

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, December 17, 2024. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Adelita S. Grijalva, Chair
Rex Scott, Vice Chair
*Dr. Matt Heinz, Member
Dr. Sylvia Lee, Member
Steve Christy, Member

Also Present: Jan Leshar, County Administrator
Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board
John Stuckey, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Heinz participated remotely. He joined the meeting at 9:24 a.m.

1. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

2. LAND ACKNOWLEDGEMENT STATEMENT

The Land Acknowledgement Statement was delivered by Brooke Lee Harris, daughter, and Roger Anderson, partner, of Supervisor Lee.

3. PAUSE 4 PAWS

The Pima Animal Care Center showcased an animal available for adoption.

4. POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Board members expressed their appreciation to Supervisor Lee, thanked her for serving on the Board for a year and all that she accomplished in that time.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, expressed her appreciation to Supervisor Lee for accomplishing a full term's worth of work in one year and highlighted her proactive engagement with County staff. She commended her leadership in revamping the Arizona Border Counties Coalition, her diplomatic missions in Cochise and Santa Cruz Counties, her work on Title 36 related to involuntary commitments, and her contributions would have a lasting effect on Pima County.

5. **PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION**

Presentation of a proclamation to John Dennis, Chair, Arizona Technology Council Optics Valley Committee; Katie Schwertz, Co-Chair, Arizona Technology Council Optics Valley Committee and Conference; Lukas Gruber, Co-Chair, Conference and Karla Morales, Vice President, Arizona Technology Council, proclaiming January 22 through January 24, 2025 to be: "ARIZONA PHOTONICS DAYS"

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Chair Grijalva made the presentation.

6. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Michael Guymon, President and CEO, Tucson Metro Chamber, addressed the Board regarding the merger with Sun Corridor, which aimed to create a unified voice for business growth, attraction, and small business services. He expressed gratitude to the Board and County staff for their partnership and emphasized the goal of strengthening business services and advocacy for the community.

Ann Rose expressed her concerns regarding Minute Item No. 23, and questioned which vaccines were being funded and whether they involved MRNA technology. She expressed vaccine hesitancy, citing potential side effects and the lack of true informed consent. She urged the County to evaluate interventions and to better address public concerns before proceeding with approval of the funding.

William Lake-Wright, Pima County Constable, spoke about his experience with being attacked with bear spray while performing an eviction on October 23rd, which resulted in permanent scarring to his retina and impaired vision. He expressed his gratitude for surviving the incident, called for the introduction of Deputy Constables and improved safety measures, including background checks, polygraphs, and additional training for Constables, which would enhance officer and community safety.

* * *

Supervisor Scott thanked Constable Lake-Wright for his service to Pima County and for highlighting important issues. He requested that the County Administrator follow up on the requirements for Constables in the other 14 Counties, and to clarify whether these applied to both appointed and elected candidates.

Supervisor Lee thanked Constable Lake-Wright for his service over the past four years, acknowledged the risks of the job and expressed sympathy for the dangerous incident he experienced.

Supervisor Christy expressed his appreciation for Constable Lake-Wright's service and insight regarding the future of the Constable Service. He agreed with his colleagues and requested an analysis from the County Administrator on his suggestions.

* * *

7. **BOARD OF SUPERVISORS**

Board of Supervisors Representative Updates on Boards, Committees and Commissions and Any Other Municipalities

Supervisor Scott stated that due to the loss of Mayor Honea and South Tucson's transition to new leadership with a new Mayor, the officers of both the PAG Regional Council and the RTA Board had decided to postpone the next meeting, which was originally scheduled for December 5th, and they would not meet again until the end of January. He stated that the Sun Corridor Board unanimously endorsed the merger with the Metro Chamber, and while he was supportive and excited about the potential of the merger, he asked Ms. Leshner if she and other staff would follow up with Sun Corridor staff to assess the implications of the merger on the County's contractual agreement with them and to provide that information to the Board. He reminded the Board that when the last contract was approved, a document had been presented that was crafted by Mr. DeBonis and Mr. Vescovi-Chiordi on behalf of the County, and by Ms. Dumont and Mr. Welsh on behalf of Sun Corridor, and the document showed the alignment of responsibilities between the County's Economic Development Department and Sun Corridor with regards to the terms of the contractual agreement.

Supervisor Lee reported that the Arizona Border Counties Coalition took a trip to Santa Cruz County to visit the new Port. She stated that they met with the Santa Cruz Port Authority and Luis Ramirez led the group and acknowledged the Economic Development Department staff for their close involvement. She indicated that there were significant opportunities for Pima County to be part of the economic growth coming from the border and they had the opportunity to tour Chamberlain Distribution, a company that supplied a significant portion of the Country's fruits and vegetables, and during the summer months they supplied grapes from Mexico to every Walmart across the country. She indicated an upcoming tour of the San Luis Port, which was under construction with \$2 billion in federal infrastructure funding. She stated that she had learned that the only railroad from Mexico to Arizona passed through the port of Nogales in the Santa Cruz border area and they had requested large X-ray machines that vehicles passed through at six different checkpoints, along with two dogs stationed at opposite ends to help combat the fentanyl crisis. She stated that they had the opportunity to see the X-ray images and were informed that AI technology would eventually replace humans, as it could more efficiently identify anomalies.

Supervisor Christy stated that his district office had been concerned and actively involved in addressing the issue of homeowners' insurance in wildfire-prone areas, particularly in Summerhaven, the Foothills, Vail, and Green Valley. He stated that his office had been a strong advocate for the wildfire program and pointed out that a significant achievement for the County was that the Department of Insurance and Finance had formed a subcommittee to address the issue of rising homeowners' insurance premiums and the availability of homeowners' insurance premiums. He highlighted that out of all 15 counties in Arizona, Pima County was the only one with an appointed member from County Administration, Carmine DeBonis, Jr., serving on the subcommittee and that appointment was a significant achievement on the County's behalf. He thanked the County Supervisors Association for forwarding the issue to the legislative agenda and, more importantly, for having Deputy County Administrator DeBonis on the committee. He expressed optimism regarding the potential to mitigate and resolve the issue of homeowners' insurance.

This item was informational only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

8. Pima County Climate Action Update

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding an update of Pima County's Climate Action Plan, as requested by the Board of Supervisors.

Sarah Davis, Senior Advisor, County Administrator's Office, provided a slideshow presentation and that during the previous update to the Board they had just completed their Sustainable Action Plan for County Operations (SAPCO) with a notable investment in the County's sustainability priorities. She stated that they also met and exceeded their goals in carbon reduction across County facilities, Fleet Services and Regional Wastewater Reclamation, were well ahead of schedule and had almost met their 2030 carbon emissions goals, as detailed in the SAPCO plan. She added that in 2023, the County Administrator, in alignment with the Board of Supervisors commitment, specifically with Resolution No. 2022 – 25, had set forth with support and operational planning from the Deputy County Administrators to align the Climate Action Executive team, which had grown to 24 department directors across the County and a true enterprise-wide approach. She stated that when that group first met, they advanced this work by setting forth the directive for the Climate Advisory Committee, which included key subject matter experts, management staff and liaisons across the County to better align goals, strategies and operationalize the work. She stated that in 2024, when surveyed, the group highlighted focus areas in adaptation and hazard mitigation planning, and as a result, revisited carbon goals, extreme heat, comprehensive work effort, invasives and wildfire, and a reinvigoration of the water working group. She stated those were the four priorities that were set forth in 2024 and the Board would soon be able to review a comprehensive, interdisciplinary report from an 11-department working group around invasive species and wildfire mitigation, and the water working group, which would be 9 interdisciplinary departments working on water priorities. She

stated they celebrated the growth of those teams from 9 original departments to 24, and were positioning themselves to complete the final action item of the Resolution, to expand the SAPCO. She stated that they were focusing on those priority areas, but were also looking at plan alignment by connecting to Pima Prospers, the Infrastructure Plan, the Capital Improvement Plan, and the Wildfire Protection Plan, to ensure the plans were aligned and supported workforce growth, economic vitality and public health impact. She added that most importantly, they would ensure they were addressing the needs of disproportionately affected or vulnerable communities. She stated that in early 2025, it would be branded for better ease of use and public access as Pima Climate Action Now! (Pima CAN!), which was the priority climate action plan, but across the interdisciplinary group felt that Pima CAN! should be the name that the public could find anything, including their planning efforts and critical resources. She stated that for example, during the heat season, where they had an operational website that would get people to critical resources they needed to provide a hub approach. She stated they had over 50 County departments, with 24 supporting this effort and invasives and wildfire presented a real opportunity. She added there were 11 departments with content on their websites and if they had a risk scenario and needed a place that people could go and be directed to in a more ease-of-use sort of fashion. She stated that Pima CAN! was representative of the Climate Action executive team, which were directors, the advisory committee, liaisons, the management team, and the Green Stewards. She stated they would determine how to grow the workforce knowledge around this work and how they aligned what the staff knew and how the staff was operationalizing this with the priorities set forth by the directors. She stated they would create a climate hub in early 2025, a critical response information tool that would be a publicly accessible tool which promoted continued engagement. She stated that 2025 through 2030 would be a very exciting five-year period and they had a couple of deliverables they set for themselves that would be presented to the Board throughout this period. She stated the first would be the Board directed Climate Action Plan for County Operations (CAPCO). She reminded the Board the County received a \$1 million planning grant award from the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency which brought them the Priority Climate Action Plan, a regional plan with multiple jurisdictions, municipalities and tribes led by the County with over 25 critical projects to reduce carbon emissions and the Board would review the comprehensive version of that plan this year. She stated that as they developed those plans, they would integrate them, especially with their ten-year plan, Pima Prospers, Infrastructure Plan and Capital Improvement Plan. She added that those projects needed to be malleable, scalable and data driven to ensure they met their goals and would be able to pivot where needed. She referred to the slide and stated that it was a model that showed interconnectedness. She explained that when the Climate Action executive team first met on expectations from 2025 to 2030, they highlighted key priority areas, which were carbon, water, renewable energy, transportation and air quality, and resilience to extreme heat. She stated that invasives and wildfire were also added to this group of priorities, including solid waste recycling, land use and built environment, and sustainability efforts. She added that when those directors were surveyed around where County operations could be modified, energy efficiency and buildings were number one in terms of

what they could make actionable and renewable with energy efficiency. She stated that water continued to be a top priority, overarchingly, so what they had done thus far, was a model of addressing carbon with a plan, and extreme heat with a regional plan and soon to follow was invasives and wildfire which the interdisciplinary team had been working on diligently. She stated that Supervisor Christy had highlighted that Deputy County Administrator DeBonis, Jr., was actively engaged with the Department of Insurance and Financial Institutions and part of the priority for the invasives, and wildfire effort was to revisit the Community Wildfire Protection Plan and augment their data capacity. She stated that one thing they had seen across this effort was that they were operating on older data, and the newer data, especially if they built the capacity to examine invasive species, was staggering. She stated that to be able to enhance that capacity so that they could inform responses was critical. She stated that water would be directed into key focus areas of supply and conservation, and from that, drought and scarcity, and from that, determine how they looked at demand, and demand management of water resources. She stated it would also include determination of where they diverted to alternate water uses such as reclaimed wastewater, especially in golf courses or larger areas, and continuing restoration, recharge and innovation. She stated that the El Vado Wash Basin was an innovative opportunity that the County delivered and won awards in, and if they continued to do these multi-use planning efforts, it would be a win for everyone. She stated they would also be tackling renewables and energy efficiency, specifically across County buildings, and later in the spring would explore transportation and air quality, including a review of materials and determine how they could reduce waste and enhance the recycling footprint. She referred to the next slide and stated it showed a model of how they were growing the 2022-2025 SAPCO final action item. She expressed excitement that the team had succeeded in most of the directives from the Board resolution, and this was the final one to create their Climate Action Plan, such as carbon, water, heat, invasives and wildfire. She stated that they would figure out how to reframe their Landscapes and Land Use chapters and would keep Materials. She stated that Workforce would be integrated into all of these priorities, as would Public Health and determine how they were doing the work so that they had in mind public input and disproportionately affected communities. She stated that they would also be adding renewables and transportation components. She added they met with the group of directors and the advisory committee to review the successes of the SAPCO and what they wanted to frame the CAPCO to be, and that the critical feedback from that and doing it together made them better in these efforts and the enterprise-wide approach and commitment from the Board, the County Administrator, and the Deputy County Administrators to continue growing this effort so that they were an interdisciplinary, enterprise-wide team, positioned them in a powerful way to complete this work for the community. She stated the other thing that was very clear across these groups, was how to better engage the community on what the County was doing in this effort, and how they could continue to meet the needs of residents and also create operational projects that were scalable and had a data driven impact.

Supervisor Scott thanked Ms. Davis for her leadership and hard work in this area. He requested that future updates include more information in two particular areas. He stated that the first area was the inter-jurisdictional efforts, although there was a reference on one of the slides to that, moving forward with regards to regional collaboration. He provided two examples, the County was not a water provider but was a sewer provider and they worked with water providers on conservation of that precious resource, and another example was with invasive species because the County was surrounded by so much federal and state land, there was a need to be working in partnership with the Federal and State governments and the other Pima County governments in dealing with that issue. He stated that the second area was to the impacts on marginalized or high-poverty communities that dealt with climate change. He stated that perhaps there could be a more explicit reference to how the Climate Action Plan would align with the Prosperity Initiative, especially given that one of the three cross-policy strategies explicitly referenced climate change.

Chair Grijalva thanked Ms. Davis and stated that this item would be discussed every two months and emphasized its importance moving forward.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

CLERK OF THE BOARD

9. Petition for Relief of Taxes

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-11109(E), Santa Cruz Valley United Methodist Church, has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for relief of taxes and associated interest/penalty for tax year 2023, for Tax Parcel No. 304-15-020D.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONSTABLES

10. Appointment of Constable, Justice Precinct 9

Appointment of Constable, Justice Precinct 9, effective January 1, 2025.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy and seconded by Supervisor Scott to appoint Matthew R. Leve as Constable, Justice Precinct 9. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Grijalva stated that she had met with both candidates and thanked them for their presence. She emphasized the importance of considering suggestions made during the process and noted that a background check on candidates had not been part of the appointment process prior to it being requested by this Board. She acknowledged that there was no process for imposing background checks on elected officials, but when it was for an appointment made by the Board they had a little more discretion in the process.

Supervisor Scott stated that he believed the position of constable, which dated back to territorial days was archaic and not at all suited to the current times, and as an active member in Arizona's County Supervisors Association, he heard colleagues across the State express similar beliefs. He indicated that Constables were constitutional officers and doing away with the position required State action, and hoped County officials and legislators would take up this cause in the near future. He stated that none of this was to denigrate the service of Constables as they took on essential and often dangerous tasks every day. He stated that during this Board's term of office, one Constable died in the line of duty and recently another Constable was attacked and injured while attempting to serve a notice of eviction. He stated that other constables had shared stories of their own brushes with danger. He stated that Constables could call for assistance from police departments or the Sheriff's Department whenever they felt that they needed it. He requested that the County Administrator report to the Board on whether or not there were common procedures that all Pima County Constables adhered to when requesting law enforcement support and also how local law enforcement agencies prioritized these calls for assistance. He stated that as elected officials, Constables were not required under State law to have experience in law enforcement, but their jobs were inherently dangerous and at the very least, called for experience dealing with potentially volatile individuals and situations. He stated that both candidates had been of service to the community in different capacities and he had spoken with both of them and thanked them for their interest in the position. He stated that given all that he had heard and seen since becoming a Supervisor and what he heard during both candidate interviews, he believed Mr. Leve was the more qualified applicant and should be appointed to the position. He stated that Arizona State law allowed both Mr. Leve and Mr. Acuna to apply for the position and to seek election by the voters, and that this Board had the right to apply a higher standard when making appointments to Constable positions and encouraged his colleagues to appoint Mr. Leve.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

11. Monthly Financial Update

Discussion/Direction/Action regarding a monthly financial update on the County's financial performance.

Ellen Mouton, Director, Finance and Risk Management, provided a slideshow presentation and stated this was the financial update for December 2024 was based on October, Period 4 data. She noted that the total revenues were projected to be \$776 million at the end of this fiscal year, which was approximately \$2 million more than budgeted. She stated they were slightly under budget in tax collections, tax revenue, but had seen an additional \$2 million in additional State Shared sales taxes and an additional \$1.5 million in departmental revenues, with the majority of

those departmental revenues coming into the Sheriff's Department. She stated that on the expenditure side, they were about \$1.5 million under budget, which was a good thing. She added that the minimum 17% of expenditures changed from last month's presentation and went from \$100 million to \$104 million because they finally closed the books on Fiscal Year 2024. She stated the Board would receive the annual Financial Comprehensive Report from Finance that was audited by the Auditor General at the end of the month and they updated that number based on the new information they had. She stated that during the previous update, the Board asked whether all of the departments were going to meet their 2% reductions that were included in the budget. She explained that there were a number of departments that they were still working with, but believed those five departments would indeed come in where they were supposed to, except the Sheriff's Department. She added that the Sheriff had indicated they were going to be overbudget \$5.7 million at this current time in the year. She reminded the Board that last year, they also indicated that they were going to be about \$6 million over budget, however, they were able to come in under budget, but at this point, they had not indicated that was going to happen. She stated the reasons they were over budget was primarily due to overtime, fuel and food, and Finance continued to work with the Sheriff's Department on a monthly basis, helping to monitor and review their costs.

Supervisor Scott stated that the memorandum the Board received from Ms. Leshner and attached to the item indicated that overtime, including Initial Appearance pay was forecasted to exceed the Sheriff's budget by over \$2.6 million. He asked that a reminder be given to the Board and the public of what Initial Appearance pay was.

Ms. Moulton explained that Initial Appearance pay was the additional money that a Deputy Sheriff received when they appeared in court for the first time, hence the Initial Appearance pay and was also paid to some of the lawyer groups as well, so it was a pay in addition to their normal pay when they showed up in court for the first time related to a case.

Supervisor Scott requested confirmation if it was provided when a defendant appeared before a judge for the first time.

Ms. Moulton clarified that it was the first time that law enforcement officers appeared when a defendant appeared before the judge. She explained that every year the State shifted costs to the County as part of their budget reconciliation process in Fiscal Year '24/25, which was an increase of approximately \$11 million. She stated that Board Policy D. 22.13, required them to review these costs and potentially recoup them through increases in the primary property tax rate the following year. She stated that Finance calculated what those costs would need in increased taxes to recover that \$11 million and at the present time, they believed the cost would be 9.5 cents on the primary property tax rate if they moved forward as outlined with the Board policy. She stated that as they began to prepare the 2026 budget, the Board also passed a new policy on Vacant Positions and this update was the second quarterly report. She stated there were a number of positions in

departments that were past that 240-day threshold. She stated there were 35 in the General Fund, 47 in Non-General Fund, and another 29 that were grant-funded positions. She stated that within the General Fund there were two departments that were the two largest departments that had vacant positions that were over the 240-day threshold. She stated Facilities Management had 9 positions, most of which were classified and most of them classified as hard-to-fill and they had not been filled. She stated that the other department was Finance, with 5 positions they had not been able to fill, 3 of which were in Internal Audit. She stated that they were working with the Human Resources Department to classify them as hard-to-fill positions. She stated that in the Non-General Fund category, there were two departments that also made up the majority of those. She stated the Health Department was one that had 14 positions and the Pima County Attorney's Office with 24 positions in the Non-General Fund category. She added that in the Grant-funded positions, there were two departments which were the Health Department and the County Attorney's Office. She stated there were 12 positions in the Health Department and 11 positions in the County Attorney's Office. She stated that this information and the detailed positions were provided to all of the departments at the beginning of the month and requests for appeals were due to County Administration on the 13th. She stated that they hoped to have all of those responses, or appeals approved, or reviewed in the next week, and would be reporting to the Board on January 7th on what positions were being eliminated or retained for another quarter. She went over the economic indicators and stated that the December report was issued on December 16th, but wanted to include this for informational purposes. She stated that as seen on the slide, half of the indicators were favorable or stable and half were unfavorable. She stated that inflation had moved to a stable condition which was good, however, it still remained higher than what the Feds would like. She stated that Housing had also improved slightly, but it was still in an unfavorable position due to increased housing costs, increased interest rates, even though recently the Feds had reduced interest rates, and they had another meeting this month where they may or may not take another cut at that, those had not all made their way down to the consumer level at this point. She stated that this was where the County stood at this time, it was a better position than last quarter, but still not the best.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

HUMAN RESOURCES

12. Revisions to Personnel Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Personnel Policy No. 8-102, Premium Pay.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Lee stated that at some point, she wanted the Board to consider differential pay for rural areas. She indicated that she had prior discussions with the County Administrator and the Sheriff's Department had provided differential pay to deputies in Ajo due to the challenges of attracting deputies to that remote rural area. She suggested that in the future the Board consider similar pay incentives for other employees who worked in those rural areas, such as librarians, Parks and Recreation, Transportation and Facilities Management.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

13. Revisions to Personnel Policy

Staff recommends approval of the proposed revisions to Personnel Policy No. 8-105, Annual Leave.

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 12, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

TREASURER

14. Investment and Cash Balance Reports

Presentation of the Pima County Treasurer's report on the investment and cash balance reports for September, October and November 2024.

Supervisor Scott thanked Treasurer Ackerley for his attendance and asked if there were any statutory guidance or constraints on his office or any Treasurer's Office regarding investments and what State statute said about the investments that they could or could not do as a County Treasurer.

Chris Ackerley, Pima County Treasurer, explained that the statutes provided that Treasurers had to invest in safe investments, and they went further in some cases, for example, school districts, bond funds, whether it was debt service and/or the bond proceeds. He stated those funds had to be invested in vehicles that had the full faith and credit of the United States government. He indicated that he provided the Board with a summary and that a small portion of the total package of cash reports were filed with the Clerk of the Court each month and it showed all designated funds on an investment report. He added that at the top of the summary, it showed a breakdown of the bulk of the money that was invested in Arizona State Treasurer's local government investment pools and within that money were some funds that specifically were designated as full faith and credit funds. He clarified that with a number of the school districts, funds needed to be separated and accounted for separately were in those local government investment pools designated as full faith and credit. He explained that the money that the County had in its general pot of money, the restrictions were less, but they could not speculate on equities and

had to be fixed asset investment vehicles in one form or another. He added that they could not put the principal at risk and clarified that the principal was not at risk when they bought a security, but you could purchase with some County funds corporate bonds. He stated the principal was ultimately at risk, but they had to be investment grade bonds and would not be able to invest otherwise. He stated that it had been the policy of the Treasurer's Office to proceed with that rarely, it had to be a remarkably good deal for a corporate bond. He stated that it was predecessors and his view that investing in U.S. agencies, Federal Farm Bureau, Federal Home Loan was a much more attractive option because of the safety.

Supervisor Scott requested that the Treasurer collaborate with Ms. Leshner and Mr. Brown on a memorandum to the Board on what the statutory guideline limitations were for Treasurer's investments. He stated that he had not seen something like that and it would be instructive to him and his colleagues to know what the statutory guidelines and limitations were for investments.

Mr. Ackerley expressed his appreciation to the Board for the trust they placed in him and it had been an honor to serve the taxpayers as the Treasurer for Pima County over the past year.

Chair Grijalva thanked Mr. Ackerley for his service and that if the Board wanted to have this presentation on a fairly regular basis, they should provide suggestions to the County Administrator to determine if it was needed quarterly.

This item was for discussion only. No Board action was taken.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community and Workforce Development

15. State of Arizona Early Childhood Development and Health Board, d.b.a. First Things First, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Pima Early Education Program Scholarships and amend contractual language, American Rescue Plan Act Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, contract amount \$430,000.00 (CT-22-385)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Grijalva suggested the Board review the sliding scales. She stated that the criteria increased from 200% to 300% of the median federal poverty, but due to a reduction in state funds it seemed likely that fewer students would be served. She emphasized that it was an opportune time to revisit the sliding scales, and noted that while it was additional work for staff, parents who could pay a portion of their child's care wanted to be able to do so, and in turn that allowed the County to serve more students.

Supervisor Scott reminded his colleagues that for the second consecutive year, the County Supervisors Association had forwarded a letter to the Governor encouraging her to prioritize not only early childhood education, but childcare in the DES budget, and that she make that a priority in her negotiations regarding the budget with the legislature. He acknowledged that it would be more difficult since ARPA funds were going away, but it was important to leverage as many federal funds as possible. He noted that the Governor and the legislature had successfully accomplished this in the previous year.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

16. Child-Parent Centers, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Pima Early Education Program and amend contractual language, American Rescue Plan Act Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, contract amount \$60,000.00 (CT-23-1)

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 15, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted “Nay.”

County Attorney

17. Squire Patton Boggs, L.L.P., Amendment No. 8, to provide for bond counsel services, extend contract term to 1/1/26 and amend contractual language, no cost (PO2400015597)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

Detainee and Crisis Systems (formerly Behavioral Health)

18. Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System, Amendment No. 7, to provide for inmate hospitalization, extend contract term to 9/30/30 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-20-6)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

Facilities Management

19. Stratford Art Works, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide a Joint Development Agreement for renovation of Teatro Carmen, extend contract term to 12/23/25 and amend contractual language, General Fund, contract amount \$37,500.00 (PO2400016708)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott pointed out that the contract amount would be paid over a 12-month period, and that the remaining half of the \$75,000.00 fee for the work being done would be raised from private donors who shared the County's desire of restoring Teatro Carmen as a cultural arts facility in the community.

Supervisor Christy stated that it was a terrific project that was needed in the community and would be a valuable asset, but reiterated his past stance, that he did not believe the County should be involved in such a renovation project deep within the City of Tucson (COT) limits. He noted that the COT should take the lead in this effort. He emphasized that his difference of opinion was more of a philosophical issue regarding the funding source and the leadership coming from the County.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

Grants Management and Innovation

20. Tucson Medical Center (TMC), Amendment No. 1, to provide for ~~TMC emergency room enhancements~~ **the purchase of a billing module and maintenance for the TMC Epic System electronic medical record** and amend contractual language, American Rescue Plan Act Coronavirus State and Local Fiscal Recovery Funds, contract amount \$180,000.00 (CT-24-230)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy stated that he felt the description of the agenda item was misleading since it was not directly to enhance the emergency room, as stated, but was more specifically designed to provide funding to the Pima County Health Department to share medical records from the hospital with them. He indicated the importance of identifying the item with more transparency.

Chair Grijalva asked if there were any suggestions on clarifying the agenda item and acknowledged that it was for the enhancement part and proposed adding "data system enhancement" to make the description clearer.

Supervisor Christy stated that he was not particularly in favor of sharing medical records with the Health Department from patients who visited the emergency room at Tucson Medical Center.

Chair Grijalva stated that she understood, but her focus was on increasing transparency for the item, to make it clearer so the general public knew exactly what the Board was voting on.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, clarified that the \$180,000.00 allocation, approved by the Board during the ARPA reallocation, was intended for the purchase of a billing module and maintenance for TMC's Epic system electronic medical records. She stated that the funds would be used to allow the Health Department access to those medical records for inclusion in the community health hub.

Chair Grijalva suggested that the description be stated as TMC Epic system.

Ms. Leshar clarified that it was for the billing and maintenance of TMC's Epic system, which was the electronic medical record system.

Chair Grijalva asked the Clerk if she had enough information to make the necessary changes.

Melissa Manriquez, Clerk of the Board, responded in the affirmative.

Chair Grijalva asked Supervisor Scott if he agreed with the revisions, as the seconder to the motion.

Supervisor Scott responded yes.

Upon the vote, the motion, as amended, carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

Procurement

21. **Award**

Award: Multiple Supplier Contracts, to provide for electrical parts and supplies. These supplier contracts are for an initial term of one (1) year in the combined annual award amount of \$896,000.00 (including sales tax) and includes four (4) one-year renewal options. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

Supplier Contract Nos./Awarded Groups/Contractor Name (Headquarters)/Annual Award Amount/Total Not-to-Exceed

2400002372/1/Merks Enterprises, L.L.C., d.b.a. Arizona Commercial Lighting and Supply (Tucson, AZ)/\$132,000.00/\$132,000.00

2400002373/1/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc., d.b.a. CED Tucson (Irving, TX)/\$142,000.00/-

2400002373/2/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc., d.b.a. CED Tucson (Irving, TX)/\$194,000.00/-

2400002373/3/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc., d.b.a. CED Tucson (Irving, TX)/\$89,000.00/-

2400002373/4/Consolidated Electrical Distributors, Inc., d.b.a. CED Tucson (Irving, TX)/\$75,000.00/\$500,000.00

2400002375/2/Electric Supply, Inc. (Phoenix, AZ)/\$168,000.00/-

2400002375/3/Electric Supply, Inc. (Phoenix, AZ)/\$96,000.00/\$264,000.00

Combined Total: \$896,000.00

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

22. Award

Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract Nos. SC2400001192, SC2400001193 and SC2400001194, Amendment No. 5, MWI Veterinary Supply, Co., d.b.a. MWI Animal Health, Midwest Veterinary Supply, Inc. and Patterson Veterinary Supply, Inc., to provide for veterinary supplies. This amendment increases the shared annual award amount by \$140,000.00 from \$210,000.00 to \$350,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$950,000.00 and adds the Heat Injury and Illness Prevention and Safety Plan language to the contract, pursuant to Pima County Procurement Code 11.40.030. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Pima Animal Care Center.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott requested an update be provided to the Board regarding the use of the Mobile Medical Unit that provided veterinary services to remote areas of the County. He expressed interest in hearing about the progress of that unit and the areas of the County where it had been most beneficial.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

23. Award

Amendment of Award: Supplier Contract No. SC2400000969, Amendment No. 10, McKesson Medical-Surgical Government Solutions, L.L.C., to provide for medical supplies. This amendment is for a one-time increase in the amount \$1,000,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$5,550,000.00 and adds the Heat Injury and Illness Prevention and Safety Plan language to the contract, pursuant to Pima County Procurement Code 11.40.030. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Health.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy expressed concern with the lack of clarity regarding the vaccines referenced in the item and noted that while the million-dollar allocation was dedicated to vaccines, it was unclear to which specific vaccines.

Dr. Francisco Garcia, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator and Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services, stated that the master agreement covered the balance of all vaccines that were not directly received from the federal government, which included vaccines for the flu, measles, and polio, as well as any others not received in the County's allocation of vaccines. He explained that when

the County held community events to vaccinate for the flu or RSV, this agreement allowed for the purchase of those supplies. He stated that vaccines were expensive and the County needed the capacity to be able to make those purchases.

Supervisor Christy stated that in previous meetings unspent or available COVID funds had been utilized, however, in this instance COVID funds were not being utilized. He stated that if vaccines were involved, it seemed appropriate to use COVID funds rather than the general fund.

Chair Grijalva asked if the specific vaccines that were needed was known at this time and pointed out that while COVID vaccines might be needed, other types of vaccines might also be needed.

Supervisor Christy asked why this was not budgeted.

Dr. Garcia responded that it was budgeted and these were recurring costs associated with doing business. He stated, for example, COVID vaccines was a set of vaccines that were provided directly from the federal government to the County for a period of time that had subsequently elapsed and no longer existed. He stated that as a result the County now needed to purchase those vaccines on the market, just like any other therapeutic or immunologic agent. He stated that ARPA funds were being used for vaccines, but not for this specific contract. He stated that there was a substantial, multi-million-dollar, multi-year allocation had been set aside specifically to support the vaccine program and its monitoring, covering personnel, supplies, and processes. He stated that the current funding request was separate because the need for vaccines in the community was so significant.

Supervisor Christy questioned if it was for \$1 million.

Dr. Garcia responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

24. Axon Enterprise, Inc., Amendment No. 6, to provide for video/audio storage, extend contract term to 12/31/34, amend contractual language and scope of services, General Fund, contract amount \$2,500,000.00 (SC2400000832) Administering Department: Pima County Attorney's Office and Public Defense Services

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy supported the item, but asked why it was not included in the County Attorney's budget and was coming from the general fund.

Jan Leshner, County Administrator, clarified that the item was for both the County Attorney's Office and the Public Defense Services. She stated that the contract was being extended through 2034 and that the additional funding was not due to a

budgeting oversight, but rather to expand the contract and allow for the full utilization of the Axon system by both departments.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

25. Barton Mendez Soto, P.L.L.C, Ferguson Hill Filous, P.L.L.C., Law Office of Paul Gattone, and Southern AZ Legal Aid, Inc., Amendment No. 5, to provide for emergency eviction legal services, extend contract term to 12/31/26 and amend contractual language, Grant - Coronavirus Local Fiscal Recovery Fund, contract amount \$505,000.00 (SC2400002343) Administering Department: Community and Workforce Development

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to approve the item.

26. Dibble & Associates Consulting Engineers, Inc., to provide for Design Engineering Services: Palo Verde Road and Michigan Street, HAWK (4PVRHK) and Palo Verde Sidewalks (4PVRSW), U.S. Department of Transportation FHWA HSIP (50%) and Transportation Capital Improvement Projects (50%) Funds, contract amount \$350,337.37/2 years, 4 months term (PO2400015152) Administering Department: Project Design and Construction

Chair Grijalva requested clarification if this item was to design the project.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, explained that the project had two components; the HAWK crossing and a sidewalk improvement project. He stated that the funding shown was for the design and overall there were federal funds going entirely towards the HAWK crossing, and a combination of federal, RTA, and local transportation funds for the sidewalk project. He indicated that the project was larger than just the HAWK crossing and included new sidewalk improvements along Palo Verde.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

27. Remotec, Inc., to provide for Peraton Remotec Spartan Robotic Upgrade Platform, General Fund, contract amount \$288,000.00 (including sales tax) (PO2400015213) Administering Department: Sheriff's Department

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy expressed his concern that there was the need to upgrade a platform and it was an issue that could have been anticipated. He questioned why the funding was coming from the general fund and why it had not been included in the Sheriff's Department's original budget, knowing it had to be upgraded.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, stated that the platform upgrade was within the Sheriff's Department's budget and scope, and was included in the budget, this was simply for the allocation of this contract.

Steve Holmes, Deputy County Administrator, confirmed the general fund dollars referenced had already been approved for the departments within their current budgets. He clarified that they were amendments to the contract amounts.

Supervisor Christy inquired if the funds were approved from the general fund during the budgetary process.

Mr. Holmes responded in the affirmative.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

28. MJS SFM, L.L.C., to provide a General Services and Funding Agreement for Mike Jacob Sportspark, General Fund, contract amount \$200,000.00/5 year term (SC2400002315) Administering Department: Parks and Recreation

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy pointed out that the funding was coming from the general fund and it seemed like the County was paying a contractor who in turn was going to pay the County back to operate the Mike Jacobs Sports Park. He questioned how that worked.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded that the funds came through the general fund to the Parks and Recreation Department and were included in their budget. She stated that a memorandum that provided additional information had been sent out that morning and acknowledged that the Board had likely not had a chance to review it yet.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, explained that this item and Minute Item No. 29 were related under the new Workday system for procurement, which assigned revenues and expenses differently than in the past. He clarified that when both revenue and expense were involved in a contract, there would be two separate items listed on the agenda for their consideration. He stated that the \$200,000.00 represented the County's investment into facility improvements, which would happen regardless of the specific operator. He explained that the revenue component was the funds the County would receive from the operator, which included a portion of the proceeds generated through operation of programming, concessions, and other activities at the facility.

Supervisor Christy asked why it was coming from the general fund.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded that Parks and Recreation was primarily funded by the general fund with a small amount received from grants and fee revenues, which supported the operation of the department.

Supervisor Christy asked if this was a new manager and new management company.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded yes, it was Sports Facilities Management, L.L.C.

Supervisor Christy asked how the new management company planned to operate the facility differently from the previous one.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded that the County had not had a single unified operator of that property and there had been different organizations that managed different uses that took place there, such as the BMX bicycle facility, which was operated by a single entity. He stated that the baseball diamond field activities had been programmed and operated by separate individual operators, and that the beach volleyball facility was operated in a sub optimal arrangement. He stated that for the first time, this brought together a singular unified operator for the site.

Supervisor Christy commented that in past discussions with Parks and Recreation, he was informed that there was a special revenue fund that the director might have and asked if it would be appropriate for the funding to come from that special fund in this situation.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., explained that the special revenue fund was typically used for new facility development or expansion of existing facilities. He stated that it was not generally used for staffing purposes. He explained that projects such as converting sports field lighting from older technologies to LED technology would be funded through that special revenue fund.

Supervisor Scott referred to the public benefit section in the BOSAIR that read as follows, "The possibility of attracting special events which have the potential to attract additional visitors to the greater Tucson region." He expressed uncertainty about that possibility, but noted that Mr. Knott with Mosaic Quarter was collaborating with a company experienced in attracting youth tournaments. He suggested that the operator at Mike Jacobs Sports Park should explore the possibility of working with Mr. Knott and Mosaic Quarter to benefit from the expertise of that consultant.

Mr. DeBonis, Jr., responded that the connection could be made and that the County also had tremendous partners in Visit Tucson and Sports Tucson, which were advocates at the national and international levels for attracting tournaments and different types of events into the community. He stated that efforts would be made to maximize that particular site as a destination for traveling events.

Chair Grijalva stated that it had been a popular destination for a long time and she was glad for the renewed investment because it would significantly enhance its draw.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

29. MJS SFM, L.L.C., to provide an Operating Agreement for the operation and administration of Mike Jacob Sportspark, contract amount \$433,000.00 revenue/5 year term (CT240000042) Administering Department: Parks and Recreation

(Clerk's Note: See Minute Item No. 28, for discussion related to this item.)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

Real Property

30. Metropolitan Domestic Water Improvement District, to provide an intergovernmental agreement to permit the District to operate within Pima County Right-of-Way, no cost/25 year term (SC2400002385)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

31. The State of Arizona, to provide for State Land Department State of Arizona Right-of-Way to install and maintain a sewer line that will benefit the I-10 Avra Valley Mining & Development, L.L.C. to develop a commercial project, no cost/25 year term (SC2400002384)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

32. **Acceptance – Communications**

Friends of Pima Animal Care Center (PACC), to provide for improving use of PACC services and resources in Spanish language households through targeted marketing and outreach, \$120,000.00 (G-CM-81110)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

33. **Acceptance - County Attorney**

Vitalyst Health Foundation, Amendment No. 2, to provide for the FY2223 Pima County Attorney's Office System Change Grant and amend grant language, no cost (GA-PCA-66282)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and carried by a 4-1 vote, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay," to approve the item.

34. **Acceptance - Justice Services**

Policy Research Associates, Inc., to provide for the SIM Mapping Workshops to develop comprehensive, community wide strategic plans for addressing opioid and other substance use, no cost (G-JS-78677)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott stated that this project started before the current Board's tenure and they had not received any reports on it, and he would be intrigued to hear about the project's accomplishments since its inception.

Upon the vote, the motion carried 4-1, Supervisor Christy voted "Nay."

35. **Acceptance – Transportation**

City of Tucson, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Pima County Bus Stop Improvement Program, extend grant term to 4/30/25 and amend grant language, no cost (GA-TR-70419)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Scott stated that the costs were not invoiced during the terms of the IGA and asked if this was an oversight or if there was something the Board needed to be aware of in regards to the IGA.

Carmine DeBonis, Jr., Deputy County Administrator, responded that the information would be provided to the Board.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

36. Hearing - Bingo License

24-07-8051, Peggy Skinner, Copper Crest Owners Association, 7650 W. Gypsum Street, Tucson, Class A - Small Game.

The Chair inquired whether anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Revenue.

PARKS AND RECREATION

37. County Fair Horse Racing Meet 2025

Staff recommends approval of the Pima County Fair Horse Racing Commission's request for four (4) County Fair Horse Racing Days at Turf Paradise racetrack: January 13, January 21, January 27 and January 28, 2025.

It was moved by Supervisor Scott and seconded by Supervisor Christy to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Chair Grijalva indicated that even though it involved the Pima County Fair Horse Racing Commission, this was a racetrack located outside of Pima County.

Jan Leshar, County Administrator, responded in the affirmative and that this was the same as what was done last year. She stated that the Pima County Fair Horse Racing Commission could hold their horse racing days in order to generate revenue and they held them at Turf Paradise since the County's facility was not operational.

Chair Grijalva asked if it was inquired by statute for the County to have a Fair Horse Racing Commission.

Ms. Leshar stated that she was unsure and would provide that information to the Board.

Sam E. Brown, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated that the Fair Horse Racing Commission was required by statute to set the fair horse racing days.

Ms. Leshar reiterated if the County was legally required to have a commission.

Chair Grijalva requested that the Board get more information on that and about the need for the Fair Horse Racing Commission, given that horse racing days were not being held in the County. She indicated the issue had come up several times in discussions with community members.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

HEALTH

38. Tucson Centers for Women and Children, d.b.a. Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse, to provide for provision of support for domestic violence survivors and families, U.S. Department of Health and Human Services Fund, contract amount \$2,150,584.04 (PO2400017385)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva and seconded by Supervisor Scott to approve the item. No vote was taken at this time.

Supervisor Christy expressed support for the initiative, but emphasized the importance of transparency for the public in communicating the allocation of the \$2.1 million grant. He noted that approximately \$460,000.00 would go towards staff bonuses, categorized under materials and supplies, \$300,000.00 would be used for the construction of 25 residential units in the program, \$83,000.00 for professional and outside services that dealt with anti-racism and equity-focused consultant services, and \$60,000.00 for the replacement of four outdated units, which were under construction.

Chair Grijalva acknowledged that nonprofit organizations often had a significant portion of their budget allocated to staff. She clarified that while the budget had been accepted and those costs were allowable, she wanted to highlight the importance of understanding the specific needs behind those allocations. She stated that she did not want to cast aspersions on Emerge's decisions regarding training and staffing. She noted the possibility of other grants being involved when they spoke about requirements for different training programs.

Supervisor Christy clarified that his intention was not to cast aspersions on anyone or on Emerge, but it was important to publicly note how taxpayers money was being allocated in the entire program.

Chair Grijalva acknowledged that there was uncertainty about the specifics of the materials and supplies category.

Supervisor Christy stated that it was important for them to know the specifics.

Chair Grijalva suggested that since it was a public program they could get more information from Emerge.

Upon the vote, the motion unanimously carried 5-0.

PROCUREMENT

39. Award

Award: Purchase Order No. PO2400016906, Sun Mechanical Contracting (Headquarters: Tucson, AZ), to provide for air cooled chiller replacement at the Kino Service Center. This contract is for a one-time award in the discrete amount of \$595,776.09 (including sales tax). Funding Source: Energy Efficiency and Conservation Block Grant Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

40. Community Action Agency Board

Reappointment of Judith Keagy. Term expiration:12/31/25. (District 1)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

41. Merit System Commission and Law Enforcement Merit System Council

Reappointment of Joseph Shelley. Term expiration: 12/31/28. (District 1)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

42. Pima County Fair Commission

Reappointment of Garrett Ham. Term expiration: 12/31/28. (Commission recommendation)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

43. Tucson-Pima County Historical Commission

Reappointment of Joel Ireland. Term expiration: 12/31/28. (District 5)

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONSENT CALENDAR

44. Approval of the Consent Calendar

It was moved by Chair Grijalva, seconded by Supervisor Scott and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Consent Calendar in its entirety.

* * *

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

1. Metropolitan Education Commission

- Appointment of Kelle Maslyn, representing Economic Development Department. Term expiration: 8/12/26. (Board of Supervisors recommendation)
- Appointment of Adriana Grijalva, representing Enrolled Learner Ages 16-21. Term expiration: 8/12/26. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
- Appointment of Pastor Michael Wilkerson, representing At-Large, Religious Community. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
- Appointment of Rich ~~Nike~~ **Nickel**, representing At-Large, State-Wide Educational Agency. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
- Appointment of Jake Blumenthal, representing At-Large. Term expiration: 8/12/26. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
- Appointments of Sharon O'Brien and Robert Ramirez, representing Local Agency Serving Young People and Children. Term expirations: 8/12/26. (MEC Board of Directors recommendations)
- Reappointment of Matthew Schmidgall and category change from representing Healthcare Community to Health Department. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (Board of Supervisors recommendation)
- Reappointment of Dr. Irene Robles-Lopez, representing Pima Community College. Term expiration: 8/12/26. (President of Regional Institution recommendation)

Category/Term Changes:

- Leslie Ferre, from representing Teachers, 5th to 9th (AZ Education Association) to Educator for Children Ages 11-13. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (Superintendent of Schools recommendation)
- Alejandro Tavera-Reyes, from representing MEC Youth Advisory Council/Tucson Teen Challenge to Enrolled Learner Ages 16-21. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
- Dave Mason, from representing Metropolitan Chamber of Commerce to School Principal. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)

- Jose Gastelum, from School Superintendent's Representative to Public School District Superintendent. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
 - Kathy Prather, from Commerce Business or Industry (Sun Corridor, Inc.) to Employed Affiliate of JTED. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
 - Dr. Treya Allen, from representing African American Community to At-large, Historically Marginalized and Excluded Communities. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
 - Mark Hanna, from representing At-Large Commission Appointee to At-Large. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
 - Dr. Marla Franco, from representing Minority Group (AZ Hispanic Community Forum) to At-Large. Term expiration: 8/12/25. (MEC Board of Directors recommendation)
2. **Pima County/Tucson Women's Commission**
Reappointment of Molly Ring. Term expiration: 12/31/28. (District 1)
 3. **State Board of Equalization**
Reappointment of George Garcia. Term expiration: 12/31/28. (District 1)
 4. **Tucson-Pima County Historical Commission**
Reappointments of Terry Majewski and Gary Minor. Term expirations: 12/31/28. (District 1)

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

5. **Removal of Continued Items**
Due to the length of time and inactivity of the continued items listed below, the County Administrator requests these items to be removed from the agenda.
 - UNFINISHED BUSINESS (7/6/21)
Petition for Relief of Taxes
Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-11104(G), Lifelong Learning Research Institute, Inc., has petitioned the Board of Supervisors for Relief of Real Property taxes and associated interest/penalty for tax years 2018, 2019 and 2020, for Tax Parcel Nos. 101-07-837E and 303-33-8660.
 - UNFINISHED BUSINESS (11/15/22)
Constables Administration and Oversight
Discussion/Direction/Action regarding Administration and Oversight.
 - UNFINISHED BUSINESS (7/11/23, 8/8/23 and 9/5/23)
Revisions to Merit System Rules
Staff requests approval of the revisions to Merit System Rule 14 - Merit System Commission Appeals.

**SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/
PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT
APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68**

6. Special Event

- Concha Maria Montes, W.A.L.D., Inc., Ajo Plaza, 38 W. Plaza Street, Ajo, December 7, 2024.
- Peter Lynn Schultz, San Xavier Lodge No. 1964, Loyal Order of Moose, Inc., 9022 S. Nogales Highway, Tucson, December 13, 2024.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

7. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

Trina Lakin \$1,000.00; Velociti Services \$36,072.17; State of Arizona Early Childhood Development & Health Brd. \$375,887.00; The State of Arizona \$52.52; The 5 H's, L.L.C. \$240.00; Pinal County Superior Court \$505.00; Lakeside Casitas, L.L.C. \$3,833.73; Glenn Verde Housing, Inc. \$562.00; Casa De Los Ninos, Inc. \$65.00; Erick Mejia \$188.95; Arizona Daily Star \$12,880.00; Country Club Apartments \$4,235.00; Cormax Rigging Supply, L.L.C. \$10,776.06; Anthony Pool \$276.50; Jose Ferney Mosquera Naranjo \$474.02; Palomino Crossing Apartments \$7,808.90; Law Office of Jesse L. Delaney \$7,427.28; Jose Ramirez \$464.60; Graybar Electric Company \$1,785.90; Drake T16 Nottinghill Owner, L.L.C. \$4,452.32; Shamrock Food Company \$1,024.10; TransPerfect Global, Inc. \$900.00; Apex Apartments \$2,298.00; BH Casa Bella, L.L.C. \$3,133.84; 424 DE Tucson, L.L.C. \$960.00; Green Leaf at Broadway \$4,409.64; Tremaine Crowder \$86.50; Lakeside Casitas, L.L.C. \$4,752.68; TransPerfect Global, Inc. \$1,081.49; Sally Beauty Supply \$276.84; Sycamore Creek Apartments \$4,676.31; Demian Carino \$1,800.00; James Irwin Cary-Hamby \$1,623.75; Sarah Linarez-Ostberg \$457.74.

TREASURER

8. Certificate of Removal and Abatement - Certificate of Clearance

Staff requests approval of the Certificates of Removal and Abatement/Certificates of Clearance in the amount of \$74,364.55.

9. Request to Waive Interest

Pursuant to A.R.S. §42-18053, staff requests approval of the Submission of Request to Waive Interest Due to Mortgage Satisfaction in the amount of \$804.77.

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

10. Minutes: September 17 and November 21, 2024

* * *

45. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:22 a.m.

CHAIR

ATTEST:

CLERK