

#### **Regional Wastewater Reclamation**

35. Town of Oro Valley, to provide for wastewater billing and collection services, RWRD Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$396,000.00 (CT-WW-21-367)

#### School Superintendent

- 36. Pima County Board of Supervisors, Pima County Recorder and the Superintendent of Schools, to provide for school district election services, no cost (CT-SS-21-456)
- 37. Pima County Board of Supervisors, Pima County Elections Department and the Superintendent of Schools, to provide for school district election services, no cost (CT-SS-21-457)

# Sheriff

- 38. SFB Software Design, L.L.C., Amendment No. 2, to provide for software maintenance and user support, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$48,600.00 (CT-SD-19-412)
- 39. Pima County Community College District, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Adult Basic Education College and Career Program at the Pima County Adult Detention Center, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, Special Revenue Sheriff Inmate Welfare Fund, contract amount \$141,372.38 (CT-SD-20-16)
- 40. Town of Sahuarita, Amendment No. 1, to provide for dispatch services, extend contract term to 6/30/22 and amend contractual language, contract amount \$306,910.00 revenue (CTN-SD-20-162)
- 41. Town of Oro Valley, Town of Sahuarita, City of South Tucson, Town of Marana, Pascua Yaqui Tribe, Tucson Airport Authority and City of Tucson, to provide for formation and operation of specialized law enforcement teams, no cost/5 year term (CTN-SD-21-144)

### Transportation

42. Santa Cruz County, to provide for the Arivaca Road Pavement Preservation Project, contract amount \$500,000.00 revenue/4 year term (CTN-TR-21-129)

### GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

43. Acceptance - Community and Workforce Development Arizona Department of Economic Security, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Community Action Services Program, extend grant term to 6/30/21 and amend grant language, \$1,427,943.46 (GTAM 21-96)

### 44. Acceptance - Environmental Quality

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Amendment No. 5, to provide for the Pima County DEQ Voluntary No Drive Day/Clean Air Program, extend grant term to 6/30/22, amend scope of work and grant language \$268,250.00 (GTAM 21-98)

#### 45. Acceptance - Health

Arizona Department of Health Services, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Title V Maternal and Child Health Healthy Arizona Families, amend scope of work and grant language, \$230,738.00 (GTAM 21-99)

#### 46. Acceptance - Health

Arizona Department of Health Services, (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

### 47. Acceptance - Natural Resources, Parks and Recreation

The Bert W. Martin Foundation, to provide for the Restoration of Manning Sr House and Initial Phase of the new Canoa Ranch Campground, \$100,000.00 (GTAW 21-166)

### 48. Acceptance - Pima Animal Care Center

Friends of Pima Animal Care Center, Amendment No. 2, to provide for additional veterinary staff, amend scope of work and grant language, \$240,000.00 (GTAM 21-95)

### 49. Acceptance - Sheriff

Governor's Office of Highway Safety, to provide for Prop 207 Funds: (3) 2020 BMW Police Motorcycles and (I) LEICA GS18/GNSS and Software, \$122,951.24 (GTAW 21-165)

### 50. Acceptance - Constables

Arizona Constables Ethics Standards and Testing Board (CESTB), to provide for the FY21 CESTB Cycle VII Equipment Grant- Ballistic Vests, \$4,039.84 (GTAW 21-153)

### 51. Acceptance - Constables

Arizona Constables Ethics Standards and Testing Board (CESTB), to provide for the FY21 CESTB Cycle VII Equipment Grant- Firearms and Ammunition, \$2,716.70 (GTAW 21-154)

#### 52. Acceptance - Constables

Arizona Constables Ethics Standards and Testing Board (CESTB), to provide for the FY21 CESTB Cycle VII Equipment Grant- Office Printer, \$949.04 (GTAW 21-162)

#### 53. Acceptance - Constables

Arizona Constables Ethics Standards and Testing Board (CESTB), to provide for the FY21 CESTB Cycle VII Equipment Grant- Uniforms and Equipment, \$1,729.91 (GTAW 21-164)

### 54. Acceptance - Constables

Arizona Constables Ethics Standards and Testing Board (CESTB), to provide for the FY21 CESTB Cycle VII Equipment Grant- Tasers and Accessories, \$4,670.67 (GTAW 21-163)

### **BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE**

#### 55. Board of Adjustment

Appointment of Arlan Colton, to fill a vacancy created by Hope Green. Term expiration: 3/31/24. (District 3)

### 56. Public Safety Personnel Retirement Board

Election of Sergeant Hans Goritz, to replace Lieutenant Bruce Westberg. Term expiration: 12/31/25.

#### 57. Small Business Commission

Appointment of Jeffrey Wood, to replace Jennifer Zimmerman. No term expiration. (District 3)

#### 58. Library Advisory Board

- Reappointment of Maria lannone. Term expiration: 6/30/25. (District 1)
- Appointment of Craig Kleine, to fill a vacancy created by Beverly Bannon. Term expiration: 6/30/23. (District 3)

### 59. **Pima County/Tucson Women's Commission**

Appointment of Heather Lappin, to fill a vacancy created by Carol Dart. Term expiration: 12/31/24. (District 1)

#### 60. Workforce Investment Board

- Appointment of Jay Lau, representing Business, to replace Molly Gilbert. Term expiration: 9/30/22. (Staff recommendation)
- Appointment of Ramon Gaanderse, representing Business, to replace Bruce Grant. Term expiration: 9/30/23. (Staff recommendation)

### SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

#### 61. Temporary Extension

- 03103030, Jeremy Pye, Copper Mine Brewing Co., 3455 S. Palo Verde Road, Tucson, June 11 through 14, 2021.
- 07100326, Thomas Robert Aguilera, Tucson Hop Shop, 3230 N. Dodge Boulevard, Tucson, June 26, 2021.

### ELECTIONS

#### 62. **Precinct Committeemen**

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-821B, approval of Precinct Committeemen resignations and appointments:

#### RESIGNATION-PRECINCT-PARTY

Maxine Krasnow-067-DEM; Lynda Rogoff-088-DEM; Katherine C. Alexander-091-DEM; Suzann Mohr-171-DEM; Elizabeth Olstad Morton-192-DEM; Carol D. Christ-193-DEM; Valerie Gomes-238-DEM; Mark Eberlein-246-DEM; Kathleen "Katta" Mapes-191-REP; Patricia "Penny" A. Hollman-Grabowski-227-REP

### APPOINTMENT-PRECINCT-PARTY

Tammy L. Michalski-015-DEM; Brian K. Radford-024-DEM; Bonnie J. Andrikopoulos-033-DEM; Donald G. Jorgensen-056-DEM; Sue A. Kroeger-056-DEM; Paula A. Aboud-058-DEM; Nita "Kelly" Goodrich-061-DEM; Nigel Brandon Stewart, Jr.-070-DEM; Curtis H. Freese-088-DEM; Gina M. Santos-157-DEM: Andrew W. Laurenzi-167-DEM: Curtis Conrad-180-DEM: Flora Ann Simon-192-DEM; Lucas R. McCain-225-DEM; Elda M. Lopez-233-DEM; Raymond L. Molera-006-REP; Carrie M. Liebich-011-REP; Stephanie M. Fox-013-REP; David M. Lorenson-013-REP; Molly M. Urban-013-REP; Frederick J. Driebholz-016-REP; Jesse A. Majalca-024-REP; Lisa J. McClellen-029-REP; Bill Beard-032-REP; Brianna M. Hamilton-032-REP; Jong Y. Brewer-068-REP; Christopher T. Mihina-082-REP; Joseph R. Cesare-083-REP; Linda A. Evans-088-REP; Robin "Lisa" E. Ford-100-REP; Zacharias Catsaros-112-REP; Lynn Gabriel-112-REP; Tamara J. Gideon-112-REP; William G. Gideon-112-REP; Katta Mapes-115-REP; Kelly G. Hazel-119-REP; Robert R. French-127-REP; Richard D. Epley-128-REP; Juan Ciscomani-142-REP; Laura Ciscomani-142-REP; Marianne Reddick-142-REP; Mary F. Boyd-145-REP; Stephanie M. Kirkland-145-REP; Judith B. Hoagland-146-REP; James W. Bartron-147-REP; Martha C. Aguilar-171-REP; Ronald "Doug" Schneider-171-REP; Mary Beth Gualtieri-174-REP; Chad W. Duncan-185-REP; Steven R. Clabaugh-192-REP; Jolene Clement-194-REP; Anna M. George-194-REP; Steve D. Orth-194-REP; Paul M. Disner-197-REP; Maricella McDonough-218-REP; Karl A. Fiebelkorn-229-REP; Grace R. Jasin-238-REP; Beverlee Starr-238-REP; Calvin P. Delgado-239-REP; Katherine J. Kent-096-LBT

### FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

### 63. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification**

123 Delano, L.L.C. \$1,993.00; Social Security Administration \$41.00; Secretary of State Notary Department \$43.00; Robert P. Baca \$69.98; Jennifer Sunshine \$825.00; Envisionware, Inc. \$6,402.24; Melissa Goularte \$83.52; Christine Nybakken \$1,080.00; Christine Nybakken \$1,520.00; Christine Nybakken \$1,520.00; Christine Nybakken \$1,760.00; Christine Nybakken \$2,120.00; Interfaith Community Services \$4,084.71; Geile Brothers, L.L.C. \$2,780.00; Plaza Del Sol, LTD. \$2,704.46; Plaza Del Sol, LTD. \$1,316.32; RWC International, LTD. \$16.63; Brandon Kimmel \$28.08.

### SUPERIOR COURT

### 64. Judge Pro Tempore Appointment

Appointment of Judge Pro Tempore of the Superior Court for the period of July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022:

# Judge Pro Tempore (Voluntary without pay)

Dean Christoffel

### TREASURER

65. **Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification** Cristina Gonzalez \$587.22

### **RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE**

66. Regular Minutes: April 20, May 4 and 14, 2021 Budget Minutes: May 11 and 12, 2021 Warrants: May 2021

\* \* \*

### 93. STATEMENT FOR THE RECORD

Supervisor Christy noted, for the record, that he had failed to set aside Consent Calendar Item No. 1 for separate discussion and vote. He indicated that he would have voted in opposition of that item.

#### 94. ADJOURNMENT

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 1:07 p.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK

### **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

### 7. Final Budget Hearing

Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, pass and adopt: RESOLUTION NO. 2021 - <u>36</u>

#### Verbatim

- SB: Chair Bronson
- SC: Supervisor Christy
- AG: Supervisor Grijalva
- MH: Supervisor Heinz
- RS: Supervisor Scott
- CH: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
- JC: Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
- LL: Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney
- CN: Chris Nanos, Pima County Sheriff
- SB: Item No. 14, for the Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022 and if passed and adopted, we will be adopting Resolution No. 2021 36. Before, and again, Madam Clerk, is, you read some comments, I know, earlier in Call to the Public, but is there anyone else online that would like to address us at this time.
- JC: I have no other submissions, Madam Chair.
- SB: Alright, thank you very much. With that, I am going to ask Mr. Huckelberry, I know the state legislature is still in session. I think there may be some impact, or there, should they approve and we, it appears at this point it will be approved, a change in property tax ratios. Then I have a few questions before moving on, but Mr. Huckelberry, can you address us regarding not only what is happening at the legislature, but talk a little bit about cost shifts in general and then if you would also talk about the one-time monies and how that is affecting our General Fund Budget for this year that we are getting from either the American CARES Act or the, there are a number of items that are going to be part of, not necessarily our General Fund, but certainly our expenditures. If you would make some comments, I would be appreciative.
- CH: Chair Bronson, members of the Board, let me start with the state legislature. I believe they are trying to actually adopt a budget today. That budget negotiation has been ongoing for probably over two weeks now. There were a couple of tax reduction components in the State Budget. First, the one with what is called the flat tax, with regard to income tax. That particular proposal had no impact on counties. It had significant impact on cities. We now understand that the legislature has increased revenue sharing on income tax

to offset any negative impact to cities, so essentially they have been held harmless. The impact to counties comes from the shift of Class 1 property from an assessment ratio of 18%. In the session, they had talked about a 1% reduction phase-in over two years, which was one-half percent per year and then offsetting that to try and reduce the impact on counties by increasing the homeowners rebate. What that would have done is only reduced the impact by about 48% since the tax base on owner occupied homes is that ratio of the base. We still would have actually had to absorb that loss in revenue over the two years that the, it is about an \$8.5 million hit. With that homeowners rebate increased, it reduces it to about \$4.5 million. The newest proposal that we understand is probably in the budget proposal now, is to reduce the Class 1 ratios from 18% to 15%, a 3% increase, but to phase it in over 12 years and to increase the homeowner rebate. It looks like the increase is only one-time with regard to this phase-in. Our experience with the legislature in the past regarding to phase-ins, is that if the next year budget is positive, which it looks like it will be, they may decide to accelerate that slide down to 15%. It looks like every percent that it goes down, it is an impact of \$8.5 million. If you look at only the first year being offset by the homeowner's rebate, that means it is about a \$4.5 million impact that there is no discussion about offsetting any further or increasing the homeowner's rebate to cover the next two percentage point drops from 17% to 16%, 16% to 15%, each of those is worth \$8.5 million. We are really talking about potential loss of revenue of about \$20 million and it depends on when they actually, if they stick with the 12 years, it will be over that period. If they accelerate it, it will be over a shorter period. Again, we are facing more of what we call unfunded State cost transfers and this is just one in a series. We had worked hard to get the Arizona Department of Juvenile Corrections transfer out of the budget. There are only two counties that are being assessed that 25% cost share. That is Maricopa and Pima. Our share of that is probably about \$1.8 to \$2 million. Again this year, we are, if they adopt any reduction in the Class 1 property, we are looking at a hit on State cost share transfers of about \$8 million. It is just a continuation of past practices. Obviously, we are in a position to where we can absorb it this year without any significant modification to the tax rate. Next year will be a different story. I think one of the policy issues that I will ask the Board to consider is some type of neutral cost shift policy associated with our budget development, so that if the legislature reduces our revenues or transfers costs, we are able to recover that in the following year, with, obviously, an increase in the tax rate, on top of what it might have been, either going down or going up. That is just an item to consider. Chair Bronson, is that adequate discussion with regard to state legislative cost shifts?

- SB: Yes, I think it is. Just maybe some historical perspective, again, originally, when, you know, say in the '80s, where were we in terms of the distinction between owner occupied residential and commercial and where we are now and where the burden has, how the burden has shifted?
- CH: Chair Bronson, members of the Board, our tax base has consisted of a series of classes of property and those classes change from time to time over the

decades. What we have seen from, say, the late 1970s to even now, is a substantial shift in tax burden to residential properties. Residential properties, owner occupied, used to be maybe 25% to 35% of the tax base, depending upon if you included rentals. Today, if you look at the percent of the tax base that shares the tax burden, owner occupied and residential comprises about 69%, maybe as much as 70%, of the tax base. This shift from Class 1 to the others will undoubtedly increase that share of residential burden and that has been the history of the legislature over the last 20 or so years, is to reduce taxes on utilities, mines and commercial properties and shift that burden to residential owners, whether they be owner residential or renters.

- SB: Thank you. So every time we raise property tax rates, we, the majority of the individuals we are affecting are owner occupied residential?
- CH: Owner occupied or renters.
- SB: Or renters. Correct. Thank you.
- CH: Thank you.
- SB: Can we address the one-time monies and any, and I am confused in terms of the one-time monies what we can actually, what the protocols are in terms of what we can actually expend them on. Again, they are one-time monies and normally, sound fiscal policy suggests that for one-time money, it is spent on one-time projects, not ongoing that would require additional funding each year, where we would not have the original funds, but that would have to come out of our general fund tax rate. If you could.
- CH: Chair Bronson and members of the board, one-time monies come in two different categories, typically. One is a series of grants and if you look at the agenda today we have lots of grants on the agenda. Most of those grants come with a very specific direction as to how the money can be spent. There is a fairly large grant from the Arizona Department of Health Services, I think on the \$14 million range. They itemize and specify specifically how we can spend that grant money, and in this case, it is all related to COVID-19. We spend that in accordance with the directions from the granting agency. If we actually have to hire a staff to help implement that grant, they are given classifications and placed in a grant position. That simply means, if the grant goes away, the position goes away. The other class of one-time monies is very similar to the American Rescue Plan, the \$93 million that we just received. It is kind of lump sum and then they give you a series of rules as to how the money can be spent. The wisest use of what we call one-time grant monies that come essentially unattached, is to make sure that the function, or the purpose, or the program, or the expenditure, only occurs one time. Because to spend that money on a recurring expense, means that you now have to, once the grant funds are exhausted, raise the typically, the property tax levy or the rate or both. That is the caution with regard to grants and one-time monies. You have to be very careful not to paint yourself into a corner where you are going to be

faced with a significant property tax rate increase or levy increase in any one given year.

- SB: Thank you, Mr. Huckelberry. I have a question. I read your most recent memo dated today, regarding changes to the tentative adopted budget and we are looking at a \$1,132,000.00 increase in Community Workforce Development operational funds for the Pima Early Education Program. Why are we increasing that?
- CH: Chair Bronson and members of the Board, that increase is for staff that will be placed in the Community Workforce and Development Department to actually administer and manage the Pima Early Education Program. There is a component of, as the Board allocated, and we placed in the budget \$10 million for early education. This amount comes out of that \$10 million and it is necessary to actually implement the Pima Early Education Program.
- SB: I am confused. Are we actually increasing it or you are saying it is coming out of what was additionally, what was originally allocated, or is this in addition to or? Just to clarify. I am confused.
- CH: Chair Bronson and members of the Board, it is an allocation that is for the Early Education Program and it comes out of the \$10 million and the...
- SB: So it is not an increase?
- CH: Well, here is the confusion, is that the City of Tucson provided \$1 million, the Town of Marana, no not the Town of Marana, the Town of Oro Valley had \$132,000.00. This is really the increase in that revenue for the program and the last sentence of that memo is, it is not applicable to this particular item.
- SB: Okay. Thank you. I note that, I was looking through the budget, and that is always an exercise that certainly is challenging. I find that the PEEP Program, the Early, the Pima Early, what do we call it, the Education Program, is listed in, let me see, it is Object Code 5000, Office Supplies. It is lumped into that. The pre-k is lumped in there. Can you explain that?
- CH: Yes, Chair Bronson, it is simply because we do not have a budget account in our system yet that is called Early Childhood Education. We will have. What we have done is just park it in this area. You see it is not \$10 million and then, you see that the, some of the personnel costs are contained in another area of the Community Workforce Development budget.
- SB: Okay. I was trying to find that because I, for those two items I wanted to take a separate vote on. So if we could, you know, the Object Code 5000, Office Supplies, the Homeless and SP General Fund, I would like to vote on that separately, and then with the employees for that particular, associated with that particular item. I am sorry I cannot find the line item under, I am just not

seeing it. If somebody could perhaps assist in locating that, because I want a separate vote on that.

- SC: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Christy? I think.
- SC: Will you notify us when those votes that you want separately to be voted upon come up?
- SB: I have an obligation to do that, so I will fulfill that obligation. Yes.
- SC: Okay. Thank you.
- SB: If I, if somebody can just locate, but I would like to vote on those two items separately. The other item I am confused about, and again this is, Mr. Huckelberry, this is your June 22nd memo, regarding the Home Sharing Pilot Program. Is that, that was a pending issue. Is that now in our budget officially? I am not sure I, that we took a vote on that on the last meeting and it was tabled. My question is, is it now in this budget that you are recommending?
- CH: Chair Bronson, it is a separate item and what I have indicated that the Board in the memorandum on the item, that came back, there is a, at least three different options. I think it was up to the Board to choose which option to actually fund it from. Once you choose an option, what that option would be, for example, if you chose \$170,000.00 to fund the Home Share Program, then we would reduce the ending fund balance by \$170,000.00.
- SB: Would that require a separate vote from us later today or are we taking that vote during budget adoption?
- CH: It will require that vote and once you determine the amount, then the discussion in this budget memorandum makes it an automatic reduction in the budget ending fund balance.
- SB: Got it. Okay, that clarifies that for me.
- RS: Madam Chair?
- SB: That is Supervisor Scott.
- RS: Yes, Ma'am. Thank you, and we are not limited to considering just those three options that are noted. We could offer other options as well.
- SB: I, we certainly, during the discussion of that item, we, yes, that would be, and Ms. Lukach, if I am speaking out of turn, let me know, but yes, when we come for that, when that item is up for discussion, those were some alternatives that I think came from the County Administrator, but you can, you are certainly free

to offer your own alternative. Thank you, Supervisor Scott. Alright. So with that, are there any questions from, any further questions from Board members?

- RS: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Scott.
- RS: I had two points to raise about the budget. First of all, a question for Mr. Huckelberry. It has been helpful to me in the past, during the campaign, to know the percentage of the General Fund that needs to be devoted to those state cost shifts. I do not recall seeing that figure this year. Is there a rough approximation that you could share with us? I think that information is just as important to the public as the increasing burden on residential property owners brought about by increasing cuts in the commercial property tax.
- CH: Chair Bronson and Supervisor Scott, it is a lower percentage this year because it is diluted because the General Fund has, I believe, \$350 million in it related to grant applications or grants. It typically, our typical General Fund budget runs about \$400 million, \$450 million. The typical number of state cost transfers is in the \$90 plus million. So I think we have always said it runs between 20% and 30%, depending upon how much outside other money comes into the General Fund.
- RS: Thank you very much. The other item I wanted to mention is, I am very much in support of the purchase of body-worn cameras for the Pima County Sheriff's Department, but as Mr. Huckelberry knows, the Sheriff and his staff are currently drafting policies for their use. By way of direction to the County Administrator and his staff, I would not like the purchase to be finally approved until those policies governing the use of body-worn cameras are finalized, vetted by the County Attorney's Office and submitted to the Board of Supervisors for review. That was it Madam Chair.
- SB: Thank you.
- AG: Chair Bronson?
- SB: Supervisor Grijalva.
- AG: What we did in Tucson Unified, is, we did the same thing, where we waited for the policy to happen before the cameras were put into use, but the ordering and the processing of it all, like the purchase of it, happened and then they were not, like, nothing was approved to be worn until we, at the Board level, approved the policy to do that. I think that, I do not know for purchasing if it would make more sense to move through with the purchase, if the expectation is we are going to be approving it. We just do not want them used until we have a policy that is set.
- RS: Madam Chair?

- SB: Supervisor Scott.
- RS: I appreciate Supervisor Grijalva's input, especially given that she has already dealt with a similar matter. I do not know that the Board is able to approve those policies. I would like the policies to be finalized. They are only in draft form right now. I would like them to be vetted by the County Attorney's Office. I do not know if we have the ability to, or the right to approve them, but I would like to review them before they are put into use. I do not want to do anything to inhibit moving forward with the purchase, but I do think those three steps should be taken before they are put into use.
- SB: I concur, Supervisor Scott. Then, Supervisor Christy, but let me get to Leslie, to our attorney, Leslie, can, Ms. Lukach, can you comment on that now or would you like to research and then get back to us on whether or not the Board can, has the authority to approve a policy for the Pima County Sheriff's Department? Since that is a row officer.
- LL: Chair Bronson, it would be best if our office took a closer look at that and researched it and returned with an answer.
- SB: Thank you. Supervisor Christy, I think you had a question.
- SC: Thank you, Madam Chair. Now that we have Sheriff Nanos standing by, would he have any comments or like to weigh in on this subject?
- SB: I do not see him as an attendee at this point. Is he, Madam Clerk?
- JC: Madam Chair, he is still on the line, yes.
- SB: Okay. Sheriff Nanos, would you like to comment?
- CN: Yes. I do not believe the County Attorney nor the Board of Supervisors has authority to tell the Sheriff how to set his policies or what those policies will be. I am just being frank and direct. I will say this, though, everything we do here at the Sheriff's Department is in the best interest of the County and if you have concerns about what it is we do, you have always reached out to the Sheriff, whether it is myself or previous Sheriffs and we discussed it and handled it accordingly. I do not understand the concern here from Mr. Scott, from Supervisor Scott. To delay this, puts it months down the road. These are things that should have been purchased months ago. We all know that it benefits not just the community, it benefits my deputies. Why the concern now? I do not know. I will leave that to you, but that is my position.
- RS: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Scott.

- RS: Thank you. With regard to Sheriff Nanos' comments, I am not looking to delay this purchase. I am in support of this purchase, but there have been questions raised around this Country about policies that govern the use of body-worn cameras, including when the footage from those body-worn cameras is released to the public. I, at no point, said that I thought that the Board should approve those policies. I said that I thought they should be vetted by the County Attorney, to make sure that they are aligned with State Statute and any other applicable laws and I thought that the Board should have the opportunity to review them. I was grateful to Sheriff Nanos that he gave me the opportunity to review the current draft. That is all I am asking for. I am not trying to hold anything up and I am not trying to assert authority that the Board does not have. But I think we do have authority over purchases and there is information that I would like to know before the purchases that we approve are finalized.
- SB: Thank you for those comments, Supervisor Scott and we do have control, to the extent we control the budget.
- CN: Madam Chair?
- SB: In fact, that is, I am not finished. That is our control and I, we always hope that our independent elected officials, our row officers, will be wise in their spending, but we control the amount that they, we control the total amount, not the line items of individual row officer budgets. Sheriff Nanos.
- CN: My apologies, Madam Chair. Supervisor Scott, we have given you, I think, some drafts. Those policies do take time because it is not just done in a vacuum. They are back and forth and we constantly review them. Those policies were designed and guided by other agencies across the Country, with Axon's help, because they deal with these other agencies around the Country. To delay this vote for us to approve policy, get it to the County Attorney's, let them approve policy and bring it back to you for your approval on the vote, makes no sense to me. I just think that we move forward with the vote to approve the purchase. As you say, Madam Chair, you are, I do not need to tell you this, absolutely correct, that you control the funds. I am asking that you buy those cameras today. That you approve it so we can move forward with that purchase, and we can work through any policy issues that this Board or the County Attorney or the community has.
- SB: Thank you, Sheriff Nanos. Any further questions from Board members?
- RS: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Scott.
- RS: I just want to clarify, because I think the Sheriff is misstating my position. My position is not that we should delay this vote today. I said at the beginning of my comments that I support the purchase of body-worn cameras. I also intend to vote for their purchase today. What I said is that until they are approved for

use, we should have those policies finalized, not in draft form. They should be vetted by the County Attorney and submitted to us for review. Not approval. That is all. That is the extent of what I am asking for and that is what I have been clear about in my communications with the Sheriff and in my comments today.

- SB: Thank you.
- SC: Madam Chair?
- CN: Madam Chair?
- SB: I think Mr. Huckelberry had a comment and then Supervisor Christy. Mr. Huckelberry, I think you had your hand raised.
- CH: Chair Bronson, members of the Board, approving that in the budget today, it sets in motion a procurement process that may take a week, may take two or three weeks. I think, as I saw the communications between the Sheriff and Supervisor Scott, those policies were in draft and they should be fairly close to being finalized. I think the question for the Sheriff is, when will those policies be finalized?
- AG: Chair Bronson?
- CN: Madam Chair, Mr. Huckelberry, we hope to have them done quickly, within this week even, if possible. I did want to comment too, for Supervisor Scott. The County Attorney, who has provided us, as you know, for years, an attorney here. That being Sean Holguin. He has reviewed those drafts, as well, so we do not just do this here in a vacuum. There is some attorneys looking at the policies we propose. I would hope to have those policies, short answer, within a week, but I am not going to, I cannot guarantee it.
- SC: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Christy.
- SC: Here is a realistic scenario that could happen. Given the makeup of this Board, as opposed to how we dealt with certain issues regarding the Sheriff's Department with Stonegarden funds and things of this nature during the last Board creation. Let us assume that we buy the, we approve the purchase of these body cameras and other equipment and then, for whatever reason, certain members of the Board decide they do not like the policy of how the implementation of this equipment is going to be used. We are bogged down in trying to determine policy or possibly even saying no, we do not like this policy at all and we are not going to approve the use of the body cameras. Two things happen. One, we have gone ahead and purchased things that now, potentially, will be sitting on a shelf or in a store room collecting dust and B, we have been hamstringing our law enforcement community with the use of these very

6-22-2021 (55)

valuable and important items of equipment. We are telling the Sheriff that we do not trust him to be able to implement these cameras, which he is in favor of, which his deputies are in favor of, which the community is in favor of. So either we are going to do it all the way and let Sheriff Nanos run his department as an elected official or we are not going to do it at all. I am very concerned that what is going to happen is we will buy this millions of dollars' worth of equipment and then one of the Board members or more of the Board members will say, you know, I have been thinking about this. I do not like this idea to use body cameras with the Sheriff's Department at all and we have wasted all of this money and time and created a lot of impediment for the Sheriff to be able to do his department's job.

- RS: Madam Chair? Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Scott.
- Thank you. Again, I need to restate, I am in support of the purchase of body-RS: worn cameras. I am merely asking that the policies governing their use, including when the footage is released, be finalized before the purchase is finalized, that the County Attorney, and I do not care which County Attorney it is. Have the opportunity to vet those policies and that they be submitted to the Board for review, not approval. I recognize the distinction between the two terms, even if others in this conversation do not. That is all I am asking. The reason I am asking it is because there have been numerous controversies dealing with the use of body-worn cameras around this Country, and I want to reassure the public that as we make this purchase, which is not only a significant financial commitment, but a significant commitment in terms of the safety of the public and the safety of the deputies, that we are doing so prudently. I do not think that it is unreasonable to ask that those policies be finalized, vetted by our County Attorney and submitted to the people who approve the purchase for review. That is all that is being asked. Again, I thank the Sheriff for sharing a previous draft with me. I think they are well on their way towards finalizing this. There is no interest on my part in delaying things and there certainly is no interest on my part, as Supervisor Christy, in ever coming back and saying that body-worn cameras should not be purchased. I think there is a lot of strawmen that are being erected in this conversation. I want to be clear about what I am asking for and what I am not asking.
- SB: I hope we have exhausted that topic now.
- AG: Just one more, Chair Bronson?
- CN: Madam Chair?
- SB: Well, first Supervisor Grijalva.

- AG: Thank you. Sheriff Nanos, since you are on the line, once the policy is finalized, are you going to be sharing that with the community? I think that is one of the issues for me, is just ensuring that everyone understands what the policy is.
- CN: Madam Chair, Supervisor Grijalva and the rest of the Board, first of all, Mr. Christy, you are exactly right when you talk about trust as an issue here. I feel like there is a great lack of trust from this Board and this current Sheriff. I do not understand why. I ran on a platform of reform. I told people that day one we would have body-worn cameras. I ran on a number of issues dealing with reform. Currently Supervisor Grijalva, our policies and rules and regs are on our web page. It is accessible to anybody at any time. I really do struggle with the issue of submitting for your review policies that you know are going to lead to questions and then hold us at hostage, if you will, for funding this program. It makes no sense. We will gladly share with you our policies. We have always done. We will gladly sit down with you and discuss with you the concerns about those policies. We have always done that, but to sit back and say to the Sheriff that you will not approve any funding until this has been vetted by the County Attorney's Office and the Board is, I am sorry, it is rather disturbing. This policy we draw up has been vetted by the National Institute of Justice and the Department of Justice. We do not just create this in a whim, in an office somewhere over here at 103. That is not how it is done. I am a little insulted by this.
- AG: Just to follow-up, Sheriff Nanos, perhaps my talking about in TUSD, how the Board approved the policy before the cameras were used, kind of led to some inference that that was what I was suggesting or Supervisor Scott or any of the other supervisors. I think, that what it is, is that it was my effort to sort of say, before the cameras were used, there was a policy in place. I think that is all that we are, that I personally am asking for. The other thing that I hope you appreciate is that in our Country, we are in a very different place than we were several years ago when it comes to police officers and their contact with the community. I just think that there is a level of concern in general in our community and this is not specific to the Pima County Sheriff's Department.
- CN: Supervisor Grijalva, I agree completely that anything we put into use should have policy behind it. That is what we do. Again, I have instituted, I have spoken with every chief in the valley and talked to them about their use of the cameras and that how they put them together. We have collectively come together, at my suggestion, to have a critical incident team where we share resources so that we can be more transparent in those critical incidents, such as officer-involved shootings or people dying in custody, all of those issues. I am more sensitive to it than I think this Board even realizes after today's discussion. I have 40 years of experience. I am an elected official. I agree with your ideas of we should have these things, a policy in place before they are actually implemented and it does not just applied to body-worn cameras. That applies to anything we do here at the Sheriff's Department.

- SB: I am going to interrupt you at this point, because I think these are discussions that are best carried out with individual Supervisors, one on one with your, with you, Sheriff Nanos, or with your designated individuals that perhaps would have answers to some of the questions that our Supervisors have. I think we, was it Supervisor Christy that had another, no it looks like he is fine. I think we have exhausted this issue, in particular. Thank you, Sheriff Nanos, for being with us. I think we now need to move on with the budget adoption. Again, thank you, Sheriff Nanos, for sharing your concerns with us. We are now Board of Supervisors sitting as other Boards. We are on Item No. 14. It is a hearing. It is the Final Budget for Fiscal Year 2021/2022, and if approved, we will be adopting Resolution No. 2021 - 36. As I said, I wanted to take a separate vote on the Pima, the Early Childhood Education and that is Line Item 5000 under Office Supplies. Then in terms of salary and wages, it is Item, Line Item, Object Code 5400, Salaries and Wages. First, I would entertain a motion to close the public hearing for Final Budget Adoption, just closing the public hearing. I will make a motion to close the public hearing.
- RS: Second.
- AG: I will second.
- SB: Motion and a second to close the public hearing. Madam Clerk, I do not believe we need a roll call vote in closing the hearing, but I could stand to... Leslie, if Ms. Lukach thinks we do, I, we will. Ms. Lukach, should we have a vote on closing the public hearing?
- LL: Chair Bronson, I do not believe that you need a roll call vote to close the hearing.
- SB: Leslie, we need a vote, but not a roll call vote?
- (Ms. Lukach nodded)
- SB: Okay. There is a motion to close the public hearing. Is there any discussion, any objections? Hearing none, motion carries. Now we will move on to whether or not we will adopt Resolution 2021 36. Again, I am going to make a motion to vote on item, to pull Items 5400, that is the object code and it is Salaries and Wages, and then 5000. That is the object code for Office Supplies. That includes, both include pre-k. I will make a motion to vote on that separately.
- SC: Second.
- SB: There is a motion and a second to vote separately. I think we need a motion to approve or deny. I will move to approve for only purposes of discussion. Supervisor Christy, do you still, you want to second that?
- SC: I will second that, but are we going to deal with each of those line items that you suggested separately?

- SB: We are going to deal with them together as 5000. That is all the pre-k money. So we will be looking at those two items, line items separately, which includes the pre-k allocation in the General Fund Budget.
- SC: My second stands.
- SB: Okay. Thank you. So there is a motion on the floor to approve. Discussion? I have some comments. I am going to vote in opposition to this. It is not that I do not support early childhood education, because I certainly do. But after our initial discussions earlier today, we are using one-time monies and I find that disturbing. We do not have equal partners. We are putting in the majority of the money, whereas the people, many who are benefiting, reside within the City of Tucson and we have not had any real partners. This needs to be a community, a regional acceptance and we need all parties at the table. The business community to date has not stepped up. We do not have any real metrics, in my view, as to its success. There has been no real public input regarding how the establishments were chosen. I know some of that comes from First Things First, but if you look at First Things First, the first thing that they did was spend money on hiring people and getting them vehicles. There has been very, I mean, given the number of years they have been at this, they have certified very few preschools. I find that concerning. Again, I absolutely support the concept, I just do not think that we have done all the homework we need to do. I am not a fan of Field of Dreams. If you build it, they will come. I think Supervisor Scott thinks that if we do this, that we will get those partnerships and we will be able to expand the program. I just think this is onetime monies and we still, and still, how to achieve early childhood education equitably and fairly and with transparency and accountability. It is not what I see in the discussions we have had. I will be voting in opposition but, again, want to express that conceptually, I am there and hope that we can work with our community partners, both in nonprofit and for-profit, our business leaders, to come up with more equitable cost sharing, costs that can be sustained over time. I simply do not see that today, that we have that recipe in front of us. Again, I will be voting in opposition. Supervisor Christy.
- SC: Thank you, Madam Chair. I would agree with all of your comments, except for two that you left out, from my standpoint. One is the responsibility of Pima County which in my estimation and many others, this is not a responsibility that the County should be involved in and should not be taking any kind of stand on. It is a state issue. It should remain as such. The second issue is: you support the concept, I do not. So I will be joining you in voting against the entire item. Thank you.
- SB: Thank you, Supervisor Christy. Supervisor Scott, do you have any comments?
- AG: Chair Bronson?

- SB: Supervisor Grijalva. I do not see him. He is on a different, he must be on a different system, because I am not... You are here?
- RS: I am. I do not have any comments at this time.
- SB: Okay. Now you are back on. Okay. Thank you. Supervisor Grijalva.
- AG: I just wanted to understand. Are we passing the budget, excluding the PEEPS investment and then we are going to vote on that?
- SB: No. Okay. Let me explain the procedure and I am just having a hard time calling it PEEPS. It was an interesting acronym. We are voting on this separately. But then, It will be, my "No" vote will be recorded. Supervisor Christy's "No" vote will be recorded. But then, when we go to final adoption of the remaining amount of the budget, this will be an item. If, provided there is a majority that want this to be an item, if there are three votes in favor of this item, then it will be part of the Final Budget Adoption. So does that clarify?
- AG: It does. You are, so it is the reverse order. It is the preschool investment first and then the Final Budget?
- SB: Yes, correct. Alright. If there is no further discussion, then Miss, Madam Clerk, roll call.
- JC: Madam Chair, for clarification purposes, this was for separate and for discussion only. You motioned and Supervisor Christy seconded?
- SB: No, this is not discussion only. I motioned for approval. Supervisor Christy seconded it. Then I said I was going to vote in opposition. The motion was to approve. The motion I made was to approve. Supervisor Christy seconded that motion. I indicated obviously, during this discussion, that I going to be in opposition. Does that clarify for you?
- JC: Thank you, Madam Chair. Yes, it does. Back to roll call vote?
- AG: No. Chair Bronson, just to be clear. This vote that we are going to be making now is specifically for the Early Childhood Education.
- SB: Yes, it is.
- AG: Okay. Which I am totally in favor of and we have gone over, we have had long discussions about it, so I am just going to vote.
- SB: Okay.
- JC: This is for Line Item 5000, Early Childhood Education, for approval. Supervisor Christy?

- SB: And Item 5400. That is salaries and wages that are part of the Early Childhood. It is the staff, and it is the variant. I think it is the \$140,568.00. It is salaries that are, salary and wages for Early Childhood, for PEEPS, for the... Am I clear?
- JC: Now I have Line Items 5000 and 5400 for approval.
- SB: Correct.
- JC: Thank you. Supervisor Christy?
- SC: No.
- JC: Supervisor Grijalva?
- AG: Yes.
- JC: Supervisor Heinz?
- MH: Yes.
- JC: Supervisor Scott?
- RS: Yes.
- JC: Chair Bronson?
- SB: No. By your vote of 3-2, motion carries. We now move on to Final Budget Adoption for Fiscal Year 2021/2022. If approved, we will be adopting Resolution No. 2021 36. What is the pleasure of the Board?
- RS: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Scott.
- RS: I move approval of the Final Budget as submitted.
- AG: I will second.
- SB: There is a motion and a second. Any discussion? If not, roll call, please.
- JC: Supervisor Christy?
- SC: No.
- JC: Supervisor Grijalva?
- AG: Yes.

- JC: Supervisor Heinz?
- MH: Yes.
- JC: Supervisor Scott?
- RS: Yes.
- JC: Chair Bronson?
- SB: Yes. With a notation that I did vote against PEEPS. With that, motion carries 4-1. We have adopted the Final Budget and Resolution No. 2021 36.

# COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

### 22. Updates and Action on COVID-19

Revisions to Board of Supervisors Policy
 Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Board of Supervisors
 Policy No. C 2.9, Temporary Policy - Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19).

#### Verbatim

- SB: Chair Bronson
- SC: Supervisor Christy
- AG: Supervisor Grijalva
- MH: Supervisor Heinz
- RS: Supervisor Scott
- CH: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
- JC: Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
- LL: Lesley Lukach, Civil Deputy County Attorney
- SB: Item No. 34 is the COVID-19 Update and staff is recommending approval of the proposed revisions to the Board of Supervisors Policy No. C 2.9, Temporary Policy Novel Coronavirus. Mr. Huckelberry, do you want to make some quick comments?
- CH: Very simple. All it does is change the telecommuting for Coronavirus back to the normal telecommuting policy of Pima County.
- SB: Thank you. Any, what is the pleasure of the Board on this item?
- AG: Chair Bronson?
- SB: I am going to move the item for purposes of discussion. Is there a second?
- SC: I will second.
- SB: Okay. There is a motion and a second. Supervisor Grijalva.
- AG: I just, in this effort to try to work through what our new normal is going to look like with everything, I would like to continue to encourage people to telecommute, whenever possible. We still do not have full capacity at preschool programs, school programs, summer programs and so we still have an issue with child care. I also think that it is, you know, if our employee, the employees that have looked to be productive and working well at home and telecommuting, I would like to continue to encourage that.
- SB: Thank you, Supervisor Grijalva. Any further comments?

- SC: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Christy.
- SC: Due to the increased vaccination rate, the decrease in transmission of disease and the fact that things are turning in the right direction and that there have been a number of indications, not only in our County and our State, but in our Country, that things are getting back to normal and to highlight the County Administrator's, Huckelberry's June 18th memorandum about ending the mandatory county-wide temperature screening, I would like to make a motion. That motion is...
- SB: There is a motion on the floor already to approve the policy.
- SC: I beg your pardon. Shall we vote on that?
- SB: Is there any further discussion? Okay. Let us do a quick roll call vote, Madam Clerk.
- JC: Supervisor Christy?
- SC: Yes.
- JC: Supervisor Grijalva?
- AG: No.
- JC: Supervisor Heinz?
- MH: No.
- JC: Supervisor Scott?
- RS: Yes.
- JC: Chair Bronson?
- SB: Yes. By your motion of, by your vote of 3-2, motion carries. Moving on. Go ahead.
- SC: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Christy.
- SC: Thank you. I apologize for getting ahead of myself here. This would fall under "emergency items will be discussed under this action."

- SB: Well, let me refer to, let me, Mr. Huckelberry, do you have any other COVID-19 updates for us, quickly.
- CH: Chair Bronson, members of the Board, no.
- SB: Okay. Supervisor Christy.
- SC: Okay. Thank you. Again, going back over the numbers that have shown that there is a marked decrease in issues of the pandemic, I would like to make a motion that the Board of Supervisors immediately rescind and remove Pima County's Declaration of Emergency, which was dated March 18th as Resolution No. 2020-18.
- SB: I am going to, point of order, Ms. Lukach, with this COVID-19 emergency items, I believe that Supervisor Christy can make that motion, since these, this is an action item, but I just need clarification.
- LL: Chair Bronson, the Chair, of course, makes decisions on all points of order. It is Updates and Action on all COVID-19 items. Supervisor Christy can make the motion, in my opinion.
- SB: I concur. We have a motion on the floor. Is there a second? Is there a second? Motion dies for lack of a second.
- SC: Madam Chair?
- SB: Supervisor Christy.
- SC: I would like to make another motion, please. I move that the Board of Supervisors immediately rescind and remove Pima County's mask mandate and requirements that people entering all Pima County facilities wear masks and the policy of submittal to wellness checks, effective today, rather than July 5th, as recommended by the County Administrator under his Policy No. 23-54.
- SB: Is there a second to this motion? Motion dies for lack of a second.