

LIBRARY DISTRICT BOARD MINUTES

The Pima County Library District Board met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 9, 2020. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 *Ally Miller, Member
 Steve Christy, Member
 Betty Villegas, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
 Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
 Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
 Ryan Roher, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Miller participated telephonically.

1. CONTRACT

Innovative Interfaces Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for Sierra subscription and hosted services and amend contractual language, Library District Fund, contract amount \$50,000.00 (MA-PO-16-378)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

2. ACCEPTANCE – LIBRARY

Institute of Museum, Library Services and Technology Act, to provide for the 101Space Valencia Library, \$85,000.00 (\$19,130.00 Library District Fund Match.) (GTAW 20-127)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

3. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

CLERK

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS' MEETING MINUTES

The Pima County Board of Supervisors met in regular session at their regular meeting place in the Pima County Administration Building (Hearing Room), 130 West Congress Street, Tucson, Arizona, at 9:00 a.m. on Tuesday, June 9, 2020. Upon roll call, those present and absent were as follows:

Present: Ramón Valadez, Chairman
 Sharon Bronson, Vice Chair
 *Ally Miller, Member
 Steve Christy, Member
 Betty Villegas, Member

Also Present: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
 Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney
 Julie Castañeda, Clerk of the Board
 Ryan Roher, Sergeant at Arms

*Supervisor Miller participated telephonically.

1. MOMENT OF SILENCE

A Moment of Silence was observed by those in attendance.

2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

All present joined in the Pledge of Allegiance.

3. POINT OF PERSONAL PRIVILEGE

Supervisor Villegas asked for a Moment of Silence for Mr. George Floyd who was laid to rest on this date. Supervisor Villegas asked that the County Administrator create a community task force or steering committee focused on addressing disparities and inequities in Public Health, Criminal Justice, Public Education and Housing.

4. PRESENTATION/PROCLAMATION

- A. Presentation of a proclamation to Dr. Bob England, Interim Health Director, proclaiming the day of Tuesday, June 9, 2020 to be: "DR. BOB ENGLAND APPRECIATION DAY"
- B. Presentation honoring Dr. Bob England, Interim Health Director, for his outstanding service to Pima County.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Chairman Valadez made the presentation.

5. Presentation of a proclamation to Matthew McGlone, Community Outreach Coordinator, Pima County Office of Emergency Management and Courtney Slanaker, Executive Director, American Red Cross, proclaiming the week of June 14 through 20, 2020 to be: "MONSOON SAFETY AWARENESS WEEK"

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Villegas made the presentation.

6. Presentation of a proclamation to Bill Spurbeck, CPR2U, and Cathie Loucks, KVOA News 4 Tucson, proclaiming the month of June 2020 to be: "CPR TRAINING AND AWARENESS MONTH"

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item. Supervisor Christy made the presentation.

7. **CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

Donna Demes addressed the Board in support of the Cold Snap Place Ordinance.

Andrew Min addressed the Board regarding the safety of Pima County employees during the COVID-19 Pandemic and the lack of employee input.

8. **CONVENE TO EXECUTIVE SESSION**

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to convene to Executive Session at 10:35 a.m.

9. **RECONVENE**

The meeting reconvened at 10:52 a.m. All members were present.

EXECUTIVE SESSION

10. Pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03(A) (3) and (4), for legal advice and direction regarding state and fire district authority to adopt and enforce fire codes.

Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, stated this item was for information only. No Board action was taken.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

11. Updates and Action on COVID-19

(Clerk's Note: Please see the attached verbatim Minute Item Nos. 11 and 27, related to this item. Verbatim was necessary due to the nature and evolving circumstance related to COVID-19.)

12. Outside Agency Advisory Committee Funding Recommendation for Fiscal Year 2020/2021

Community Services Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended

Amistad y Salud, Clinica Amistad/Community Health and Wellness/\$35,000/\$20,800
Catholic Community Services, COPD/Supporting Deaf Deaf-Blind and Hard of Hearing Persons/\$50,000/\$37,105
Community Home Repair Projects of Arizona/Roof Repair and Replacement Program/\$100,000/\$23,700
DIRECT Center for Independence/Counseling DIRECTIONS/\$25,000/\$15,840
International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Job Readiness Training for Newly Arrived Refugees (formerly Job Readiness)/\$35,000/\$18,555
International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Medical Case Management for Refugees/\$35,000/\$18,100
Jewish Family & Children's Services of So Az/HoME/\$45,000/\$28,735
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (Center)/\$37,369/\$31,500
RISE, L.L.C./RISE Equipment Recycling/\$50,000/\$18,555
Southern Arizona Aid to Visually Impaired (SAAVI)/Health and Wellness Pgm for Blind Seniors/\$20,000/\$15,000
Southern Arizona Legal Aid/Homeowner and Tenant Protection Pgm/\$120,000/\$77,160
El Rio Santa Cruz Health Center - St. Elizabeth Health Center/Patient Centered Medical Home for the Uninsured/\$47,250/\$42,650
YWCA of Southern Arizona/YWCA Women's Counseling Network/\$40,000/\$19,910

Community Services Category Total \$367,610

Emergency Food & Clothing Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended

Arivaca Coordinating Council-Arivaca Human Resources/Arivaca Human Resource Emergency Food and Clothing/\$58,000/\$48,205
Catholic Community Svs, Pio Decimo Ctr/Emergency Food and Clothing/\$46,957/\$31,485
Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Caridad Community Kitchen/\$25,000/\$23,140
Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Child Nutrition Program/\$25,000/\$17,000
Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Branch Resource Centers/\$75,000/\$69,045
Community Food Bank, Inc./Community Food Bank - Emergency Food Assistance/\$350,000/\$321,885
Diaper Bank of Southern Arizona/Diapers for Infants, Children, Disabled and Seniors/\$40,000/\$19,100
IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Food Bank/\$35,000/\$32,160
IMPACT of Southern Arizona/IMPACT Clothing Bank/\$32,000/\$26,320
Sahuarita Food Bank/Emergency Food For Sahuarita and Unincorporated Pima County/\$45,000/\$24,700
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/Food for Life/\$100,000/\$88,800
TMM Family Services Inc./Community Closet/\$21,000/\$19,415

Emergency Food & Clothing Category Total \$721,255

Senior Support Category**Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended**

Administration of Resources and Choices/Elder Shelter at ARC/\$50,000/\$32,380
Catholic Community Services/Quincie Douglas Breakfast (formerly TUL program)/\$35,000/\$28,110
Interfaith Community Services/Special Diet Meals for At-Risk Seniors/\$25,000/\$23,690
Interfaith Community Services/Transportation Services for At-Risk Seniors/\$30,000/\$21,825
Mobile Meals of Southern Arizona, Inc./Home-Delivered, Medically-Tailored Meals for Low Income Seniors/\$35,000/\$24,635
St. Luke's Home/"Stronger, Longer" Senior Dietary Program (formerly St. Luke's Senior Dietary Program)/\$50,000/\$20,450
YWCA of Southern Arizona/HNS Las Comadritas/\$40,000/\$23,705

Senior Support Category Total \$174,795**Support Services, Shelter & DV Services Category****Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended**

Catholic Community Services, Pio Decimo Center/Case Management for Homeless Families & Pio Decimo Center Clients/\$58,110/\$38,500
Interfaith Community Services/Eastside Financial Assistance/\$20,000/\$16,525
International Rescue Committee in Tucson/Housing and Family Support/\$35,000/\$15,000
Old Pueblo Community Services/City County Homeless Work Program/\$30,000/\$23,675
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (HYS-CUP)/\$24,925/\$22,875
Our Family Services, Inc./Outside Agency 20-21 (Emergency Shelter-HFS)/\$39,102/\$34,895
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Casa Paloma: Providing basic needs, housing and support services/\$28,000/\$24,650
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Primavera Foundation: HIP Support Services/\$40,000/28,725
Primavera Foundation, Inc./Primavera Foundation: Supportive Housing Program/\$40,000/\$33,350
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/SAAF Anti-Violence Project/\$30,000/\$22,950
The Salvation Army/The Salvation Army Motel Voucher Program/\$20,000/\$16,325
TMM Family Services, Inc./Case Management for Emergency Housing/\$16,000/\$15,300
Tucson Center for Women and Children, Emerge Center Against Domestic Abuse/Comprehensive Domestic Abuse/\$115,000/\$106,800

Support Services, Shelter & DV Services Category Total \$399,570**Youth, Young AD, & Family Support Category****Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended**

Arivaca Action Center Incorporated/Arivaca Action Center, Inc, Early Learning Center/\$25,000/\$16,500
Arizona Youth Partnership/Rural Marana Afterschool Program/\$30,000/\$23,515
Arizona's Children Association/Family Education & Support Services/\$30,000/\$23,480
Arizona's Children Association/Las Familias/\$30,000/\$25,580
Catholic Community Services, Pio Decimo Center/Childcare for the Working Poor/\$130,000/\$105,850
Child & Family Resources, Inc./Pima County Healthy Families/\$41,000/\$37,780
Chicanos Por La Causa, Inc./CPLC Nahui Ollin Youth Prevention/\$78,560/\$20,037
Girl Scouts of Southern Arizona/Adelante Jovencitas-Foster Care/\$28,000/\$22,500
Higher Ground a Resource Center/Community Schools Initiative/\$67,661/\$23,340
International Sonoran Desert Alliance/Ajo Las Artes GED & Jobs Program/\$47,500/\$44,180
Jewish Family & Children's Services of Southern Az/Project Safe Place (PSP)/\$30,000/\$24,000
Literacy Connects/Youth Center After-School and Summer Programming/\$40,000/\$37,500
Make Way for Books/The Story Project/\$35,000/\$16,960
Pima Prevention Partnership/Pima County Teen Court/\$40,000/\$26,280
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/ALLY/\$45,000/\$37,665
Southern Arizona AIDS Foundation/Eon Youth Program/\$40,000/\$26,300
Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Children to Children/\$25,000/\$20,140
Tu Nidito Children and Family Services/Pathways/\$25,000/\$19,670

Youth On Their Own/YOTO Program (Stipends)/\$88,000/\$74,540
Youth, Young AD & Family Support Category Total \$625,817

General Services Category

Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended

Arizona Board of Regents, Pima County Cooperative Extension/4-H Challenge Course/\$25,000/\$20,900
Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona/Mobile Health Program for Medically Underserved Residents/\$60,485/\$42,940
Arizona Board of Regents, University of Arizona/Pima County Cooperative Extension/\$52,254/\$40,375
Arizona-Sonora Desert Museum/Bufelgrass Education & Coordination/\$49,000/\$46,550
El Rio Santa Cruz Neighborhood Health Center, Inc./Trichloroethylene (TCE) Program/\$163,200/\$155,040
Friends of Robles/Robles Ranch Community Food Bank & My Friends Closet/\$52,057/\$34,200
Habitat for Humanity Tucson/Shelter Technical Assistance & Maintenance Support/\$50,000/\$33,440
Metropolitan Education Commission/Metropolitan Education Commission/\$77,275/\$66,500
Metropolitan Education Commission/Regional College Access Center/\$70,000/\$49,400
Pima Council on Aging/Elder Rights & Benefits/\$132,300/\$125,685
Pima Council on Aging/Family Caregiver Support Program/\$19,600/\$18,620
Pima Council on Aging/Home Delivered Meals/\$19,680/\$18,690
Pima Council on Aging/Neighbors Care Program/\$58,000/\$55,100
Pima Council on Aging/Senior Companion/\$30,000/\$28,500
Pima County Community Land Trust/Housing Counseling/\$61,000/\$57,950
Socialserve, Inc./PimaCountyHousingSearch.org/\$25,000/\$18,620
Southern Arizona Children's Advocacy Center/Support Services for Child Victims/\$73,000/\$68,939
United Way of Tucson & Southern Arizona/Volunteer Income Tax Assistance Program (VITA)/\$25,000/\$23,750

General Services Category Total \$905,199

County Departments Managing OA Programs with Other Sources

Agency/Program/Amount Requested/Recommended

Conservation Legacy, Inc., dba Arizona Conservation Corps/Arizona Conservation Corps/\$108,900/\$108,900
Desert Survivors, Inc./Desert Survivors Inc./\$63,760/\$63,760
Pima Association of Governments/Overall Work Program (OWP)/\$298,000/\$298,000
County Departments Managing OA Programs with Other Sources Total \$470,660

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

13. Resuming Boards, Commissions and Committee Meetings

Discussion/action regarding staff recommendation that all Boards, Commissions and Committees resume their regular meetings schedule utilizing videoconferencing, teleconference capabilities or provided they are able to do so according to public health guidelines including physical distancing.

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CLERK OF THE BOARD

14. Petitions for Redemption of Property Tax Exemption Waiver

Staff recommends approval of the petitions for redemption of property tax exemption waivers.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Chairman Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

15. Final Plat With Assurances

P19FP00012, Whetstones Shadows, Lots 1-26, (District 4)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

ELECTIONS

16. Election Boards

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-531(A), appointment of election boards workers and tally boards recruited and on file in the Elections Department for the August 4, 2020 Primary Election and the November 3, 2020 General Election.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

17. Designation of the Chief Fiscal Officer

RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 39, of the Board of Supervisors, designating the Chief Fiscal Officer for Official Submission of the Fiscal Year 2021 Expenditure Limitation Report to the Arizona Auditor General.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Chairman Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

INDUSTRIAL DEVELOPMENT AUTHORITY

18. Community Food Bank of Southern Arizona Project

RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 40, of the Board of Supervisors, approving the proceedings of the Industrial Development Authority of the County of Pima regarding the issuance of its Revenue Bonds (Community Food Bank of Southern Arizona Project, 3003 S. Country Club Road, Tucson, Arizona), Series 2020 in an aggregate principal amount not-to-exceed \$3,500,000.00 and declaring an emergency.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

JUVENILE COURT

19. Juvenile Court Center Family Counseling Program

RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 41, of the Board of Supervisors, electing participation by Pima County in the Juvenile Justice Services Family Counseling Programs and providing \$15,302.00 in matching funds.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

TRANSPORTATION

20. Calle Concordia Annexation

RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 42, of the Board of Supervisors, consenting to annexation of a segment of Calle Concordia by the Town of Oro Valley, Arizona, a portion of Calle Concordia, as dedicated in Road Establishment Proceeding No. 1822, and as depicted in Book 14 of Road Maps on Page 56, located within Section 23, T12S, R13E, G&SRM, Pima County, Arizona. (District 1)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to adopt the Resolution.

FRANCHISE/LICENSE/PERMIT

21. Hearing - Liquor License

Job No. 105722, Brandon Lee Degeest, Outlaw Saloon, 1302 W. Roger Road, Tucson, Series 6, Bar, Person Transfer.

Supervisor Bronson inquired if anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing, approve the license and forward the recommendation to the Arizona Department of Liquor Licenses and Control.

22. Hearing - Fireworks Permit

David Tibbitt, Ajo Gibson Volunteer Fire Department, Free Port Slag Dump, 400 Taladro, Ajo, July 4, 2020 at 6:00 p.m.

Supervisor Bronson inquired if anyone wished to address the Board. No one appeared. It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and approve the permit.

DEVELOPMENT SERVICES

23. Hearing - Rezoning

P20RZ00004 ARIZONA BAPTIST CONVENTION - E. VISTA MONTE DRIVE - REZONING

Arizona Baptist Convention represented by Beau Borboa, requests a rezoning of approximately 4.78 acres from the TR (Transitional) to the CB-2 (General Business) zone located on the north side of E. Vista Monte Drive, approximately 1,000 feet east of the intersection of S. Houghton Road and E. Vista Monte Drive, addressed as 195 E. Vista Monte Drive. The proposed rezoning conforms to the Pima County Comprehensive Plan which designates the property as Neighborhood Activity Center. On motion, the Planning and Zoning Commission voted 10-0 to recommend APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. Staff recommends APPROVAL SUBJECT TO STANDARD AND SPECIAL CONDITIONS. (District 4)

At the request of the applicant and without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of July 7, 2020.

TRANSPORTATION

24. The Board of Supervisors on May 19, 2020, continued the following:

Hearing - Traffic Ordinance

ORDINANCE NO. 2020 - 14, of the Board of Supervisors, relating to traffic and highways; regulating parking on Cold Snap Place in Pima County, Arizona. Staff recommends APPROVAL. (District 4)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to waive the attorney/client privilege regarding the Executive Session discussion.

Supervisor Christy requested public discussion regarding this issue. He also requested that the County Attorney provide an interpretation, definition and analysis of this matter.

Andrew Flagg, Chief Civil Deputy County Attorney, responded that this was a request for "No Parking" signage. He stated that typically these matters were within the Board's discretion with respect to regulations of County Streets and Highways. He stated that Fire Districts had the authority to adopt nationally recognized fire codes to ensure fire code compliance which were outside the scope for the Board of Supervisors' authority.

It was then moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Chairman Valadez and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to close the public hearing and adopt the Ordinance.

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

25. **American Federation of State, County and Municipal Employees (AFSCME) Memorandum of Understanding**

Discussion/direction/action to extend the current AFSCME Memorandum of Understanding, to include revisions of Administration Procedure 23-32 adopted May 19, 2020, to September 28, 2020. (District 2)

It was moved by Chairman Valadez, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

26. Disparities in the Criminal Justice System

RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 47, of the Board of Supervisors, opposing disparities in the criminal justice system, encouraging a comprehensive reform in the pre-trial legal process, ordering the creation of a Pima County program to fund an organization that will post bond for many of those held in the pre-trial legal process. (District 5)

At the request of Supervisor Villegas and without objection, this item was removed from the agenda.

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

27. Updates and Action on COVID-19

- Update and Actions on the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency
 - COVID-19 Infections, Deaths, Hospitalizations and Emergency Room Visits
 - COVID-19 Case Mapping
 - Active Viral PCR Testing, Antibody Testing and Saliva Testing
 - Contact Tracing
 - Personal Protective Equipment and Disinfection and Cleaning Supplies
 - Downtown Tucson Partnership Assistance in Activating Downtown Establishments Including Restaurants and Other Businesses
 - Coronavirus Relief Fund
- Approval of the Intergovernmental Agreement with Arizona State University for COVID-19 saliva testing using the Biodesign Institute.

(Clerk's Note: Please see the attached verbatim Minute Item Nos. 11 and 27, related to this item. Verbatim was necessary due to the nature and evolving circumstance related to COVID-19.)

COUNTY ATTORNEY

28. Recalculation of Full Cash Values for Leased Solar Equipment

Staff recommends approval of the recalculations for leased solar equipment. The Pima County portion of the refunds are estimated to be approximately \$1,131,331.00.

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Miller and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

CONTRACT AND AWARD

COUNTY ATTORNEY

29. Audilett Law, P.C., Amendment No. 4, to provide for legal representation - Branden Roth Matter (Walker v. Napier) and amend contractual language, Risk Management Tort Fund, contract amount \$50,000.00 (CT-FN-17-375)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

FORENSIC SCIENCE CENTER

30. Graham County, to provide for medical examiner services, contract amount \$90,000.00 revenue/5 year term (CTN-FSC-20-159)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

PROCUREMENT

31. Southern Arizona Paving & Construction Co., to provide for Speedway Boulevard: Camino de Oeste to Painted Hills - Paved Shoulders (4HSBSP) Project, Transportation CIP Projects (Federal FHWA) - 82% and HURF ((DOT-59) - 18%) Funds, contract amount \$2,074,116.00 (CT-TR-20-348) Transportation

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

32. Accela, Inc., to provide for migration and subscription services of Land & Permit Management System, General Fund, contract amount \$3,027,882.00 /6 year term (MA-PO-20-213) Information Technology

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

33. **Acceptance - Environmental Quality**

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality, Amendment No. 1, to provide for the Voluntary Lawn Equipment Emissions Reduction Program and amend scope of work, \$132,200.00 (GTAM-DE-20-52)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

34. **Acceptance - Pima Animal Care Center**

Best Friends Animal Society, to provide for the Rachel Ray Save Them All COVID-19 Relief Grant Agreement, \$5,000.00 (GTAW 20-131)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

35. **Acceptance - Pima Animal Care Center**

Petco Foundation, to provide for the Petco Foundation Disaster Relief (COVID-19) Grant, \$25,000.00/\$25,000.00, Friends of Pima Animal Care Center Fund Match (GTAW-20-123)

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Christy and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

36. **Board of Adjustment, District 4**

Reappointment of Steven C. Eddy. Term expiration: 3/31/24. (District 4)

It was moved by Supervisor Christy, seconded by Supervisor Bronson and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the item.

37. **CONSENT CALENDAR**

Approval of the Consent Calendar

It was moved by Supervisor Bronson, seconded by Supervisor Villegas and unanimously carried by a 5-0 vote, to approve the Consent Calendar, as amended.

* * *

PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION

JUSTICE COURT

36. **Small Claims Hearing Officer Appointments**

Appointments of Small Claims Hearing Officers of the Pima County Consolidated Justice Court for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021:

Vera Bell Adaskin; Carolyn Aiko Classen; Michael Hellon; Lesley Potts; and Bryan Schwartz.

At the request of the County Administrator and without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of June 23, 2020.

37. Judge Pro Tempore Appointments

Appointments of Judges Pro Tempore of the Consolidated Justice Court for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021:

John Davis; Carmen Dolny; Maria Felix; Theodore M. Forgach; Charles Harrington; Paul Julien; Cecilia Monroe; Ronald J. Newman; Linda Penn; David Wayne Rees and Brick P. Storts, III.

At the request of the County Administrator and without objection, this item was continued to the Board of Supervisors' Meeting of June 23, 2020.

* * *

CONTRACT AND AWARD

Community Development and Neighborhood Conservation

1. TMM Family Services, Inc., Amendment No. 1, to provide for low and moderate income households assistance for Marana Apartments, extend contract term to 10/24/23 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CD-20-286)
2. Community Food Bank, Inc., Amendment No. 2, to provide for the Community Food Bank Inc., Receiving Bay Upgrade Project, extend contract term to 6/30/21 and amend contractual language, no cost (CT-CD-19-14)

Community Services, Employment and Training

3. Tucson Preparatory School, Amendment No. 6, to provide for the USHUD Continuum of Care Program - La Casita, amend contractual language and scope of work, USHUD - CoC Fund, contract amount \$11,600.00 (CT-CS-16-306)
4. Jessica M. Estrada, Amendment No. 2, to provide for special education services, extend contract term to 6/30/21 and amend contractual language, State Equalization and IDEA Funds, contract amount \$27,000.00 (CT-CS-18-375)

5. Christine Nybakken, d.b.a. Nybakken Group, L.L.C., Amendment No. 2, to provide for special education and youth development support for Pima Vocational High School, extend contract term to 6/30/21 and amend contractual language, State Equalization and IDEA Funds, contract amount \$30,000.00 (CT-CS-18-376)
6. Joseph M. Casey, to provide for academic tutoring services for Pima Vocational High School students, extend contract term to 6/30/21, amend contractual language and scope of work, State Equalization Fund, contract amount \$35,000.00 (CT-CS-20-340)
7. Nancy Chow, to provide for academic tutoring services for Pima Vocational High School students, State Equalization Fund, contract amount \$35,000.00 (CT-CS-20-341)

County Attorney

8. Hecker & Pew, P.L.L.C., Amendment No. 7, to provide for Paradigm Litigation-Assessor and amend contractual language, FN-General Contingency Fund, contract amount \$10,000.00 (CT-FN-20-110)

Office of Emergency Management and Homeland Security

9. The American National Red Cross, to provide for Facility Use Agreement, no cost/perpetual (CTN-OEM-20-163)

Office of Sustainability and Conservation

10. Saguaro National Park - National Park Service, to provide for a Memorandum of Understanding to Implement the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan between Saguaro National Park and Pima County, no cost/perpetual (CTN-SUS-20-144)
11. U.S. Fish & Wildlife Service, to provide for a Memorandum of Understanding to Implement the Sonoran Desert Conservation Plan between the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service and Pima County, no cost/perpetual (CTN-SUS-20-145)

Procurement

12. **Award**
Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-15-354, Amendment No. 6, Arrowhead Security, Inc., d.b.a. VET-SEC Protection Agency, to provide for uniformed security services. This amendment extends the termination date to 8/31/21 and adds a partial annual award amount of \$3,350,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract \$20,150,000.00. Funding Source: General Fund. Administering Department: Facilities Management.

13. Award

Amendment of Award: Master Agreement No. MA-PO-20-32, Amendment No. 1, Immedia, L.L.C., to provide for AV control equipment. This amendment is for a one-time increase in the amount of \$10,000.00 for a cumulative not-to-exceed contract amount of \$310,000.00. This increase is required to pay for the programming of four (4) replacement TV monitors in the Emergency Operations Center Policy Room, and to further fund the MA for future programming needs. Funding Source: Emergency Management Performance Grant (EMPG) General Fund. Administering Department: Office of Emergency Management & Homeland Security and Information Technology.

14. Cox Arizona Telcom, L.L.C., Amendment No. 8, to provide for telecommunication carrier services, extend contract term to 1/9/21 and amend contractual language, Internal Service Fund, contract amount \$585,000.00 (MA-PO-17-73) Information Technology

15. Borderland Construction Company, Inc., Granite Construction Company, KE&G Construction, Inc., Markham Contracting Company, Inc., and Southern Arizona Paving & Construction Company, Amendment No. 5, to provide for a Job Order Master Agreement for traffic signal, road intersection, paving and drainage improvements and amend contractual language, Various Funds, contract amount \$2,000,000.00 (MA-PO-17-117) Transportation

16. Borderland Construction Company, Inc., Granite Construction Company, Markham Contracting Co., Inc., Sunland Asphalt & Construction Inc., and Tucson Asphalt Contractors, Amendment No. 2, to provide for a Job Order Master Agreement for pavement repair and preservation services and amend contractual language, Various Funds, contract amount \$12,000,000.00 (MA-PO-20-41) Transportation

Real Property

17. New Cingular Wireless PCS, L.L.C., Amendment No. 9, to provide for Restated and Amended Nonexclusive Right-of-Way Use License for wireless communications facilities, extend contract term to 9/23/25 and amend contractual language, contract amount \$85,450.76 revenue (CTN-IT-15-3)

Regional Wastewater Reclamation

18. Town of Oro Valley, Amendment No. 3, to provide for wastewater billing and collection services extend contract term to 6/30/21, and amend contractual language, RWRD Enterprise Fund, contract amount \$375,000.00 (CT-WW-18-69)

Sheriff

19. Pima County Community College District, to provide a mutual aid agreement for law enforcement emergency assistance, no cost/10 year term (CT-SD-20-151)
20. Town of Oro Valley, to provide for video court hearings of municipal prisoners, contract amount \$300.00 estimated revenue (CTN-SD-20-160)
21. Town of Sahuarita, to provide for law enforcement dispatch services and management of associated records, contract amount \$266,878.00 estimated revenue (CTN-SD-20-162)

GRANT APPLICATION/ACCEPTANCE

22. **Acceptance - Transportation**
United States Department of Transportation, to provide for the Eric Marcus Municipal Airport/Coronavirus Aid, Relief and Economic Security Act ("CARES Act"), \$20,000.00 (GTAW 20-130)
23. **Acceptance - Sheriff**
Executive Office of the President Office of National Drug Control Policy, Amendment No. 3, to provide for the High Intensity Drug Trafficking Areas Program, \$154,760.00 decrease (GTAM 20-43)
24. **Acceptance - Community Services, Employment and Training**
RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 43, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the approval of the Continuum of Care "Scope of Work for Fiscal Year 2020 Renewal Grant Agreement" from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). This grant request will be for \$453,141.00 (\$113,285.25 General Fund Match.) (GTAW 20-117)
25. **Acceptance - Environmental Quality**
United States Environmental Protection Agency, to provide for the Clean Air Act Section 103 PM2.5 Air Monitoring for PPC OT2021, \$74,055.00 (GTAW 20-129)
26. **Acceptance - Community Services, Employment and Training**
RESOLUTION No. 2020 - 44, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the approval of the Continuum of Care "Scope of Work for Fiscal Year 2020 Renewal Grant Agreement" for One Stop Rapid Rehousing from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). This grant request will be for \$208,637.00 (\$52,159.25 General Fund Match.) (GTAW 20-120)

27. **Acceptance - Community Services, Employment and Training**
RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 45, of the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the approval of the Continuum of Care "Scope of Work for Fiscal Year 2020 Renewal Grant Agreement" for the La Casita Program from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). This grant request will be for \$204,519.00 (\$51,129.75 General Fund Match.) (GTAW 20-118)
28. **Acceptance - Community Services, Employment and Training**
RESOLUTION NO. 2020 - 46, the Board of Supervisors, authorizing the approval of the Continuum of Care "Scope of Work for Fiscal Year 2020 Renewal Grant Agreement" for the Project Advent Program from the U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development ("HUD"). This grant request will be for \$429,881.00 (\$107,470.25 General Fund Match.) (GTAW 20-119)

BOARD OF SUPERVISORS

29. **Meeting Schedule**
Approval of the Board of Supervisors' Meeting Schedule for the period September through December, 2020.

BOARD, COMMISSION AND/OR COMMITTEE

30. **Metropolitan Education Commission**
Reappointment of Alexander Lewis, representing Minority Group (Native American Community). Term expiration: 5/16/23. (Commission recommendation)
31. **Tucson-Pima County Historical Commission**
Appointment of Rikki Lynn Riojas, to replace Betty Villegas. Term expiration: 12/31/20. (District 5)

SPECIAL EVENT LIQUOR LICENSE/TEMPORARY EXTENSION OF PREMISES/ PATIO PERMIT/WINE FAIR/WINE FESTIVAL/JOINT PREMISES PERMIT APPROVED PURSUANT TO RESOLUTION NO. 2019-68

32. **Special Event**
Daniel Roger Cady, American Legion Post 131, 249 W. Esperanza Boulevard, Tucson, May 25, 2020.
33. **Temporary Extension**
06100203, Randy D. Nations, Hot Rods Old Vail, 10500 E. Old Vail Road, Tucson, May 16, 17, 23, 24, 30, 31, June 4, 6, 7, 13, 14, 20, 21, 27 and 28, 2020.

ELECTIONS

34. Precinct Committeemen

Pursuant to A.R.S. §16-821B, approval of Precinct Committeemen resignations and appointments:

RESIGNATION-PRECINCT-PARTY

Ray Omdahl-207-DEM; Sylvia M. Maresca-054-REP; Mark Spear-241-REP

APPOINTMENT-PRECINCT-PARTY

Donald C. Plummer-030-DEM; William J. Ellett-073-DEM; Robert W. Wallin-073-DEM; Robert B. Barrett-084-DEM; Carolyn F. Bertschy-116-DEM; Greg D. Latta-174-REP

FINANCE AND RISK MANAGEMENT

35. Duplicate Warrants - For Ratification

New Horizon Computer Learning Center of So Cal \$4,500.00; Robert Chaney \$10.50; Hajoca Corporation \$363.37; American Association of Medical Assistance \$125.00; American Association of Medical Assistance \$50.00; American Association of Medical Assistance \$125.00; American Association of Medical Assistance \$50.00; Southwest Gas Corporation \$1,257.20; Don Dickerson \$15.00

JUSTICE COURT

36. Small Claims Hearing Officer Appointments (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

37. Judge Pro Tempore Appointments (PULLED FOR SEPARATE ACTION)

TREASURER

38. Certificate of Removal and Abatement - Certificate of Clearance

Staff requests approval of the Certificates of Removal and Abatement/Certificates of Clearance in the amount of \$78,846.59.

RATIFY AND/OR APPROVE

39. Minutes: May 5 and 13, 2020 Warrants: May, 2020

* * *

38. **ADJOURNMENT**

As there was no further business to come before the Board, the meeting was adjourned at 11:02 a.m.

CHAIRMAN

ATTEST:

CLERK

COUNTY ADMINISTRATOR

11. Updates and Action on COVID-19

27. Updates and Action on COVID-19

- Update and Actions on the COVID-19 Public Health Emergency
 - COVID-19 Infections, Deaths, Hospitalizations and Emergency Room Visits
 - COVID-19 Case Mapping
 - Active Viral PCR Testing, Antibody Testing and Saliva Testing
 - Contact Tracing
 - Personal Protective Equipment and Disinfection and Cleaning Supplies
 - Downtown Tucson Partnership Assistance in Activating Downtown Establishments Including Restaurants and Other Businesses
 - Coronavirus Relief Fund
- Approval of the Intergovernmental Agreement with Arizona State University for COVID-19 saliva testing using the Biodesign Institute.

Verbatim

RV: Chairman Valadez
SB: Supervisor Bronson
SC: Supervisor Christy
AM: Supervisor Miller
BV: Supervisor Villegas
CH: Chuck Huckelberry, County Administrator
FG: Francisco García, MD, MPH, Deputy County Administrator & Chief Medical Officer, Health and Community Services
TC: Theresa Cullen, MD, Director, Health Department
BE: Bob England, MD, Interim Director, Health Department

RV: I will go ahead and move to Regular Agenda Item No. 10, and Addendum Item No. 5, which are the same ones, which is the Updates and Action on COVID-19. Mr. Huckelberry.

CH: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board, this is one of our regular updates. I think this is the fifth one we have done for the Board. We actually produced much longer memorandum, I think on Thursday and provided it to the Board. It has a number of areas and issues that I do not think we will go into in any great detail, but you can read what we are doing and where we are going and if you have any questions or comments, please forward them to me. In addition, we updated the information with regard to infections on Friday, as well as hospitalization data because it was becoming clear that the infection

numbers per day were becoming very erratic and in some cases significantly higher than they had been during the entire pandemic. Then again on Monday, I indicated that we would update those numbers once again and that is the latest information you have from me. That is a one-page memorandum with the table that gives you the cases in Pima County from May 31st to June 8th, and they vary significantly from high of 214 per day to a low of 14. The deaths ranging from 185 to 205. The information this morning is that there have been only seven additional cases, but there are seven additional deaths. Those are the summary facts. What I would like to do is have Dr. Garcia and Dr. Cullen go through the data with you, and answer any questions you may have with regard to any of the material that has been provided. Thank you. Dr. Garcia and Mr. Chairman, Dr. England can certainly chime in if he wants to.

RV: Dr. England, why not join us one last time with the rest of the Docs?

FG: Mr. Chairman and Members of the Board of Supervisors, thank you. As we have tried to provide you the most timely kinds of information, with regards to how this particular pandemic has been playing out in this community and this is part of that ongoing. Can you see the graphic? I want to point out a couple of things. This graphic is not unfamiliar to you. This is the number of cases. The blue bars represent the number of cases that have occurred just here in Pima County since the beginning of the pandemic on a weekly basis. What I want to draw your attention to is a couple of things. You know, we had experienced some improvement, and but in the last two weeks we really have had an increase in the number of cases that maybe associated with some of the loosening of the social and physical distancing restrictions that we were actually pretty good at for a long time. The one bright spot that remains at least at this time, is that in terms of mortality, and that is that little blue line at the bottom, at least in terms of mortality that remains relatively flat and I think that continues to be a good sign. But please do understand when we have an increase in cases, the mortality is usually delayed by about two to three, sometimes even four weeks because people do not die just when they become sick, yeah? I think that this is still information that is causing us a lot of pause in the Health Department and across this community, across our Healthcare Sector. I will share with you one other piece of information. That is what is going on with regards to hospitalizations overall. Again, you see that initially around the time of late April, we had a peak in terms of the number of the hospitalizations here in Pima County that were associated with the COVID diagnosis. That had been down going. I would tell you to ignore the last week, because we still do not know how that is going to play out. But just to make the point that actually we seem to be flattening, if not going up. That would be a very concerning trend if that is, indeed, if that is, indeed, what is going on, and we would be very, we need to be very cautious about that. One of the things that we have shared with you, one of the points that has been made by the Members of the Board was

wanting to have a greater understanding of how this is impacting different communities. We produced a report to that, and, but just to let you know that in terms of the racial ethnic makeup of cases, what we are seeing as in more recent times is Hispanics are more increasingly have a greater representation in terms of the number of cases. That is not the case, however, when you look at the hospitalization data and when you look at the mortality data. Where white Non-Hispanic populations continue to be the ones who are overrepresented. I think the take home message here is that it is our older folks who are at greatest risk. Almost all of the mortality, almost all the hospitalizations are happening in 55 plus year olds. I think we need to be very cognizant of that fact and we need to continue to try to do whatever we can to provide some degree of protection for that population. I want Dr. Cullen to comment on kind of the status of hospitalization. Our department and our staff meet on a very regular basis with the Hospital Administrators and the C.E.O's and we really have a good sense of how that is going.

TC: Thanks. Thank you for the time. We want to share with you the med surge bed capacity and then I will go back a little. This is an important factor because it tells us how much give we have in our medical surgery beds. These are adults beds not pediatric beds. The one thing I would caution you is it may look like all of a sudden we went from 1,446 to 1,054. You may be aware over the weekend, I think on the Friday, the Arizona Department of Health Services redefined bed capacity and what they did was they eliminated what historically had been beds that they anticipated having available for the surge, if there was a surge. The correct number to look at is the 1,054. What you see, 1,054, and 1,058, then you see this increase in usage. It goes back to what Dr. Garcia said to you. Remember, he said do not look at this last week that is there. It is because this is changing regularly, as we go along and it is a number that is from an aggregate, and so this daily usage 583 to 788, in terms of usage of beds is critical. An important increase. In addition, I want to share with you, I am not going to do the ED bed, but the ventilators availability, you can see ventilators in use, 4,295. Right now we are okay with ventilators. Then the I.C.U. bed capacity is also important. It follows on also on what Dr. Garcia said about patients deaths. Most of the deaths do occur with patients who have been in the I.C.U. You can see the I.C.U. bed usage which is the last number here has gone up significantly. Now, it is hard to know what that will mean long term, but what we seem to be seeing right now, in the past few days only, is this trend is increasing usage.

RV: Dr. Bob.

- BE: The only comment I will make is that with all the surveillance data that Dr. Garcia showed you, just always know you are a couple of weeks behind. Always a couple of weeks behind the actual transmission, because there is incubation period and then there is time to get test results and then there is a time to get the investigations done. The same thing with hospitalizations and deaths, the data that Terry was showing you is more current, but it is self-reported from the hospital. The data that Dr. Garcia was showing you on hospitalizations is more assured, as it were, because it is after investigation, but it is way behind. Keep in mind that there are multiple things to think about.
- FG: Mr. Chairman, we are happy to answer any questions you may have.
- SC: Mr. Chairman?
- RV: Supervisor Christy.
- SC: I have a question and then I would have a follow-up on testing. The reduction in the number of available beds that the graph showed, going down to, I think it was 1,054 from a high of 1,070. Can you explain one more time why that happened and what caused that?
- FG: We will let Bob do this.
- SC: Basically a different definition of the beds?
- BE: There is a different definition from the State Health Department. Frankly, they were correcting an error from before, but that is not what you saw on that graph, because the data you saw is self-reported by hospitals. I will lay this out. It turns out that there was a new employee at Banner entering the data and listed zero hospital beds for both Banner South and Banner Tucson for two days. That is an artifact, the number of people in the hospital, however, is very real and that is the one to keep an eye on. The difference between the bed capacity and the number of COVID cases as it continues to increase. It is clear we are on an upswing. What we do not know is how much of an upswing because whatever is going on right now today, in terms of transmission, we will not know about until the end of June. Because there is always that lag.
- SC: So, again, that disparity between when the beds at the high of 170 something, and to the current one of 150 something, that was because somebody miscounted the beds?
- FG: Again, what I would focus on are occupied beds, the beds in use.

SC: But the 1,426 has been consistent since May 31st and then was this error discovered on June 4th that they were miscounting and misidentifying the beds?

BE: [inaudible]

FG: I would not focus, Supervisor Christy, if I could, Chairman Valadez, I would not focus on that first column which is the total bed capacity.

SC: 1,426.

FG: Right. Because, again, this is self-reported information. Just recently the State readjusted how it makes that calculation.

SC: And what is that readjustment and what does it say?

FG: So, it is supposed to reflect more accurately the real beds in place. Not just the theoretical capacity, but the real bed capacity. What I would focus on is the last column which are the beds that are actually in use, because that tells you what, how much demand there is in the hospital, how much utilization there is going on in the hospital. I want you to pay attention to the fact that from 5/31 until about 6/4, that was, you know, relatively flattish in the high 200's to 250 range. But in more recent days, that bed utilization has gone up. You see something similar in terms of ventilators. You see something similar in terms of I.C.U.'s and that is what we are keeping our eyes on. Again, this is a very fluid situation and we are trying to make sure that, we are trying to make sure that you are appraised of this. Again, just look at the last two days in terms of the utilization of I.C.U. beds. You are starting to see some spikes up in that. Day-to-day variation is going to be all over the place. We are trying to focus on the larger terms, trends, rather, and we are trying to make sure that we are in close communication with our hospital partners to make sure that we are interpreting this correctly.

SC: Is it not, though, somewhat troubling that for this number of days, that the idea was that there were 1,428 beds and then suddenly five days later, there is not?

FG: Again, the capacity is what the capacity is, and that number is, you are absolutely right being redefined by the State, and being right sized. The capacity, the usage is what we continue to be focused on, how many beds are available, how many beds are staffed, and we will continue to get clarity on this variation that is happened on a day-to-day basis. But you are right, we should be very concerned.

SC: Okay. Thank you for that answer. If I may, Mr. Chairman, I have a question on testing.

RV: Please proceed.

SC: I would like to use myself as an example. I know this is very difficult to believe, and by looking at me, you would never know, but I am 65. I know I look much younger. [Chuckles] That is probably the one factor that plays into this whole scenario as far as my trying to understand and wrap my head around testing. I have been coming to work daily. I have been going through the wellness checks and passing every one of them. I have had no symptoms of any kind of coronavirus, much less anything else. I have felt fine, I just had my annual physical, not too long ago, I passed with flying colors. I have no underlying medical issues or history. I understand the incubation process of an infection roughly is 14 days. I have been coming to meetings, coming to my office, living my life well before the pandemic was announced. There is nothing in my health that would denote that I was under any kind of situation or exposure or bad functioning regarding this pandemic. My question is, should I be tested? Then if I were to be tested, what manner of testing would be required or utilized? Third is, the results of those tests given what I just explained about my own health situation, what would those tests reveal? Then finally, what do those tests mean and how would they be used?

FG: Very briefly, Chairman Valadez and Supervisor Christy, the criteria in terms of who should get tested has been loosened significantly. Testing should be done when people are having symptoms. That is they are having respiratory symptoms or other COVID associated symptoms. Definitely in that situation, that person should be tested. It should be also performed when people have come into contact with someone who may have been infected in the course of their normal, kind of life.

SC: Let me get to the scenario. I do not know anybody who has the COVID.

FG: You are lucky. For the asymptomatic individual, for the person without symptoms, for the person without a history of exposure. There is really no public health benefit for testing. Should you come, at some point, into contact with someone who has been diagnosed with COVID, should you start to develop respiratory or other symptoms. Then at that point, the what you would want to know is whether you were currently infected, and for that you would have a P.C.R.-type test.

SC: Blood?

FG: No, it is not a blood test. It is the nasal pharyngeal swab. That would be the place where you would start and that would be the information that your clinician that she or he would use in order to manage you.

SC: I understand that, and just one final question. At what point given the length of time that I have been involved in the community, I have been out in the community, I have been next to many, many, many people. Been practicing social distancing, doing all of that, but at what point am I able to say, I am not going to contract the COVID and I am not going to spread it?

FG: Unfortunately, there is no way for you to ever be able to make that statement factually. What you can say, what you can have reassurance is that if you have been in, if you had a series of interactions with the public or whatever other interactions, and you are not displaying symptoms at 5 and certainly at 15 days post, that you are unlikely to have been infected. But to be able to represent that you are not infectious is something that you would not be able to do.

SC: Given the amount of time that this, that this has all transpired, you would think that at this point, if I was to get it, I would have gotten it?

FG: Your personal risk of acquiring COVID will have to do with your social network. It has to do with the people that you come into close physical contact with or approximate contact. If your social circle does not include people who have the infection, you are not going to get infected. That is what those bubbles that people have been talking about in the lay press. If you have a social network that is insulated enough that nobody is, is sort of becoming sick, then it is unlikely that you will become sick. For many of us who work in public settings, people are coming in and out of our networks and in and out of physical proximity with us. That is where the risk is. That is why wearing cloth face coverings when you are having social interactions especially at relatively close distances is really important. That is why doing temperature checks and symptom checks and when you come into our buildings that is why that is important because it tries to decrease the pool of potentially infected people that you could potentially come into contact with, in the elevator or otherwise.

SC: Okay. Thank you very much.

RV: Alright. I do have...

AM: Mr. Chairman?

RV: Oh, Supervisor Miller.

AM: Mr. Chairman?

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: Yes, I have a couple of questions, and the first one, there was a statement released yesterday from Dr. Maria Van Kerkhove of the World Health Organization. She stated that we have reports from countries doing very detailed contact tracing following asymptomatic cases. What she said is from the data that they have accumulated thus far, it is very rare that, very rare, that an asymptomatic person actually transmits to a secondary individual. Now, the question becomes, are we going to adjust to this most recent data? It is a complete different stance than they had before, because they were saying asymptomatic people could spread this, but now it is the data that they are collecting thus far is showing just the opposite. It is a very rare occurrence, which totally contradicts the fact that asymptomatic people should be wearing masks. Obviously washing your hands, social distancing, all of that makes sense, but I would like to get Dr. Garcia or one of the doctors, you know, thoughts on this, and what we are going to do to adjust our positions and behavior.

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Mr. Chairman, Supervisor Miller, at this time, our position has to be what is being promulgated by the Centers for Disease Control. You are right, there is a meta-analysis that was fairly recently published which is a study of studies, that suggests that asymptomatic transmission may not be as important as previously thought. That is one meta-analysis. There are a lot of, the literature in this area is abundant and sometimes conflicting. At this point the synthesis of all of that literature, of all that information from the Centers for Disease Control, continues to be that asymptomatic transmission represents a risk. In fact, may be the reason why the outbreaks in the Seattle area and in the New York area became out of hand so quickly. Is precisely because of that asymptomatic transmission. I think, at this point, the Centers for Disease Control and certainly as I look at this literature, it is hard to disregard that. Certainly, this is new and important information that we need to continue to keep our eyes on. But I do not believe that we need to change our policy and our recommendations here locally on that basis at this time.

RV: Dr. Garcia, to that point, and Supervisor Miller, if you could please allow me just a follow-up. How do you distinguish between an asymptomatic patient and a patient within the two to three-day incubation period of the virus?

FG: You cannot. For all intents and purposes, you cannot.

RV: Okay.

FG: So you are absolutely right. Somebody, you know, especially early on, the German studies were suggesting that the people really were not asymptomatic, that they were minimally symptomatic, that they just did not realize that they were starting to have symptoms. Well that is the way the disease manifests in all of us, right? We do not wake up one day and the light goes off, and we say, oh we are sick. No, it is usually something that is a creeping awareness that we develop, especially for something like this, a respiratory kind of thing. So that, from well to sick is a continuum. It is not an absolute on or off switch.

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: Are we also, are we doing these types of studies here in the U.S.? Is the Centers for Disease Control following these, you know, contact tracing of these asymptomatic individuals?

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Miller, I believe that, if I understand your question correctly, is someone looking at this? Is the Centers for Disease Control, are the very smart people at that shop trying to sort of figure this out and figuring out whether contact tracing adds any value to the identification of these asymptomatic individuals? Absolutely, and, that is part of what we are doing as part of the public health response.

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: Okay, the other question I had is in the background material, Mr. Huckelberry has a contract with Arizona State University for saliva testing related to COVID. I have a couple of questions on it. Number one, how effective is this testing? Because they, if I read you the contract, they make absolutely no guarantee whatsoever that it is not without, that it is going to be accurate. How many, and it is Pima County that is going to collecting the samples. What is your population that you are going to be testing and how many are you going to be testing? There is three questions there, if you want me to repeat them, I will.

RV: Dr. Garcia.

AM: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Miller, a couple of things to think about and I think I will take them in reverse order. One of the challenges in terms of doing testing currently is that the procedure involved in sampling the nasal pharynx, where they jam the swab, all the way back in what feels like into your brain, is relatively uncomfortable. Not only is it relatively uncomfortable, it requires the person doing it to be wearing full P.P.E., because that person can sneeze and, you know, there is all sorts of exposures associated with

that. That is why there has been an interest in some of the self-sampling kinds of procedures. One of these is one of the alternatives, one of the solutions is to do something that requires a hell of a lot less P.P.E. and requires a lot of less medical handling and that is for people to provide a saliva sample via a straw that they self-collect and those are then processed. The laboratory processes them using an assay that has been validated under these kind of new circumstances that the FDA is using, called emergency use authorization. Not the typical kind of rigorous and really exhaustive kinds of study that we use in H.P.V. or in other areas. But these are emergency use authorizations that carry some caveats. But this does appear to be a good and useful tool, especially when you are trying to collect specimens on the large group of people. One of the conversations has been may this be a way that we could extend testing to employers, especially relatively smaller employers whose population of employees maybe either un- or underinsured and come in contact with us as the public, as we are, as we go throughout our day. That is the value that is seen in that option. We are still very early on. I agree the contract language sounds scary, but it is pretty boilerplate. This is just one of many tools were trying to put into our toolbox as we try to expand testing in this community.

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: Has this been used elsewhere?

RV: Has this been used elsewhere? Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Miller, this has been used extensively for first responders in the Maricopa County area. It is also part of the response in Navajo and I believe White Mountain communities.

AM: So did they have any data on the accuracy results at all or is that not yet available?

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Miller, that is exactly what we are in the process, Dr. Mullen – I am sorry, Dr. Cullen has been, that is exactly what she has been talking to A.S.U. about. So that we have a very clear-eyed, before deploying this, we will have a very, very clear-eyed idea of what the performance characteristics are of this particular assay are and its intended use, before we ever deploy. However, given the sort of urgent, emergent nature of what we are trying to do, we wanted to bring the contract to the Board, so that if we end up going this route, we do not lose any time.

SC: Mr. Chairman?

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: So, we do not know who the population is that we are going to be, that Pima County is going to be testing, or are we going to be paying and making this testing available to employers?

RV: Dr. Garcia

AM: I apologize, it is a little bit hard to hear and I could not hear one word that Dr. Cullen said, because I do not believe she was close to the microphone. I did not hear a word she said. So, I apologize if I am asking questions that she already answered.

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Miller, no apologies necessary. Dr. Cullen did not make any statement. With regards to the population, we are still trying to figure out what is the right population we would deploy this for. We have talked about, we continue to have some very real issues in our Long-Term Caring Assistance Living Facilities and as well other places where older folks congregate. This procedure, heck of a lot easier on older folk than what is currently being practiced. This may be a very, very important tool in that kind of setting. This procedure may be a very, very good tool again in especially for folks who have to deal with the public. Just think about the clerks at Quick-Mart, just think about the people you interact with deal on a daily basis and those folks who will not be telecommuting because their job. Delivering your food, preparing your food may not allow them to do that. This may be a very good strategy, a very good tool for that group of folks. We are still in the process of developing a plan. I would be misrepresenting if I said anything other than that. This contract merely is our ability to begin to formalize that relationship with A.S.U. to provide that service, should we decide that it is appropriate.

RV: Supervisor Miller.

AM: Okay. Thank you very much, Doctor.

RV: Let me, I had a few questions. You mentioned earlier that there were seven new deaths. What do we know at this point and when did those occur?

- FG: Seven new deaths were reported, those seven deaths did not happen overnight. Really critical for you guys to know that. Those seven deaths happened over the last probably seven to ten days but that is when the, that is how the data are being updated. There is a lag between when deaths occur and where, when they make it up to being incorporated into the State count. Unfortunately, that kind of lag causes a lot of anxiety, especially to the general public. To those of us who are used to looking at these numbers on a daily basis, we can see how they are actually spread out over a period of time.
- RV: Okay. Then one of the issues that I think we had not dealt with yet is contact tracing, I know that Dr. Cullen and I had discussed a little bit about that potential.
- FG: I going to turn it over to Dr. Cullen because she has got a fabulous plan that has been crafted and that we provided you some background on.
- TC: Thank you, Chairman Valadez, thank you for that question. I do not want to indicate that we have not been doing contact tracing. We have been doing contact tracing. Our goal, however, is to do more extensive contact tracing. On average right now, when we contact a case they indicate that they have one to two contacts. We actually believe many of the cases, which is the person that is infected has more than one to two contacts. Some of that is because of the rapidity with which we had to go through to initiate the case investigation. Our contact tracing is designed to identify all the contacts within the two days prior to when the person was tested. That is the period we are looking at and then there is some other caveats, people that you had spent more than 15 minutes for that were less than 6 feet within your geography. At this point, we have an RFP out that will be hopefully awarded within two weeks. We would expect at the end of that two weeks to have a one-week selection period, and then move forward. In the meantime, we are identifying and developing appropriate training materials. We are identifying ways that we can track this data because the goal is that each of these contacts will have other, will have contact in quotes from us on a daily basis for the 14-day period. We also anticipate letting the contacts know that if there are other social services that are important to them that we will run in addition to the normal contact questions. Do you have issues about food security or shelter or things like that, so we can ensure that we have wraparound services at least identified and available with appropriate referrals for them. I would expect within three to four weeks this will be fully stood up. Our hope is, one of the goals of this is to develop community capacity that by working with appropriate contractors, who will hopefully use local people, we will train local people, and that at the end of this, we will then have a cadre of community members that understand what contact tracing is and can be our eyes and ears out in the community. Thank you.

- RV: Thank you, Dr. Cullen. My next question deals with P.P.E. and where are we at this point on P.P.E.? Is that Mr. Huckelberry or is it Dr. Garcia?
- FG: We have made a tremendous amount of progress in terms of where we are with regards to P.P.E. Assistant County Administrator, Mr. Voorhees, is here who can also speak to it. I can tell you that as our demand continues to grow, but so does our supply, and so we are better able today to resource people than we were just three, four weeks ago. It does not mean that we have solved all of those problems. The additional piece is that in the Back-to-Business Initiative, one of the commitments that the County made was to try to come up with ways of supporting private sector in terms of decreasing their own risk mitigating their own risk, by providing some level of non-medical P.P.E. That is the new task that Assistant County Administrator Mr. Voorhees and the team at the E.O.C., have been engaged in. In terms of procuring non-medical grade face masks like the cloth face masks that we are wearing and latex non-medical grade gloves for use in our restaurants and in our other places of business, that process is still evolving and I think that, we look forward to providing you a much more detailed update as that continues.
- RV: Thank you Dr. Garcia and one last question. This is probably more appropriate for Mr. Huckelberry on the Downtown Tucson Partnership Assistance, as well as the COVID Relief Fund if we can get an update on both of those, please.
- CH: Mr. Chair and Members of the Board, we are looking at contract with Downtown Tucson Partnership and treating it as a pilot program to basically how to assist smaller businesses with fewer employees such that we can assist them in P.P.E., and assist them in standing their particular business back up and operating, and so that is ongoing. We have a scope of services out with them, and should be executing a contract fairly quickly. With regard to some of the other issues of small business, we have just, and I have about an inch thick report from one of my Executive Staff, Nicole Fyffe, that basically surveyed all of the Small Business Assistant Programs or other programs that have been implementing using COVID-19 Cares Act Funding. We are synthesizing those into best practices and then we will be basically coming back to the Board probably within about 30 days for some type of Small Business Assistance Program that looked at all the programs that are going on throughout the country and developed a best practices plan for implementation.
- RV: Alright. Thank you and I believe Supervisor Christy.

SC: Yes, I just have a couple just quick questions. One, just to be clear and to reiterate, after my description of my own personal physical situation. It is your analysis, I should say, that any kind of testing for someone of my situation, would have no value?

RV: Dr. Garcia

FG: Chairman Valadez, Mr. Christy, Supervisor Christy, it is not that it does not have any value, I would not characterize it that way. For instance, if you were in Assisted Living or if you were in a retirement community, one of those independent retirement communities like my in-laws that does lots of golfing, where there is a lots of social interaction. That might be a good place for you to consider, even if you are asymptomatic, being tested. There is a value in terms of understanding where you are. But, again, I think that value is greater for folks who are symptomatic. I would say somebody who is 65 years of age that they really need to be cognizant of the kinds of symptoms that they are waking up with every morning. If they are symptomatic to consider being tested. Number two, they need to be very, you need to be very cognizant of the folks that you are coming into contact with and whether those folks are sick or not, or appear to be sick or not. Because under those circumstances, yeah, if somebody sneezes on me, two days later, I might think about going to get tested.

SC: And finally...

RV: Mr. Christy I believe Mr. Huckelberry had a comment on that particular question.

CH: Mr. Chairman and Supervisor Christy, I was just going to point out that test is good for the day you took it.

SB: That is right.

CH: It is not good for a day after when you might have gotten sneezed on.

SC: So, it would require constant testing based on that. Real quickly, the three of you can join in if you so desire. Where do you find your most credible sources or where do you feel the most credible sources of your information that you base your decisions comes from? Does it come from the World Health Organization? Does it come from the New England Journal of Medicine? Or does it come from the Centers for Disease Control?

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Christy, you ask a really important question. Which is how do we synthesize this plethora of information that we are constantly bombarded on a daily basis? How do we make sense of it? It is going to be all of the above. The main guidance for us comes from the Centers for Disease Control. There are both historical and scientific reasons that for why that is the main source of guidance from which States develop their public health strategies and therefore by which we, as locals, derive our own. I can tell you just because my friends work at the C.D.C., because my friends work at the World Health Organization, these things are constantly in flux. People are constantly talking to each other. We are constantly trying to come up with better and more rational approaches to doing what we need to do. Unfortunately, there is not a one size fits all that is the answer for where the bulk of the information that informs our decisions and our strategies.

SC: Thank you very much.

RV: Anyone else?

RV: Supervisor Villegas.

BV: I have a couple of questions that were not answered yet. The first one is and concerns. The first one is that when I look at the map and the hotspot map, I still see the majority of the hotspots on the South Side. In the zip codes that, where people live that have a lot of underlying conditions, including poverty and lack of access to different, to food and different healthcare. Then I see the age group diagram and I see that the 20 to 44 years old is way off the charts. That is the majority of the people that are testing positive. Then, so I think about if the mortality in these areas, because it is mostly Latinos that live in these areas. I am glad to hear that, you know, that it is lower but at the same time, I believe that these are, that this may show that this is where all the essential workers are. These are the ones that are going into the nursing homes and then bringing it home, possibly. So, as you are going through your priorities of testing, I would hope that you look at that, because people that maybe live in these areas are working not only in that area but they are working all over because they are healthcare workers. They are retail workers, they are food service workers. So that is one comment and what I have been hearing and seeing. The other is that I wanted an update on what is going on with the Rental Assistance Program and where are we with that? Because we know that the moratorium is ending and we know that there are a lot of people that are going to need assistance. So, I just wondering if you could let me know where we are at with that.

RV: Mr. Huckelberry, I believe.

CH: Mr. Chairman and Supervisor Villegas, that is a good question, because I just asked this yesterday. I have asked the staff in Housing to basically prepare a report that deals with June 1st through the 10th, with regard to evictions and those eviction processes, as well as to detail all of the programs that are available, the funds that have been spent, the eligibility, the amount per person, etcetra. I hope to have that report back to me on Friday the 12th and I will forward it to the Board.

BV: Okay. Alright, thank you. That is all I have.

RV: Dr. Garcia. Did you have a comment?

FG: So apropos, the first part of your question. A couple things to think about. Number one, the long-term care assisted living testing strategy includes essential workers. It is not just the residents and it is precisely because that infection came from somewhere, from family, from the folks who worked in those facilities and so the strategy is to test those populations abundantly, inclusive of the essential workers that serve them. I think that is a really, really important kind of thing to think about. You are absolutely right, people who are in service industry jobs where they are coming into contact, delivering food, taking care of patients, doing sanitation, those folks are at risk. That is part of why we have been talking about how do we push out testing resources to those particular populations at risk from an occupational standpoint, especially small businesses. The last thing I would draw your attention to is those clusters by and large continue to be in Long-Term Care Facilities. Yes, we are seeing some other stuff, by the way. But those clusters by and large tend to be in Long-Term Care Facilities and Assisted Living Facilities, the Federal Detention Center, as well as some group homes and stuff like that. These continue to be in places where people live in a congregate kind of setting and that is where we continue to put a lot of our resources. Those are actually spread remarkably throughout the County, although some Supervisory Districts have a greater proportion of them than others.

RV: Supervisor Villegas.

FG: You just reminded me, I wanted to ask. First of all, when we looked at the census tracts, we went deeper than zip codes and then we looked at the group homes that were in these areas. A lot of the hotspots that we saw using census tracts did not include group homes, unless we were missing some, but, you know, that is something that we can talk about later. But what about U.P.S. and Amazon? Can you give us an update on what is going on with those?

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Villegas, we have had a great, we have a lot to share with you about U.P.S.

RV: Dr. Cullen.

TC: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Villegas, we have been actively engaged with U.P.S. We have had a team that went into the facility and did a full evaluation. They are a team that usually would do a chef evaluation. So they are very trained in occupational evaluation. We developed a platform for them to evaluate using six to eight points that would ensure that we had appropriate insight into what was going on. Right now, we have finished that evaluation up. We have shared it with U.P.S., shared it with the appropriate organizations that have been affected by that. It is now in the hands of U.P.S. to move forward. We did give them a series of recommendations that they received yesterday. So we are waiting for a response from them on those.

RV: Supervisor Villegas.

BV: Do we have any type of authority to, you know to make sure that they are doing these things? I mean, it seems.

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Villegas, we do not. I do not believe that we do.

RV: Supervisor Christy.

SC: Just a question to follow up on the protocols that you have put in place at U.P.S. Are there any fines associated with noncompliance?

RV: Dr. Garcia.

FG: Chairman Valadez, Supervisor Christy, again, we, I do not believe that we have that kind of regulatory authority under the Arizona Revised Statutes.

SC: But there was that regulatory authority inherent in any kind of restaurant regulations.

FG: That was a Proclamation that the Board of Supervisors passed that had a relatively narrow application. So, that is what created our ability to do that, and if you recall, the final version of the proclamation did not have any language about fines precisely because of that issue. So yes, we are in uncharted territory here.

SC: Thank you.

RV: Any other questions? Hearing none, thank you all three of you very much.

SB: I actually had one question, if I could.

RV: Oh, Supervisor Bronson.

SB: Getting back to the evictions and I know you are doing a report. This is for Mr. Huckelberry. Also when, as we began to bring everybody back, we are going to have our Justice Court pretty jammed up too. I am wondering if we could get some sense of what that is going to mean and if we could look into that. But, you know, I am not expecting an answer. I think we should be cognizant that it is the busiest -- our Justice Court is the busiest Court, Superior, Justice Court of the 15 counties. So we may be looking at some challenges.

RV: Alright. Thank you Supervisor Bronson. Alright, now we will go ahead and move to Call to the Public.

SB: To do the approval, we have to do the approval?

RV: Yes. I had it in my points, and I just forgot about it.

SC: The approval of what?

SB: Of the Intergovernmental Agreement with Arizona State University for COVID-19 saliva testing using the Biodesign Institute. I will move the item.

RV: Second. Is there any discuss discussion, hearing none, all those in favor, please signify by saying Aye.

ALL: [Chorus of Ayes]

RV: All those opposed, please signify by saying Nay. By unanimous vote, the motion carries.