
From: Ziegler, Rolf - (rolf)
Sent: Wednesday, January 15, 2014 1:22 PM
To: Robin Brigode
Subject: Co7-13-07

Rolf Ziegler

8663 N. Maya Ct.

Tucson, AZ 85742

Concern: District 1 Planning and Zoning Co7-13-06

Robin Brigode

CC Supervisors Ramon Valadez, Sharon Bronson, Ray Carroll, Richard Elias

With the Board of Supervisor Meeting coming up on Jan 21st I want to remind you of my position to rezoning Co-13-06. Like my neighbors I am against the rezoning, as we made it clear at the last meeting. If the Comprehensive Zoning Plan is changed from allowing 9 houses on Co-13-06 to 300 houses it will degrade seriously the environment and decrease the value of our properties and degrade our quality of life!

I have also a bit of a problem with the process: The law (Comprehensive Zoning Plan) allows 9 houses on the property. Now the owners and Mr. Porter think they could make *more* money if they put 300 houses on the property. This is against the law! No problem, just ask the Board of Supervisors to change the law. I have no objection if somebody wants to make money, but I think it is no good idea, to change the law, just because somebody wants to make money at the expense of the environment and of us. Therefore I ask the Board of Supervisors to deny the rezoning. The Planning and Zoning Commission recommended that too (6 to 1).

A few additional points: Mr. Porter presented his request as if all of the surrounding were of the higher density. This is not the case. The area to the north is same density as Co7-13-06 is now!

I am also against Mr. Porter's request to delay any decision to February. I think he had enough time, which he did not use. I have the suspicion that he tries to play a game of attrition. For many of us it is difficult and costly to come regularly to the meetings for half a day.

And last but not least: he mentioned maybe we could find a compromise. I do not see that possibility. For a compromise both sides have to give and receive something. I have not seen anything he is giving, he only might be willing to be content if he does not receive 100%, but it would be still at our expense and we would get nothing in exchange!

Sincerely

Rolf Ziegler